MONTGOMERY COUNTY TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT MONTGOMERY COUNTY

AUDIT REPORT

FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2018





88 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 IPAReport@ohioauditor.gov (800) 282-0370

Board of Trustees Montgomery County Transportation Improvement District 451 West Third Street Dayton, Ohio 45422

We have reviewed the *Independent Auditor's Report* of the Montgomery County Transportation Improvement District, Montgomery County, prepared by Charles E. Harris & Associates, Inc., for the audit period January 1, 2018 through December 31, 2018. Based upon this review, we have accepted these reports in lieu of the audit required by Section 117.11, Revised Code. The Auditor of State did not audit the accompanying financial statements and, accordingly, we are unable to express, and do not express an opinion on them.

Our review was made in reference to the applicable sections of legislative criteria, as reflected by the Ohio Constitution, and the Revised Code, policies, procedures and guidelines of the Auditor of State, regulations and grant requirements. The Montgomery County Transportation Improvement District is responsible for compliance with these laws and regulations.

thetabu

Keith Faber Auditor of State Columbus, Ohio

August 26, 2019

This page intentionally left blank.

MONTGOMERY COUNTY TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT MONTGOMERY COUNTY DECEMBER 31, 2018

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE	PAGE
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Required by <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	1
Prepared by Management:	
Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	3

This page intentionally left blank.

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS REQUIRED BY <u>GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS</u>

Montgomery County Transportation Improvement District Montgomery County 451 West Third Street Dayton, Ohio 45422

To the Board of Trustees:

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities and each major fund of the Montgomery County Transportation Improvement District, Montgomery County, (the District) as of and for the year ended December 31, 2018, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated June 20, 2018.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the District's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Montgomery County Transportation Improvement District Montgomery County Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Required by *Government Auditing Standards* Page 2

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Charles Having Association

Charles E. Harris & Associates, Inc. June 20, 2019

MONTGOMERY COUNTY TRANSOPORATION IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT MONTGOMERY COUNTY For the Year Ended December 31, 2018

SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS - Prepared by Management

FINDING NUMBER	FUNDING SUMMARY	STATUS	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
2017-1	Material Weakness-Controls Related to	Corrective action	
	Financial Reporting.	taken and finding	
		is fully corrected	

This page intentionally left blank.

Montgomery County, Ohio Transportation Improvement District

Comprehensive Annual Financial Report



The District helped construct the new Montgomery County Fairgrounds and improved an adjacent county roadway, Infirmary Road, to provide exceptional access to the Fairgrounds from U.S. 35

> For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2018

Montgomery County, Ohio Transportation Improvement District

Comprehensive Annual Financial Report

For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2018

> Prepared by Sean Fraunfelter, CPA Finance Director

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTORY SECTION

Transmittal Letter	i
Economic Condition and Major Initiatives	iii
Organizational Chart	ix
2017 Board Members	Х
GFOA Certificate of Achievement	xi

II. FINANCIAL SECTION

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT	1
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	4
BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS:	
Government-Wide Financial Statements	
Statement of Net Position	12
Statement of Activities	13
Fund Financial Statements	
Balance Sheet – Governmental Funds	14
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes	
in Fund Balances – Governmental Funds	16
Reconciliation of Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and	
Changes in Fund Balances Governmental Funds to	
Statement of Activities	17
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements	18
REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	
Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes	
In Fund Balance – Budget and Actual	
(Non-GAAP Budgetary Basis) – General Fund	49
Schedule of the District's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension	n
Liability – Ohio Public Employees Retirement	
System - Last Five Fiscal Years	51
Schedule of District's Pension Contributions – Ohio Public	
Employees Retirement System - Last Ten Fiscal Years	52
Schedule of the District's Proportionate Share of the Net OPEB	
Liability – Ohio Public Employees Retirement	
System - Last Two Fiscal Years	55
Schedule of District's OPEB Contributions – Ohio Public	
Employees Retirement System - Last Ten Fiscal Years	56
Notes to the Required Supplementary Information	58

INDIVIDUAL FUND SCHEDULES

Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes	
In Fund Balance – Budget and Actual	
(Non-GAAP Budgetary Basis):	
Austin Center Interchange Fund	60
Kingsridge Drive Project Fund	61
I70/I75 Development Fund	62
Brookville Fund	63
Fairgrounds Fund	64

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, OHIO TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2018

III. STATISTICAL SECTION

Statistical Section Descriptions	65
Net Position by Component – Last Ten Years	67
Change in Net Position – Last Ten Years	68
Fund Balances, Governmental Funds – Last Ten Years	69
Changes in Fund Balances, Governmental Funds – Last Ten Years	70
Revenue Bond Coverage – Kingsridge Drive Project	
– Last Ten Fiscal Years	71
Revenue Bond Coverage – Austin Center Interchange Project	
– Last Nine Years	72
Revenue Bond Coverage – Austin Landing Project Phase 1	
– Last Nine Years	73
Revenue Bond Coverage – Austin Landing Project Phase 2	
– Last Four Fiscal Years	74
Ratio of Special Obligation Bonds per Capita – Last Ten Fiscal Years	75
Top Ten Principal Employers – Last Year and Nine Years Ago	76
Demographic Statistics – Last Ten Years	77
Full Time Equivalent Government Employees – Last Ten Years	78
Miscellaneous Statistics	79

INTRODUCTORY



SECTION



Montgomery County Transportation Improvement District

451 West Third Street • 10th Floor Dayton, Ohio 45422-1075

June 20, 2019

Citizens of Montgomery County Members of the Board of Trustees

We are pleased to submit the Montgomery County Transportation Improvement District's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018.

This report is a culmination of the efforts of many people. Responsibility for both the accuracy of the presented data and the completeness and fairness of the presentation, including all disclosures, rests with the District. This report presents the financial activity of the District in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) as set forth by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) and other recognized authoritative sources, and is consistent with the reporting model as promulgated by GASB Statements No. 34, 54, 63 and 65.

GAAP requires management to provide a narrative introduction, overview and analysis to accompany the basic financial statements in the form of Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A). This letter of transmittal is designed to complement the MD&A and should be read in conjunction with it. The District's MD&A can be found immediately following the report of the independent auditor.

MONTGOMERY COUNTY TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT

The mission of the Montgomery County Transportation Improvement District is to expedite and finance projects that will improve transportation and support economic development in Montgomery County. The District was created in 2001 when the Montgomery County Commission saw the need to expedite high priority transportation and related infrastructure projects throughout the county that would support and promote economic development.

The District's first projects were in the City of Huber Heights beginning in 2001. The District worked with the City of Huber Heights, Montgomery County and the Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT) to rebuild and improve existing interchanges on Interstate 70 at State Route 201 and State Route 202.

The District has been heavily involved with the Austin Center Interchange Project and related local roadway and infrastructure projects since 2003, discussed further below.

Phone: 937-673-3852 • Email: sstanley@mctid.org

The District began work in 2011 on projects in northern Montgomery County near the Dayton International Airport and the interchange joining Interstate 70 and Interstate 75. The first of those projects was the realignment and reconstruction of Dog Leg Road, which was substantially completed as Union Airpark Boulevard in 2014 to serve Proctor & Gamble's new Dayton Mixing Center and additional industrial and distribution development in the City of Union and adjacent communities. The second project (described in more detail below) was for the extension of freight rail service from a CSX main line east of Interstate 75 to future multi-model facilities able to serve available development land on both the eastern and western sides of the interstate highway, discussed further below.

During 2016, the City of Brookville approached the District about working on a new road extension project to serve a new industrial park and a new fire station in the city. The road was completed and open at the end of 2017. This opens up hundreds of acres for development in the City near Interstate 70.

During 2017, the District, Montgomery County, and the Montgomery County Agricultural Society entered into an agreement to construct a new fairgrounds and related infrastructure. The District assisted the City of Miamisburg with several roadway improvement projects to provide access to the new North American Headquarters of United Grinding and to build a street to service a new riverfront park in time for the City's bicentennial celebration. These projects are discussed in more detail below.

During 2018, the District along with the City of Miamisburg and the Montgomery County Engineer entered into an agreement to repair landslide damage along Lower Miamisburg Road. The District also was in discussions with Miami Township on future developments located in the Miami Crossing area.

DISTRICT ORGANIZATION AND REPORTING ENTITY

The reporting entity includes the primary government and component units and is organized to ensure the financial statements of the District are not misleading.

Component units are also part of the reporting entity. These are legally separate organizations for which the District is financially accountable. The District is financially accountable for an organization if the District appoints a voting majority of the organization's governing board and (1) the District is able to significantly influence the programs or services performed or provided by the organization or (2) the District is entitled to or can otherwise access the organization's resources. In this case, the District is legally obligated or has otherwise assumed the responsibility to finance the deficits of, or provide financial support to the organization or the District is obligated for the debt of the organization. Component units may also include organizations in which the District approves the budget, the issuance of debt or the levying of taxes. The District has no component units.

The District is associated with the following jointly governed organization: Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission, which is presented in Note 9 to the basic financial statements.

ECONOMIC CONDITION AND MAJOR INITIATIVES

Montgomery County is the fourth largest county in Ohio with a population of 535,153 according to the 2010 Census. Its county seat and largest municipality is the City of Dayton with a population of 141,527 according to the 2010 Census. Two of the nation's most heavily traveled interstate highways, I-75 and I-70, intersect in Montgomery County and are primary transportation and development corridors that serve and support the region.

Road Improvements

Austin Center Interchange

The District has worked with a variety of local governments; including Montgomery County, the City of Miamisburg, Miami Township, the City of Springboro, the City of Dayton, Washington Township, the City of Centerville, the Dayton-Montgomery County Port Authority, the Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission and ODOT, to plan for and build the Austin Interchange. The participating governments agreed to a multijurisdictional land use plan for the proposed interchange area and continued to meet regularly to evaluate projects as development has moved significantly forward since 2011 with an estimated increased property valuation in the area of \$236 million.

Participating local governments approved the initial finance plan during 2005. The governments addressed three phases of the plan. First the Austin Interchange, which included the overpass over I-75 and approximately one thousand feet east and west of the overpass (this phase was managed by ODOT). The second phase was the relocation of Byers Road and completion of its widening from Austin Boulevard to State Route 725. The third phase was the improvement and relocation of Austin Boulevard to the north on the east side of State Route 741 (this phase was managed by the Montgomery County Engineer). The tax increment financing plan along with Miamisburg School District approval was approved in late 2005 and has been amended three times in order to finance additional public infrastructure to support private sector development that has benefited all the parties involved.

During 2007 and 2008, the District was able to acquire all the necessary parcels and relocate affected businesses and residents in order to certify the acquisition of right of way to the Ohio Department of Transportation for the Austin Interchange. In January 2009, the District issued over \$25 million in bond anticipation notes to make the required deposit for the construction of the interchange project start as managed by the Ohio Department of Transportation. Those notes came due in October but the District was able to reduce the overall obligation by \$7 million when the notes were reissued.

Engineering work was finalized in 2010 on the relocation of Byers Road to align with Wood Road at Austin Boulevard. The District certified the final right of way to ODOT during January 2010. The District bid the construction of the Byers Road Project and started construction during the summer of 2010. The Austin Interchange opened up over 1,000 acres of developable land by 2010 in the southern portion of Montgomery County.

The area has seen significant development on the northwest corner (Motoman), the southeast corner (the Conner Group), and northeast corner (Austin Landing and the Exchange.)

In connection with the Austin Interchange Project, the District has been involved with development activities on the northeast corner of the interchange, "Austin Landing". This development was the first major activity adjacent to the interchange. The District, Montgomery County, Miami Township and the developer entered into agreements for financing and constructing significant public infrastructure to support a total of \$94 million of new private sector development. The first two buildings were completed and occupied during 2010. The developer started another office building and parking garage during 2011 which were completed and opened in 2012. The Kohls on the southeast corner was open during 2011. The development has seen significant additional hospitality, retail and office space being built in 2013-16 and wrapping up in 2017. The respective notes for the second phase were replaced by revenue bonds in early 2015.

On the northwest corner, the District was involved in providing additional access from the Interchange and Austin Boulevard to the Motoman facility. The Byers Road Project was completed at the end of 2011 with improved access. The District was also able to receive a previous equity contribution as the Dayton-Montgomery County Port Authority sold the Long Farm property to the City of Miamisburg. The City of Miamisburg is looking at significant development around Byers Road and to the north of Motoman over the next several years, which will increase the incremental assessed valuation of property in the City near the Austin Interchange that is subject to TIF legislation enacted by the City in 2005. The City of Miamisburg saw United Grinding locate its new North American headquarters to the north of Motoman requiring the District to assist the City in providing the necessary infrastructure to access the facility. This agreement was approved in June 2017. The roadwork was completed in time for the facility to open in late 2017.

Dogleg Road

Since 2011, the District has applied for and received from ODOT grants for funding preliminary engineering costs of the Dog Leg Road (the "project"). The District managed the redesign of local roadways on this project to allow better access, traffic movement and open up additional land for economic development. The importance and scope of the project increased significantly as Montgomery County and the City of Union were able to secure one of Proctor and Gamble's (P&G) new consolidated packaging and distribution facilities adjacent to the new planned alignment for Dogleg Road. P&G's Dayton Mixing Center brought on additional construction activity for the District with related storm water and other utilities needed in the area. The Dayton Mixing Center is a 1.8 million square foot facility that has provided nearly 1,400 new jobs to the region and significantly contributed to increased valuation of the area. Through cooperative agreements with Montgomery County, the City of Union, and the Dayton-Montgomery County Port Authority, the District was successful in financing \$11.7 million through the ODOT State Infrastructure Bank (amended in 2015 to \$12.9 million) and receiving over \$1.2 million from JobsOhio for the project, which subsequently has helped and will help the District, County and City of Union complete other needed improvements in the area including State Route 40 improvements.

Multi-Modal Rail Project

During 2012, the District entered into a contract with a consultant to perform preliminary engineering for the first phase of a multi-modal freight rail project. The District had previously secured a Tier II allocation from ODOT's Transportation Review Advisory Council for the work. The District, County, and other local government partners see significant potential for $\frac{1}{4}$ rail-served distribution and industrial development near the Dayton International Airport and the Interchange at I-70 and I-75 that would generate significant new employment and tax base opportunities.

The District completed sufficient preliminary engineering and environmental work on Phase 1 of the project (for the east side of I-75) to select the no-build alternative for that phase as a stand-alone project. Further work on the freight rail project will be pursued with local funds when a specific economic development opportunity presents itself. The District has also been working with the surrounding communities to develop an area-wide infrastructure plan that is focused on the potential of private sector development of logistics and manufacturing facilities in the northern portion of the county near the I-70/I-75 Interchange and the Dayton International Airport.

Market Street Extension

As briefly discussed above, the City of Brookville entered into a project, finance and management agreement with the District during 2016 to assist the City in extending Market Street north approximately 1,700 feet to Lewisburg Salem Road. The District with the support of the City obtained a State Infrastructure Loan for construction of the project. The City has been direct paying the District for costs incurred for engineering and other professional services. The road was completed in 2017.

Dayton International Airport Access

During 2016, the City of Dayton reached out to the District about providing assistance to design, finance and construct better roadway access to the north side of the Dayton International Airport from State Route 40. The Airport has substantial commercial acreage available for development on its north side. The District in 2018 assisted the City with the development of a new 680,000 SF e-commerce distribution center for pet products company Chewy by designing roadway improvements needed for the new facility and securing funds from ODOT and JobsOhio for the construction of the improvements.

During 2016, the District also assisted Dayton with improved roadway access and utility service to industrial uses already located on Airport property and to the development of a new 570,000 SF warehousing, manufacturing, and research facility on the Airport for Spectrum Brands. The District was able to secure funding through ODOT Grants, Ohio Roadwork Development 629 funds, and the City of Dayton to construct the public improvements. This project was completed during late 2016.

Lyons Road Bridge Pedestrian Access

Miami Township entered into a project, management and finance agreement with the District to complete engineering on a possible pedestrian access across Interstate 75 at Lyons Road. The area around the Dayton Mall is heavily traveled and the Township wanted to provide safer routes for pedestrians and bicycle riders across the bridge. Engineering on the project was substantially completed during 2017. The District expects construction to be in 2019.

Montgomery County Fairgrounds

During 2017, the District entered into an agreement with Montgomery County to construct a new road in connection with the relocation of the Montgomery County Fairgrounds from Dayton to Jefferson Township. The District was able to secure a contractor and continues to work on the road project which was completed in May 2018.

As part of the fairgrounds relocation, it was determined that without the District's assistance the new facilities would not be ready for the 2018 county fair. The District and Agricultural Society entered into an agreement to construct the new fairground's site and prepare it for future development. The project was completed in June 2018 and open for the annual fair. The District recently constructed a new entry sign for the facility.

