

**MIAMI VALLEY REGIONAL
PLANNING COMMISSION**

Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2005

with

Independent Auditors' Report



**Auditor of State
Betty Montgomery**

Board of Directors
Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission
One South Main Street, Suite 260
Dayton, Ohio 45402

We have reviewed the *Independent Auditors' Report* of the Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission, Montgomery County, prepared by Clark, Schaefer, Hackett & Co., for the audit period July 1, 2004 through June 30, 2005. Based upon this review, we have accepted these reports in lieu of the audit required by Section 117.11, Revised Code. The Auditor of State did not audit the accompanying financial statements and, accordingly, we are unable to express, and do not express an opinion on them.

Our review was made in reference to the applicable sections of legislative criteria, as reflected by the Ohio Constitution, and the Revised Code, policies, procedures and guidelines of the Auditor of State, regulations and grant requirements. The Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission is responsible for compliance with these laws and regulations.

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Betty Montgomery".

BETTY MONTGOMERY
Auditor of State

April 19, 2006

This Page is Intentionally Left Blank.

MIAMI VALLEY REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION

Table of Contents

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2005

	<u>Page #</u>
Independent Auditors' Report	1 – 2
Management's Discussion and Analysis	3 – 7
Basic Financial Statements:	
Statement of Net Assets	8
Statement of Activities	9
Balance Sheet – Governmental Activities	10
Reconciliation of Total Governmental Fund Balances to Net Assets of Governmental Activities	11
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances – Governmental Funds	12
Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities.....	13
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements.....	14 – 20
Required Supplementary Information:	
Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance – Budget and Actual – General Fund.....	21
Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance – Budget and Actual – Special Revenue Fund.....	22
Supplementary Information:	
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance – Special Revenue Fund – by Program	23 – 26
Statement of Capital Assets.....	27
Statement of Changes in Capital Assets.....	28
Schedule of Fringe Benefit Cost Pool Charges, Rate Base, Final Rate Computation And Current Year's Recovery Comparison	29
Schedule of Indirect Cost Pool Charges, Rate Base, Final Rate Computation and Current Year's Recovery Comparison.....	30
Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures Federal Transit Administration and Federal Highway Administration FY 2005 Planning Work Elements	31
Board of Directors	32 – 33
Executive Committee	34
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	35
Report on Compliance and on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	36
Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133	37 – 38
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	39 – 40
Schedule of Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs	41



Clark, Schaefer, Hackett & Co.
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
BUSINESS CONSULTANTS
www.cshco.com

Independent Auditors' Report

To the Members and Board of Directors
Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities and each major fund of the Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2005, which collectively comprise the Commission's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission's management. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities and each major fund of the Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission, as of June 30, 2005, and the respective changes in financial position thereof for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated September 30, 2005 on our consideration of the Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be considered on assessing the results of our audit.

The Management's Discussion and Analysis and budgetary comparison on pages 3 – 7 and 21 – 22 are not a required part of the basic financial statements but are supplementary information required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquires of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplementary information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission's financial statements. The accompanying schedule of federal awards expenditures is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*, and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The accompanying schedules included in on pages 23 – 34 have not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

Clark, Schaefer, Hackett & Co.

Springfield, Ohio
September 30, 2005

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

Management's Discussion and Analysis
June 30, 2005
(Unaudited)

The discussion and analysis of the Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission's (MVRPC) financial performance provides an overall review of the MVRPC's financial activities for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005. The intent of this discussion and analysis is to look at the MVRPC's financial performance as a whole; readers should also review the financial statements and the notes to the basic financial statements to enhance their understanding of the MVRPC's financial performance.

Financial Highlights

Key financial highlights for fiscal year 2005 are as follows:

Overall:

- Total net assets increased \$89,913, which represents a 5.8 percent increase from fiscal year 2004.
- Total assets of governmental activities increased by \$48,844, with the cash balance increasing by \$568,000 and accounts receivable grants receivable decreasing by \$522,542.
- General revenues accounted for \$489,622 or 14.5 percent of total revenue. Program specific revenues in the form of charges for services and operating grants account for \$2.88 million or 85.5 percent of total revenues of \$3.4 million.
- Of the MVRPC's \$3.3 million in expenses, only \$2.88 million were offset by program specific charges for services, grants or contributions. General revenues (primarily membership dues and miscellaneous income) were used to cover the net expense of \$400 thousand.

Using the Basic Financial Statements

This annual report consists of a series of financial statements and notes to those statements. These statements are organized so the reader can understand the Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission as a financial whole, an entire operating entity. The statements then proceed to provide an increasingly detailed look at specific financial activities.

The Statement of Net Assets and Statement of Activities provide information about the activities of the whole MVRPC, presenting both an aggregated view of the MVRPC's finances and a longer-term view of those statements. Fund financial statements provide the next level of detail. For governmental funds, these statements tell how services were financed in the short-term as well as what remains for future spending. The fund financial statements also look at the MVRPC's most significant funds, the General Fund and Special Revenue Fund.

Reporting the MVRPC as a Whole

Statement of Net Assets and the Statement of Activities

The Statement of Net Assets and the Statement of Activities answers the question. "How did we do financially during 2005?" These statements include all assets and liabilities using the accrual basis of accounting similar to the accounting used by most private-sector companies. This basis of accounting takes into account all of the current year's revenues and expenses regardless of when cash is received or paid.

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

Management's Discussion and Analysis
June 30, 2005
(Unaudited)

These two statements report the MVRPC's net assets and changes in those assets. This change in net assets is important because it tells the reader that, for the MVRPC as a whole, the financial position has improved. The causes of this change may be the result of many factors, some financial, some not. Non-financial factors include the MVRPC's membership base, the planning programs that the members desire MVRPC to perform, and federal and state planning priorities.

In the Statement of Net Assets and the Statement of Activities, the MVRPC reports governmental activities. All of MVRPC's activities are considered Governmental activities. They include, but are not limited to, transportation planning, environmental planning, community planning and support services. The MVRPC does not have any business-type activities.

Table 1 provides a summary of the MVRPC's net assets for 2005 and 2004:

TABLE 1
NET ASSETS

	2005	2004	Change
<i>ASSETS</i>			
Current Assets	\$ 2,570,145	\$ 2,523,810	\$ 46,335
Capital Assets Being Depreciated (net)	139,124	136,615	2,509
Total Assets	<u>\$ 2,709,269</u>	<u>\$ 2,660,425</u>	<u>\$ 48,844</u>
 <i>LIABILITIES</i>			
Current Liabilities	\$ 682,664	\$ 736,871	\$ (54,207)
Long Term Liabilities	396,665	383,527	13,138
Total Liabilities	<u>\$ 1,079,329</u>	<u>\$ 1,120,398</u>	<u>\$ (41,069)</u>
 <i>NET ASSETS</i>			
Investment in Capital Assets, net of related debt	\$ 139,124	\$ 136,615	\$ 2,509
Unrestricted	1,490,816	1,403,412	87,404
Total Net Assets	<u>\$ 1,629,940</u>	<u>\$ 1,540,027</u>	<u>\$ 89,913</u>

The amount by which the MVRPC's assets exceeded its liabilities is called net assets. As of June 30, 2005, the MVRPC's net assets were \$1.63 million. This represents an increase of \$90 thousand from 2004. Of the total net asset amount, approximately \$139 thousand was invested in capital assets, net of debt related to those assets. The remaining balance of \$1.49 million was unrestricted and available for future use as directed by the MVRPC Board. The unrestricted net assets increased by approximately \$87 thousand.

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

Management's Discussion and Analysis
June 30, 2005
(Unaudited)

Table 2 shows the changes in net assets for fiscal year 2005 compared to 2004.

