# RICHLAND COUNTY TRANSIT BOARD INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2003



Board of Trustees Richland County Transit Board 35 North Park Street Mansfield, Ohio 44902

We have reviewed the Independent Auditor's Report of the Richland County Transit Board, Richland County, prepared by Gary B. Fink & Associates, Inc., for the audit period January 1, 2003 to December 31, 2003. Based upon this review, we have accepted these reports in lieu of the audit required by Section 117.11, Revised Code. The Auditor of State did not audit the accompanying financial statements and, accordingly, we are unable to express, and do not express an opinion on them.

Our review was made in reference to the applicable sections of legislative criteria, as reflected by the Ohio Constitution, and the Revised Code, policies, procedures and guidelines of the Auditor of State, regulations and grant requirements. The Richland County Transit Board is responsible for compliance with these laws and regulations.

Betty Montgomeny

BETTY MONTGOMERY Auditor of State

November 24, 2004



### RICHLAND COUNTY TRANSIT BOARD FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2003

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Appointed Officials	i
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	1
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
Balance Sheet	3
Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Fund Equity	4
Statement of Cash Flows	5
Notes to the Financial Statements	6
Report on Compliance and on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	10
Report on Compliance With Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over	
Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133	12
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	14
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	15
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	16
Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	19

### RICHLAND COUNTY TRANSIT BOARD FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2003

### **APPOINTED OFFICIALS**

OFFICIAL	APPOINTED DATES
Florentine Dearman	06/16/1998 - 12/31/2005
Lee Preston	01/11/1996 - 12/31/2004
Kenneth Kreiger	01/01/2000 - 12/31/2005
Joseph Mudra	01/01/2000 - 12/31/2004
Jeff Delianides	06/16/1998 — 12/31/2005
David Haring	01/29/2002 - 12/31/2005
Donald Mitchell	03/09/2004 - 12/31/2005

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS 121 College Street Wadsworth, Ohio 44281 330/336-1706 Fax 330/334-5118

### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Board of Trustees Richland County Transit Board 35 North Park Street Mansfield, Ohio 44902

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Richland County Transit Board (the Transit Board), Mansfield, Ohio, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2003, as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Transit Board's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Richland County Transit Board, as of December 31, 2003 and the results of its operations and the cash flows for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated November 11, 2004 on our consideration of the Transit Board's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grants. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be read in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

### **INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT** (continued)

Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements taken as a whole. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, "Audits of States, Local Governments and Non-Profit Organizations" and is not a required part of the financial statements of the Transit Board. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements, and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

GARY B. FINK & ASSOCIATES, INC.

Certified Public Accountants

November 11, 2004

### Richland County Transit Board Balance Sheet December 31, 2003

Assets	
Cash in Richland County Treasury Accounts Receivable:	\$1,456
Other Property and Equipment (Net, Where Applicable, of	146,012
Accumulated Depreciation)	3,339,898
Total Assets	\$3,487,366
Liabilities	
Accounts Payable Operator Payable	\$100,732 6,846
Total Liabilities	\$107,578
Equity	
Retained Earnings	3,379,788
Total Liabilities and Equity	\$3,487,366

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

### Richland County Transit Board Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Fund Equity For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2003

Revenues	
Federal	\$724,039
State	136,175
Local Government	157,647
Coordinating Revenue	93,873
Lease Revenue	17,090
Transit System Revenue:	
Farebox Receipts	125,808
Local Special Fare Assistance	107,058
Advertising	11,000
Miscellaneous	18,380
Total Revenues	1,391,070
Expenses	
Purchased Services:	
System Operators - TMR	1,148,799
Richland County Regional Planning Commission	55,271
Coordinating	73,925
Board Members Compensation	8,030
Professional Services	6,228
Equipment and Supplies	32,219
Depreciation	188,933
Fuel	70,535
Insurance	1,200
Miscellaneous	63,074
Total Expenses	1,648,214
Net (Loss)	(257,144)
Retained Earnings Beginning of Year (Restated, See Note 6)	3,636,932
Retained Earnings End of Year	\$3,379,788

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

### Richland County Transit Board Statement of Cash Flows For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2003

Net (Loss)	(\$257,144)
Adjustments to Reconcile Net Income to Cash	
Provided by Operating Activities:	
Depreciation	188,933
Changes in Assets and Liabilities:	
Accounts Receivable	(108,036)
Accounts Payable	49,900
Due from TMR	58,738
Total Adjustment	189,535
Decrease) in Cash	(67,609)
ash Beginning of Year	69,065
ash End of Year	\$1,456

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

#### NOTE 1 - DESCRIPTION OF THE ENTITY

The Richland County Transit Board (the Transit Board) was organized in 1977 under Section 306 of the Ohio Revised Code to operate a transit system. The Transit Board provides public transportation services in the Richland County Metropolitan area. The Transit Board operates under an appointed Board (seven members) that is responsible for the provisions of public transportation.