INTERNAL CONTROLS

The management of the District is responsible for establishing and maintaining an internal control structure designed to ensure that the assets of the District are protected from loss, theft or misuse and to ensure that adequate accounting data is compiled to allow for the preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). The internal control structure is designed to provide reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that these objectives are met. The concept of reasonable assurance recognizes that (1) the cost of a control should not exceed the benefits likely to be derived from its implementation; and (2) the valuation of cost and benefits requires estimates and judgments by management.

SIGNIFICANT FINANCIAL POLICIES

The District's revenue is tied to the projects that it manages. The Board has made it a policy to charge fees for the projects the District manages or finances. The fee policy allows for the discretion of the Board to vary from the prescribed policy if the Board and Executive Director determine the District's involvement is critical to the completion of the project. The District typically takes the fee during the issuance of bonds on the projects. The District also has made a concerted effort to keep overhead costs low by having accounting contracted through the Butler County Transportation Improvement District and having offices in Montgomery County building along with a shared service agreement to help reduce costs.

FACTORS AFFECTING FINANCIAL CONDITION

The District has a limited source of revenues that can be derived to help fund operations. The District is focusing on management charges for project development/completion to finance its operations. The District annually examines the list of current projects and other projects throughout Montgomery County that can be expedited through the District's streamlined process.

The County's unemployment rate for December 2018 was 4.8 percent, which is up 0.4 percent from the 2017 rate. As the economy continues to recover, the District has been lucky to have partners in Montgomery County, Miami Township, and Cities of Union, Miamisburg, Springboro, and Dayton that are forward thinking and willing to use their own balance sheets to finance development projects across Montgomery County. This activity will help alleviate the financial stress that reduced income taxes, property taxes and sales taxes have put on our local government partners as the anticipated development will produce a significant amount of revenue for all those governments. The District continues to work with a very small operating budget in comparison to the project activity.

OTHER INFORMATION

Independent Audit

This report includes an unmodified audit report regarding the District's financial statements. Charles E. Harris & Associates, CPAs conducted this year's audit. The Independent Auditor's Report on the basic financial statements is included in the financial section of this report.

<u>Awards</u>

The Government Finance Officers Association (GFOA) awarded a Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting to the District for its comprehensive annual financial reporting (CAFR) for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017. This was the fifteenth year the District submitted and received the award for excellence in financial reporting. In order to be awarded a Certificate of Achievement, the District must publish a clear and effective CAFR. The District feels the 2018 CAFR meets these requirements and will successfully receive the award also.

Acknowledgements

A note of sincere appreciation is extended to many hardworking and committed people who have contributed their time and effort to prepare this report. The District staff would like to extend their sincere appreciation to the hard working Board Members and those individuals at the Montgomery County Commission and Economic Development offices that made it possible for the District to achieve the success it has so far. The District would also like to thank our local government partners and development partners for their dedication and drive to see projects such as the Fairgrounds, North Airport Access, Project Walnut, Austin Center Interchange, Byers Road and Austin Landing become a reality. We also want to make a special mention to our dedicated staff members Crystal Corbin, Linda Gum and Veronica Hull, for the hard work they do to help us deliver on our project commitments. A special note of thanks is extended to our legal counsel, Beverly Shillito, Gregory Daniels and Chris Franzmann, for their efforts to put together all the financing documents necessary to bring our projects to reality.

Respectfully submitted,

Executive Director Steve Stanley

Secretary/Treasurer TomTatham

2018 Montgomery County

Transportation Improvement District

Board of Trustees

5 Voting appointed by County Commissioners 2 Non-Voting appointed by General Assembly



Steve Stanley

Administrative

<u>Assistant</u>

Linda Gum Veronica Hull Assistant TID Executive Director

Crystal Corbin

Sebaly Shillito + Dyer

General Counsel

General Counsel

Bev Shillito

Butler County TID

Accounting

Finance Director

Sean Fraunfelter



MONTGOMERY COUNTY TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT

2018 BOARD MEMBERS

Voting	Occupation	Board Member Since
Art Meyer, Chairperson	Community Leader	January 2008
Stephanie Singer – Vice Chairperson	Reed Elsevier Technology	February 2011
Tom Tatham- Treasurer	Dayton Power and Light	March 2015
Walt Hibner	Vectren	August 2017
David Bills	Brown and Bills Group	June 2012



Government Finance Officers Association

Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting

Presented to

Montgomery County, Ohio Transportation Improvement District

> For its Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for the Fiscal Year Ended

> > December 31, 2017

Christopher P. Morrill

Executive Director/CEO

FINANCIAL



SECTION

This page intentionally left blank.

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Montgomery County Transportation Improvement District Montgomery County 451 West Third Street Dayton, Ohio 45422

To the Board of Trustees:

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities and each major fund of the Montgomery County Transportation Improvement District, Montgomery, Ohio (the District), as of and for the year ended 8, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the District's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Montgomery County Transportation Improvement District Montgomery County Independent Auditor's Report Page 2

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities and each major fund of the Montgomery County Transportation Improvement District, Montgomery, Ohio, as of December 31, 2018, and the respective changes in financial position thereof, for the year then ended in accordance with the accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Matters

Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require this presentation to include management's discussion and analysis, the required budgetary comparison schedule and schedules of net pension and OPEB liabilities and pension and OPEB contributions listed in the table of contents, to supplement the basic financial statements. Although this information is not part of the basic financial statements, the Governmental Accounting Standards Board considers it essential for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, consisting of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, to the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to opine or provide any other assurance.

Supplementary and Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements that collectively comprise the Montgomery County Transportation Improvement District's basic financial statements. The introductory section, combining and individual nonmajor fund financial statements, and statistical section are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The combining and individual nonmajor fund financial statements are the responsibility of management and were derived from and relate directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the combining and individual nonmajor fund financial statements are fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

The introductory and statistical sections have not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on them.

Montgomery County Transportation Improvement District Montgomery County Independent Auditor's Report Page 3

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated June 20, 2019, on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance, and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Charles Having Association

Charles E. Harris & Associates, Inc. June 20, 2019

Our discussion and analysis of the Montgomery County Transportation Improvement District's (the "District") financial performance provides an overview of the District's financial activities for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018. Please review it in conjunction with the basic financial statements, which begin on page 12.

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

- The District had \$50.4 million in net position at December 31, 2018, an increase of \$15.5 million over fiscal year 2017 mainly from additional construction in progress for the projects located in the western part of the County.
- The District had \$4.8 million in program expenses that were offset by \$20 million of program revenues.
- Governmental fund revenues were \$21.2 million for 2018 with 96 percent of those revenues related to reimbursements for project costs or debt service of the District.
- The District recognized over \$13.3 million from Montgomery County Agricultural Society and Montgomery County for construction of a new county fairground and related infrastructure (most was reported as unearned revenue in the Fairground Fund in 2017.)

USING THIS ANNUAL REPORT

This annual report consists of a series of financial statements. The Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities (on pages 12-13) provide information about the activities of the District as a whole and present a long-term view of the District's finances. Fund financial statements start on page 14. These statements tell how these services were financed in the short term as well as what remains for future spending. Fund financial statements also report the District's operations in more detail than the government-wide statements by providing information about the District's funds.

Reporting the District as a Whole

The Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities

Our analysis of the District as a whole begins here. One of the most important questions asked about the District's finances is "Is the District as a whole better off or worse as a result of the year's activities?" As the net position increased significantly, the answer is very much yes. The District continues to work on critical projects for Montgomery County to provide an economic development tool with projects such as Austin Center Interchange, infrastructure for Fairgrounds area and development projects located near the Dayton International Airport. The question we hope that we are answering is, "Where is the District going and are we headed in the right direction?"

The Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities report information about the District as a whole and about its activities in a way that helps answer those two questions. These statements include all the assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities and deferred inflows of resources using the accrual basis of accounting, which is similar to accounting used by most private-sector companies. Accrual of the current year's revenues and expenses are taken into account regardless of when cash is received or paid.

These two statements report the District's *net position* and change in it. One can think of the District's net position, the difference between assets (what the District owns), deferred outflows of resources, liabilities (what the District owes) and deferred inflows of resources as one way to measure the District financial health, or *financial position*. Over time, *increases or decreases* in the District's net position is one indicator of whether its *financial health* is improving or deteriorating. One will need to consider other nonfinancial factors, however, such as changes in the District's jurisdiction, the availability of capital projects, and continuing local government support to assess the **overall health** of the District.

Reporting the District's Most Significant Funds

<u>Major Funds</u> General Austin Center Interchange 725/741 Development I70/I75 Development Brookville Fairgounds

Fund Financial Statements

Our analysis of the District's major funds begins on page 9. The fund financial statements begin on page 14 and provide detailed information about the most significant funds, not the District as a whole. Some funds are required to be established by State law. However, the Board establishes other funds to help control and manage money for a particular purpose (ex. various capital project funds). The District only has governmental funds.

Governmental Funds: The District's services are reported in the governmental funds, which focus on how money flows into and out of those funds and the balances left at year-end that are available for spending. These funds are reported using the modified accrual method of accounting, which measures cash and all other financial assets that can readily be converted to cash. The governmental fund statements provide a detailed *short-term view* of the District's operations and the services it provides. Governmental fund information helps one determine whether there are more or fewer financial resources that can be spent in the near future to finance the District's programs. We describe the relationship (or differences) between *governmental activities* (reported in the government-wide statements) and the governmental funds in the reconciliation at the bottom of the fund financial statements.

Notes to the Financial Statements: The notes provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide and fund financial statements. The notes can be found on pages 18-48 of this report.

Required Supplementary Information: The District presents budgetary information for the General fund in the required supplementary information along with notes that described the District's budgetary process. The related information for GASB 68 pension disclosure is presented as well. The required supplementary information can be found on pages 49-59 of this report.

Individual Fund Schedules. The individual fund budgetary versus actual schedules provide more detailed information about each individual fund for the District. These schedules can be found on pages 60-64 of this report.

Statistical Information. Statistical information presents a year by year comparison of how the District is doing in several areas. This information can be found starting on page 65 of this report.

THE DISTRICT AS A WHOLE

As stated previously, the Statement of Net Position looks at the District as a whole. The following table provides a summary of the District's net position for 2018 compared to 2017.

	Net Position		
	Restated		
	2017	2018	Change
Current Assets and Other Assets	\$54,858,212	\$42,091,316	(\$12,766,896)
Capital Assets	54,850,420	68,165,950	13,315,530
Total Assets	109,708,632	110,257,266	548,634
Deferred Outflows of Resources	239,720	204,731	(34,989)
Current Liabilities	16,235,392	3,942,252	(12,293,140)
Long-Term Liabilities			
Net Pension Liability	493,225	415,578	(77,647)
Net OPEB Liability	199,104	268,224	69,120
Other Long-Term Liabilities	38,502,145	36,366,933	(2,135,212)
Total Liabilities	55,429,866	40,992,987	(14,436,879)
Deferred Inflows of Resources	19,621,646	19,091,111	(530,535)
Net Position:			
Net Investment in Capital Assets	33,181,136	47,727,887	14,546,751
Restricted	1,723,280	1,927,897	204,617
Unrestricted	(7,576)	722,115	729,691
Total Net Position	\$34,896,840	\$50,377,899	\$15,481,059

In prior years, the District adopted GASB Statement 68, "Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions—an Amendment of GASB Statement 27," which significantly revises accounting for pension costs and liabilities. During the current year, the District adopted GASB Statement 75, "Accounting and Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pension," which significantly revises accounting for other postemployment benefit (OPEB) costs and liabilities. For reasons discussed below, many end users of this financial statement will gain a clearer understanding of the District's actual financial condition by adding deferred inflows related to pension and OPEB, the net pension liability and net OPEB liability to the reported net position and subtracting deferred outflows related to pension and OPEB.

Governmental Accounting Standards Board standards are national and apply to all government financial reports prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. When accounting for pension/OPEB costs, GASB 27 and GASB 45 focused on a funding approach. This approach limited pension/OPEB costs to contributions annually required by law, which may or may not be sufficient to fully fund each plan's *net pension/net OPEB liability*. GASB 68 and GASB 75 take an earnings approach to pension/OPEB accounting; however, the nature of Ohio's statewide pension/OPEB systems and state law governing those systems requires additional explanation in order to properly understand the information presented in these statements.

GASB 68 and GASB 75 require the net pension liability and net OPEB liability to equal the District's proportionate share of each plan's collective:

- 1. Present value of estimated future pension benefits attributable to active and inactive employees' past service
- 2 Minus plan assets available to pay these benefits

GASB notes that pension and OPEB obligations, whether funded or unfunded, are part of the "employment exchange" – that is, the employee is trading his or her labor in exchange for wages, benefits, and the promise of a future pension. GASB noted that the unfunded portion of this pension/OPEB promise is a present obligation of the government, part of a bargained-for benefit to the employee, and should accordingly be reported by the government as a liability since they received the benefit of the exchange. However, the District is not responsible for certain key factors affecting the balance of this liability. In Ohio, the employee shares the obligation of funding pension benefits with the employer. Both employer and employee contribution rates are capped by State statute. A change in these caps requires action of both Houses of the General Assembly and approval of the Governor. Benefit provisions are also determined by State statute. The Ohio Revised Code permits, but does not require the retirement systems to provide healthcare to eligible benefits recipients. The retirement systems may allocate a portion of the employer contributions to provide these OPEB benefits.

The employee enters the employment exchange with the knowledge that the employer's promise is limited not by contract but by law. The employer enters the exchange also knowing that there is a specific, legal limit to its contribution to the pension system. In Ohio, there is no legal means to enforce the unfunded liability of the pension/OPEB plan *as against the public employer*. State law operates to mitigate/lessen the moral obligation of the public employer to the employee, because all parties enter the employment exchange with notice as to the law. The retirement system is responsible for the administration of the plan.

Most long-term liabilities have set repayment schedules or, in the case of compensated absences (i.e. sick and vacation leave), are satisfied through paid time-off or termination payments. There is no repayment schedule for the net pension/OPEB liability. As explained above, changes in pension/OPEB benefits, contribution rates, and return on investments affect the balance of the net pension/OPEB liability, but are outside the control of the local government. In the event that contributions, investment returns, and other changes are insufficient to keep up with required pension/OPEB payments, State statute does not assign/identify the responsible party for the unfunded portion. Due to the unique nature of how the net pension/OPEB liability is satisfied, this liability is separately identified within the long-term liability section of the statement of net position.

In accordance with GASB 68 and GASB 75, the District's statements prepared on an accrual basis of accounting include an annual pension/OPEB expense for their proportionate share of each plan's *change* in net pension liability not accounted for as deferred inflows/outflows.

As a result of implement GASB 75, the District is reporting a net OPEB liability and deferred inflows/outflows of resources related to OPEB on an accrual basis of accounting. This implementation also had the effect of restating the net position at December 31, 2017 from \$35,092,474 to \$34,896,840.

Other than pensions, net position of the District's activities increased significantly. The District saw the current assets decreased almost 24 percent as the combined cash held at December 31, 2018 decreased almost \$11 million from December 31, 2017 with the deposits on the fairground's project being spent down during the year. Capital assets increased over 24 percent with the additional infrastructure projects around the Dayton International Airport to accommodate the new manufacturing facility, continued work on the State Route 40 project, the Byers Road Connector and the fairgrounds.

The current liabilities decreased over 75 percent as the District recognized the prior year unearned revenue related to the fairground deposits as the project was completed during fiscal year. There was also a significant amount reported in 2017 for contracts and retainage payable on the fairground's projects.

Statement of Activities				
	Restated			
	2017	2018	Change	
Program Revenues:				
Charges for Services	\$497,403	\$87,200	(\$410,203)	
Capital Grants	15,354,842	19,890,690	4,535,848	
General Revenues:				
Interest	16,882	40,140	23,258	
Other	202,626	310,224	107,598	
Total Revenue	16,071,753	20,328,254	4,256,501	
Program Expenses				
General Government	2,490,590	2,996,834	506,244	
Transportation	183,522	183,522	0	
Interest and Fiscal Charges	1,703,181	1,666,839	(36,342)	
Total Expenses	4,377,293	4,847,195	469,902	
Change in Net Position	11,694,460	15,481,059	\$3,786,599	
Beginning Net Position	23,398,014	34,896,840		
Restatement GASB 75	(195,634)	0		
Ending Net Position	\$34,896,840	\$50,377,899		

The following tables look at the change in the District's revenues and expenses from 2018 to 2017.

MONTGOMERY COUNTY TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2018 (UNAUDITED)

The District is dependent on administrative fees. The District's largest administrative fee in 2017 was related to the Byers Road Connector project for \$235,000. For 2018, the District received fees on several smaller projects but the largest project that District worked on during 2018 generated very little project revenue (Fairgrounds)

Capital grants increased as the District received additional revenue was recognized for construction on the Byers Road Connector project for the new United Grinding facility and also for the construction of the new fairground facility in Jefferson Township.