TABLE 2
CHANGE IN NET ASSETS

	2005	2004	Change
Revenues			
<u>Program Revenues:</u>			
Operating Grants	\$ 2,884,037	\$ 3,319,083	\$ (435,046)
<u>General Revenues:</u>			
Membership Dues	478,566	460,555	18,011
Miscellaneous	11,056	32,693	(21,637)
Total Revenues	\$ 3,373,659	\$ 3,812,331	\$ (438,672)
Program Expenses			
General Government	\$ 380,132	\$ 233,415	\$ 146,717
Transportation Planning	2,416,064	3,173,991	(757,927)
Environmental Planning	87,295	173,189	(85,894)
Regional Planning	400,255	154,172	246,083
Total Expenses	\$ 3,283,746	\$ 3,734,767	\$ (451,021)
Increase in Net Assets	\$ 89,913	\$ 77,564	\$ 12,349

Operating grants decreased by \$435 thousand from 2004. This was primarily due to less activity relating to special transportation planning studies. It is anticipated that these special studies will resume in the future. This was also responsible for the decrease of \$758 thousand in Transportation Planning program expenses.

The MVRPC is extremely dependent upon intergovernmental revenues (federal grants) provided by the federal government through the State of Ohio; approximately 85 percent of the MVRPC's total revenue was received from intergovernmental sources during fiscal year 2005. MVRPC has been able to maintain a stable financial position through careful management of expenses. However, MVRPC is vulnerable to changes in federal and state grant programs.

The Statement of Activities shows the cost of program services and the charges for services and grants offsetting those services.

Reporting the MVRPC's Most Significant Funds

The MVRPC's activities are reported in governmental funds, which focus on how money flows into and out of those funds and the balances left at year-end available for spending in future periods. These funds are reported using an accounting method called modified accrual, which measures cash and all other financial assets that can readily be converted to cash. The governmental fund statements provide a detailed short-term view of the MVRPC's general government operations and the basic services it provides. Governmental fund

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

Management's Discussion and Analysis June 30, 2005 (Unaudited)

information helps you determine whether there are more or fewer financial resources that can be spent in the near future to finance MVRPC programs. The relationship (or differences) between governmental activities (reported in the Statement of Net Assets and the Statement of Activities) and governmental funds is reconciled in the financial statements.

Fund financial reports provide detailed information about the General Fund. The MVRPC uses two funds to account for a multitude of financial transactions. Both of these funds are considered significant.

The General Fund had total revenue of \$1 million and other financing sources of \$1.4 million. Expenditures totaled \$2.3 million. Unreserved fund balance increased by \$100 thousand in 2005 to \$1.9 million.

The Special Revenue Fund provides the detail of all federal grants received by MVRPC. The Special Revenue Fund had total revenues of \$2.3 million. This was intergovernmental revenues from federal grants. Of this total, 98% was provided by the U. S. Department of Transportation. The use of these funds had local matching requirements of \$124 thousand. This was provided by the General Fund as operating transfers-in. This corresponded to leveraging \$1 of local funds to obtain \$18.84 in federal funds.

General Fund Budgeting Highlights

The MVRPC's budget is prepared on the modified accrual method. The most significant budgeted funds are the General Fund and the Special Revenue Fund

During the course of fiscal year 2005, the MVRPC amended its budget two times.

For the General Fund, the budget was balanced by using unreserved fund balance of \$128.5 thousand. The actual results of operations yielded a surplus of \$100 thousand.

Capital Assets

At the end of fiscal year 2005, the MVRPC had \$139 thousand invested in furniture and equipment in governmental activities.

Table 4 shows fiscal year 2005 balances compared to 2004:

TABLE 4
Capital Assets at June 30

	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
Furniture	69,153	69,153
Equipment	318,121	289,703
Less: Accumulated Depreciation	<u>(248,150)</u>	<u>(222,242)</u>
	<u>\$ 139,124</u>	<u>136,615</u>

Overall capital assets increase approximately \$28 thousand from fiscal year 2004. Accumulated depreciation on those assets increased by \$25 thousand leaving a net increase in capital assets of \$3 thousand in 2005.

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

Management's Discussion and Analysis
June 30, 2005
(Unaudited)

For the Future

The Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission continues to rely primarily on federal grants to finance its planning activities. The majority of these federal grants are provided by the U.S. Department of Transportation through the State of Ohio. These grants are authorized by the U.S. Congress through the Transportation Equity Act for the Twenty-first Century. This Act was set to expire on September 30, 2003 and had been extended by the Congress seven times, through August 9, 2005.

On August 10, 2005 the President signed the Transportation Reauthorization Act entitled "Save, Accountable, Flexible and Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users" (SAFETEA-LU). This Act which runs through September 30, 2009, provides increased funding for transportation systems.

The Act is the vehicle by which federal funds are provided to Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPO). MVRPC is the MPO for this region. MVRPC's initial analysis of the Act projects a 23% increase in funding for our basic transportation planning grant in 2006 with annual increases in the 2%-3% range in subsequent years.

Contacting the MVRPC's Financial Management

This financial report is designed to provide our members, citizens and taxpayers, with a general overview of the MVRPC's finances and to show the MVRPC's accountability for the money it receives. If you have questions about this report or need additional financial information contact the Controller's Office at Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission, One South Main St. Suite 260, Dayton, Ohio 45402 or call (937) 223-6323.

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

**Statement of Net Assets
As of June 30, 2005**

ASSETS	
Cash	\$ 2,158,269
Accounts Receivable	499
Grants Receivable	398,508
Prepaid Expenses	12,869
Capital Assets Being Depreciated (net)	<u>139,124</u>
Total Assets	<u>\$ 2,709,269</u>
LIABILITIES	
Accounts Payable	\$ 178,976
Accrued Personnel Costs	76,831
Deferred Revenues	426,857
Long-term Liabilities	
Due within one year	150,724
Due in more than one year	<u>245,941</u>
Total Liabilities	<u>\$ 1,079,329</u>
NET ASSETS	
Investment in Capital Assets, net of related debt	\$ 139,124
Unrestricted	<u>1,490,816</u>
Total Net Assets	<u>\$ 1,629,940</u>

See Accompanying Notes to the Basic Financial Statements

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

**Statement of Activities
For the Year Ended June 30, 2005**

<u>Governmental Activities</u>	<u>Expenses</u>	<u>Program Revenues Operating Grants</u>	<u>Net (Expenses) Revenues and Change in Net Assets Governmental Activities</u>
General Government	\$ 380,132	\$ 273,568	\$ (106,564)
Transportation Planning	2,416,064	2,293,541	(122,523)
Environmental Planning	87,295	55,523	(31,772)
Regional Planning	400,255	261,405	(138,850)
Total Governmental Activities	<u>\$ 3,283,746</u>	<u>\$ 2,884,037</u>	<u>(399,709)</u>
General Revenues:			
Membership Dues			478,566
Miscellaneous			11,056
Total General Revenues			<u>489,622</u>
Changes in Net Assets			89,913
Net Assets, July 1			<u>1,540,027</u>
Net Assets, June 30			<u>\$ 1,629,940</u>

See Accompanying Notes to the Basic Financial Statements

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

Balance Sheet

As of June 30, 2005

	<u>General Fund</u>	<u>Special Revenue Fund</u>	<u>Total Governmental Funds</u>
ASSETS and OTHER DEBITS			
Cash	\$ 1,944,343	\$ 213,926	\$ 2,158,269
Accounts Receivable	499	-	499
Grants Receivable	6,880	391,628	398,508
Due From Special Revenue Fund	391,628	-	391,628
Prepaid Expenses	<u>12,869</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>12,869</u>
Total Assets and Other Debits	<u><u>\$ 2,356,219</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 605,554</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 2,961,773</u></u>
 LIABILITIES			
Accounts Payable	\$ 178,976	\$ -	\$ 178,976
Accrued Wages & Benefits	76,831	-	76,831
Due to General Fund	-	391,628	391,628
Deferred Revenues	<u>212,931</u>	<u>213,926</u>	<u>426,857</u>
Total Liabilities	<u><u>468,738</u></u>	<u><u>605,554</u></u>	<u><u>1,074,292</u></u>
 FUND BALANCE			
Unreserved - Designated For: Future Year's Operation	239,283	-	239,283
Unreserved/Undesignated	<u>1,648,198</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>1,648,198</u>
Total Fund Balance	<u><u>1,887,481</u></u>	<u><u>-</u></u>	<u><u>1,887,481</u></u>
 Total Liabilities and Fund Balances	 <u><u>\$ 2,356,219</u></u>	 <u><u>\$ 605,554</u></u>	 <u><u>\$ 2,961,773</u></u>

