



Mary Taylor, CPA
Auditor of State

**FAIRFIELD COUNTY AGRICULTURE SOCIETY
FAIRFIELD COUNTY**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE	PAGE
Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures	1

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



Mary Taylor, CPA

Auditor of State

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Fairfield County Agricultural Society
Fairfield County
P.O. Box 945
Lancaster, Ohio 43130

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Board of Directors and the management of the Fairfield County Agricultural Society (the Society) agreed, solely to assist the Board in evaluating receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended November 30, 2009 and 2008, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Management is responsible for recording transactions; and management and the Board are responsible for complying with the compliance requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

Cash and Investments

1. We tested the mathematical accuracy of the November 30, 2009 and November 30, 2008 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
2. We agreed the December 1, 2008 beginning fund balances recorded to the November 30, 2007 balances in the prior year audited statements. We found no exceptions.
3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the November 30, 2009 and 2008 fund cash balance reported in the Cash Balance Report. The amounts agreed.
4. We confirmed the November 30, 2009 bank account balances with the Society's financial institutions. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the November 30, 2009 bank reconciliation without exception.
5. We selected five outstanding checks haphazardly from the November 30, 2009 bank reconciliation:
 - a. We traced each check to the debit appearing in the subsequent December bank statement. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We traced the amounts and date written to the check register, to determine the checks were dated prior to November 30. We noted no exceptions.

Cash and Investments (Continued)

6. We tested investments held at November 30, 2009 and November 30, 2008 to determine that they:
- a. Were of a type authorized by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 135.13, 135.14 or 135.144. We found no exceptions.
 - b. Mature within the prescribed time limits noted in Ohio Rev. Code Section 135.13 or 135.14. We noted no exceptions.

Admission/Grandstand Receipts

We haphazardly selected one day of admission/grandstand cash receipts from the year ended November 30, 2009 and one day of admission/grandstand cash receipts from the year ended November 30, 2008 recorded in the Transaction Summary Report and determine whether the receipt amount agreed to the supporting documentation (ticket recapitulation sheets/cash register tapes, etc). The amounts agreed.

Privilege Fee Receipts

We haphazardly selected 10 privilege fee cash receipts from the year ended November 30, 2009 and 10 privilege fee cash receipts from the year ended November 30, 2008 recorded in the duplicate cash receipts book and determined whether the:

- a. Receipt amount agreed to the amount recorded in the Transaction Summary Report. The amounts agreed.
- b. Amount charged complied with rates in force during the period. We found no exceptions.
- c. Receipt was recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Rental Receipts

We haphazardly selected 10 rental cash receipts from the year ended November 30, 2009 and 10 rental cash receipts from the year ended November 30, 2008 recorded in the duplicate cash receipts book and determined whether the:

- a. Receipt amount agreed to the amount recorded in the Transaction Summary Report. The amounts agreed.
- b. Amount charged complied with rates in force during the period. We found no exceptions.
- c. Receipt was recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Over-The-Counter Cash Receipts

We haphazardly selected 10 over-the-counter cash receipts from the year ended December 31, 2008 recorded in the duplicate cash receipts book and determined whether the:

- a. Receipt amount agreed to the amount recorded in the Transaction Summary Report. The amounts agreed.
- b. Receipt was recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Debt

We inquired of management, and scanned the receipt and expenditure records for evidence of loan or credit agreements, and bonded, note, County, or mortgage debt issued during 2009 or 2008 or outstanding as of November 30, 2009 or 2008. We noted no new debt issuances, nor any debt payment activity during 2009 or 2008.

Payroll Cash Disbursements

1. We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2009 and one payroll check for five employees from 2008 from the Payroll Register and determined whether the following information in the employees' personnel files or minute record was consistent with the information used to compute gross and net pay related to this check:
 - a. Name,
 - b. Authorized salary or pay rate,
 - c. Retirement system participation and payroll withholding,
 - d. Federal, State & Local income tax withholding authorization and withholding, and
 - e. Any other deduction authorizations (deferred compensation, etc.).

We found no exceptions related to a. – e. above.

2. We tested the checks we selected in step 1, as follows:
 - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary amount used in computing gross pay to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively or statutorily-approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
 - b. We re-computed gross and net pay and agreed it to the amount recorded in the payroll register. We found no exceptions.
3. We scanned the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended November 30, 2009 to determine whether remittances were timely paid, and that the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld during the final withholding period during 2009. We noted the following:

Withholding	Date Due	Date Paid	Amount Withheld	Amount Paid
Federal income taxes and social security	December 31, 2009	November 30, 2009	\$1,391	\$1,391
State income taxes	December 15, 2009	November 30, 2009	215	215
Local income tax	December 20, 2009	November 30, 2009	165	165

Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements

1. We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Transaction Summary Report for the year ended November 30, 2009 and ten from the year ended 2008 and determined whether:
 - a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
 - b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Transaction Summary Report and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
 - c. Based on the nature of the expenditure, the account coding is reasonable. We found no exceptions.

Compliance – Contracts & Expenditures

We inquired of management, who informed us of one construction contract in effect during 2008. We read the contract and noted that it did not require the contractor to pay prevailing wages to their employees as required by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 4115.04 and 4115.05. The contract did not include the Ohio Department of Commerce's schedule of prevailing rates, and did not require the contractor to incorporate the prevailing wage requirements into its subcontracts. We received no Officials' Response.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Society's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we will not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report will be intended solely for the information and use of the audit committee, management and Board of Directors, and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.



Mary Taylor, CPA
Auditor of State

September 17, 2010



Mary Taylor, CPA
Auditor of State

FAIRFIELD COUNTY AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY

FAIRFIELD COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbitt

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

**CERTIFIED
OCTOBER 5, 2010**