The District increased the general government expenses as the amounts paid on behalf of the City of Union and funds returned to the agriculture society are reported as general government expenses.

THE DISTRICT'S FUNDS

The following is a summary of the individual funds and an analysis of the ending fund balances.

General	\$ 114,186
Austin Center Interchange	847,211
725/741 Development Fund	0
I70/I75 Development Fund	826,968
Brookville	17,663
Fairgrounds	253,718

The general fund balance is used to fund the other projects until certain financing obligations are received. The fund balance of the general fund decreased with the less collected as administration fees on various projects. The District has pledged over \$520,000 to a debt reserve in the Austin Center Interchange fund that is released 1/5 annually.

The Austin Center Interchange project saw a fund balance decrease by \$0.3 million. The District spent down funds held for the Byers Road Connector project.

The 725/741 Development Fund (formerly Kingsridge Drive project) is used to account for the debt service payments and contributions related to the 2008 Kingsridge Drive Project. The District renamed the fund during 2018 as Miami Township has several project near and around the Dayton Mall that the TID will be helping to implement.

The I70/I75 Development fund is used to account for several different projects in the northern portion of the county. The District has been working with our northern local governments on a logistics park study around the Dayton International Airport, several economic development projects in the same area, improvements along State Route 40 after having just completed improvements to Dogleg Road for the new Proctor and Gamble facility. The fund balance increased significantly as TIF deposits were larger than last year although the payments on the respective Dayton Montgomery County Debt Obligations (reported as intergovernmental expense by the District since obligations of the Dayton Montgomery County Port Authority) comes out of the City of Union TIF deposits held by the District under our intergovernmental agreement.

MONTGOMERY COUNTY TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2018 (UNAUDITED)

The Brookville fund is used to account for the extension of Market Street in the City of Brookville to open up hundreds of acres for development in the city. The project was constructed and open to traffic during 2017.

The Fairgrounds fund is used to account for costs associated with the construction of a new fairground facility and the related infrastructure. The majority of the revenue was considered unearned in 2017. The project was completed in 2018 with all of the revenue recognized during the current year.

Original and Final Budgets – General Fund

The original budget was prepared in July 2017 when the District believed there would be some project fees in 2018 based on current plans; however, additional fees not were realized as new projects were delayed into 2019 resulting in a reduction of \$210,400.

The District decreased final budget expenditures slightly as a few of the purchased services were reduced from the initial budget.

Final versus Actual Budget – General Fund

The actual revenues to final budget were only 56 percent since the county's pledge was pushed back into 2019. The final expenditures were decreased from the final budget as final costs for some operating items ended up less than expected.

CAPITAL ASSETS AND DEBT ADMINISTRATION

The District capitalized \$13.5 million in construction in progress during the year. The District tracks the project expenditures as construction in progress and once the project is completed the various improvements will be dedicated to the appropriate agency. The District removes projects when all the contractual obligations the District agreed to complete were finished and the improvements have been turned over to another government. See note 3 of the financial statements for more information.

The District has five bonds and three state infrastructure bank loans outstanding totaling \$38.6 million for projects in the Austin Center area, Kingsridge Drive project, Byers Road project and Market Street project. For more information, see note 7 of the financial statements.

ECONOMIC FACTORS

The District was created to operate on a countywide basis. In the winter, the staff and Trustees met to discuss and reprioritize projects. The District updated the list of needed projects that covers the various areas of the county during the 2018 work plan meeting, which includes looking at several projects around the Dayton International Airport. One of the main projects includes work on City of Union logistics park that could possibly duplicate the valuation success the District has brought to southern portion of the County. The County is divided by one of the major north-south interstates in the country and is a prime location for midwest companies to locate.

With the District's focus on the Austin Center area to the south of the City of Dayton wrapping up in 2018, the area has seen Phase 2 development increase that brings the increase to over \$236 million in development into the area adjacent to the Interchange at the end of 2018.

MONTGOMERY COUNTY TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2018 (UNAUDITED)

The District continues to evaluate the northern, eastern and western corridors of Montgomery County as a way to expedite economic growth throughout the county. The Interstate corridor will be a major development down the road as the District, the Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission and Department of Transportation jointly tackle this task. The future provides an opportunity for the District to work with our northern county governments to make improvements to areas in their jurisdiction.

It is important that the District is able to succeed in the development of the listed and future projects not only for Montgomery County and its residents, but also for the longevity of the District. The District will need to generate management fees from mature projects to continue to absorb early stage costs of developing projects. With additional projects to better the transportation quality of Montgomery County, the District will be able to prosper while providing the residents with an easier way to get from one place to the next.

Request for Information

The financial report is designed to provide a general overview of the District's finances for all those with an interest in the government's finances. Questions concerning any of the information provided in this report or requests for additional financial information should be addressed to the Montgomery County Transportation Improvement District, 451 West Third Street, Dayton, Ohio 45422.

Steven B. Stanley Executive Director

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, OHIO TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT

STATEMENT OF NET POSITION DECEMBER 31, 2018

Assets:	
Assets:	
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 768,179
Restricted Cash and Cash Equivalents	2,660,692
Intergovernmental Receivable	34,164,127
Interest Receivable	4,498,318
Total Current Assets	42,091,316
Noncurrent Assets:	,
Depreciable Capital Assets	4,073,615
Nondepreciable Capital Assets	64,092,335
Total Noncurrent Assets	68,165,950
Total Assets	110,257,266
Deferred Outflows:	
Pension	150,912
OPEB	53,819
Total Deferred Outflows	204,731
Liabilities:	
Current Liabilities:	
Accounts Payable	47,827
Contracts Payable	629,258
Accrued Interest Payable	181,546
Current Portion of State Infrastructure Bank Loan Payable	436,581
Current Portion of Special Obligation Bonds Payable	1,955,000
Unearned Revenue	692,040
Total Current Liabilities	3,942,252
Noncurrent Liabilities:	0,0 :=,=0=
Net Pension Liability	415,578
Net OPEB Liability	268,224
State Infrastructure Bank Loan Payable	3,255,753
Special Obligation Bonds Payable	33,111,180
Total Noncurrent Liabilities	37,050,735
Total Liabilities	40,992,987
Deferred Inflows of Resources:	
Pension	98,007
OPEB	19,981
Intergovernmental Revenues	18,973,123
Total Deferred Inflows of Resources:	19,091,111
Net Position:	
Net Investment in Capital Assets	47,727,887
Restricted for Capital Purposes	1,927,897
Unrestricted	722,115
Total Net Position	\$ 50,377,899
	÷ 00,011,000

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, OHIO	TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT
MONTG	TRAN

STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2018

I

				Prog	am F	Program Revenues	Net (Expense) Revenue and Change in Net Position	and n
						Capital	Primary Government	
			Cha	Charges for		Grants and	Governmental	
Functions/Programs		Expenses	ő	Services		Contributions	Activities	1
Primary Government: Governmental Activities:								
General Government	θ	2,996,834	θ	87,200	ω	17,726,659	\$ 14,81	14,817,025
Transportation		183,522		ı			(18	(183,522)
Interest and Fiscal Charges		1,666,839		ı		2,164,031	49	497,192
Total Primary Government	φ	4,847,195	φ	87,200	မ	19,890,690	15,13	15,130,695
General Revenues:	venues:							
Unrestrict	ted Inves	Unrestricted Investment Earnings					4	40,140
Miscellaneous	eous						31	310,224
Total (Total General F	Revenues					35	350,364
Ch	Change in N	Net Position					15,48	15,481,059
Net Position	ı - Beginr	Net Position - Beginning (Restated)					34,89	34,896,840
Net Position - Ending	ı - Endinç						\$ 50,37	50,377,899

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, OHIO TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT

BALANCE SHEET -GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS DECEMBER 31, 2018

	(General		ustin Center nterchange	De	725/741 evelopment	De	I70/I75 evelopment
Assets:	•	4.40,400	•	570 504	•		•	05 700
Cash and Cash Equivalents Receivables:	\$	148,199	\$	576,521	\$	-	\$	25,796
Receivables: Interest				4,498,318				
Intergovernmental				31,004,127		- 3,160,000		-
Restricted Assets:				01,004,127		0,100,000		
Restricted Cash and Cash Equivalents				1,602,399		-		801,816
Total Assets	\$	148,199	\$	37,681,365	\$	3,160,000	\$	827,612
Liabilities								
Payable:								
Accounts	\$	34,013	\$	11,247	\$	-	\$	644
Contracts		-		628,422		-		-
Unearned Revenue		-		692,040		-		-
Total Liabilities		34,013		1,331,709		-		644
Deferred Inflows of Resources:								
Intergovernmental and Interest Revenues		-		35,502,445		3,160,000		-
Total Deferred Inflows of Resources:		-		35,502,445		3,160,000		-
Fund Balances								
Restricted for Capital Purposes		-		847,211		-		826,968
Unassigned		114,186		-		-		-
Total Fund Balances		114,186		847,211		-		826,968
Total Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources								
and Fund Balances	\$	148,199	\$	37,681,365	\$	3,160,000	\$	827,612

Amounts reported in governmental activities in the statement of net position are different because:

Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and, therefore, are not reported in the funds.

Long-term receivables are not available to pay for current period expenditures and therefore are deferred in the funds.

The net pension/OPEB liability is not due and payables in the current period; therefore, the liability and related deferred inflows/outlfows are not reporting in governmental funds.

Long-term liabilities are not due and payable in the current period and therefore are not reported in the funds.

Special Obligation Bonds Premium/Discount State Infrastructure Loan Accrued Interest Payable

Net Position of Governmental Activities

E	Brookville	 Fairgounds	G	Total overnmental Funds
\$	17,663	\$ -	\$	768,179
	-	-		4,498,318 34,164,127
	-	 256,477		2,660,692
\$	17,663	\$ 256,477	\$	42,091,316
\$	- -	\$ 1,923 836 -		47,827 629,258 692,040
	-	 2,759		1,369,125
	-	 <u> </u>		38,662,445
	-	 -		38,662,445
	17,663 17,663	 253,718 253,718		1,945,560 <u>114,186</u> 2,059,746
	17,003	 233,710		2,009,740
\$	17,663	\$ 256,477		

68,165,950

19,689,322

(597,059)

(34,860,000) (206,180) (3,692,334) (181,546)

\$ 50,377,899

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, OHIO TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2018

	General	Austin Center Interchange	725/741 Development	I70/I75 Development	Brookville	Fairgrounds	Total Governmental Funds
Revenues: Intergovernmental Charges for Services Investment Earnings All Other	\$ - 87,200 15,659 122,829	\$ 4,211,956 - 410,518 178,176	\$ 401,575 - -	\$ 2,455,991 - -	ч ч ч ч Ф	\$ 13,298,758 22,172 	\$ 20,368,280 87,200 448,349 301,005
Total Revenues	225,688	4,800,650	401,575	2,455,991		13,320,930	21,204,834
Expenditures: Current: General Government Capital Outlay Debt Service:	721,334 -	154,764 1,654,887		1,554,456 711,508	25,949	255,187 11,276,237	2,685,741 13,668,581
Principal Interest		1,952,842 1,480,429	240,000 161,575		1 1	1 1	2,192,842 1,642,004
Total Expenditures	721,334	5,242,922	401,575	2,265,964	25,949	11,531,424	20,189,168
Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures	(495,646)	(442,272)	1	190,027	(25,949)	1,789,506	1,015,666
Other Financing Sources: Loan Proceeds		131,288	1	I I	131,995	,	263,283
Net Change in Fund Balances Fund Balances - beginning Fund Balances - ending	(495,646) 609,832 \$ 114,186	(310,984) 1,158,195 \$ 847,211	· , ,	190,027 636,941 \$ 826,968	106,046 (88,383) \$ 17,663	1,789,506 (1,535,788) \$ 253,718	1,278,949 780,797 \$2,059,746

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, OHIO TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT

RECONCILIATION OF STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS TO STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2018

Net Change in Fund Balances - Total Governmental Funds		\$1,278,949
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the Statement of Activities are different because:		
Capital outlays are reported as expenditures in governmental funds. However, in the Statement of Activities, the cost of capital assets is allocated over their estimated useful lives as depreciation expense. In the current period, these amounts are:		
Construction in progress additions	13,499,052	
Depreciation expense	(183,522)	
Additions over depreciation expense		13,315,530
Because some revenues will not be collected for several months after the District's fiscal year end, they are not considered "available" revenues and are deferred in the governmental funds.	(1.161.102)	
Intergovernmental Accreted Interest	(1,161,192) 275,393	
	215,595	(885,799)
Some expenses reported in the Statement of Activities do not require the use of current financial resources and therefore are not reported as expenditures in governmental funds. The difference in the amount of interest on the Statement of Activities is the result of the following:		(000,000)
Amortization of debt premium/discount	12,914	
Decrease in accrued interest payable	(22,530)	
	<u>.</u>	(9,616)
Bond and oter debt proceeds are reported as other financing sources in governmental fund and thus contributed to the change in fund balances. In the government-wide statements; however, issuing debt increases long-term liabilities in the statement of net position and does not affect the statement of activities. Proceeds from SIB Loan		(269,283)
Repayment of long-term debt is reported as an expenditure in governmental funds, but the repayment reduces long-term liabilities in the Statement of Net Position. In the current fiscal year, these amounts consist of: Bond payments		2,192,842
Contractually required contributions are reported as expenditures in governmental funds; however, the statement of net position reports these amounts as deferred outflows.		(44,274)
Except for amounts reported as deferred inflows/outflows, changes in the net pension liability are reported as pension expense in the statement of activities.	_	(97,290)
Change in Net Position of Governmental Activities		\$15,481,059

See Accompanying Notes to the Basic Financial Statements

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A. <u>Reporting Entity</u>

The Montgomery County Transportation Improvement District (the "District") is a body, both corporate and politic, created for the purpose of financing, constructing, maintaining, repairing and operating selected transportation projects. The District was specifically formed under Ohio Revised Code, Chapter 5540, as amended, and created by action of the Board of County Commissioners of Montgomery County on August 14, 2001.

The District is a jointly governed entity administered by a Board of Trustees ("Board") that acts as the authoritative and legislative body of the entity. The Board is comprised of seven board members, of which five are voting and two are non-voting appointed by the County and State governments. Of the seven, three are elected as officers of the District; Chair(person), Vice-Chair(person), and Secretary-Treasurer. Each Officer serves a one-year term; there are no term limits for reappointment. No Board Members receive compensation for serving on the Board.

The Board of Trustees annually appoints the Chair(person) of the Board from existing Board members. The Chair responsibilities are to preside at all meetings of the Board; to be the chief officer of the District; perform all duties commonly incident to the position of presiding officer of a board, commission or business organization and to exercise supervision over the business of the District, its officers and employees.

The accompanying basic financial statements include all organizations, activities, and functions that comprise the District. Component units are legally separate entities for which the District (the primary entity) is financially accountable. Financial accountability is defined as the ability to appoint a voting majority of the organization's governing body and either (1) the District's ability to impose its will over the organization or (2) the potential that the organization will provide a financial benefit to, or impose a financial burden on, the District. Using these criteria, the District has no component units.

B. Government-wide and fund financial statements

The government-wide financial statements (i.e., the statement of net position and the statement of activities) report information on all of the activities of the primary government.

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

The statement of activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of a given function or segment are offset by program revenues. *Direct expenses* are those that are clearly identifiable with a specific function or segment. *Program revenues* include 1) charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use or directly benefit from goods, services, or privileges provided by a given function or segment and 2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function or segment. Other items not properly included among program revenues are reported instead as *general revenues*. Major individual governmental funds are reported as separate columns in the fund financial statements. The District has chosen to present all funds as major funds.

C. Measurement focus, basis of accounting and financial statement presentation

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the *economic resources measurement focus* and the *accrual basis of accounting*. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met.

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the *current financial resources measurement focus* and the *modified accrual basis of accounting*. Revenues are recognized as soon as they both measurable and available. Revenues are considered to be available when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. Expenditures generally are recorded when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting.

Revenue from intergovernmental agreements and interest associated with the current fiscal period is considered being susceptible to accrual and has been recognized as revenues of the current fiscal period. All other revenue items are considered measurable and available only when the District receives cash.

Fund Accounting

The District uses funds to maintain its financial records during the year. A fund is defined as a fiscal and accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. The District uses governmental funds.

Governmental Funds

Governmental funds are those through which most governmental functions typically are financed. Governmental funds reporting focuses on the sources, uses and balances of current financial resources. Expendable assets are assigned to the various governmental funds according to the purpose for which they may or must be used. Current liabilities are assigned to the fund from which they will be paid. The difference between governmental fund assets and deferred outflows or resources and liabilities and deferred inflows of resources is reported as fund balance.