See Accompanying Notes to the Basic Financial Statements

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

RECONCILIATION OF TOTAL GOVERNMENTAL FUND BALANCES TO
NET ASSETS OF GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES

June 30, 2005

Total Governmental Fund Balances	\$ 1,887,481
<i>Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net assets are different because:</i>	
Capital Assets used in governmental activities (net)	139,124
<i>Long-term liabilities (compensated absences) are not due and payable in the current period and therefore are not reported in the funds:</i>	
Compensated absences	(396,665)
Net Assets of Governmental Activities	<u>\$ 1,629,940</u>

See Accompanying Notes to the Basic Financial Statements

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

**Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and
Changes in Fund Balances**

Year Ended June 30, 2005

	<u>General Fund</u>	<u>Special Revenue Fund</u>	<u>Total Governmental Funds</u>
Revenues:			
Grantor Agency	\$ 442,321	\$ 2,219,744	\$ 2,662,065
Other	110,831	122,196	233,027
Membership Dues	478,566	-	478,566
Total Revenues	<u>1,031,718</u>	<u>2,341,940</u>	<u>3,373,658</u>
Expenditures:			
Personnel	1,400,580	1,035,629	2,436,209
Contractual	343,503	484,998	828,501
Other	398,101	218,403	616,504
Indirect Costs	117,065	706,094	823,159
Capital Outlays	29,834	21,133	50,967
Total Expenditures	<u>2,289,083</u>	<u>2,466,257</u>	<u>4,755,340</u>
Excess of Expenditures Over Revenues	<u>(1,257,365)</u>	<u>(124,317)</u>	<u>(1,381,682)</u>
Other Financing Sources (Uses):			
Transfers-In	8	124,325	124,333
Transfers-Out	(124,325)	(8)	(124,333)
Cost Allocation Plan Recoveries	1,482,224	-	1,482,224
Total Other Financing Sources	<u>1,357,907</u>	<u>124,317</u>	<u>1,482,224</u>
Change in Fund Balances	100,542	-	100,542
Fund Balance, July 1	<u>1,786,939</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>1,786,939</u>
Fund Balance, June 30	<u>\$ 1,887,481</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 1,887,481</u>

See Accompanying Notes to the Basic Financial Statements

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities

For the Year Ended June 30, 2005

Net Change in fund balances - total governmental funds	\$ 100,542
--	------------

*Amounts reported for governmental activities in the
statement of activities are different because:*

Government funds report capital outlays as expenditures. However, in the statement of activities, the cost of those assets are allocated over their estimated useful lives as depreciation expense. This is the amount by which capital outlays (\$50,968) exceed depreciation expense (\$46,614) in the current period.

4,354

Loss on the disposition of Capital Assets

(1,845)

Compensated absences are reported as expenses in the statement of activities. They do not require the use of current financial resources and therefore are not reported as expenditures in governmental funds.

(13,138)

Changes in net assets of governmental activities

\$ 89,913

See Accompanying Notes to the Basic Financial Statements

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements
June 30, 2005

NOTE 1 ORGANIZATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Organization

The Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission (MVRPC) was created in 1964 by authority granted under the Ohio Revised Code. MVRPC is a regional planning agency composed of representatives from 57 political subdivisions and 19 non-governmental entities in Montgomery, Greene, Miami, Darke, Preble, and Warren Counties in Ohio. MVRPC monitors and performs planning activities affecting present and future transportation, environmental, social, economic, physical and governmental characteristics of the region.

By an agreement between MVRPC and the State of Ohio, the Transportation Coordinating Committee (TCC) of the Montgomery-Greene County Transportation and Development Planning Program was merged with MVRPC on July 1, 1982. By this same agreement, MVRPC was designated by the State as a Metropolitan Planning Organization, with responsibility for implementing a coordinated, continuing, comprehensive transportation planning process for Montgomery and Greene Counties. This agreement was modified on September 23, 1992 to include Miami County and on July 1, 2003 to include the cities of Franklin and Carlisle in Warren County.

On June 27, 1984, MVRPC adopted a strategic plan that prescribed the future direction the Commission would pursue, functionally and organizationally. On October 24, 1984, amendments to the Constitution and Bylaws were approved that allowed many of the strategic plan's recommendations to be implemented. The primary changes included a new mission statement, expansion of the Commission to include up to 25% non-governmental members, and the creation of a Board of Directors.

From September 2002 through February, 2004, MVRPC conducted a multi-phase Visioning and Strategic Planning Process with the goal of creating a more streamlined, efficient and responsive organization. On September 24, 2003, the new MVRPC Strategic Plan was adopted. Work then began on developing a structure more conducive to implementing the goals of the Strategic Plan. This effort resulted in the creation and adoption of a substantially revised Constitution and Bylaws on February 25, 2004, with implementation to begin on March 24, 2004. Key changes include:

Board of Directors

- The Commission and the Transportation Committee are merged into a newly created Board of Directors. County Engineers within the MPO Boundary now are Board members.
- All policy responsibility is vested with the merged body.
- The current weighted voting structure of the Transportation Committee is retained.
- Weighted voting can only be used by the Board of Directors.
- It cannot occur at the initial meeting when the request is made unless $\frac{3}{4}$ of the members present approve.
- Otherwise, it will occur at the next scheduled meeting.
- Only governmental members located within the MPO Boundary (Greene, Miami and Montgomery counties) can vote on transportation issues.
- ODOT representatives and urban transit operators may be members of the Board and vote on transportation issues.
- Each county's assessment is calculated based on 25% of the total county population; all other governmental members continue to pay a per capita assessment.
- Bylaws can be amended by a majority vote and the process for amending bylaws can be changed by a 2/3 majority vote. (Neither can be subject to weighted voting.)

Executive Committee

- The previous Board of Directors is now called the Executive Committee.
- The Executive Committee is responsible for handling "routine and emergency" matters.
- Counties (the Commissioners) appoint 4 members, one from each member county.
- Cities and villages appoint 7 members, one of which is the largest city; one member is chosen from each of the MPO counties; and no more than 4 members may be chosen from any one county. These members are chosen annually by caucus of member cities and villages.
- Townships appoint 3 members from counties located within the MPO boundary. No more than one member from the same county may be chosen. These members are selected annually by caucus of member townships
- Non-governmental members appoint 3 members, also chosen annually by caucus.

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements
June 30, 2005

NOTE 1 ORGANIZATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (cont'd)

MVRPC uses the following fund types:

Governmental Funds:

General Fund - accounts for all revenues and expenditures except for those required to be accounted for in other funds.

Special Revenue Fund - accounts for grant and contract revenues that are legally restricted to expenditures for specified purposes.

Basis of Reporting

The pyramid approach to governmental financial reporting is used. Under MVRPC's adaptation of this approach, combined overview financial statements are used to present data separately for the general fund, the special revenue fund. Combining financial statements are presented for the grants included in the special revenue fund.

Supplemental information is also reported to provide grantor agencies with information necessary for them to determine compliance with the financial portions of the grant agreements.

Revenues

General fund revenues are determined by contractual agreements with member political subdivisions represented by MVRPC. Member jurisdictions of MVRPC pay an annual membership fee based on the latest official census or federal revenue sharing population estimates. For 2005 the assessment was as follows:

Member Type

Within the MPO planning area	
- Counties - 25% of Total population	\$ 0.46/capita
- Municipalities and Townships	\$ 0.46/capita
Outside the MPO planning area	
- Counties – 25% of Total population	\$ 0.25/capita
- Municipalities and Townships	\$ 0.25/capita
Quasi and Non-governmental bodies	\$ 500/annual

The total revenue generated from member fees was \$478,566

Special Revenue Fund

Grant revenue is recognized when compliance with the various grant requirements is achieved. Generally this occurs at the time expenditures are made and the grant matching requirements are met. Grant revenues received before the revenue recognition criteria have been met are reported as deferred revenues, a liability account. When the revenue recognition criteria have been met, grant revenues not yet received are reported as grants receivable, if the amounts have been billed to grantor agencies, or as earned not billed, if amounts are unbilled.