In accordance with the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 14, "The Reporting Entity", the Transit Board is not considered part of the Richland County financial reporting entity. There are no agencies or organizations for which the Transit Board is considered the primary government. Accordingly, the Transit Board is the sole organization of the reporting entity.

The Transit Board maintains its own set of accounting records. These financial statements were prepared from the accounts and financial records of the Transit Board and, accordingly, these financial statements do not present the financial position or results of operations of Richland County.

The Transit Board has no employees. A management team through a contract with First Transit operates the transit system. The general manager, assistant general manager and the maintenance director are all employees of First Transit. First Transit is paid a flat monthly fee for these services, per a five-year contract. The bus drivers, mechanics, office and cleaning people are all employees of the sub-corporation of First Transit, Transit Management of Richland. The Transit Board pays Transit Management of Richland monthly for all costs incurred. The Richland County Regional Planning Commission provides fiscal and secretarial support to the Transit Board. The Planning Commission bills the Transit Board monthly for services provided.

The Board members of the Transit Board are compensated for each monthly meeting that they attend. They are paid through the Richland County payroll system.

The accompanying financial statements have been designed to facilitate an understanding of the financial position and results of operations of the Transit Board. The financial information contained in these statements is the responsibility of the Transit Board.

#### NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

### A. Basis of Accounting

In accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for governmental entities such as the Transit Board, an enterprise fund is used to account for operations since they are financed and operated in a manner similar to a private business enterprise. The Transit Board applies all applicable pronouncements from the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued on or before November 30, 1989. The Transit Board prepares its financial statements on the accrual basis of accounting, using a flow of economic resources measurement focus. Revenue is recognized in the period earned and expenses are recognized in the period incurred. Assets are recorded at the time there is a right, now or in the future, for their receipt and liabilities are recorded when they are incurred.

### NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

### B. Cash Deposits

The Transit Board deposits all receipts in the Richland County Treasury. The County Treasurer maintains a cash and investment pool used for all County and Transit Board funds. The Transit Board has no other cash deposits or investments and does not receive interest income on its cash balances held in the County Treasury.

Pursuant to Section 135.181, Ohio Revised Code, the County's deposits are covered by collateral held by third party trustees in collateral pools securing all public funds on deposit with specific depository institutions. In accordance with GASB Statement No. 3, "Deposits with Financial Institutions, Investments (including Repurchase Agreements) and Reverse Repurchase Agreements", all deposits are classified as to risk.

The following risk categories most typically used are:

- 1. Insured or collateralized with securities held by the entity or by its agent in the entity's name.
- 2. Collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution's trust department or agent in the entity's name.
- 3. Uncollateralized, including any bank balance that is collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution or by its trust department or agent but not in the entity's name.

The Transit Board's deposits with Richland County are classified in Category 3. Richland County's deposits of the Transit Board's funds are held by third party trustees pursuant to Section 135.181, Ohio Revised Code in collateral pools securing all public monies on deposit with specific depository institutions.

#### C. Investments

The Ohio Revised Code does not provide the Transit Board the power to make or hold investments other than the deposits in the Richland County Treasury explained above.

### D. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment items are stated at cost and are depreciated on the straight line method over their estimated useful lives that range from five to forty years. Donated property and equipment is recorded at fair market value on the date donated. Upon sale or disposition of furniture and equipment, the cost and related depreciation are removed from the accounts and any gain or loss is recognized.

### NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

### E. Cash Equivalents

For the purposes of the statement of cash flows, the Transit Board considers all cash held by the Richland County Treasury to be cash equivalents since they are available to the Transit Board upon demand.

### **NOTE 3 – PROPERTY AND EQUPMENT**

The following schedule reflects changes in property and equipment:

	Assets			
	Balance			Balance
	12/31/2002	Additions	Deletions	12/31/2003
Land	\$1,052,683	\$0	\$0	\$1,052,683
Building	2,480,625	0	0	2,480,625
Office Equipment	76,779	0	(5,081)	71,698
Operating Equipment	1,893,916	0	0	1,893,916
Total	\$5,504,003	\$0	(\$5,081)	\$5,498,922
		Accumulated D	epreciation	
	Balance		•	Balance
	12/31/2002	Additions	Deletions	12/31/2003
Land	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Building	(882,775)	(82,687)	0	(965,462)
Office Equipment	(68,367)	(2,282)	5,081	(65,568)
Operating Equipment	(1,024,030)	(103,964)	0	(1,127,994)
Total	(\$1,975,172)	(\$188,933)	\$5,081	(\$2,159,024)
Total Property and Equipment				
(Net Where Applicable of				
Accumulated Depreciation)				\$3,339,898