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

The District reports the following major governmental funds:

<u>General Fund</u> – The General Fund is used to account for all financial resources of the District except those required to be accounted for in another fund. The general fund balance is available to the District for any purpose provided it is expended or transferred according to the general laws of Ohio and the bylaws of the District.

<u>Austin Center Interchange</u> – The District continues working with local municipalities around the Austin Interchange on Interstate 75. The District is finalizing the Austin Landing projects that is already showing significant development into the northeast corner of the Interchange in two separate phases for the project. The District is working with the Austin Center JEDD on a new aesthetic project to improve the look of the interchange.

<u>725/741 Development</u> – Initially, the District worked with Miami Township to improve certain infrastructure around the Dayton Mall and surrounding area. The project was completed in 2010 and the District finalized the right of way appropriation cases and a portion of the remaining fund balance to the Township during 2011. The improvements have been very successful in helping the traffic flow around the Dayton Mall and Walmart store. Starting in 2018, the District has entered into discussion with Miami Township to assist in infrastructure improvements around and north of the Dayton Mall.

<u>170/175 Development</u> – The District has successfully received funding from the Transportation Review Advisory Council and the State of Ohio House Bill 114 funding to work on the logistics park analysis and Dogleg Road project in the northern part of the County. The District also worked with the City of Union and Montgomery County on the logistic park (referred to locally as Project Walnut) to provide infrastructure needs to support the Proctor and Gamble large manufacturing facility. The District has since moved its focus to around the Dayton International Airport. During 2016, the District was able to complete what is referred to as the Air Cargo project providing new access to over a 500,000 square foot manufacturing facility.

<u>Brookville</u> – In 2016, the District entered into an agreement with the City of Brookville to extend Market Street for future commercial development and the City's new fire house. The District worked on the engineering for the project during 2016 with funds provided by the City.

<u>*Fairgrounds*</u> – In 2017, the District entered into an agreement with the Montgomery County Agricultural Society and Montgomery County to construct new fairground facilities in Jefferson Township and the related infrastructure. The District was engaged to prepare the site, vendor area, camp grounds and two building structures. The project will be completed during 2019.

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Revenues - Exchange and Non-exchange Transactions

Revenue resulting from exchange transactions, in which each party gives and receives essentially equal value, is recorded on the accrual basis when the exchange takes place. On a modified accrual basis, revenue is recorded in the fiscal year in which the resources are measurable and become available. Available means that the resources will be collected within the current fiscal year or are expected to be collected soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current fiscal year. For the District, available means expected to be received within thirty-one days of fiscal year-end. Under the modified accrual basis, only revenue from intergovernmental agreements are considered to be both measurable and available at fiscal year-end.

Nonexchange transactions, in which the District receives value without directly giving value in return, includes grants and donations. On an accrual basis, revenue from grants and agreements is recognized in the fiscal year in which all eligibility requirements have been satisfied. Eligibility requirements include timing requirements, which specify the year when the resources are required to be used or the fiscal year when use is first permitted, matching requirements, in which the District must provide local resources to be used for a specific purpose, and expenditure requirements, in which the resources are provided to the District on a reimbursement basis. On a modified accrual basis, revenue from nonexchange transactions must be available before it can be recognized.

Deferred Outflows of Resources

In addition to assets, the statement of net position will sometimes report a separate section for deferred outflows of resources. Deferred outflows of resources represent a consumption of net position that applies to a future period and will not be recognized as an outflow of resources (expense) until then. For the District, deferred outflows of resources are reported on the government-wide statement of net position for pension and other postemployment benefits (OPEB). The deferred outflows of resources related to pension/OPEB are explained in Notes 10 and 11.

Deferred Inflows of Resources

Deferred inflows of resources arises when assets are recognized before revenue recognition criteria have been satisfied. Grants and entitlements received before the eligibility requirements are met are also recorded as deferred inflows of resources. On governmental fund financial statements, receivables that will not be collected within the available period have also been reported as deferred inflows of resources. The District reports a very large deferred inflow of resources resulting from local governments' pledge of payment to the District in relation to the capital appreciation bonds or general obligation bonds they issued for payment of the District's related special obligation bonds and loans. The District also reports a deferred inflow for pension/OPEB related items. The deferred inflows of resources related to pension/OPEB are explained in Notes 10 and 11.

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Unearned Revenue

The District reports unearned revenue in relation to the grant revenue received from Miami Township in respect to the Park and Recreational Trail project around the Austin Landing Development. The Township provided the money to the District but the District has the obligation to complete the respective projects in order to recognize the revenue.

Expenses/Expenditures

On an accrual basis of accounting, expenses are recognized at the time they are incurred. The measurement focus of governmental fund accounting is on decreases in net financial resources (expenditures) rather than expenses. Expenditures are generally recognized in the accounting period in which the related fund liability is incurred, if measurable.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash received by the District is held for operating and construction purposes. Cash related to operating purposes is presented as "Cash and Cash Equivalents" on the statement of net position and governmental fund balance sheet by activity or fund. The District also maintains cash for construction purposes that was obtained through bond issuance or grants from Montgomery County. The cash related to those purposes is presented as "Restricted Cash and Cash Equivalents."

Following Ohio statutes, the Board of Trustees has, by resolution, specified the funds to receive an allocation of interest earnings. Interest revenue credited to the general fund during fiscal year 2018 amount to \$15,659, no amounts were assigned from other District funds as they receive interest from the restricted cash sources. The Austin Center Interchange fund also received interest in the restricted construction account of \$2,309. The Austin Center Interchange fund also reported interest revenue in relation to the local government's pledged revenue payments from their capital appreciation bonds that are used to pay off the District's outstanding debt. The amount reported for fiscal year 2018 was \$408,209 for the Austin Interchange special obligation bonds and Byers Road State Infrastructure Bank Loan.

Capital Assets

Capital assets generally result from expenditures in the governmental funds. These assets are reported in the governmental activities column of the government-wide statement of net position but are not reported in the fund financial statements.

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

All capital assets are capitalized at cost (or estimated historical cost) and updated for additions and retirements during the year. The District maintains a capitalization threshold of \$5,000. The District does not possess any infrastructure. Improvements are capitalized; the cost of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the asset or materially extend the asset's life are not.

The District reports the assets as construction in progress until the project is completed and either deeded over to the respective local government or a dedication plat is filed. For fiscal year 2018, the District reports land improvements for leases on parking lots (straight line depreciation over twenty-seven years) and park land (straight line depreciation over thirty years).

Intergovernmental Revenues

For governmental funds, intergovernmental revenues, such as grants and contributions awarded on a non-reimbursement basis, are recorded as receivables and revenues when measurable and available. For the District, the majority of intergovernmental revenues are derived through reimbursement contracts with participating local governments for repayment of expense incurred related to engineering or construction related projects. The District also reports intergovernmental revenues from the current obligations due on the debt obligations the local governments have pledged their capital appreciation bonds against.

Fund Balance

The District reports the following categories:

- -Restricted fund balances related to bond proceeds maintained in segregated accounts for construction and required to be held for payment of debt service obligations.
- -Assigned fund balances are balances the District administration have specified for future use.
- Unassigned fund balance is the residual classification for the General Fund and includes all spendable amounts not contained in the other classifications. In other governmental funds, the unassigned classification is used only to report a deficit balance resulting from overspending for specific purposes for which amounts had been restricted.

The District applies restricted resources first when expenditures are incurred for purposes for which either restricted or unrestricted amounts are available.

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Pensions/OPEB

For purposes of measuring the net pension/OPEB liability, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions/OPEB, and pension/OPEB expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the pension plans and additions to/deductions from their fiduciary net positon have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by the pension systems. For this purpose, benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. The pension systems report investments at fair value.

Net Position

Net position is the residual amount when comparing assets and deferred outflows of resources to liabilities and deferred inflows of resources. Net investment in capital assets consists of capital assets reduced by the outstanding balances of any borrowing used for the acquisition, construction or improvement of those assets. Net position is reported as restricted when there are legal limitations imposed on their use by District legislation or external restrictions by creditors, grantors, laws or regulations of other governments.

When both restricted and unrestricted net position are available for use, it is the District's policy to apply restricted net position first, and then unrestricted.

Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results may differ from those estimates.

NOTE 2 – DEPOSIT AND INVESTMENTS

Cash resources of several individual funds are combined to form a pool of cash and investments. The District may invest in the following securities.

- United States Treasury notes, bills, bonds, or any other obligation or security issued by the United States Treasury or any other obligation guaranteed as to principal or interest by the United States;
- Bonds, notes, debentures, or any other obligations or securities issued by any federal government agency or instrumentality, including but not limited to, the federal national mortgage association, federal home loan bank, federal farm credit bank, federal home loan mortgage corporation, government national mortgage association, and student loan marketing association. All federal agency securities shall be direct issuances of federal government agencies or instrumentalities;

NOTE 2 – DEPOSIT AND INVESTMENTS (Continued)

- Written repurchase agreements in the securities listed above, provided that the fair value of the securities subject to the repurchase agreement must exceed the principal value of the agreement by at least two percent and be marked to market daily, and that the term of the agreement must not exceed thirty days;
- Interim deposits in eligible institutions applying for interim funds;
- Bonds and other obligations of the State of Ohio;
- No-load money market mutual funds consisting exclusively of obligations described in the first two bullets of this section and repurchase agreements secured by such obligations, provided that investments in securities described in this division are made only through eligible institutions;
- The State Treasury Asset Reserve of Ohio (STAR Ohio);
- Certain banker's acceptances and commercial paper notes for a period not to exceed one hundred eighty days from the purchase date in an amount not to exceed forty percent of the interim monies available for investment at any one time; and,
- Written repurchase agreements in the securities described in (1) and (2) provided the market value of the securities subject to the repurchase agreement must exceed the principal value of the agreement by at least two percent and be marked to market daily, and the term of the agreement must not exceed thirty days.

Investments in stripped principal or interest obligations, reverse repurchase agreements and derivatives are prohibited. The issuance of taxable notes for the purpose of arbitrage, the use of leverage and short selling are also prohibited. An investment must mature within five years from the date of purchase unless matched to a specific obligation or debt of the District, and must be purchased with the expectation it will be held to maturity. Investments may be made only upon delivery of the securities representing the investments to the Finance Director or, if the securities are not represented by a certificate, upon receipt of confirmation of transfer from the custodian.

A. **Deposits**

At fiscal year-end, the carrying value of the District's deposits was \$3,428,871 and the bank balance was \$3,514,044. \$250,000 of the District's deposits was insured by federal depository insurance. Based on criteria described in GASB Statement No. 40, "Deposits and Investment Risk Disclosures", as of December 31, 2018, \$3,264,044 of the District's bank balance was uninsured and collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution's trust department or agent, but not in the District's name.

NOTE 2 – DEPOSIT AND INVESTMENTS (Continued)

The District has no deposit policy for custodial risk beyond the requirements of State statute. Ohio law requires that deposits be either insured or be protected by eligible securities pledged to and deposited either with the District or a qualified trustee by the financial institution as security for repayment, or by a collateral pool of eligible securities deposited with a qualified trustee and pledged to secure the repayment of all public monies deposited in the financial institution whose fair value at all times shall be at least one hundred five percent of the deposite being secured.

B. <u>Investments</u>

As of December 31, 2018, the District had no investments.

Interest Rate Risk - The District has no investment policy that addresses interest rate risk. State statute requires that an investment mature within five years from the date of purchase, unless matched to a specific obligation or debt of the District, and that an investment must be purchased with the expectation that it will be held to maturity.

Credit Risk - The District has no investment policy that would further limit its investment choices.

Custodial Credit Risk - For an investment, custodial credit risk is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the counterparty, the District will not be able to recover the value of its investments or collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. The District has no investment policy dealing with investment custodial risk beyond the requirement in state statute that prohibits payment for investments prior to the delivery of the securities representing such investments to the treasurer or qualified trustee.

Concentration of Credit Risk - The District places no limit on the amount it may invest in any one issuer.

NOTE 3 – CAPITAL ASSETS

Capital asset activity for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018, was as follows:

Balance 12/31/17	Increases	Decreases	Balance 12/31/18
\$50,593,283	\$13,499,052	\$0	\$64,092,335
4,991,225	0	0	4,991,225
(734,088)	(183,522)	0	(917,610)
\$54,850,420	\$13,315,530	\$0	\$68,165,950
	12/31/17 \$50,593,283 4,991,225 (734,088)	12/31/17 Increases \$50,593,283 \$13,499,052 4,991,225 0 (734,088) (183,522)	12/31/17 Increases Decreases \$50,593,283 \$13,499,052 \$0 4,991,225 0 0 (734,088) (183,522) 0

NOTE 4 – INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVENUES

The following entities, which are a part of the District, have contributed the following funds during 2018.

Maushan Mana	Contribution (Modified
Member Name	Accrual Basis)
Montgomery County Agricultural Society	\$13,148,758
Miami Township	2,678,321
City of Union	1,769,979
City of Miamisburg	1,710,551
Ohio Department of Transportation	329,396
City of Springboro	208,287
City of Dayton	180,000
Dayton Montgomery County Port Authority	176,616
Montgomery County	150,000
Austin Center JEDD	16,373
Total Intergovernmental Revenue	\$20,368,280

NOTE 5 – OUTSTANDING COMMITMENTS

The District has several outstanding contracts for professional and contract services. The following amounts remain on these contracts as of December 31, 2018:

Vendor	Outstanding Balance
R. B. Jergens Construction – Lyons Road	\$851,905

NOTE 6 – RISK MANAGEMENT

The District is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; damage to, and theft or destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees and natural disaster. During 2018, the District contracted with the U.S Specialty Insurance agency for liability, property, and crime damage. Coverages provided by the company are as follows:

NOTE 6 – RISK MANAGEMENT (Continued)

Professional Liability (\$10,000 deductible)	\$1,000,000
Commercial General Liability	
Each Occurrence/Aggregate	1,000,000/3,000,000
Fire Damage	100,000
Medical Expenses	10,000
Automobile Liability	1,000,000
Umbrella Excess Liability (\$10,000 deductible)	6,000,000
Crime Insurance:	
Public Employee's Bond (\$500 deductible)	50,000

There have been no significant changes in coverage or claims made over the past three years and there has been no significant reduction in insurance coverage from last year.

NOTE 7 – LONG TERM LIABILITIES

The changes in the District's long-term obligations (non-current liabilities) during the year consist of the following:

	Restated Obligation Outstanding 12/31/17	Additions	Reductions	Obligation Outstanding 12/31/18	Amounts Due in One Year
1 - Special Obligation Bonds	\$3,400,000	\$0	(\$240,000)	\$3,160,000	\$255,000
Bond Discount	(24,784)	0	2,305	(22,479)	0
2 - Special Obligation Bonds	16,070,000	0	(730,000)	15,340,000	765,000
Bond Premium	237,324	0	(14,833)	222,491	0
3 - Special Obligation Bonds	6,935,000	0	(420,000)	6,515,000	440,000
4 – State Infrastructure Bank Loan	1,330,176	0	(317,842)	1,012,334	327,448
5 - Special Obligation Bonds	10,330,000	0	(485,000)	9,845,000	495,000
Bond Premium	6,554	0	(386)	6,168	0
6 – State Infrastructure Bank Loan	1,838,005	137,995	0	1,976,000	41,797
7 – State Infrastructure Bank Loan	572,712	131,288	0	704,000	67,336
Net Pension Liability	493,225	0	(77,647)	415,578	0
Net OPEB Liability	199,104	69,120	0	268,224	0
Total	\$41,387,316	\$338,403	(\$2,283,403)	\$39,442,316	\$2,391,581

The net pension/OPEB liability will be paid from the general fund which is the same fund where the salaries are paid. It should be noted the District is only required to pay the annual contractually required amount per the pension system.

NOTE 7 – LONG TERM LIABILITIES (Continued)

1 - Special Obligation Bonds - On September 4, 2008, the District issued \$4,885,000 in special obligation bonds for the purpose of the Kingsridge Drive project. The bonds were issued for a twenty year period with a final maturity of December 1, 2028. The bonds will be retired from the TIF revenues pledged by Miami Township in the Kingsridge Drive Project fund and pay interest at rates ranging from 2.25% to 5%.

The District had pledged all intergovernmental revenues from Miami Township's tax increment financing revenues to repay the \$4.89 million special obligation bonds. The bonds are solely payable from revenues assigned from Miami Township to the District as part of the funding agreement between the two parties. Total principal and interest remaining on the bonds is \$4,066,374 through December 2028. \$401,574 was received from Miami Township through the agreement and \$240,000 was paid during the current year on the outstanding bonds.