Carry-over Grants and Contracts

Several grants continued after June 30, 2005. The amounts available for completing grant objectives for these grant programs are summarized below by funding type.

<u>Type</u>	<u>Amount</u>
Federal Grants	\$ 1,508,948
Other Grants and Contracts	464,377

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements
June 30, 2005

NOTE 1 ORGANIZATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (cont'd)

As discussed in note 3, fringe benefit expenditures are recovered by applying a provisional rate to all programs.

Indirect Costs

MVRPC uses an indirect cost rate to recover administrative expenditures. The 2005 indirect costs were billed at a provisional, of 71.5% of direct labor dollars, including fringe benefits.

Unreserved/Designated Fund Balance

The amount designated for Future Year's Operation represents 50% of the current membership dues. This amount is designated because membership period is based upon the calendar year and 100% of the dues revenue is recognized during the current fiscal year.

Budgets

Budgets for the general and special revenue fund are prepared annually on a modified accrual method by the staff and approved by the Commission. Budgets are reviewed on an ongoing basis and amendments are proposed as necessary. The amendments are approved by the Executive Committee.

Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

NOTE 2 LEASE COMMITMENTS

MVRPC entered into a noncancellable operating lease agreement for office space effective April 1, 2004 through December 31, 2014 and various office equipment leases that run through FY 2007. The future minimum rental commitments on the noncancellable lease as of June 30, 2005 is as follows:

<u>Fiscal Year Ended</u>	<u>Office Space</u>	<u>Equipment</u>
2006	\$ 123,384	\$ 17,570
2007	123,384	11,133
2008	123,384	0
2009	128,546	0
2010	128,546	0
2011 - 2014	637,828	0

Total rental expense for the year ended June 30, 2005, was \$155,903.

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements June 30, 2005

NOTE 3 COST ALLOCATION PLAN

A cost allocation plan is prepared annually by MVRPC. The plan, which includes fringe benefit and indirect costs, is used for the purpose of determining allocation rates and is prepared in accordance with the provisions of Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-87 and the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services' Circular OASC-10. The plan is submitted to the over-site grantor agency, the Federal Highway Administration through the Ohio Department of Transportation, for approval and authorization of negotiated allocation rates, which are used for billing purposes during the fiscal year. The Ohio Department of Transportation has agreed to let MVRPC adjust its provisional rates to the actual experienced rates prior to final billing. These adjusted provisional rates are subject to audit at the end of each fiscal year, when actual rates are determined and submitted to the over-site agency for approval. If the actual rates are less than the adjusted provisional rates, MVRPC must refund any over-billed amounts to the various grantor agencies. Conversely, MVRPC may recover under-billed amounts when unapplied funds remain from the various grantor agencies. Adjustments as a result of a change in the rates are recognized for financial reporting purposes when determined.

Following are summaries of the accounting treatment and rate experience for fringe benefit and indirect cost for 2005

Fringe Benefits

Fringe benefit costs are recorded in the general fund and allocated to the special revenue funds in accordance with the approved cost allocation plan, based upon a provisional rate approved by the oversight grantor agency. The 2005 fringe benefit costs were allocated at a provisional rate of 56.% of productive direct and indirect labor dollars. The actual fringe benefit cost rate was 58.99%. Per the agreement with ODOT, the provisional rate was adjusted to actual and the adjustment is reflected in the financial statements.

Indirect Costs

Administrative costs are recorded in the general fund as indirect costs and allocated to the special revenue funds in accordance with the approved cost allocation plan, based upon a provisional rate approved by the over-site grantor agency. The 2005 indirect costs were allocated at a provisional rate of 71.5% of direct labor dollars, including fringe benefits. The actual indirect cost rate was 68.18%. Per the agreement with ODOT, the provisional rate was adjusted to actual and the adjustment is reflected in the financial statements.

NOTE 4 CONTINGENCIES

The use of direct federal grant funds and state administered federal grant funds is subject to review and audit by the grantor agencies. Such audits could lead to request for reimbursement to the grantor agency for expenditures disallowed under the terms of the grant. Based upon prior experience, management believes that MVRPC will not incur significant losses, if any, on possible grant disallowance.

NOTE 5 INTERFUND RECEIVABLES AND PAYABLES

As of June 30, 2005 there was an Interfund Receivable of \$391,628 in the General Fund and an Interfund Payable of \$391,628 in the Special Revenue Fund. The due to represents amounts for grants receivable at June 30, 2005 from various Federal and State grants.

NOTE 6 CASH AND INVESTMENTS

Pooled Cash

The Commission's cash balances are held in the Montgomery County Treasury. Cash is held in a demand deposit account that is insured or collateralized by Federal Depository Insurance and by collateral held by a qualified third party trustee.

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements
June 30, 2005

NOTE 7 DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLANS

All of the Commission's full-time employees participate in a cost sharing, multiple employer defined benefit pension plan.

Public Employees Retirement System (the "PERS of Ohio")

The following information was provided by the PERS of Ohio to assist the Commission in complying with GASB Statement No. 27, *"Accounting for Pensions by State and Local Government Employers."*

All employees of the Commission, participate in the Ohio Public Employees Retirement System (OPERS), a cost sharing, multiple employer defined benefit pension plan. OPERS administers three separate pension plans. The Traditional Pension Plan (TP) is a cost-sharing, multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan. The Member-Directed Plan (MD) is a defined contribution plan in which the member invests both member and employer contributions (employer contributions vest over five years at 20% per year). Under the Member-Directed plan, members accumulate retirement assets equal to the value of the member and (vested) employer contributions plus any investment earnings thereon. The Combined Plan (CO) is a cost-sharing, multiple-employer defined benefit pension. Under the Combined Plan employer contributions are invested by the retirement system to provide a formula retirement benefit similar to the Traditional Plan benefit. Member contributions, the investment of which is self-directed by the members, accumulate retirement assets in a manner similar to the Member-Directed Plan.

OPERS provides retirement, disability, survivor and death benefits and annual cost of living adjustments to members of the Traditional Plan and Combined Plans. Members of the Member-Directed Plan do not qualify for ancillary benefits. Authority to establish and amend benefits is provided by state statute per Chapter 145 of the Ohio Revised Code. The Ohio Public Employees Retirement System issues a stand-alone financial report. Interested parties may obtain a copy by making a written request to 277 E. Town St., Columbus, Ohio 43215-4642 or by calling (614) 222-6705 or 1-800-222-7377.

For 2004, the members of all three plans, except those in law enforcement or public safety participating in the traditional plan, were required to contribute 8.5 percent of their annual covered salaries. The Commission's contribution rate for pension benefits for 2004 was 13.55 percent. The Ohio Revised Code provides statutory authority for member and employer contributions.

The Commission's required contributions for the periods ended June 30, 2005, 2004, and 2003 were \$180,168, \$175,635, and \$181,548, respectively. In 2003, the Commission implemented a Fringe Benefit Pickup plan for the entire 8.5% employee contribution for certain classes of employees. The Commission's contributions in 2005 under this plan were \$10,062

NOTE 8 OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS

OPERS administers three separate pension plans: The Traditional Pension Plan (TP) - a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan; the Member-Directed Plan (MD) - a defined contribution plan; and the Combined Plan (CO) - a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan that has elements of both a defined benefit and defined contribution plan. OPERS provides retirement, disability, survivor and post-retirement health care benefits to qualifying members of both the Traditional and the Combined Plans; however, health care benefits are not statutorily guaranteed. Members of the Member-Directed Plan do not qualify for ancillary benefits, including post-employment health care coverage.

In order to qualify for post-employment health care coverage, age and service retirees must have 10 or more years of qualifying Ohio service credit. Health care coverage for disability recipients and primary survivor recipients is available. The health care coverage provided by the Retirement System is considered an Other Post-employment Benefit (OPEB) as described in GASB Statement No. 12.