### **NOTE 4 – RISK MANAGEMENT**

The Transit Board is a member of the Ohio Transit Risk Pool, a self insurance pool created under Chapter 2744 of the Ohio Revised Code. There have been no significant reductions in insurance coverage from the prior period and settlement. Costs have not exceeded insurance coverage in any of the past three years.

#### **NOTE 5 – CONTINGENCIES**

- A. Federal and State grants are subject to review and audit by the grantor agencies or their designees. Such audits could lead to requests for reimbursement to the grantor agency for expenses disallowed under terms of the grant. There are no such claims pending and no known situations which would lead to such a claim. In addition, based upon prior experience and audit results, management believes that such disallowance's, if any, would be immaterial.
- B. In the normal course of its business activities, the Transit Board may become subject to claims and litigation relating to contracts, employment or other matters. In the opinion of management, the resolution of any such claims pending would not likely have a material impact on the Transit Board's financial position.

### NOTE 6 – RESTATEMENT OF PRIOR YEAR RETAINED EARNINGS

The Board determined that not all expenses incurred by its third party operatior, Transit Management of Reichland (TMR) were picked up by the Board in 2002. These additional expenses had the following effect on the Net Loss for 2002.

Net Loss as Previously Reported	(\$151,502)
Unrecorded Expenses	(44,992)
Net Loss as Restated at December 31, 2002	(\$196,494)

The restatement to the beginning Retained Earnings is as follows:

Retained Earnings as Stated at December 31, 2002	\$3,681,924
Unrecorded Expenses	(44,992)
Retained Earnings as Restated at January 1, 2003	\$3,636,932

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS 121 College Street Wadsworth, Ohio 44281 330/336-1706 Fax 330/334-5118

# REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Board of Trustees Richland County Transit Board 35 North Park Street Mansfield, Ohio 44902

We have audited the financial statements of the Richland County Transit Board (the Transit Board), as of and for the year ended December 31, 2003, and have issued our report thereon dated November 11, 2004. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

### Compliance

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Transit Board's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

### **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Transit Board's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not disclose all matters in the internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by the employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, we noted a matter involving the internal control over financial reporting that we have reported to management of the Transit Board, in a separate letter dated November 11, 2004.

# REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS (continued)

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, others within the organization, Board of Trustees and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

GARY B. FINK & ASSOCIATES, INC.

Certified Public Accountants

November 11, 2004

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS 121 College Street Wadsworth, Ohio 44281 330/336-1706 Fax 330/334-5118

# REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH *OMB CIRCULAR A-133*

Board of Trustees Richland County Transit Board 35 North Park Street Mansfield, Ohio 44902

### **Compliance**

We have audited the compliance of the Richland County Transit Board (the Transit Board) with the types of compliance requirements described in the *U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that are applicable to its major federal program for the year ended December 31, 2003. The Transit Board's major federal program is identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to its major federal program is the responsibility of the Transit Board's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Transit Board's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the Transit Board's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures, as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the Transit Board's compliance with those requirements.

As described in item 2003-01 in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, the Transit Board did not comply with requirements regarding matching that is applicable to its Federal Transit Formula Grant. Compliance with such requirements is necessary, in our opinion, for the Transit Board, to comply with requirements applicable to that program.

In our opinion, except for the noncompliance described in the preceding paragraph, the Richland County Transit Board complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to its major federal program for the year ended December 31, 2003.

# REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH *OMB CIRCULAR A-133* (continued)

### **Internal Control Over Compliance**

The management of the Transit Board is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Transit Board's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133.

Our consideration of the internal control over compliance would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that noncompliance with applicable requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants that would be material in relation to a major federal program being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over compliance and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, others within the organization, Board of Trustees and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

GARY B. FINK & ASSOCIATES, INC.

Certified Public Accountants

November 11, 2004

### Richland County Transit Board Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the Year Ended December 31, 2003

Federal Grantor/ Pass-Through Grantor/ Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Entity Identifying Number	Disbursements
U.S. Department of Transportation  Federal Transit-Formula Grants	20.507		\$724,039
Total Federal Assistance			\$724,039

The accompanying notes to this Schedule are an integral part of this Schedule.

### NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

### FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2003

### NOTE A - SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is a summary of the activity of the Transit Board's federal award program. The Schedule has been prepared on the accrual basis of accounting. The information in the Schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments and Non-Profit Organizations*. Therefore, some amounts presented in the Schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the financial statements.

### SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS OMB CIRCULAR A-133 §505

### FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2003

### 1. SUMMARY OF AUDITOR'S RESULTS

(d)(1)(i)	Type of auditor's report issued on the general purpose financial statements	Unqualified Opinion
(d)(1)(ii)	Were there any material weaknesses in internal control reported at the general purpose financial statement level?	No
(d)(1)(ii)	Were there any other reportable conditions in internal control reported at the general purpose financial statement level?	No
(d)(1)(iii)	Was there any material noncompliance reported at the general purpose financial statement level?	No
(d)(1)(iv)	Were there any material weaknesses in internal control over major programs reported?	No
(d)(1)(iv)	Were there any other reportable conditions in internal control over major programs reported?	No
(d)(1)(v)	Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs	Qualified Opinion
(d)(1)(vi)	Were there any reportable audit findings under §510?	Yes

### SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS OMB CIRCULAR A-133 §505

### FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2003 (CONTINUED)

(d)(1)(vii)	Major Program:	Federal Transit Formula Grants, CFDA #20.507
(d)(1)(viii)	Dollar Threshold: Type A/B Programs	Type A: > \$300,000 Type B: all others
(d)(1)(ix)	Low Risk Auditee?	No

### 2. FINDINGS RELATED TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS REQUIRED TO BE REPORTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GAGAS

None

#### 3. FINDINGS FOR FEDERAL AWARDS

Federal Program: Urbanized Area Formula Federal Award Number: OH-90-X393-00

Fiscal Year: 2003

Federal Agency: U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Transit Administration

CFDA #20.507 Federal Transit – Formula Grants

### 2003-01 - Finding - Matching

Criteria: Matching includes requirements to provide contributions (usually non-

Federal) of a specified amount or percentage to match Federal awards.

Condition Found: In accordance with the approved Project Budget, Planning Activity was split

between Program Support Administration and Other Activities Private Operator. The Program Support Administration allowed Total Eligible Costs of \$72,788 for planning by the MPO (the Richland County Regional Planning Commission) and Total Eligible Costs of \$100,000 for planning provided by the private contractors (First Transit and Transit Management of Richland). For the period January 1, 2003 through December 31, 2003, the Transit Board incurred \$55,272 in planning costs with the MPO and \$95,000 in planning costs with the private operators. In preparing the reimbursement requests, the Transit Board combined the two planning areas and was reimbursed the total budgeted amount of \$121,415. However, because the Transit Board only incurred \$55,272 in actual costs with the MPO, the total reimbursement

request should have been for \$120,217 not \$121,415.

### SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS OMB CIRCULAR A-133 §505

### FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2003 (CONTINUED)

Questioned Costs: Excess Reimbursement for Planning \$1,198

Recommendation: The Transit Board should only request the applicable percentage allowable by

area as identified in the approved Project Budget.

It should be noted that the grant period runs through March 31, 2004 and

sufficient planning costs with the MPO were subsequently incurred to cover

the reimbursement received.

## SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS OMB CIRCULAR A-133 §505

### FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2003 (CONTINUED)

Finding Number 2002-01	Finding Summary Controls not in place to provide reasonable assurance that Federal Awards are expended only for allowable activities.	Fully Corrected Yes	Not Corrected, Partially Corrected, Significantly Different Corrective Action Taken, or Finding No Longer Valid; Explain Corrected
2002-02	Planning Costs included costs for postage, office maintenance, printing and Xerox, office supplies, utility costs and telephone service which did not meet the defined criteria for planning costs.	Yes	Corrected
2002-03	Matching requirements were not met resulting in excess reimbursement to the Transit Board	No	Partially Corrected; Reissued as Finding Number 2003-01
2002-04	Cost reimbursement requests made by the Transit Board could not be traced to specific supporting documentation costs incurred.	Yes	Corrected
2002-05	The Transit Board has been advancing monthly payments to the private contractor, TMR, to pay for expenses incurred by TMR for operation of the Transit System.	Yes	Corrected



88 East Broad Street P.O. Box 1140 Columbus, Ohio 43216-1140

Telephone 614-466-4514 800-282-0370

Facsimile 614-466-4490

# RICHLAND COUNTY TRANSIT BOARD RICHLAND COUNTY

### **CLERK'S CERTIFICATION**

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

**CLERK OF THE BUREAU** 

Susan Babbitt

CERTIFIED DECEMBER 7, 2004