	1 – Special Obligation Bonds		
Fiscal Year			
Ending December 31,	Principal	Interest	Total
2019	\$255,000	\$151,676	\$406,676
2020	265,000	139,944	404,944
2021	280,000	127,756	407,756
2022	290,000	114,874	404,874
2023	305,000	101,100	406,100
2024-2028	1,765,000	271,024	2,036,024
Totals	\$3,160,000	\$906,374	\$4,066,374

The amortization on the Kingsridge Drive special obligations bonds were as follows:

2 - Special Obligation Bonds - On July 30, 2010, the District issued \$20,335,000 in special obligation bonds for the purpose of the constructing the Austin Center Interchange project. The bonds were issued for a twenty-three year period with a final maturity of December 1, 2033. The bonds will be retired from the TIF revenues pledged by Miami Township, the City of Miamisburg and the City of Springboro in the project area and pay interest at rates ranging from 2% to 5%.

The District had pledged all intergovernmental revenues from local government's tax increment financing revenues to repay the \$20.34 million special obligation bonds. The bonds are solely payable from revenues assigned from local governments to the District as part of the funding agreement between the parties. Total principal and interest remaining on the bonds is \$21,223,375 through December 2033. The District received \$6.2 million in federal earmarks as part of the financing package on the project and those earmarks will be used to cover debt service over the first four years. The District received \$1,413,560 from the three governments for the 2018 debt service. The bonds will be retired from the Austin Centre Interchange fund.

NOTE 7 - LONG TERM LIABILITIES (Continued)

	2 – Special Obligation Bonds		
Fiscal Year			
Ending December 31	Principal	Interest	Total
2019	\$765,000	\$647,060	\$1,412,060
2020	795,000	620,285	1,415,285
2021	825,000	592,460	1,417,460
2022	850,000	559,460	1,409,460
2023	890,000	525,460	1,415,460
2024-2028	5,000,000	2,076,325	7,075,325
2029-2033	6,215,000	863,325	7,078,325
Totals	\$15,340,000	\$5,883,375	\$21,223,375

The amortization on the Austin Center Interchange special obligations bonds were as follows:

3 - Special Obligation Bonds - On March 16, 2010, the District issued \$9,200,000 in special obligation bonds under the economic recovery zone classification for the purpose of the constructing the Austin Landings project. The bonds were issued for a nineteen year period with a final maturity of December 1, 2029. The bonds will be retired from the TIF revenues pledged by Miami Township from the development area and pay interest at rates ranging from 2% to 6.625%. The bonds are split between taxable and recovery zone economic development bonds with the District receiving a forty-five percent tax credit for the interest payments that is used to help the Township reduce the debt payments.

The District had pledged all intergovernmental revenues from Township's tax increment financing revenues to repay the \$9.2 million special obligation bonds. The bonds are solely payable from revenues assigned from Township to the District as part of the funding agreement between the parties. Total principal and interest remaining on the bonds is \$9,264,298 through December 2029. The District received \$664,627 in revenue during 2018 related to the payments. The bonds will be retired from the Austin Centre Interchange fund.

The amortization on the Austin Landings special obligations bonds were as follows:

	3 – Special Obligation Bonds		
Fiscal Year			
Ending December 31	Principal	Interest	Total
2019	\$440,000	\$403,068	\$843,068
2020	460,000	381,068	841,068
2021	485,000	357,608	842,608
2022	515,000	328,024	843,024
2023	545,000	296,608	841,608
2024-2028	3,280,000	930,584	4,210,584
2029	790,000	52,338	842,338
Totals	\$6,515,000	\$2,749,298	\$9,264,298

NOTE 7 – LONG TERM LIABILITIES (Continued)

4 – State Infrastructure Bank Loan – In October 2011, the District made the final draw on the State Infrastructure Loan for construction of the Byers Road improvements. The loan was issued for a ten year period with a final maturity of January 31, 2021. The loan will be retired from the TIF revenues pledged by Miami Township and the City of Miamisburg from the development area and pay interest at 3% with the first twelve months being interest free and the next year's interest of \$75,330 accrued and paid over the remaining eight years.

The District had pledged all intergovernmental revenues from City's and Township's tax increment financing revenues to repay the \$2.9 million state infrastructure loan. The loan is solely payable from revenues assigned from City and Township to the District as part of the funding agreement between the parties. Total principal and interest remaining on the loan is \$1,098,126 through January 2021. The District received \$341,977 revenue during 2017 related to the payments. The bonds will be retired from the Austin Centre Interchange fund.

The amortization on the Byers Road Improvement State Infrastructure Bank Loan is as follows:

	4- State Infrastructure Bank Loan		
Fiscal Year			
Ending December 31	Principal	Interest	Total
2019	\$327,448	\$38,594	\$366,042
2020	337,345	28,697	366,042
2021	347,541	18,501	366,042
Totals	\$1,012,334	\$85,792	\$1,098,126

5 - Special Obligation Bonds - On February 4, 2015, the District issued \$5,535,000 in special obligation bonds that were tax exempt and \$6,110,000 in special obligation bonds that were taxable for the purpose of the constructing the additional infrastructure referred as to Austin Landings Phase 2. The bonds were issued for a twenty year period with a final maturity of December 1, 2034. The bonds will be retired from the TIF revenues pledged by Miami Township from the development area and pay interest at rates ranging from 0.55% to 4%.

The District had pledged all intergovernmental revenues from Township's tax increment financing revenues to repay the \$11.645 million special obligation bonds. The bonds are solely payable from revenues assigned from Township to the District as part of the funding agreement between the parties. Total principal and interest remaining on the bonds is \$12,967,815 through December 2034. The District received \$810,650 in revenue during 2018 related to the payments. The bonds will be retired from the Austin Centre Interchange fund.

NOTE 7 – LONG TERM LIABILITIES (Continued)

	5 – Special Obligation Bonds		
Fiscal Year			
Ending December 31	Principal	Interest	Total
2019	\$495,000	\$315,950	\$810,950
2020	510,000	305,412	815,412
2021	520,000	294,562	814,562
2022	525,000	282,138	807,138
2023	535,000	269,574	804,574
2024-2028	2,955,000	1,090,394	4,045,394
2029-2033	3,520,000	522,476	4,042,476
2034	785,000	42,309	827,309
Totals	\$9,845,000	\$3,122,815	\$12,967,815

The amortization on the Austin Landings special obligations bonds were as follows:

6 - State Infrastructure Bank Loan – In May 2017, the District closed on a State Infrastructure Loan for construction of the Market Street Extension in the City of Brookville. The loan was issued for a twenty year period with a final maturity of June 1, 2038. The loan will be retired from the TIF revenues pledged by the City of Brookville from the development area and pay interest at 3% with the first twelve months being interest free and the next year's interest of \$55,320 accrued and paid over the remaining eighteen years. Total principal and interest remaining on the bonds is \$2,717,169 through June 2037. There were no payments required during 2018 related to the payments. The bonds will be retired from the Brookville fund.

The amortization on the Market Street State Infrastructure Bank Loan is as follows:

	6- State Infrastructure Bank Loan		
Fiscal Year			
Ending December 31	Principal	Interest	Total
2019	\$41,797	\$31,640	\$73,437
2020	85,484	61,390	146,874
2021	88,068	58,806	146,874
2022	90,730	56,144	146,874
2023	93,473	53,401	146,874
2024-2028	511,489	222,881	734,370
2029-2033	593,602	140,768	734,370
2034-2037	471,357	116,139	587,496
Totals	\$1,976,000	\$741,169	\$2,717,169

NOTE 7 – LONG TERM LIABILITIES (Continued)

7 – State Infrastructure Bank Loan – In September 2017, the District closed on a State Infrastructure Loan for Austin Road Enhancements for aesthetic improvements along the interchange ramps at Austin Road and Interstate 75. The loan was issued for a ten year period with a final maturity of September 22, 2027. The loan will be retired from the JEDD revenues pledged by the Austin Joint Economic Development District's income tax revenue and pay interest at 3% with the first twelve months being interest free. Total principal and interest remaining on the bonds is \$808,211 through September 2027. There were no payments required during 2018 related to the payments. The bonds will be retired from the Austin Interchange fund.

The amortization on the Austin Enhancements State Infrastructure Bank Loan is as follows:

	7- State Infrastructure Bank Loan		
Fiscal Year			
Ending December 31	Principal	Interest	Total
2019	\$67,336	\$20,019	\$87,355
2020	71,540	18,567	90,107
2021	73,702	16,405	90,107
2022	75,930	14,177	90,107
2023	78,224	11,883	90,107
2024-2027	337,268	23,160	360,428
Totals	\$704,000	\$104,211	\$808,211

NOTE 8 - CONTINGENCIES

The District is subject to claims and lawsuits that arise primarily in the ordinary course of business. It is the opinion of management that the disposition or ultimate resolution of such claims and lawsuits will not have a material adverse effect on the financial position or changes in net position of the District.

NOTE 9 – JOINTLY GOVERNED ORGANIZATIONS

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

The Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission (MVRPC), a jointly governed organization, was established to provide coordinated planning services to the appropriate federal, state and local governments, their political subdivisions, agencies, departments, instrumentalities, and special districts, in connection with the preparation and development of comprehensive and continuing regional transportation and development plans within the MVRPC Region. MVRPC members include Montgomery, Darke, Greene, Miami, Clark, Warren and Preble Counties.

NOTE 9 – JOINTLY GOVERNED ORGANIZATIONS (Continued)

MVRPC contracts periodically for local funds and other support with the governing board of each of the governments who are members of MVRPC or with such other persons as may be appropriate to provide such funds and support. The support is based on the population of the area represented. A Board of Trustees was created for conducting the activities of the MVRPC. This Board consists of one elected official of each City and municipal corporation, one individual selected by each City planning agency or commission and one person selected by each planning agency or commission of each municipal corporation located in each member City. This Board of Trustees then selects not more than ten residents of the MVRPC Region. The total membership of the Board of Trustees shall not exceed 100. Any member of MVRPC may withdraw its membership upon written notice to MVRPC be effective two years after receipt of the notice by MVRPC. The District paid \$1,000 to MVRPC during 2018 for membership.

To obtain financial information, write to Gary Bellotti, Controller. To obtain financials statements of the Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission, write to MVRPC at 10 North Ludlow, Suite 700, Dayton, Ohio 45402.

NOTE 10 – DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN

A. <u>Net Pension Liability</u>

The net pension liability reported on the statement of net position represents a liability to employees for pensions. Pensions are a component of exchange transactions--between an employer and its employees--of salaries and benefits for employee services. Pensions are provided to an employee---on a deferred-payment basis---as part of the total compensation package offered by an employer for employee services each financial period. The obligation to sacrifice resources for pensions is a present obligation because it was created as a result of employment exchanges that already have occurred.

The net pension liability represents District's proportionate share of the pension plan's collective actuarial present value of projected benefit payments attributable to past periods of service, net of the pension plan's fiduciary net position. The net pension liability calculation is dependent on critical long-term variables, including estimated average life expectancies, earnings on investments, cost of living adjustments and others. While these estimates use the best information available, unknowable future events require adjusting this estimate annually.

The Ohio Revised Code limits District's obligation for this liability to annually required payments. The District cannot control benefit terms or the manner in which pensions are financed; however, District does receive the benefit of employees' services in exchange for compensation including pension.

NOTE 10 - PENSION PLANS (Continued)

GASB 68 assumes the liability is solely the obligation of the employer, because (1) they benefit from employee services; and (2) State statute requires all funding to come from these employers. All contributions to date have come solely from these employers (which also includes costs paid in the form of withholdings from employees). State statute requires the pension plans to amortize unfunded liabilities within 30 years. If the amortization period exceeds 30 years, each pension plan's board must propose corrective action to the State legislature. Any resulting legislative change to benefits or funding could significantly affect the net pension liability. Resulting adjustments to the net pension liability would be effective when the changes are legally enforceable.

The proportionate share of the plan's unfunded benefits is presented as a long-term *net pension liability* on the accrual basis of accounting. Any liability for the contractually-required pension contribution outstanding at the end of the year is included in *accounts payable* on both the accrual basis and modified accrual bases of accounting.

Plan Description – The District employees participate in the Ohio Public Employees Retirement System (OPERS). OPERS administers three separate pension plans. The traditional pension plan is a cost-sharing, multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan. The member-directed plan is a defined contribution plan and the combined plan is a cost-sharing, multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan with defined contribution features. While members (District employees) may elect the member-directed plan and the combined plan, substantially all employee members are in OPERS' traditional plan; therefore, the following disclosure focuses on the traditional pension plan.

OPERS provides retirement, disability, survivor and death benefits, and annual cost of living adjustments to members of the traditional plan. Authority to establish and amend benefits is provided by Chapter 145 of the Ohio Revised Code. OPERS issues a stand-alone financial report that includes financial statements, required supplementary information and detailed information OPERS' about fiduciary net position that mav be obtained bv visiting https://www.opers.org/financial/reports.shtml, by writing to the Ohio Public Employees Retirement System, 277 East Town Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215-4642, or by calling 800-222-7377.

Senate Bill (SB) 343 was enacted into law with an effective date of January 7, 2013. In the legislation, members were categorized into three groups with varying provisions of the law applicable to each group. The following table provides age and service requirements for retirement and the retirement formula applied to final average salary (FAS) for the three member groups under the traditional plan as per the reduced benefits adopted by SB 343 (see OPERS CAFR referenced above for additional information):

NOTE 10 - PENSION PLANS (Continued)

Group A	Group B	Group C
Eligible to retire prior to	20 years of srevice credit prior to	Members not in other Groups
January 7, 2013 or five years	January 7, 2013 or eligible to retire	and members hired on or after
after January 7, 2013	tens years after January 7, 2013	January 7, 2013
Age and Service Requirements:	Age and Service Requirements:	Age and Service Requirements:
Age 60 with 60 months of service credit;	Age 60 with 60 months of service credit;	Age 62 with 5 years of service credit; or
or Age 55 with 25 years of service credit	or Age 55 with 25 years of service credit	Age 57 with 25 years of service credit
Formula:	Formula:	Formula:

2.2% of FAS multiplied by years of service for the first 30 years and 2.5% for service years in excess of 30 2.2% of FAS multiplied by years of service for the first 30 years and 2.5% for service years in excess of 30 2.2% of FAS multiplied by years of service for the first 35 years and 2.5% for service years in excess of 35

Final average Salary (FAS) represents the average of the three highest years of earnings over a member's career for Groups A and B. Group C is based on the average of the five highest years of earnings over a member's career.

Members who retire before meeting the age and years of service credit requirement for unreduced benefits receive a percentage reduction in the benefit amount.

When a benefit recipient has received benefits for 12 months, an annual cost of living adjustment (COLA) is provided. This COLA is calculated on the base retirement benefit at the date of retirement and is not compounded. For those retiring prior to January 7, 2013, the COLA will continue to be a 3 percent simple annual COLA. For those retiring subsequent to January 7, 2013, beginning in calendar year 2019, the COLA will be based on the average percentage increase in the Consumer Price Index, capped at 3 percent.

Funding Policy - The Ohio Revised Code (ORC) provides statutory authority for member and employer contributions as follows:

State
and Local
14.0 %
10.0 %
14.0 %
0.0
14.0 %
10.0 %

* This rate is determined by OPERS' Board and has no maximum rate established by ORC.

NOTE 10 - PENSION PLANS (Continued)

Employer contribution rates are actuarially determined and are expressed as a percentage of covered payroll. The District's contractually required contribution was \$44,274 for the year ending December 31, 2018. Of this amount, \$5,352 is reported as a liability within the general fund.

Pension Liabilities, Pension Expense, and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

The net pension liability was measured as of December 31, 2017, and the total pension liability used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of that date. The District's proportion of the net pension liability was based on District's share of contributions to the pension plan relative to the contributions of all participating entities. Following is information related to the proportionate share and pension expense:

	OPERS
Proportion of the Net Pension Liability - prior measurement date	0.002172%
Proportion of the Net Pension Liability - current measurement date Change in proportionate share	0.002649% 0.000477%
Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability Net Pension Expense	\$415,578 \$ 102,811

NOTE 10 – PENSION PLANS (Continued)

At December 31, 2018 the District reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following source:

	OPERS
Deferred Outflows of Resources	
Differences between expected and	
actual experience	\$425
Changes in proportionate share	56,549
Changes in assumptions	49,664
District contributions subsequent to the	
measurement date	44,274
Total Deferred Outflows of Resources	\$150,912
Deferred Inflows of Resources	
Differences between expected and	
actual experience	\$8,189
Net difference between projected and	
actual earnings on pension plan investments	89,818
Total Deferred Inflows of Resources	\$98,007

\$44,274 reported as deferred outflows of resources related to pension resulting from the District contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the year ending December 31, 2019. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pension will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

	OPERS
Fiscal Year Ending June 30:	
2019	\$68,351
2020	16,229
2021	(39,287)
2022	(36,662)
Total	\$8,631

NOTE 10 - PENSION PLANS (Continued)

Actuarial Methods and Assumptions - OPERS

Actuarial valuations of an ongoing plan involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of occurrence of events far into the future. Examples include assumptions about future employment, mortality, and cost trends. Actuarially determined amounts are subject to continual review or modification as actual results are compared with past expectations and new estimates are made about the future.