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements
June 30, 2005

NOTE 8 OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS cont'd

A portion of each employer's contribution to OPERS is set aside for the funding of post-retirement health care. The Ohio Revised Code provides statutory authority for employer contributions. The OPERS law enforcement program is separated into two divisions, law enforcement and public safety, with separate employee contribution rates and benefits. The 2004 employer contribution rate for local government employer units the rate was 13.55% of covered payroll and 4.0% was used to fund health care for the year.

The Ohio Revised Code provides the statutory authority to require public employers to fund post-retirement health care through their contributions to OPERS.

Actuarial Review: The following assumptions and calculations were based on the System's latest Actuarial Review as of December 31, 2003.

Funding Method: An entry age normal actuarial cost method of valuation is used in determining the present value of OPEB. The difference between assumed and actual experience (actuarial gains and losses) becomes part of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability.

Assets Valuation Method: All investments are carried at market value. For actuarial valuation purposes, a smoothed market approach is used. Under this approach, assets are adjusted annually to reflect 25% of unrealized market appreciation or depreciation on investment assets annually.

Investment Return: The investment assumption rate for 2003 was 8.00%.

Active Employee Total Payroll: An annual increase of 4.00% compounded annually, is the base portion of the individual pay increase assumption. This assumes no change in the number of active employees. Additionally, annual pay increases, over and above the 4.00% base increase, were assumed to range from 0.50% to 6.30%.

Health Care: Health care costs were assumed to increase at the projected wage inflation rate plus an additional factor ranging from 1% to 6% for the next 8 years. In subsequent years (9 and beyond) health care costs were assumed to increase 4.00% (the projected wage inflation rate).

OPEBs are advance-funded on an actuarially determined basis. At year-end 2004, the number of active contributing participants in the Traditional and Combined Plans totaled 369,885.

The portion of MVRPC's contributions that were used to fund post employment benefits was \$53,185.

The actuarial value of the Retirement System's net assets available for OPEB at December 31, 2003 was . \$10.5 billion The actuarially accrued liability and the unfunded actuarial accrued liability, based on the actuarial cost method used, were \$26.9 billion and \$16.4 billion, respectively.

On September 9, 2004 the OPERS Retirement Board adopted a Health Care Preservation Plan (HCPP) with an effective date of January 1, 2007. The HCPP restructures OPERS' health care coverage to improve the financial solvency of the fund in response to skyrocketing health care costs.

Under HCPP, retirees eligible for health care coverage will receive a graded monthly allocation based on their years of service at retirement. The Plan incorporates a cafeteria approach, offering a broad range of health care options that allow benefit recipients to use their monthly allocation to purchase health care coverage customized to meet their individual needs. If the monthly allocation exceeds the cost of the options selected, the excess is deposited into a retiree Medical Account that can be used to fund future health care expenses.

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements
June 30, 2005

NOTE 9 CAPITAL ASSETS

	Balances at <u>7/1/04</u>	<u>Additions</u>	<u>Deletions</u>	Balances at <u>6/30/05</u>
<u>Capital Assets</u>				
Furniture and Fixtures	\$ 69,153	-	-	\$ 69,153
Equipment	289,704	50,968	(22,550)	318,122
Total Capital Assets	<u>\$ 358,857</u>	<u>50,968</u>	<u>(22,550)</u>	<u>\$ 387,275</u>
<u>Accumulated Depreciation</u>				
Furniture and Fixtures	2,194	9,879	-	12,073
Equipment	220,048	36,735	(20,705)	236,078
Total Accumulated Depreciation	<u>222,242</u>	<u>46,614</u>	<u>(20,705)</u>	<u>248,151</u>
Total Capital Assets, net	<u>\$ 136,615</u>	<u>\$ 4,354</u>	<u>(\$ 1,845)</u>	<u>\$ 139,124</u>

* - Depreciation expense was charged to the governmental functions as follows:

General Government	\$ <u>46,614</u>
--------------------	------------------

NOTE 10 LONG TERM OBLIGATIONS

MVRPC records the potential liability for the conversion of accrued sick leave that would be paid out to eligible retirees within the next 12 months of year end. The following is a summary of long-term obligations for the year ended June 30, 2005

	Amount Outstanding <u>June 30, 2004</u>	<u>Increase</u>	<u>Decrease</u>	Amount Outstanding <u>June 30, 2005</u>	Amount Due Within <u>One Year</u>
Compensated Absences	383,527	167,931	(154,792)	396,665	150,724

Long-term obligations will be paid from the fund from which the employees' salaries are paid.

NOTE 11 PROPERTY AND INSURANCE

The Commission is exposed to various risk of loss related to torts, theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets, errors and omissions, injuries to employees, and natural disasters. During fiscal year 2005, the Commission contracted with The Hartford Insurance Company and National Union Fire Insurance Company for the following insurance coverage:

Business personal property	\$ 615,000
Computer equipment	200,000
Comprehensive general liability	4,000,000
Valuable papers	250,000
Business auto coverage	2,000,000
Public officials & employee liability	1,000,000

Settled claims have not exceeded this commercial coverage in any of the past three years, and there has been no significant reduction in coverage from the past fiscal year.

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

**Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances -
Budget and Actual - General Fund**

Year Ended June 30, 2005

	General Fund			
	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual	Variance Favorable (Unfavorable)
Revenues:				
Grantor Agency	\$ 197,000	\$ 359,874	\$ 442,321	\$ 82,447
Other	118,642	117,606	110,831	(6,775)
Membership Dues	478,421	481,162	478,566	(2,596)
Total Revenues	<u>794,063</u>	<u>958,642</u>	<u>1,031,718</u>	<u>73,076</u>
Expenditures:				
Personnel	1,477,108	1,507,457	1,400,580	106,877
Contractual	147,500	351,564	343,503	8,061
Other	504,472	472,318	398,101	74,217
Indirect Costs	138,861	125,562	117,065	8,497
Capital Outlays	50,000	50,000	29,834	20,166
Total Expenditures	<u>2,317,941</u>	<u>2,506,901</u>	<u>2,289,083</u>	<u>217,818</u>
Excess of Expenditures Over Revenues	<u>(1,523,878)</u>	<u>(1,548,259)</u>	<u>(1,257,365)</u>	<u>290,894</u>
Other Financing Sources (Uses):				
Transfers-In	-	-	8	8
Transfers-Out	(133,436)	(123,961)	(124,325)	9,111
Cost Allocation Plan Recoveries	1,543,511	1,543,675	1,482,224	(61,287)
Total Other Financing Sources	<u>1,410,075</u>	<u>1,419,714</u>	<u>1,357,907</u>	<u>(52,168)</u>
Change in Fund Balances	(113,803)	(128,545)	100,542	238,726
Fund Balance, July 1, 2004	<u>1,786,939</u>	<u>1,786,939</u>	<u>1,786,939</u>	<u>-</u>
Fund Balance, June 30, 2005	<u>\$ 1,673,136</u>	<u>\$ 1,658,394</u>	<u>\$ 1,887,481</u>	<u>\$ 238,726</u>

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

**Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances -
Budget and Actual - Special Revenue Fund**

Year Ended June 30, 2005

	Special Revenue Fund			Variance Favorable (Unfavorable)
	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual	
Revenues:				
Grantor Agency	\$ 3,765,651	\$ 3,306,675	\$ 2,219,744	\$ (1,086,931)
Other	125,597	166,555	122,196	(44,359)
Membership Dues	-	-	-	-
Total Revenues	<u>3,891,248</u>	<u>3,473,230</u>	<u>2,341,940</u>	<u>(1,131,290)</u>
Expenditures:				
Personnel	1,041,658	1,041,627	1,035,629	5,998
Contractual	1,602,729	1,334,952	484,998	849,954
Other	635,511	454,706	218,403	236,303
Indirect Costs	744,786	744,771	706,094	38,677
Capital Outlays	-	21,135	21,133	2
Total Expenditures	<u>4,024,684</u>	<u>3,597,191</u>	<u>2,466,257</u>	<u>1,130,934</u>
Excess of Expenditures Over Revenues	<u>(133,436)</u>	<u>(123,961)</u>	<u>(124,317)</u>	<u>(356)</u>
Other Financing Sources (Uses):				
Transfers-In	133,436	123,961	124,325	364
Transfers-Out	-	-	(8)	(8)
Cost Allocation Plan Recoveries	-	-	-	-
Total Other Financing Sources	<u>133,436</u>	<u>123,961</u>	<u>124,317</u>	<u>356</u>
Change in Fund Balances	-	-	-	-
Fund Balance, July 1, 2004	-	-	-	-
Fund Balance, June 30, 2005	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance
Special Revenue Fund
Federal Grants

