



Mary Taylor, CPA  
Auditor of State



**DEMOCRATIC PARTY  
LAWRENCE COUNTY**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures.....	1

**This page intentionally left blank.**



# Mary Taylor, CPA

## Auditor of State

### INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Democratic Executive Committee  
Lawrence County  
311 Park Avenue  
Ironton, Ohio 45638

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, to which the Democratic Executive Committee (the Committee) agreed, solely to assist the Committee in evaluating its compliance with certain requirements included in Ohio Rev. Code Sections 3517.1012, 3517.13(X)(1), 2(b), (3)(a), and (4), 3517.17, and 3517.18, for the year ended December 31, 2006. The Committee is responsible for complying with these requirements. We followed the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards for agreed-upon procedures engagements. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the Committee. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

#### Cash Receipts

1. We inquired of the Committee whether they deposited all gifts from corporations, labor organizations and all gifts restricted to operations into the same fund into which they deposit amounts received from the State Tax Commissioner. Management informed us they received no such gifts.
2. We footed each *Statement of Political Party Restricted Fund Deposits* Ohio Rev. Code Section 3517.17 requires (Deposit Form 31-CC) filed for 2006. We noted no computational errors.
3. We compared bank deposits reflected in 2006 restricted fund bank statements to total deposits recorded on Deposit Forms 31-CC filed for 2006. We did not receive bank statements for January through May 2006 and August 2006. However, we noted a bank deposit of \$55.20 on June 27, 2006 that was not recorded on the Form and for which no supporting documentation was provided.
4. We confirmed amounts received from the State Tax Commissioner pursuant to Ohio Rev. Code 3517.17(A), and agreed them to amounts shown on Deposit Forms 31-CC filed for 2006. We noted a receipt of \$1.54 dated January 11, 2006, from the confirmation that was not shown on the Form, nor could we trace it to any of the bank statements that were provided. We also noted a variance of \$98.55 from the confirmation amount of \$326.84 dated April 10, 2006, to the amount of \$425.39 recorded on the Form. We could not trace either of these amounts to any of the bank statements that were provided.
5. We scanned other recorded 2006 receipts for evidence that a corporation or labor organization may have exceeded the \$10,000 annual gift limit Ohio Rev. Code 3517.13(X)(3)(a) imposes. We found no evidence that any corporation or labor organization exceeded this limit.

### **Cash Reconciliation**

1. We recomputed the mathematical accuracy of the December 31, 2006 reconciliation for the bank account used for receipts and disbursements restricted pursuant to Ohio Rev. Code Section 3517.1012(A). We found no computational errors.
2. We agreed the bank balance on the reconciliation to the bank statement balance as of December 10, 2006. The balances agreed.

### **Cash Disbursements**

1. We footed each *Statement of Political Party Restricted Fund Disbursements* Ohio Rev. Code Section 3517.17 requires (Disbursement Form 31-M), filed for 2006. We noted no computational errors.
2. Per Ohio Rev. Code 3517.13(X)(1), we scanned Disbursement Form 31-M filed for 2006 and inquired of management whether they transferred any cash from the restricted fund to any other political party account into which contributions may be made or from which contributions or expenditures may be made. We found no evidence of prohibited transfers.
3. We compared the amounts on checks or other disbursements reflected in 2006 restricted fund bank statements to disbursement amounts reported on Disbursement Forms 31-M filed for 2006. However, we did not receive bank statements for January through May 2006 and August 2006.
4. For each disbursement on Disbursement Forms 31-M filed for 2006, we traced the payee and amount to payee invoices and to the payee's name on canceled checks. The payees and amounts recorded on Disbursement Forms 31-M agreed to the payees and amounts on the canceled checks and invoices. However, we noted \$40.00 in disbursements recorded on the Form for which supporting documentation was not provided.
5. We scanned the payee for each 2006 disbursement for evidence that it might represent a contribution or campaign-related disbursement, both of which Ohio Rev. Code 3517.13(X)(2)(b) prohibit. We found no evidence that any restricted fund disbursements represented contributions or campaign-related disbursements.
6. We compared the signature on 2006 checks to the list of authorized signatories the Committee provided to us. The signatory on all checks was an approved signatory. We could not compare the endorsement to the payee listed on the check since only a copy of the front of the check was provided.
7. We scanned each 2006 restricted fund disbursement recorded on Form 31-M for evidence that it represented a transfer from the restricted fund to any other state or county political party, which Ohio Rev. Code 3517(X)(4) prohibits. We found no evidence of any transfers.
8. We compared the purpose of each disbursement listed on 2006 Disbursement Forms 31-M to the purpose listed on the vendor invoice and to the purposes Ohio Rev. Code Section 3517.18 permits. We found no instances where the purpose described on the invoice violated the restrictions of Ohio Rev. Code Section 3517.18. However, we noted \$40.00 in disbursements for which no invoice or supporting documentation was provided.

We were not engaged to, and did not examine each *Statement of Political Party Restricted Fund Deposits* and *Statement of Political Party Restricted Fund Disbursements* filed for 2006, the objective of which would have been to opine on compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that we would have reported to you.

Democratic Executive Committee  
Lawrence County  
Independent Accountants' Report on applying Agreed-Upon Procedures  
Page 3

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Secretary of State of Ohio and for the Democratic Executive Committee and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone else.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mary Taylor". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

**Mary Taylor, CPA**  
Auditor of State

February 20, 2008







**Mary Taylor, CPA**  
Auditor of State

**DEMOCRATIC PARTY**

**LAWRENCE COUNTY**

**CLERK'S CERTIFICATION**

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

*Susan Babbitt*

**CLERK OF THE BUREAU**

**CERTIFIED  
APRIL 1, 2008**