Projections of benefits for financial reporting purposes are based on the substantive plan (the plan as understood by the employers and plan members) and include the types of benefits provided at the time of each valuation. The total pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of December 31, 2017 using the following actuarial assumptions applied to all prior periods included in the measurement in accordance with the requirements of GASB 67. Key methods and assumptions used in the latest actuarial valuation, reflecting experience study results, prepared as of December 31, 2017 are presented as follows:

Wage Inflation	3.25 percent
Projected Salary Increases	3.25 percent to 10.75 percent (Includes wage inflation 3.25%)
COLA or Ad Hoc COLA	Pre 1/7/2013 Retirees: 3 percent Simple
	Post 1/7/13 Retirees: 3% simple through 2018, then 2.15% simple
Investment Rate of Return	7.50 percent
Actuarial Cost Method	Individual Entry Age

Pre-retirement mortality rates are based on the RP-2014 Employees mortality table for males and females, adjusted for mortality improvement back to the observation period base year of 2006. The base year for males and females was then established to be 2015 and 2010, respectively. Post-retirement mortality rates are based on the RP-2014 Healthy Annuitant mortality table for males and females, adjusted for mortality improvement back to the observation period base year of 2006. The base year for males and females was then established to be 2015 and 2010, respectively. Post-retirement mortality rates are for males and females was then established to be 2015 and 2010, respectively. Post-retirement mortality rates for disabled retirees are based on the RP-2014 Disabled mortality table for males and females, adjusted for mortality improvement back to the observation period base year of 2006. The base year for males and females, adjusted for mortality improvement back to the observation period base year of 2006. The base year of 2006. The base year of 2006. The base year for males and females, adjusted for mortality improvement back to the observation period base year of 2006. The base year for males and females was then established to be 2015 and 2010, respectively. Mortality rates for a particular calendar year are determined by applying the MP-2015 mortality improvement scale to all of the above described tables.

The most recent experience study was completed for the five-year period ended December 31, 2015.

NOTE 10 – PENSION PLANS (Continued)

Discount Rate

The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 7.5% for the Traditional Pension Plan, Combined Plan and Member-Directed Plan. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that contributions from plan members and those of the contributing employers are made at the contractually required rates, as actuarially determined. Based on those assumptions, the pension plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments for the Traditional Pension Plan, Combined Plan and Member-Directed Plan was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability.

Sensitivity of the District's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following table presents the District's proportionate share of the net pension liability calculated using the current period discount rate assumption of 7.5 percent, as well as what the District's proportionate share of the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is one-percentage-point lower (6.5 percent) or one-percentage-point higher (8.5 percent) than the current rate:

	Current		
	1% Decrease	Discount Rate	1% Increase
	(6.50%)	(7.50%)	(8.50%)
District's proportionate share			
of the net pension liability	\$737,962	\$415,578	\$146,808

The allocation of investment assets with the Defined Benefit portfolio is approved by the Board of Trustees as outlined in the annual investment plan. Plan assets are managed on a total return basis with a long-term objective of achieving and maintaining a fully funded status for the benefits provided through the defined benefit pension plans. The table below displays the Board-approved asset allocation policy for 2017 and the long-term expected real rates of return:

NOTE 10 – PENSION PLANS (Continued)

Asset Class	Target Allocation	Weighted Average Long-Term Expected Real Rate of Return
Fixed Income	23.00 %	2.20 %
Domestic Equities	19.00	6.37
Real Estate	10.00	5.26
Private Equity	10.00	8.97
International Equities	20.00	7.88
Other Investments	18.00	5.26
Total	100.00 %	

The long-term expected rate of return on defined benefit investment assets was determined using a building-block method in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce the long-term expected real rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage, adjusted for inflation.

During 2017, OPERS managed investments in three investment portfolios: the Defined Benefit portfolio, the Health Care portfolio, and the Defined Contribution portfolio. The Defined Benefit portfolio contains the investment assets for the Traditional Pension Plan, the defined benefit component of the Combined Plan and the annuitized accounts of the Member-Directed Plan. Within the Defined Benefit portfolio, contributions into the plans are all recorded at the same time, and benefit payments all occur on the first of the month. Accordingly, the money-weighted rate of return is considered to be the same for all plans within the portfolio. The annual money-weighted rate of return expressing investment performance, net of investment expenses and adjusted for the changing amounts actually invested, for the Defined Benefit portfolio was 16.82% for 2017.

NOTE 11 – DEFINED BENEFIT OPEB PLANS

Net OPEB Liability

For 2018, Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 75, "Accounting and Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions" was effective. This GASB pronouncement had no effect on beginning net position as reported January 1, 2018, as the net OPEB liability is not reported in the accompanying financial statements. The net OPEB liability has been disclosed below.

OPEB is a component of exchange transactions--between an employer and its employees—of salaries and benefits for employee services. OPEB are provided to an employee—on a deferred-payment basis—as part of the total compensation package offered by an employer for employee services each financial period.

NOTE 11 – DEFINED BENEFIT OPEB PLANS (Continued)

The net OPEB liability represents the District's proportionate share of each OPEB plan's collective actuarial present value of projected benefit payments attributable to past periods of service, net of each OPEB plan's fiduciary net position. The net OPEB liability calculation is dependent on critical long-term variables, including estimated average life expectancies, earnings on investments, cost of living adjustments and others. While these estimates use the best information available, unknowable future events require adjusting these estimates annually.

The Ohio Revised Code limits the District's obligation for this liability to annually required payments. The District cannot control benefit terms or the manner in which OPEB are financed; however, the District does receive the benefit of employees' services in exchange for compensation including OPEB.

GASB 75 assumes the liability is solely the obligation of the employer, because they benefit from employee services. OPEB contributions come from these employers and health care plan enrollees which pay a portion of the health care costs in the form of a monthly premium. The Ohio revised Code permits, but does not require the retirement systems to provide healthcare to eligible benefit recipients. Any change to benefits or funding could significantly affect the net OPEB liability. Resulting adjustments to the net OPEB liability would be effective when the changes are legally enforceable. The retirement systems may allocate a portion of the employer contributions to provide for these OPEB benefits.

The net OPEB liability is disclosed as a commitment and not reported on the face of the financial statements as a liability because of the use of the modified cash basis framework.

Plan Description – Ohio Public Employees Retirement System (OPERS)

Plan Description - The Ohio Public Employees Retirement System (OPERS) administers three separate pension plans: the traditional pension plan, a cost-sharing, multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan; the member-directed plan, a defined contribution plan; and the combined plan, a cost-sharing, multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan that has elements of both a defined benefit and defined contribution plan.

OPERS maintains a cost-sharing, multiple-employer defined benefit post-employment health care trust, which funds multiple health care plans including medical coverage, prescription drug coverage and deposits to a Health Reimbursement Arrangement to qualifying benefit recipients of both the traditional pension and the combined plans. This trust is also used to fund health care for member-directed plan participants, in the form of a Retiree Medical Account (RMA). At retirement or refund, member directed plan participants may be eligible for reimbursement of qualified medical expenses from their vested RMA balance.

In order to qualify for postemployment health care coverage, age and service retirees under the traditional pension and combined plans must have twenty or more years of qualifying Ohio service credit. Health care coverage for disability benefit recipients and qualified survivor benefit recipients is available. The health care coverage provided by OPERS meets the definition of an Other Post Employment Benefit (OPEB) as described in GASB Statement 75. See OPERS' CAFR referenced below for additional information.

NOTE 11 -DEFINED BENEFIT OPEB PLANS (Continued)

The Ohio Revised Code permits, but does not require OPERS to provide health care to its eligible benefit recipients. Authority to establish and amend health care coverage is provided to the Board in Chapter 145 of the Ohio Revised Code.

Disclosures for the health care plan are presented separately in the OPERS financial report. Interested parties may obtain a copy by visiting <u>https://www.opers.org/financial/reports.shtml</u>, by writing to OPERS, 277 East Town Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215-4642, or by calling (614) 222-5601 or 800-222-7377.

Funding Policy - The Ohio Revised Code provides the statutory authority requiring public employers to fund postemployment health care through their contributions to OPERS. When funding is approved by OPERS' Board of Trustees, a portion of each employer's contribution to OPERS is set aside to fund OPERS health care plans. Beginning in 2018, health care is not being funded.

Employer contribution rates are expressed as a percentage of the earnable salary of active members. In 2018, state and local employers contributed at a rate of 14.0 percent of earnable salary. These are the maximum employer contribution rates permitted by the Ohio Revised Code. Active member contributions do not fund health care.

Each year, the OPERS Board determines the portion of the employer contribution rate that will be set aside to fund health care plans. The portion of employer contributions allocated to health care for members in the Traditional Pension Plan and Combined Plan was 1.0 percent during calendar year 2017. As recommended by OPERS' actuary, the portion of employer contributions allocated to health care beginning January 1, 2018 decreased to 0 percent for both plans. The OPERS Board is also authorized to establish rules for the retiree or their surviving beneficiaries to pay a portion of the health care provided. Payment amounts vary depending on the number of covered dependents and the coverage selected. The employer contribution as a percentage of covered payroll deposited into the RMA for participants in the Member-Directed Plan for 2017 was 4.0 percent.

Employer contribution rates are actuarially determined and are expressed as a percentage of covered payroll. The District's contractually required contribution was \$0 for 2018.

Net OPEB Liability

The net OPEB liability and total OPEB liability for OPERS were determined by an actuarial valuation as of December 31, 2016, rolled forward to the measurement date of December 31, 2017, by incorporating the expected value of health care cost accruals, the actual health care payment, and interest accruals during the year. The District's proportion of the net OPEB liability was based on the District's share of contributions to the retirement plan relative to the contributions of all participating entities. Following is information related to the proportionate share:

NOTE 11 – DEFINED BENEFIT OPEB PLANS (Continued)

	OPERS
Proportion of the Net OPEB Liability:	
Current Measurement Date	0.001971%
Prior Measurement Date	0.002470%
Change in Proportionate Share	-0.0004987%
Proportionate Share of the Net OPEB Liability	\$268,224

At December 31, 2018, the District reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to OPEB from the following sources:

	OPERS
Deferred Outflows of Resources	
Differences between expected and	
actual experience	\$209
Changes of assumptions	19,530
Changes in proportionate Share	34,080
Total Deferred Outflows of Resources	\$53,819
Deferred Inflows of Resources	
Net difference between projected and	
actual earnings on OPEB plan investments	19,981

Amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to OPEB will be recognized in OPEB expense as follows:

	OPERS
Fiscal Year Ending June 30:	
2019	(\$20,736)
2020	(20,736)
2021	2,638
2022	4,996
Total	(\$33,838)

NOTE 11 -DEFINED BENEFIT OPEB PLANS (Continued)

Actuarial Assumptions - OPERS

Actuarial valuations of an ongoing plan involve estimates of the values of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of occurrence of events far into the future. Examples include assumptions about future employment, mortality, and cost trends. Actuarially determined amounts are subject to continual review or modification as actual results are compared with past expectations and new estimates are made about the future.

Projections of benefits for financial reporting purposes are based on the substantive plan and include the types of coverage provided at the time of each valuation and the historical pattern of sharing of costs between OPERS and plan members. The total OPEB liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of December 31, 2016, rolled forward to the measurement date of December 31, 2017. The actuarial valuation used the following actuarial assumptions applied to all prior periods included in the measurement in accordance with the requirements of GASB 74:

Wage Inflation	3.25 percent
Projected Salary Increases,	3.25 to 10.75 percent
including inflation	including wage inflation
Single Discount Rate:	
Current measurement date	3.85 percent
Prior Measurement date	4.23 percent
Investment Rate of Return	6.50 percent
Municipal Bond Rate	3.31 percent
Health Care Cost Trend Rate	7.5 percent, initial
	3.25 percent, ultimate in 2028
Actuarial Cost Method	Individual Entry Age

Pre-retirement mortality rates are based on the RP-2014 Employees mortality table for males and females, adjusted for mortality improvement back to the observation period base year of 2006. The base year for males and females was then established to be 2015 and 2010, respectively. Post-retirement mortality rates are based on the RP-2014 Healthy Annuitant mortality table for males and females, adjusted for mortality improvement back to the observation period base year of 2006. The base year for males and females was then established to be 2015 and 2010, respectively. Post-retirement mortality rates are females was then established to be 2015 and 2010, respectively. Post-retirement mortality rates for disabled retirees are based on the RP-2014 Disabled mortality table for males and females, adjusted for mortality improvement back to the observation period base year of 2006. The base year are based on the RP-2014 Disabled mortality table for males and females, adjusted for mortality improvement back to the observation period base year of 2006. The base year for males and females was then established to be 2015 and 2010, respectively. Mortality rates for a particular calendar year are determined by applying the MP-2015 mortality improvement scale to all of the above described tables.

The most recent experience study was completed for the five year period ended December 31, 2015.

NOTE 11 -DEFINED BENEFIT OPEB PLANS (Continued)

The long-term expected rate of return on health care investment assets was determined using a building-block method in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce the long-term expected real rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage, adjusted for inflation.

During 2017, OPERS managed investments in three investment portfolios: the Defined Benefit portfolio, the Health Care portfolio and the Defined Contribution portfolio. The Health Care portfolio includes the assets for health care expenses for the Traditional Pension Plan, Combined Plan and Member-Directed Plan eligible members. Within the Health Care portfolio, contributions into the plans are assumed to be received continuously throughout the year based on the actual payroll payable at the time contributions are made, and health care-related payments are assumed to occur mid-year. Accordingly, the money-weighted rate of return is considered to be the same for all plans within the portfolio. The annual money-weighted rate of return expressing investment performance, net of investment expenses and adjusted for the changing amounts actually invested, for the Health Care portfolio is 15.2 percent for 2017.

The allocation of investment assets with the Health Care portfolio is approved by the Board of Trustees as outlined in the annual investment plan. Assets are managed on a total return basis with a long-term objective of continuing to offer a sustainable health care program for current and future retirees. OPERS' primary goal is to achieve and maintain a fully funded status for the benefits provided through the defined pension plans. Health care is a discretionary benefit. The table below displays the Board-approved asset allocation policy for 2017 and the long-term expected real rates of return:

		Weighted Average
		Long-Term Expected
	Target	Real Rate of Return
Asset Class	Allocation	(Arithmetic)
Fixed Income	34.00 %	1.88 %
Domestic Equities	21.00	6.37
Real Estate Investment Trust	6.00	5.91
International Equities	22.00	7.88
Other investments	17.00	5.39
Total	100.00 %	4.98 %

NOTE 11 -DEFINED BENEFIT OPEB PLANS (Continued)

Discount Rate A single discount rate of 3.85 percent was used to measure the OPEB liability on the measurement date of December 31, 2017. A single discount rate of 4.23 percent was used to measure the OPEB liability on the measurement date of December 31, 2016. Projected benefit payments are required to be discounted to their actuarial present value using a single discount rate that reflects (1) a long-term expected rate of return on OPEB plan investments (to the extent that the health care fiduciary net position is projected to be sufficient to pay benefits), and (2) tax-exempt municipal bond rate based on an index of 20-year general obligation bonds with an average AA credit rating as of the measurement date (to the extent that the contributions for use with the long-term expected rate are not met). This single discount rate was based on an expected rate of return on the health care investment portfolio of 6.50 percent and a municipal bond rate of 3.31 percent. The projection of cash flows used to determine this single discount rate assumed that employer contributions will be made at rates equal to the actuarially determined contribution rate. Based on these assumptions, the health care fiduciary net position and future contributions were sufficient to finance health care costs through 2034. As a result, the long-term expected rate of return on health care investments was applied to projected costs through the year 2034, and the municipal bond rate was applied to all health care costs after that date.

Sensitivity of the District's Proportionate Share of the Net OPEB Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate The following table presents the District's proportionate share of the net OPEB liability calculated using the single discount rate of 3.85 percent, as well as what the District's proportionate share of the net OPEB liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is one-percentage-point lower (2.85 percent) or one-percentage-point higher (4.85 percent) than the current rate:

	Current						
	1% Decrease	Discount Rate	1% Increase				
	(2.85%)	(3.85%)	(4.85%)				
Districs's proportionate share							
of the net OPEB liability	\$356,347	\$268,224	\$196,933				

Sensitivity of the District's Proportionate Share of the Net OPEB Liability to Changes in the Health Care Cost Trend Rate Changes in the health care cost trend rate may also have a significant impact on the net OPEB liability. The following table presents the net OPEB liability calculated using the assumed trend rates, and the expected net OPEB liability if it were calculated using a health care cost trend rate that is 1.0 percent lower or 1.0 percent higher than the current rate.