Year Ended June 30, 2005

	Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)/ Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT)				
	Consolidated Planning	Supplemental Planning	Long Range Plan Visioning	Dayton CBD 1-way to 2-way Street Study	ITS Architecture Study
Revenues:					
Grantor Agency	\$ 977,570	\$ 78,975	\$ 32,868	\$ 2,420	\$ 8,936
Other	122,196	-	-	-	-
Total Revenues	<u>1,099,766</u>	<u>78,975</u>	<u>32,868</u>	<u>2,420</u>	<u>8,936</u>
Expenditures:					
Personnel	692,277	46,457	18,979	1,439	2,655
Contractual	12,890	-	-	-	4,449
Other	28,999	843	950	-	22
Indirect Costs	471,995	31,675	12,940	981	1,810
Capital Outlays	16,098	-	-	-	-
Total Expenditures	<u>1,222,259</u>	<u>78,975</u>	<u>32,869</u>	<u>2,420</u>	<u>8,936</u>
Excess of Expenditures Over Revenues	<u>(122,493)</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>(1)</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
Other Financing Sources (Uses):					
Transfers-In	122,493	-	1	-	-
Transfers-Out	-	-	-	-	-
Fund Balance - July 1, 2004	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
Fund Balance - June 30, 2005	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance
 Special Revenue Fund
 Federal Grants

Year Ended June 30, 2005

	Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)/ Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT)				
	Air Qlt Awareness Alternative Trans	Rideshare	Greene Co. US 35 Corridor MIS	Montg. Co US 35 Corridor MIS	175 @ Central W. Carrollton
Revenues:					
Grantor Agency	\$ 336,072	\$ 215,253	\$ 74,222	\$ 7,026	\$ 5,774
Other	-	-	-	-	-
Total Revenues	<u>336,072</u>	<u>215,253</u>	<u>74,222</u>	<u>7,026</u>	<u>5,774</u>
Expenditures:					
Personnel	111,008	61,949	6,572	4,175	3,433
Contractual	56,268	13,097	62,698	-	-
Other	88,076	97,970	471	41	-
Indirect Costs	75,685	42,237	4,481	2,846	2,341
Capital Outlays	5,035	-	-	-	-
Total Expenditures	<u>336,072</u>	<u>215,253</u>	<u>74,222</u>	<u>7,062</u>	<u>5,774</u>
Excess of Expenditures Over Revenues	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>(36)</u>	<u>-</u>
Other Financing Sources (Uses):					
Transfers-In	-	-	-	36	-
Transfers-Out	-	-	-	-	-
Fund Balance - July 1, 2004	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
Fund Balance - June 30, 2005	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance
Special Revenue Fund
Federal Grants

Year Ended June 30, 2005

	Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)/ Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT)				
	Dayton Renaissssance Plan	US 42 and US 35 Upgrade	Western Montg Co Transportation Plan	Austin Road Access Assessment	Landuse & Highway Noise
Revenues:					
Grantor Agency	\$ 207,019	\$ 131,969	\$ 16,451	\$ 13,720	\$ 6,261
Other	-	-	-	-	-
Total Revenues	<u>207,019</u>	<u>131,969</u>	<u>16,451</u>	<u>13,720</u>	<u>6,261</u>
Expenditures:					
Personnel	11,798	5,417	9,757	8,099	3,723
Contractual	186,877	122,719	-	-	-
Other	300	140	42	99	-
Indirect Costs	8,044	3,693	6,652	5,522	2,538
Capital Outlays	-	-	-	-	-
Total Expenditures	<u>207,019</u>	<u>131,969</u>	<u>16,451</u>	<u>13,720</u>	<u>6,261</u>
Excess of Expenditures Over Revenues	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
Other Financing Sources (Uses):					
Transfers-In	-	-	-	-	-
Transfers-Out	-	-	-	-	-
Fund Balance - July 1, 2004	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
Fund Balance - June 30, 2005	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance
Special Revenue Fund
Federal Grants

Year Ended June 30, 2005

	Federal Transit Admin	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency		Total Federal Grants
	MIS for Dayton Light Rail OH-03-0173	Ohio EPA Water Quality Mgmt FY2005 604(b)	Miami Conservancy District Great Miami River Watershed Initiative	
Revenues:				
Grantor Agency	\$ 49,685	\$ 50,241	\$ 5,282	2,219,744
Other	-	-	-	122,196
Total Revenues	<u>49,685</u>	<u>50,241</u>	<u>5,282</u>	<u>2,341,940</u>
Expenditures:				
Personnel	14,076	29,631	4,184	1,035,629
Contractual	26,000	-	-	484,998
Other	4	446	-	218,403
Indirect Costs	9,597	20,203	2,854	706,094
Capital Outlays	-	-	-	21,133
Total Expenditures	<u>49,677</u>	<u>50,280</u>	<u>7,038</u>	<u>2,466,257</u>
Excess of Expenditures Over Revenues	<u>8</u>	<u>(39)</u>	<u>(1,756)</u>	<u>124,317</u>
Other Financing Sources (Uses):				
Transfers-In	-	39	1,756	124,325
Transfers-Out	(8)	-	-	(\$ 8)
Fund Balance - July 1, 2004	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
Fund Balance - June 30, 2005	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

Schedule of General Capital Assets

June 30, 2005

Capital Assets	
Furniture and Fixtures	\$ 69,153
Equipment	318,122
Total Capital Assets	<u>387,275</u>
Less: Accumulated Depreciation	<u>(248,151)</u>
Total Capital Assets, net	<u><u>139,124</u></u>

Investment in Capital Assets	
General Fund	\$ 353,835
Special Revenue Funds	33,439
Total Investment in Capital Assets	<u>\$ 387,274</u>
Less: Accumulated Depreciation	<u>(248,151)</u>
Total Investment in Capital Assets, net	<u><u>\$ 139,123</u></u>

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

Schedule of Changes in Capital Assets

Year Ended June 30, 2005

	Balances at <u>7/1/04</u>	Additions	Deletions	Balances at <u>6/30/05</u>
<u>Capital Assets</u>				
Furniture and Fixtures	\$ 69,153	-	-	\$ 69,153
Equipment	<u>289,704</u>	<u>50,968</u>	<u>(22,550)</u>	<u>318,122</u>
Total Capital Assets	\$ 358,857	50,968	(22,550)	\$ 387,275
<u>Accumulated Depreciation</u>				
Furniture and Fixtures	2,194	9,879	-	12,073
Equipment	<u>220,048</u>	<u>36,735</u>	<u>(20,705)</u>	<u>236,078</u>
Total Accumulated Depreciation	<u>222,242</u>	<u>46,614</u>	<u>(20,705)</u>	<u>248,151</u>
Total Capital Assets, net	<u><u>\$ 136,615</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 4,354</u></u>	<u><u>(\$ 1,845)</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 139,124</u></u>

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

**Schedule of Fringe Benefit Cost Pool Charges, Rate Base, Final
Rate Computation and Current Year's Recovery Comparison**

Year Ended June 30, 2005

Fringe Benefit Cost Pool Charges:

Public Employees Retirement System Contributions	\$ 188,267
Health Insurance Premiums	180,353
Life Insurance Premiums	1,490
Workers' Compensation Premiums	16,678
Unemployment Insurance	1,565
F.I.C.A. (Medicare) Expenses	15,917
Sick Leave Pay	54,350
Holiday Pay	53,280
Vacation, Personal and Other Leave	102,919
Jury Duty & Military Pay	10,600
Retirement Pay	12,757
Employee parking	21,133
Total Fringe Benefit Cost Pool Charges	<u>\$ 659,309</u>

Fringe Benefit Cost Rate Base:

Salaries	<u><u>\$ 1,117,629</u></u>
----------	----------------------------

Final Fringe Benefit Cost Rate Computation:

Total Fringe Benefit Cost Pool Charges	<u>\$ 659,309</u>
Divided By: Total Fringe Benefit Cost Rate Base	<u>1,117,629</u>
Equals - Final Fringe Benefit Cost Rate	<u><u>58.99%</u></u>

Current Year's Cost Recovery Comparison:

Fringe Benefit Costs Recovered	\$ 659,066
Total Fringe Benefit Cost Pool Charges	<u>659,309</u>
Over (Under) Recovered Costs	<u><u>\$ (243)</u></u>

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

**Schedule of Indirect Cost Pool Charges, Rate Base, Final Rate
Computation and Current Year's Recovery Comparison**

Year Ended June 30, 2005

Indirect Cost Pool Charges:

Salaries	\$	352,363
Allocated Fringe Benefits (58.99%)		207,789
Contractual Services		39,437
Communication and Supplies		35,061
Rents and Rentals		97,798
Utilities		11,287
Travel		488
Maintenance and Repairs		5,006
Other Costs		29,293
Allowance for Depreciation		44,663
Total Indirect Costs		\$ 823,185

Indirect Cost Rate Base:

Direct Salaries	\$	759,471
Allocated Fringe Benefits 58.99%		447,860
Total Indirect Cost Rate Base		\$ 1,207,331

Final Indirect Cost Rate Computation:

Total Indirect Cost Pool Charges	\$	823,185
Divided By: Total Indirect Cost Rate Base		\$ 1,207,331
Equals - Final Indirect Cost Rate		68.18%

Current Year's Cost Recovery Comparison:

Indirect Cost Recovered	\$	823,158
Total Indirect Costs		823,185
Over (Under) Recovered Costs		\$ (27)

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

**Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures - Federal Transit Administration and
Federal Highway Administration/Ohio Department of Transportation -
Fiscal Year 2005 Transportation Consolidated Planning Grant Work Elements**

601	602	605	610	625	695	697	
Short Range	Transportation Improvement Program	Monitoring and Surveillance	Review & Appraisal	Service	Transportation Administration Program	Transportation Annual Report	Total
\$ 109,212.01	\$ 61,740.16	\$ 396,204.45	\$ 212,110.66	\$ 92,144.55	\$ 90,440.16	\$ 15,718.01	\$ 977,570.00
13,651.48	7,717.54	49,525.58	26,513.50	11,518.10	11,305.04	1,964.76	122,196.00
122,863.49	69,457.70	445,730.03	238,624.16	103,662.65	101,745.20	17,682.77	1,099,766.00
13,651.33	7,717.53	49,525.52	26,811.33	11,518.00	11,305.02	1,964.73	122,493.46
\$ 136,514.82	\$ 77,175.23	\$ 495,255.55	\$ 265,435.49	\$ 115,180.65	\$ 113,050.22	\$ 19,647.50	\$ 1,222,259.46
Revenues:							
Federal Transit Administration / Federal Highway Administration CPG Grant							
Ohio Dept. of Transportation							
Match to CPG Grant							
Total Revenues							
Other Financing Sources:							
Operating Transfers-In							
Total Revenues and Other Sources							
\$ 50,086.20	\$ 28,615.49	\$ 175,953.24	\$ 97,720.22	\$ 40,708.70	\$ 40,411.48	\$ 1,981.44	\$ 435,476.77
29,535.83	16,874.55	103,759.63	57,625.61	24,005.92	23,830.65	1,168.46	256,800.65
-	-	-	-	-	-	12,890.00	12,890.00
2,606.49	670.08	8,735.95	4,174.87	6,343.61	5,007.81	1,460.00	28,998.81
54,286.30	31,015.11	190,708.23	105,914.79	44,122.42	43,800.28	2,147.60	471,994.73
-	-	16,098.50	-	-	-	-	16,098.50
\$ 136,514.82	\$ 77,175.23	\$ 495,255.55	\$ 265,435.49	\$ 115,180.65	\$ 113,050.22	\$ 19,647.50	\$ 1,222,259.46
Expenditures:							
Salaries							
Fringe Benefits							
Contract Services							
Other							
Indirect Costs							
Capital Assets							
Total Expenditures							

MIAMI VALLEY REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION

**Board Members
As of June 30, 2005**

	<u>MEMBER</u>	<u>ALTERNATE</u>
<u>COUNTIES</u>		
Darke County	Terry Haworth	Robert Downing
Greene County	Marilyn Reid	Rick Perales
Miami County	Ronald Widener	Vacant
Montgomery County	Charles Curran	Deborah Lieberman
Preble County	David Wesler	Jane Marshall
<u>MUNICIPALITIES</u>		
City of Beavercreek	Phyllis Howard	Robert Glaser
City of Bellbrook	Pat Campbell	Robert Baird
City of Brookville	David Seagraves	John Wright
City of Carlisle	Gerald Ellender	Brad Townsend
City of Centerville	Mark Kingseed	Douglas Cline
City of Clayton	Tim Gorman	David Rowlands
City of Dayton	Richard Zimmer	Matt Joseph
City of Englewood	Judy Gerhard	Eric Smith
City of Fairborn	Thomas Nagel	Michael Cornell
City of Franklin	Scott Lipps	Jim Lukas
City of Huber Heights	Jan Vargo	Judy Blankenship
City of Kettering	Don Patterson	Peggy Lehner
City of Miamisburg	Dick Church	John Weithofer
City of Moraine	Bob Rosencrans	Bryon Blake
City of New Carlisle	Raymond Lowrey	Tina Roberts
City of Oakwood	Carlo McGinnis	Norbert Klopsch
City of Piqua	Robert Debrosse	Frank Barhorst
City of Riverside	Sara Lommatzsch	Johnnie Doan
City of Springboro	John Agenbroad	Christine Thompson
City of Tipp City	Donald Ochs	David Collinsworth
City of Trotwood	Charles Vaughn	Michael Lucking
City of Troy	Michael Beamish	David Anderson
City of Union	Robert Packard	John Applegate
City of Vandalia	William Loy	Hal Hunter
City of West Carrollton	Jack Jensen	Bill Gordon
City of Xenia	Eric Winston	Lawrence Gordon
<u>VILLAGES</u>		
Village of Eldorado	Esther Thompson	Rod Dunham
Village of Farmersville	Nathan Roach	Tom Sears
Village of Germantown	Lynn Koogler	Randy Bukas
Village of New Lebanon	Larry Shock	Anna Clark
Village of New Madison	Patty Jackson	Steven Eadler
Village of Phillipsburg	Charles Marquis	Kenneth Henz
Village of Waynesville	Ernie Lawson	Rod Smith
Village of West Milton	Donald Hamann	Martin Gabbard
Village of Yellow Springs	Denise Swinger	Tony Arnett
<u>TOWNSHIPS</u>		
Beavercreek Twp., Greene County	Carol Graff	Richard Little
Bethel Twp., Miami County	Jerry Hirt	Beth vanHaaren
Butler Twp., Montgomery County	Eleanor Lewis	Joseph Ellis
Clay Twp., Montgomery County	Donald Aukerman	Lon Chambers
Concord Twp., Miami County	Robert Shook	Warren Davidson