Retiree health care valuations use a health care cost-trend assumption that changes over several years built into the assumption. The near-term rates reflect increases in the current cost of health care; the trend starting in 2018 is 7.50 percent. If this trend continues for future years, the projection indicates that years from now virtually all expenditures will be for health care. A more reasonable alternative is that in the not-too-distant future, the health plan cost trend will decrease to a level at, or near, wage inflation. On this basis, the actuaries project premium rate increases will continue to exceed wage inflation for approximately the next decade, but by less each year, until leveling off at an ultimate rate, assumed to be 3.25 percent in the most recent valuation.

NOTE 11 -DEFINED BENEFIT OPEB PLANS (Continued)

	Current Health Care							
	Cost Trend Rate							
	1% Decrease	Assumption	1% Increase					
District's proportionate share								
of the net OPEB liability	\$256,633	\$268,224	\$280,197					

NOTE 12 – CHANGE IN ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES

For fiscal year 2018, the District implemented the following Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statements that no impact on the beginning net position:

GASB Statement No. 85 "Omnibus 2017" to address practice issues that have been identified during implementation and application of certain GASB Statements. This Statement addresses a variety of topics including issues related to blending component units, goodwill, fair value measurement and application, and postemployment benefits (pensions and other postemployment benefits [OPEB]). The implementation of GASB Statement No. 85 did not have an effect on the financial statements of the District.

GASB Statement No. 86 "Certain Debt Extinguishment Issues" to improve consistency in accounting and financial reporting for in-substance defeasance of debt by providing guidance for transactions in which cash and other monetary assets acquired with only existing resources—resources other than the proceeds of refunding debt—are placed in an irrevocable trust for the sole purpose of extinguishing debt. This Statement also improves accounting and financial reporting for prepaid insurance on debt that is extinguished and notes to financial statements for debt that is defeased in substance. The implementation of GASB Statement No. 86 did not have an effect on the financial statements of the District.

GASB Statement No. 75, "Accounting and Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefits Other than Pensions" established standards for measuring and recognizing Postemployment benefit liabilities, deferred outflows of resources, deferred inflows of resources and expense. The implementation of this pronouncement has the following effect on net position as reported December 31, 2017:

Net Position at December 31, 2017	\$35,092,474
Adjustments:	
Deferred Outflows – Net OPEB	3,470
Net OPEB Liability	(199,104)
Restated Net Position at December 31, 2017	\$34,896,840



REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION This page intentionally left blank.

SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE BUDGET AND ACTUAL (NON-GAAP BUDGETARY BASIS) GENERAL FUND FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2018

		Budgeted	Amo	ounts			Fir	riance with nal Budget	
	(Original		Final		Actual	Positive (Negative)		
Revenues:									
Intergovernmental Revenue	\$	300,000	\$	300,000	\$	-	\$	(300,000)	
Charges for Services		300,000		89,600		89,600		-	
Investment Earnings		10,000		12,000		15,659		3,659	
All Other		-		3,000		122,829		119,829	
Total Revenues		610,000		404,600		228,088		(176,512)	
Expenditures: Current: General Government		723,500		718,600		702,258		16,342	
Total Expenditures		723,500		718,600		702,258		16,342	
Net Change in Fund Balance		(113,500)		(314,000)		(474,170)		(160,170)	
Fund Balance Beginning of Year		632,842		632,842		632,842		-	
Fund Balance End of Year	\$	519,342	\$	318,842	\$	158,672	\$	(160,170)	
			B	udget Basis	¢	(474 170)			

	Budget Basis	\$ (474,170)
Rev	enue Accruals	(2,400)
Expen	diture Accruals	(19,076)
	GAAP Basis	\$ (495,646)
See accompanying notes to the required supplementary information	_	

49

This page intentionally left blank

SCHEDULE OF THE DISTRICT'S PROPORTIONATE SHARE OF THE NET PENSION LIABILITY OHIO PUBLIC EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM LAST FIVE FISCAL YEARS (1)

	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
The District's Proportion of the Net Pension Liability	0.002649%	0.002172%	0.002068%	0.001778%	0.001778%
The District's Proportion Share of the Net Pension Liability	415,578	493,225	358,204	214,413	209,570
The District's Covered Payroll	339,577	273,725	254,625	209,200	211,277
The District's Proportion Share of the Net Pension Liability as a Percentage of its Covered Payroll	122.38%	180.19%	140.68%	102.49%	99.19%
Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total Pension Liability	84.66%	77.25%	81.08%	86.45%	86.36%

(1) Information prior to 2014 is not available

Note: Amounts presented as of the District's measurement date which is the prior period year end.

See accompanying notes to the required supplementary information

SCHEDULE OF DISTRICT'S PENSION CONTRIBUTIONS OHIO PUBLIC EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS

	2018		2017		2016		2015
Contractually Required Contributions	\$	44,274	\$	44,145	\$	32,847	\$ 30,555
Contributions in Relation to the Contractually Required Contribution		(44,274)		(44,145)		(32,847)	 (30,555)
Contribution Deficiency (Excess)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -
The District Covered Payroll	\$	316,243	\$	339,577	\$	273,725	\$ 254,625
Contributions as a Percentage of Covered Payroll	14.00%		13.00%		12.00%		12.00%

See accompanying notes to the required supplementary information

 2014		2013		2012	2011		2010		1	2009	
\$ 25,104	\$	27,466	\$	22,558	\$	25,373	\$	\$ 21,721		19,616	
(25,104)		(27,466) (22,558)		(22,558)				(21,721)	(19,616		
\$ 	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	-	
\$ 209,200	\$	211,277	\$	225,580	\$	281,922	\$	271,513	\$	280,229	
12.00%	-	13.00%		10.00%		9.00%	8.00%			7.00%	

This page intentionally left blank

SCHEDULE OF THE DISTRICT'S PROPORTIONATE SHARE OF THE NET OPEB LIABILITY OHIO PUBLIC EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM LAST TWO FISCAL YEARS (1)

	 2018	2017
The District's Proportion of the Net OPEB Liability	0.002470%	0.001971%
The District's Proportion Share of the Net OPEB Liability	\$ 268,224 \$	199,104
The District's Covered Payroll	339,577	273,725
The District's Proportion Share of the Net OPB Liability as a Percentage of its Covered Payroll	78.99%	72.74%
Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total OPEB Liability	54.14%	54.50%

(1) Information prior to 2017 is not available

Amounts presented as of the District's measurement date which is the prior fiscal year end.

See accompanying notes to the required supplementary information

SCHEDULE OF DISTRICT'S OPEB CONTRIBUTIONS OHIO PUBLIC EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS

	2018		2017	2016		
Contractually Required Contributions	\$	-	\$ 3,396	\$	5,475	
Contributions in Relation to the Contractually Required Contribution			 (3,396)		(5,475)	
Contribution Deficiency (Excess)	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	
The District Covered Payroll	\$	316,243	\$ 339,577	\$	273,725	
Contributions as a Percentage of Covered Payroll	0.00%		1.00%	2.00%		

See accompanying notes to the required supplementary information

 2015	 2014	 2013	 2012	 2011	 2010	 2009
\$ 5,093	\$ 2,092	\$ 2,113	\$ 9,023	\$ 14,096	\$ 17,648	\$ 19,616
 (5,093)	 (2,092)	 (2,113)	 (9,023)	 (14,096)	 (17,648)	 (19,616)
\$ -						
\$ 254,625	\$ 209,200	\$ 211,277	\$ 225,580	\$ 281,922	\$ 271,513	\$ 280,229
2.00%	1.00%	1.00%	4.00%	5.00%	6.50%	7.00%

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, OHIO TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NOTES TO THE REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION DECEMBER 31, 2018

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Budgetary Process

The budgetary process is prescribed by provisions of the Ohio Revised Code and entails the preparation of budgetary documents within an established timetable. Legally, the Ohio Revised Code does not strictly impose a requirement on the District to follow the budgetary process but the District chose to follow these laws by an act within their entity's by-laws. The major documents prepared are the estimated revenues and the appropriation resolution, both of which are prepared on the budgetary basis of accounting.

The estimated revenues and the appropriation resolution are subject to amendment throughout the year with the legal restriction that appropriations cannot exceed estimated revenues, as certified by resolution of the District Board. All funds are required to be budgeted and appropriated. The level of budgetary control is at the fund level for the District. Any budgetary modifications at this level may only be made by resolution of the District Board.

Under the District's By-laws, revenues not specifically related to a particular fund shall be deposited into the District's General Fund. Moneys can only be transferred from the General Fund by resolution of the District Board.

1. Estimated Revenues

As part of the District's budgetary process, the Board approves the estimated revenues as part of the budget resolution. The estimated revenues resolution states the projected revenue of each fund. Prior to December 31, the District must revise its budget so that the total contemplated expenditures from any fund during the ensuing fiscal year will not exceed the amount available as stated in the resolution. The revised budget then serves as the basis for the annual appropriation measure. On or about January 1, the estimated revenues are amended to include any unencumbered balances from the preceding year.

The estimated revenues may be further amended during the year if the Board determines an estimate needs to be either increased or decreased. The amounts reported on the budgetary schedules reflect the amounts in the final budget resolution issued during 2018.

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, OHIO TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NOTES TO THE REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION DECEMBER 31, 2018

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

2. Appropriations

An annual appropriation resolution must be passed by July 15 of the preceding year for the period January 1 to December 31. The appropriation resolution fixes spending authority at the fund level. The appropriation resolution may be amended during the year as new information becomes available, provided that total fund appropriations do not exceed current estimated revenues, as certified. The allocation of appropriations among funds may be modified during the year only by a resolution of the Board. The amounts reported as the original budgeted amounts in the budgetary schedules reflect the appropriations in the first complete appropriated budget. The amounts reported as final budgeted amounts in the schedules of budgetary comparison represent the final appropriation amounts, including all supplemental appropriations.

3. <u>Lapsing of Appropriations</u>

At the close of each fiscal year, the balance of each appropriation reverts to the respective fund from which it was appropriated and becomes subject to future appropriations.

4. Budgetary Basis of Accounting

The District's budgetary process accounts for certain transactions on a basis other than GAAP. The major differences between the budgetary basis and the GAAP basis lie in the manner in which revenues and expenditures are recorded. Under the budgetary basis, revenues and expenditures are recognized on a cash basis. Utilizing the cash basis, revenues are recorded when received in cash and expenditures are recorded when paid. Under the GAAP basis, revenues and expenditures and expenditures are recorded on the modified accrual basis of accounting on the governmental fund statements and on the full accrual basis on the government-wide statements.

NOTE 2 – PENSION PLANS

1. <u>Ohio Public Employees Retirement System Changes in Benefit Terms and Assumptions – Net Pension Liability</u>

There have been no pension plan amendments adopted or changes in assumptions that have impacted the actuarial valuation studies for the pension plan for the measurement date of December 31, 2018.

2. <u>Ohio Public Employees Retirement System Changes in Benefit Terms and Assumptions – Net OPEB Liability</u>

There have been no OPEB plan amendments adopted or changes in assumptions that have impacted the actuarial valuation studies for the health care for the measurement date of December 31, 2018.

SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE BUDGET AND ACTUAL (NON-GAAP BUDGETARY BASIS) AUSTIN CENTER INTERCHANGE FUND FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2018

		I Amounts	Actual	Variance with Final Budget Positive
Bevenuee	Original	Final	Actual	(Negative)
Revenues:	¢ 0.074.700	¢ 4450.000	¢ 4044470	¢ (400.000)
Intergovernmental Revenue	\$ 3,874,738	\$ 4,150,238	\$ 4,041,176	\$ (109,062)
Investment Earnings	-	2,000	2,309	309
All Other	177,033	177,033	178,176	1,143
Total Revenues	4,051,771	4,329,271	4,221,661	(107,610)
Expenditures:				
Current:				<i>(</i>)
General Government	50,000	50,000	145,050	(95,050)
Capital Outlay	984,675	1,716,875	1,645,530	71,345
Intergovernmental	539,486	539,486		539,486
Debt Service:				
Principal Retirement	1,952,842	1,952,842	1,952,842	-
Interest and Fiscal Charges	1,480,429	1,480,429	1,480,429	-
Total Expenditures	5,007,432	5,739,632	5,223,851	515,781
Excess of Revenues Under Expenditures	(955,661)	(1,410,361)	(1,002,190)	408,171
Other Financing Sources:				
Loan Proceeds			131,288	131,288
				,200
Net Change in Fund Balance	(955,661)	(1,410,361)	(870,902)	539,459
Fund Balance - Beginning of Year	3,049,822	3,049,822	3,049,822	-
Fund Balance - End of Year	\$ 2,094,161	\$ 1,639,461	\$ 2,178,920	\$ 539,459

SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE BUDGET AND ACTUAL (NON-GAAP BUDGETARY BASIS) KINGSRIDGE DRIVE PROJECT FUND FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2018

		Budgeted	Amc	ounts		Fina	ance with I Budget ositive
	C	Driginal		Final	Actual	(Ne	gative)
Revenues:							
Intergovernmental Revenue	\$	401,575	\$	401,575	\$ 401,575	\$	-
Total Revenues		401,575		401,575	 401,575		-
Expenditures: Debt Service: Principal Retirement Interest and Fiscal Charges Total Expenditures		240,000 161,575 401,575		240,000 161,575 401,575	 240,000 161,575 401,575		-
Net Change in Fund Balance		-		-	-		-
Fund Balance - Beginning of Year Fund Balance - End of Year	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-

SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE BUDGET AND ACTUAL (NON-GAAP BUDGETARY BASIS) I70/I75 DEVELOPMENT FUND FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2018

	0	I Amounts	Astus	Variance with Final Budget Positive
_	Original	Final	Actual	(Negative)
Revenues: Intergovernmental Revenue	\$ 2,071,286	\$ 2,349,000	\$ 2,455,991	\$ 106,991
Expenditures: Current:				
General Government	1,555,424	1,570,424	1,553,812	16,612
Capital Outlay	205,600	1,097,600	756,641	340,959
Total Expenditures	1,761,024	2,668,024	2,310,453	357,571
Net Change in Fund Balance	310,262	(319,024)	145,538	464,562
Fund Balance Beginning of Year	679,573	679,573	679,573	-
Fund Balance End of Year	\$ 989,835	\$ 360,549	\$ 825,111	\$ 464,562

SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE BUDGET AND ACTUAL (NON-GAAP BUDGETARY BASIS) BROOKVILLE FUND FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2018

	 Budgeted	Amc	ounts		Fina	ance with al Budget ositive
	 Driginal		Final	 Actual		egative)
<u>Revenues:</u> Total Revenues	\$ 	\$		\$ 	\$	
Expenditures: Current:						
Capital Outlay	-		43,617	25,949		17,668
Total Expenditures	 -		43,617	 25,949		17,668
Excess of Revenues (Under) Expenditures	 -		(43,617)	 (25,949)		17,668
Other Financing Sources: Loan Proceeds	 132,000		132,000	 131,995		(5)
Net Change in Fund Balance	132,000		88,383	106,046		17,663
Fund Balance - (Deficit) Beginning of Year Fund Balance - End of Year	\$ (88,383) 43,617	\$	(88,383) -	\$ (88,383) 17,663	\$	- 17,663

SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE BUDGET AND ACTUAL (NON-GAAP BUDGETARY BASIS) FAIRGROUNDS FUND FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2018

	Budgeted	d Amounts		Variance with Final Budget
	Original	Final	Actual	Positive (Negative)
Revenues:	<u> </u>			(10904110)
Intergovernmental Revenue	\$-	\$ 3,150,000	\$ 3,150,000	\$-
Investment Earnings	-	23,000	22,172	(828)
All Other	469,000	469,000	-	(469,000)
Total Revenues	469,000	3,642,000	3,172,172	(469,828)
Expenditures: Current:				
General Government	-	148,500	253,713	(105,213)
Capital Outlay	9,223,801	13,059,300	12,810,324	248,976
Total Expenditures	9,223,801	13,207,800	13,064,037	143,763
Net Change in Fund Balance	(8,754,801)	(9,565,800)	(9,891,865)	(326,065)
Fund Balance - Beginning of Year	10,148,342	10,148,342	10,148,342	-
Fund Balance - End of Year	\$ 1,393,541	\$ 582,542	\$ 256,477	\$ (326,065)

STATISTICAL



SECTION

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, OHIO TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT STATISTICAL SECTION DESCRIPTIONS DECEMBER 31, 2018

This part of the District's report presents detailed information as a context for understanding what the information in the financial statements, note disclosure, and required supplementary information says about the District's overall financial health.