MIAMI VALLEY REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION

**Board Members
As of June 30, 2005**

	<u>MEMBER</u>	<u>ALTERNATE</u>
<u>TOWNSHIPS cont'd</u>		
Franklin Twp., Warren County	Elmo Rose	Vacant
German Twp., Montgomery County	Greg Hanahan	Lowell Draffen
Harrison Twp., Montgomery County	Roland Winburn	George Curry
Jefferson Twp., Montgomery County	Brice Sims	Robert Bradley
Miami Twp., Montgomery County	Deborah Preston	Douglas Zink
Monroe Twp. Miami County	James Flesher	Ronald Thuma
Perry Twp., Montgomery County	Gerald Peters	Vacant
Sugarcreek Twp., Montgomery County	Marvin Moeller	Nadine Daugherty
Union Twp., Miami County	George Mote	Bill Coate
Washington Twp., Montgomery County	Joyce Young	Gary Huff
Xenia Twp., Greene County	Richard Montgomery	Scott W. Miller
<u>OTHER GOVERNMENTAL</u>		
Five Rivers Metro Parks	Charles Shoemaker	Carrie Scarff
Greater Dayton RTA	Michael Kelly	James Foster
Greene County Engineer	Robert Geyer	Vacant
Greene County Transit Board	Marc Marderosian	Rich Schultze
Miami Conservancy District	Janet Bly	Hans Landefeld
Miami County Engineer	Doug Christian	Paul Huelskamp
Miami County Transit	Daniel Brandewie	Andrew Votava
Montgomery County Engineer	Joseph Litvin	Robert Hoag
Montgomery County TID	Steve Stanley	Eric Cluxton
ODOT District 7	William Harrison	Randy Chevalley
ODOT District 8	Hans Jindal	Vacant
Sinclair Community College	Hank Dunn	Vacant
Wright Patterson Air Force Base	Col. Andrew Weaver	Col. Stephen Allaire
Wright State University	Robert Hickey	Jack Dustin
<u>NON-GOVERNMENTAL</u>		
Bank One, Dayton N.A.	Bradley Tidwell	Lawrence Clarke
Dayton Area Board of Realtors	Jesse C. Livesay	Thomas Fortener
Dayton Area Chamber of Commerce	Phillip Parker	Vacant
Delphi Corporation	Robert Jordan	Vacant
Dayton Power & Light Company	Art Meyer	Scott Kelly
General Motors Corporation	Paula Shaheen	Jessica Peck
Greater Dayton Area Hospital Assn.	Bryan Bucklew	Kelly Brown
Home Builders Association	David Bohardt	Jim Zengel
Jet Express, Inc.	Kevin Burch	Greg Atkinson
Miller-Valentine Group	Todd Duplain	Jason Woodard
Montgomery County Farm Bureau	Chris Helsinger	Dale Seim
National City Bank	Tom Studevart	Vacant
SBC	Toni Perry Gillispie	Vacant
South Metro Regional Chamber of Commerce	Julia Maxton	Stephen Allaire
Time Warner Cable	Richard Hutchinson	Michael Gray
Troy Area Chamber of Commerce	Arthur Haddad	Jay Moeller
University of Dayton	Ted Bucaro	Vacant
Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio	Stephen Bramlage	Doug O'Meara

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

**Executive Committee
as of June 30, 2005**

Chair:	B. Ronald Widener	Miami County
First Vice-Chair:	Jack Jensen	City of West Carrollton
Second Vice-Chair:	Marilyn Reed	Greene County
DARKE COUNTY:	Terry Haworth	Darke County
GREENE COUNTY:	Pat Campbell	City of Bellbrook
	Carol Graff	Beavercreek Township
	Thomas Nagel	City of Fairborn
	Eric Winston	City of Xenia
MIAMI COUNTY:	James Flesher	Monroe Township
	Donald Ochs	City of Tipp City
MONTGOMERY COUNTY:	Charles Curran	Montgomery County
	Richard Church	City of Miamisburg
	Richard Zimmer	City of Dayton
	Donald Patterson	City of Kettering
	Gerald Peters	Perry Township
PREBLE COUNTY:	David Wesler	Preble County
NONGOVERNMENTAL:	Phillip Parker	Dayton Area Chamber of Commerce
	Charles Shoemaker	Five Rivers Metro Parks
	Stephen Bramlage	Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio

**Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards
Year Ended June 30, 2005**

Federal Grantor/ Pass-Through Grantor/ Program Title	Grant Number or Description	Federal CFDA Number	Expenditures
U. S. Environmental Protection Agency			
Pass-Through, Ohio Environmental Protection Agency			
Water Quality Management Planning	604(b) FY 2005	66.454	\$ 50,241
Pass-Through, Miami Conservancy District			
Targeted Watershed Grant	WS-96511901-0	66.439	5,282
Total Environmental Protection Agency			<u>\$ 55,523</u>
U. S. Department of Transportation			
Federal Transit Administration			
Section 5309 New Start	OH-03-0173-00	20.500	<u>\$ 49,685</u>
Pass-Through, Ohio Department of Transportation			
Highway Planning and Construction	Consolidated Planning FY 2005	20.205	\$ 977,570
	Rideshare FY 2005	20.205	215,253
	Alternative Trans & Air Qlt Awareness	20.205	336,072
	Supplemental Planning	20.205	78,975
	Landuse & Highway Noise	20.205	6,261
	I75 @ S. Dixie/Central Ave	20.205	5,774
	Greene Co US35 Corridor MIS	20.205	74,222
	Montg. Co US35 Corridor MIS	20.205	7,026
	Long Range Plan Visioning	20.205	32,868
	Dayton Renaissance Plan	20.205	207,019
	ITS Architecture	20.205	8,936
	Western Montg Co Transp. Plan	20.205	16,451
	Austin Road	20.205	13,720
	US42/35 Upgrade Study	20.205	131,969
	Dayton CBD 1-way to 2-way St	20.205	2,420
Total Highway Planning and Construction			<u>\$ 2,114,536</u>
Total Department of Transportation			<u>\$ 2,164,221</u>
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards			<u><u>\$ 2,219,744</u></u>

The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards was prepared using the accrual basis method of accounting.



Clark, Schaefer, Hackett & Co.

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
BUSINESS CONSULTANTS

www.cshco.com

Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*

To the Board of Directors
Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities and each major fund of the Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission as of and for the year ended June 30, 2005, which collectively comprise the Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated September 30, 2005. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements caused by error or fraud in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended solely for the information of the audit committee, management, the Auditor of State of Ohio, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Clark, Schaefer, Hackett & Co.

Springfield, Ohio
September 30, 2005



Clark, Schaefer, Hackett & Co.

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
BUSINESS CONSULTANTS

www.cshco.com

Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and on Internal Control over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133

To the Board of Directors and Members
Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

Compliance

We have audited the compliance of Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission with the types of compliance requirements described in the *U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2005. The Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2005.

Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133.

Our consideration of the internal control over compliance would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that noncompliance with applicable requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants that would be material in relation to a major federal program being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over compliance and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

This report is intended solely for the information of the audit committee, management, the Auditor of State of Ohio, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Clark, Schaefer, Hackett & Co.

Springfield, Ohio
September 30, 2005

**SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS
OMB CIRCULAR A-133 § .505**

MIAMI VALLEY REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION

JUNE 30, 2005

1. SUMMARY OF AUDITORS' RESULTS		
--	--	--

(d)(1)(i)	Type of Financial Statement Opinion	Unqualified
(d)(1)(ii)	Were there any material control weakness conditions reported at the financial statement level (GAGAS)?	No
(d)(1)(ii)	Were there any other reportable control weakness conditions reported at the financial statement level (GAGAS)?	No
(d)(1)(iii)	Were there any reported non-compliance at the financial statement level (GAGAS)?	No
(d)(1)(iv)	Were there any material internal control weakness conditions reported for major federal programs?	No
(d)(1)(iv)	Were there any other reportable internal control weakness conditions reported for major federal programs?	No
(d)(1)(v)	Type of Major Programs' Compliance Opinion	Unqualified
(d)(1)(vi)	Are there any reportable findings under § .510?	No
(d)(1)(vii)	Major Programs (list):	Highway Planning and Construction; CFDA #20.205
(d)(1)(viii)	Dollar Threshold: Type A\B Programs	Type A: > \$300,000 Type B: All others
(d)(1)(ix)	Low Risk Auditee?	Yes

2. FINDINGS RELATED TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
REQUIRED TO BE REPORTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GAGAS

Findings: None

3. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR FEDERAL AWARDS

Findings: None

**SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS
OMB CIRCULAR A-133 § .315(b)**

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

June 30, 2005

Prior Audit Findings:

None



**Auditor of State
Betty Montgomery**

88 East Broad Street
P.O. Box 1140
Columbus, Ohio 43216-1140

Telephone 614-466-4514
800-282-0370

Facsimile 614-466-4490

MIAMI VALLEY REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION

MONTGOMERY COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbitt

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

**CERTIFIED
MAY 4, 2006**