Contents	<u>Pages</u>
Financial Trends These schedules contain trend information to help the reader under how the District's financial performance and situation have changed over time	67-70 e.
Revenue Capacity (The District has no specific revenue source to present))
Debt Capacity This schedule presents information to help the reader assess the affordability of the District's current levels of outstanding debt.	71-75
Demographic and Economic Information This schedule offers demographic and economic indicators to help the reader understand the environment within which the District's financial activities takes place.	76-77
Operating Information These schedules contain operational data to help the reader understand how the information in the District's financial report relates to the services the District provides and the activities it performs.	78-79

This page intentionally left blank

Net Position by Component Last Ten Years (accrual basis of accounting)

	2018		Restated 2017	Restated 2016		2015	Restated 2014
Governmental Activities							
Net Investment in Capital Assets	\$ 47,72	7,887 \$	33,181,136	\$ 24,269,489	\$	18,617,545	\$ 29,627,520
Restricted	1,92	7,897	1,723,280	5,409,116		5,463,443	7,631,387
Unrestricted	72	2,115	(7,576)	 (6,280,591)		(8,306,588)	 (9,942,858)
Total Governmental Activities Net Position	\$ 50,37	7,899 \$	34,896,840	\$ 23,398,014	\$	15,774,400	\$ 27,316,049
	Restate 2013		2012	Restated 2011		2010	2009
				 2011		2010	 2007
Governmental Activities	\$ 14,44	1,402 \$	7,588,734	\$ 22,710,058	\$	18,016,902	\$ 14,015,176
Net Investment in Capital Assets		0	2,722,366	6,274,970		3,663,800	4,045,907
	0.17	5 1 4 9	4,873,477	1,405,203		3,017,539	1,632,684
Restricted	9,17	5,148	4,873,477	 -,,	_		 , ,
1	9,17	5,148	+,075,+77	 			 , ,

Total Governmental Activities Net Position

			9	Change in Net Position Last Ten Years (accrual basis of accounting)	ition : unting)					
	2018	2017	Restated 2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
Program Revenues Governmental Activities: Charges for Services: General Government Capital Grants and Contributions	\$ 87,200 19,890,690	\$ 497,403 15,354,842	\$ 981,737 10,901,057	\$ 3,737 27,059,916	\$ 6,840,733	\$ 10,602,187	\$ 514,000 5,838,388	\$ 50,000 6,232,446	\$ 536,542 5,755,285	\$ 5.204,416
Total Governmental Activities Program Revenues	19,977,890	15,852,245	11,882,794	27,063,653	6,840,733	10,602,187	6,352,388	6,282,446	6,291,827	5,204,416
Expenses Governmental Activities: General Government Transportation Interest and Fiscal Charges	2,996,834 183,522 1,666,839	1,090,590 183,522 1,703,181	2,372,363 183,522 1,903,612	2,869,863 33,733,338 2,256,912	1,350,214 183,522 1,831,432	851,730 600,000 1,801,309	547,657 19,286,659 1,926,686	3,699,726 - 1, <u>653,183</u>	830,600 1,154,737	1,716,604 - 1,134,615
Total Governmental Activities Expenses	4,847,195	2,977,293	4,459,497	38,860,113	3,365,168	3,253,039	21,761,002	5,352,909	1,985,337	2,851,219
Net (Expense)/Revenue Governmental Activities	15,130,695	12,874,952	7,423,297	(11,796,460)	3,475,565	7,349,148	(15,408,614)	929,537	4,306,490	2,353,197
General Revenues and Other Changes in Net Position Governmental Activities: Grants and Entitlements not Restricted to Specific Programs Investment Earnings Other	on 0 40,140 310,224	0 16,882 202,626	0 1,379 198,938	0 853 253,958	0 4,847 403,553	0 13,385 239,237	0 14,346 188, <u>614</u>	6,289,354 10,979 298,960	500,000 13,384 184,600	608,272 50,944 150,766
Total Governmental Activities	350,364	219,508	200,317	254,811	408,400	252,622	202,960	6,599,293	697,984	809,982
Change in Net Position Governmental Activities	\$ 15,481,059	\$ 13,094,460	\$ 7,623,614	\$ (11,541,649)	\$ 3,883,965	\$ 7,601,770	\$ (15,205,654)	\$ 7,528,830	\$ 5,004,474	\$ 3,163,179

١

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, OHIO TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT

(modified accrual basis of accounting) Fund Balances, Governmental Funds Last Ten Years

	2018	2017	2016 (1)	2015 (1)	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
General Fund Assigned Unassigned* Unreserved	\$ - 114,186	\$ 113,500 496,332	\$ 525,525 -	\$ (336,092)		\$ 617,322	\$ 1,050,843	\$ 1,064,264	\$ - - 1,498,964	\$ - - 1,137,496
Total General Fund	114,186	609,832	525,525	(336,092)	97,087	617,322	1,050,843	1,064,264	1,498,964	1,137,496
All Other Governmental Funds Restricted for Capital Purposes Reserved	1,945,560	1,795,136 -	1,223,227 -	1,656,185 -	7,210,785	473,624 -	1,698,425 -	6,839,443 -	- 3,121,323	- 3,397,812
Unassigned, Keported in: Capital Projects Funds (Deficit)	1	(1,624,171)	(807,016)	(1,526,140)	(5,788,495)	(3,786,755)	(72,627)	1	5,498,405	(15,657,249)
Total All Other Governmental Funds	1,945,560	170,965	416,211	130,045	1,422,290	(3,313,131)	1,625,798	6,839,443	8,619,728	(12,259,437)
Total Governmental Funds	\$ 2,059,746	<u>\$ 2,059,746 </u>	\$ 941,736	\$ (206,047)	<u>\$ (206,047)</u> <u>\$ 1,519,377</u> <u>\$ (2,695,809)</u>	\$ (2,695,809)	\$ 2,676,641	\$ 7,903,707 \$ 10,118,692		\$(11,121,941)
* The District reported governmental fund balances starting in 2011 under GASB 54. (1) Restated	fund balances sta	tting in 2011 unde	r GASB 54.							

Changes in Fund Balances, Governmental Funds Last Ten Years (modified accrual basis of accounting)

	2018	2017	Restated 2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
Revenues Intergovernmental	\$ 20,368,280	\$ 15,246,214	\$ 10,920,312	\$ 7,703,074	\$ 9,204,896	\$ 10,706,079	\$ 1,924,662	\$ 11,857,861	\$ 5,842,356	\$ 5,832,602
Charges for Services Investment Earnings Other	87,200 448,349 301,005	497,403 383,086 187,407	981,737 322,270 183,719	3,737 279,121 238,739	- 328,005 391,550	- 185,290 447,034	514,000 140,607 616,930	50,000 10,979 284,127	536,542 13,384 184,600	- 50,944 150,766
Total Revenues	21,204,834	16,314,110	12,408,038	8,224,671	9,924,451	11,338,403	3,196,199	12,202,967	6,576,882	6,034,312
Expenditures Current: General Government Capital Outlay	2,685,741 13,668,581	2,446,378 12,605,966	2,128,976 5,200,550	2,461,564 3,575,579	816,423 13,039,331	724,401 13,069,936	544,687 5,033,046	3,323,245 11,230,728	823,214 12,216,465	490,227 21,790,747
Den service: Principal Issuance Costs Interest	2,192,842 - 1,642,004	2,123,517 - 1,709,905	2,049,465 - 1,881,264	13,335,680 - 2,229,984	6,082,152 - 1,836,359	1,145,000 - 1,801,835	1,025,000 - 1,926,455	805,000 - 1, <u>559,969</u>	5,000 1,016,073 1,560,787	5,000 214,035 897,212
Total Expenditures	20,189,168	18,885,766	11,260,255	21,602,807	21,774,265	16,741,172	8,529,188	16,918,942	15,621,539	23,397,221
Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures	1,015,666	(2,571,656)	1,147,783	(13,378,136)	(11,849,814)	(5,402,769)	(5,332,989)	(4,715,975)	(9,044,657)	(17,362,909)
Other Financing Sources (Uses) Sale of Assets Face Value from Sale of Bonds/ SIB Loans Face Value from Sale of Long Term Notes Premium/(Discount) on Sale of Bonds Inception of Capital Leases Transfers In Transfers Out	263,283	2,410,717		11,645,000 7,712 -	- 11,435,000 - 4,630,000	30,319 50,000 (<u>50,000</u>)	95,923 10,000 - -	2,500,990	409,135 29,535,000 - - -	- - 30,401 (30,401)
Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)	263,283	2,410,717	•	11,652,712	16,065,000	30,319	105,923	2,500,990	30,285,290	-
Net Change in Fund Balances	\$ 1,278,949	\$ (160,939)	\$ 1,147,783	\$ (1,725,424)	\$ 4,215,186	\$ (5,372,450)	\$ (5,227,066)	\$ (2,214,985)	\$ 21,240,633	\$ (17,362,909)
Debt Service as a Percentage of Noncapital Expenditures	57.3%	61.2%	62.6%	84.4%	83.8%	%0 [.] 77	84.3%	39.0%	45.9%	31.8%

Revenue Bond Coverage - Kingsridge Drive Project Special Obligation Bonds Last Ten Fiscal Years

Year	Re	Gross venue (1)	 bt Service uirement (2)	Coverage
2009		-	\$ 278,229	NA
2010	\$	114,969	224,937	51.11%
2011		419,800	419,800	100.00%
2012		412,800	412,800	100.00%
2013		405,800	405,800	100.00%
2014		398,300	398,300	100.00%
2015		410,300	410,300	100.00%
2016		406,500	406,500	100.00%
2017		402,500	402,500	100.00%
2018		401,575	401,575	100.00%
Total	\$	3,372,544	\$ 3,760,741	89.68%

Source: District's records

- (1) The District receives intergovernmental revenue from Miami Township, Montgomery County.
- (2) The 2009-2010 debt service payments were funded through capitalized interest issued in the bond amount.

Revenue Bond Coverage - Austin Center Interchange Project Special Obligation Bonds Last Nine Years

Year	Gross Revenue (1)	Debt Service Requirement	Coverage
2010	281,270	281,270	100.00%
2011	-	1,281,835	0.00%
2012	-	1,412,935	0.00%
2013	-	1,411,235	0.00%
2014	-	1,411,360	0.00%
2015	494,035	1,415,860	34.89%
2016	1,413,610	1,413,610	100.00%
2017	1,414,860	1,414,860	100.00%
2018	1,413,560	1,413,560	100.00%
Total	\$ 5,017,335	\$ 11,456,525	43.79%

Source: District's records

(1) The District receives intergovernmental revenue from Miami Township, Montgomery County and the Cities of Miamisburg and Springboro.

Note: The District received \$6,289,354 in federal earmarks during 2011 that are used to pay debt service for 2011 through 2015 (partial).

Revenue Bond Coverage - Austin Landing Project Phase 1 Special Obligation Bonds Last Nine Years

Year	Gross Revenue (1)	Federal Interest Subsidy (2)	Debt Service Requirement (3)	Coverage
2010	\$ -	\$-	\$ 356,528	NA
2011	287,532	222,635	663,334	76.91%
2012	517,504	222,630	740,134	100.00%
2013	639,803	204,931	844,734	100.00%
2014	642,127	202,107	844,234	100.00%
2015	644,361	197,273	841,634	100.00%
2016	650,660	191,803	842,574	99.99%
2017	649,017	185,062	841,019	99.17%
2018	664,827	178,176	843,019	100.00%
Total	\$ 4,695,831	\$ 1,604,617	\$ 6,817,210	92.42%

Source: District's records

(1) The District receives intergovernmental revenue from Miami Township, Montgomery County.

- (2) The District issued these bonds under the American Recovery Zone Act and receives a portion of the interest payments back as a credit from the IRS.
- (3) The 2010-2011 debt service payments were funded through capitalized interest issued in the bond amount. The 2016 and 2017 shortfall was covered by the District.

Revenue Bond Coverage - Austin Landing Project Phase 2 Special Obligation Bonds Last Four Fiscal Years

Year	Re	Gross evenue (1)	 bt Service quirement	Coverage
2015 2016 2017 2018	\$	653,714 806,050 809,000 810,650	\$ 653,714 806,050 809,000 810,650	100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%

(1) The District receives intergovernmental revenue from Miami Township, Montgomery County.

												Net Debt	as a Percentage	of Personal Income	0.03%	0.18%	0.17%	0.17%	0.16%	0.20%	0.19%	0.18%	0.18%	NA
	Total	4,836,776	34,710,236	33,892,708	32,855,180	31,697,652	41,960,124	40,544,922	38,782,008	36,954,094	35,066,180		Net Debt	Per Capita	9.08	64.86	68.03	66.22	63.93	82.89	79.82	76.09	76.56	70 00
	Long Term Notes	\$ '	·	•	·	·	11,435,000	•	ı	·	ı		All Outstanding Montgomery County	Per Capita (3)	\$ 532,562 \$	535,153	534,941	534,325	535,846	533,116	532,258	531,239	531,542	531 EAD
	Kingsridge	\$ 4,836,776	4,834,081	4,636,386	4,438,691	4,240,996	4,053,301	3,825,606	3,602,911	3,375,216	3,137,521		All Outstanding N	Debt of District	\$ 4,836,776	34,710,236	36,393,698	35,385,399	34,255,648	44,188,962	42,483,080	40,420,701	40,694,987	20 750 E11
Special Obligation Bonds (1)	Austin Landing Phase 2					ı	ı	11,277,326	10,811,940	10,336,554	9,851,168		le (2)	Austin Road Enhancement			ı		ſ		ı		572,712	
	Austin Landing Phase 1	\$ •	9,200,000	9,040,000	8,800,000	8,450,000	8,090,000	7,720,000	7,335,000	6,935,000	6,515,000		State Infrastructure Loan Payable (2)	Market Street Au	69	I	ı					ı	1,838,005	1 076 000
	Austin Interchange	'	20,676,155	20,216,322	19,616,489	19,006,656	18,381,823	17,721,990	17,032,157	16,307,324	15,562,491		Stat	Byers Road M	↔ '	•	2,500,990	2,530,219	2,557,996	2,228,838	1,938,158	1,638,693	1,330,176	1 012 321
	Fiscal Year	2009 \$	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018				2009 \$	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018

Ratio of Special Obligation Bonds per Capita

TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, OHIO

Last Ten Fiscal Years

Source: District records

Includes premiums and discounts with the par value of the bond issue outstanding.
Includes accreted interest receivable with the par value of the loan oustanding.
Information taken from the Montgomery County 2017 CAFR. 2018 isn't available therefore 2017 carried forward.

2	017	
	<u> </u>	Percentage
		of Total
Employer	Employees	Employment
Y		
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base	27,585	11.44%
Premier Health Partners Inc.	13,858	5.75%
Kettering Health Network	8,415	3.49%
Kroger Co	5,151	2.14%
Montgomery County	4,383	1.82%
Sinclair Community College	3,094	1.28%
LexisNexis	3,000	1.26%
University of Dayton	2,964	1.23%
Dayton Children's Hospital	2,467	1.02%
Wright State University	2,383	0.99%

Top Ten Principal Employers Last year and Nine Years ago

2003	8	
Employer	Employees	Percentage of Total
Employer	Employees	Employment
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base	22,204	9.06%
Premier Health Partners Inc.	12,000	4.90%
Kettering Health Network	7,000	2.86%
Montgomery County	4,501	1.84%
Community Mercy Health Partners	3,750	1.53%
Miami University	3,500	1.43%
AK Steel	3,415	1.39%
The Kroger Company	3,000	1.22%
Honda of America Manufacturing	2,750	1.12%
Dayton Public Schools	2,722	1.11%

Source: Montgomery County Annual Financial Report 2017

TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT MONTGOMERY COUNTY, OHIO

Demographic Statistics Last Ten Years

SOURCE: Montgomery County Annual Financial Report. 2018 isn't available therefore 2017 is used
SOURCE: Ohio Labor Market Information, Ohio Department of Job and Family Services
SOURCE: Montgomery County Annual Financial Report. 2018 unavailable

Full-Time Equivalent Government Employees by Function/Program Last Ten Years

	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
<u>Function/program</u>							1			
General Government:	3	ŝ	ς	4	e	2.5	3.5	ς	3.5	7
Source: Finance Department Method: Using 1.0 for each full-time employee, and 0.50 for each part-time and seasonal employee	l-time empl	oyee, and	0.50 for e	ach part-ti	me and se	asonal en	ıployee			

	ous Statistics er 31, 2018
Date of Creation	2001
County:	Montgomery
County Seat:	Dayton, Ohio
Number of Interstate Highways inside the District:	3 (Interstate 75) (Interstate 70) (Interstate 675)

Source: Transportation Improvement District



MONTGOMERY COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbrtt

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

CERTIFIED SEPTEMBER 5, 2019

> 88 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215 Phone: 614-466-4514 or 800-282-0370 www.ohioauditor.gov