



**GENERAL HEALTH DISTRICT  
ASHTABULA COUNTY**

**SINGLE AUDIT  
FOR THE YEAR ENDED  
DECEMBER 31, 2010**





# Dave Yost • Auditor of State

Members of the Board  
Ashtabula County General Health District  
12 West Jefferson Street  
Jefferson, Ohio 44047

We have reviewed the *Independent Accountants' Report* of the Ashtabula County General Health District prepared by Canter & Associates, for the audit period January 1, 2010 through December 31, 2010. Based upon this review, we have accepted these reports in lieu of the audit required by Section 117.11, Revised Code. The Auditor of State did not audit the accompanying financial statements and, accordingly, we are unable to express, and do not express an opinion on them.

The financial statements in the attached report are presented in accordance with a regulatory basis of accounting prescribed or permitted by the Auditor of State. Due to a February 2, 2005 interpretation from the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA), modifications were required to the *Independent Accountants' Report* on your financial statements. While the Auditor of State does not legally require your government to prepare financial statements pursuant to Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP), the AICPA interpretation requires auditors to formally acknowledge that you did not prepare your financial statements in accordance with GAAP. The attached report includes an opinion relating to GAAP presentation and measurement requirements, but does not imply the statements are misstated under the non-GAAP regulatory basis. The *Independent Accountants' Report* also includes an opinion on the financial statements using the regulatory format the Auditor of State permits.

Our review was made in reference to the applicable sections of legislative criteria, as reflected by the Ohio Constitution, and the Revised Code, policies, procedures and guidelines of the Auditor of State, regulations and grant requirements. The Ashtabula County General Health District is responsible for compliance with these laws and regulations.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Dave Yost".

Dave Yost  
Auditor of State

November 4, 2011

**This Page is Intentionally Left Blank.**

**GENERAL HEALTH DISTRICT  
ASHTABULA COUNTY**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Independent Accountants' Report.....	1
Combined Statement of Cash Receipts, Cash Disbursements, and Changes In Fund Cash Balances – All Governmental Fund Types – For the Year Ended December 31, 2010.....	3
Notes to the Financial Statements.....	5
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.....	11
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.....	12
Independent Accountants' Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting And on Compliance and Other Matters Based On An Audit Of Financial Statements Performed In Accordance With <i>Governmental Auditing Standards</i> .....	13
Independent Accountants' Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable To Each Major Federal Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance Required By OMB Circular A-133 .....	15
Schedule of Findings OMB Circular A-133.....	17

**This Page is Intentionally Left Blank.**



20 East McKinley Way, Suite 4  
Poland, Ohio 44514  
Ph: 330.707.9035  
Fax: 888.516.1186

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

WWW.CANTERCPA.NET

## INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT

General Health District  
Ashtabula County  
12 West Jefferson Street  
Jefferson, Ohio 44047

To Members of the Board:

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the General Health District, Ashtabula County, (the District) as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010. These financial statements are the responsibility of the District's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to reasonably assure whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described more fully in Note 1, the District has prepared these financial statements using accounting practices the Auditor of State prescribes or permits. These practices differ from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). Although we cannot reasonably determine the effects on the financial statements of the variances between these regulatory accounting practices and GAAP, we presume they are material.

Instead of the combined funds the accompanying financial statements present, GAAP require presenting entity wide statements and also presenting the District's larger (i.e. major) funds separately. While the District does not follow GAAP, generally accepted auditing standards require us to include the following paragraph if the statements do not substantially conform to GAAP presentation requirements. The Auditor of State permits, but does not require governments to reformat their statements. The District has elected not to follow GAAP statement formatting requirements. The following paragraph does not imply the amounts reported are materially misstated under the accounting basis the Auditor of State permits. Our opinion on the fair presentation of the amounts reported pursuant to its non-GAAP basis is in the second following paragraph.

In our opinion, because of the effects of the matter discussed in the preceding two paragraphs, the financial statements referred to above for the year ended December 31, 2010 do not present fairly, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the financial position of the District as of December 31, 2010, or its changes in financial position for the year then ended.

Also, in our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the combined fund cash balances and reserves for encumbrances of the General Health District, Ashtabula County, as of December 31, 2010, and its combined cash receipts and disbursements for the year then ended on the accounting basis Note 1 describes.

The District has not presented Management's Discussion and Analysis, which accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America has determined is necessary to supplement, although not required to be part of, the financial statements.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated August 15, 2011, on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. While we did not opine on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance, that report describes the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance, and the results of that testing. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*. You should read it in conjunction with this report in assessing the results of our audit.

We conducted our audit to opine on the District's financial statements. The Federal Awards Expenditure Schedule presents additional information and is required by U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. It is not a required part of the financial statements. We subjected this schedule to the auditing procedures applied in our audit of the District's financial statements. For reasons stated in the third paragraph, the basic financial statements do not present fairly, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the financial position of the District, as of December 31, 2010, or its changes in financial position or its cash flows for the year then ended. Therefore we are unable to express, and we do not express, an opinion on the Federal Awards Expenditure Schedule.

Canter & Associates



August 15, 2011



**GENERAL HEALTH DISTRICT  
ASHTABULA COUNTY**

**COMBINED STATEMENT OF CASH RECEIPTS, CASH DISBURSEMENTS, AND  
CHANGES IN FUND CASH BALANCES  
ALL GOVERNMENTAL FUND TYPES  
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010**

	<u>Governmental Fund Types</u>		<b>Totals (Memorandum Only)</b>
	<u>General</u>	<u>Special Revenue</u>	
<b>Cash Receipts:</b>			
Federal awards	\$0	\$447,757	\$447,757
Inspection fees	69,455	52,246	121,701
Permits	0	292,960	292,960
Subdivision Assessment	725,000	0	725,000
Licenses	74,967	0	74,967
State Monies	0	125,508	125,508
Other receipts	45,352	44,160	89,512
<b>Total Cash Receipts</b>	<u>914,774</u>	<u>962,631</u>	<u>1,877,405</u>
<b>Cash Disbursements:</b>			
Salaries	278,708	435,195	713,903
Supplies	8,778	79,227	88,005
Remittances to State	0	93,751	93,751
Equipment	70,000	1,000	71,000
Contracts - Repair	1,000	0	1,000
Contracts - Services	71,797	14,880	86,677
Travel	30,509	47,595	78,104
Insurance	137,113	0	137,113
Public employee's retirement	130,901	0	130,901
Worker's compensation	8,174	0	8,174
Project Fund Expenditures	0	557,339	557,339
Other	100,526	0	100,526
<b>Total Disbursements</b>	<u>837,506</u>	<u>1,228,987</u>	<u>2,066,493</u>
<b>Total Receipts Over/(Under) Disbursements</b>	<u>77,268</u>	<u>(266,356)</u>	<u>(189,088)</u>
<b>Other Financing Receipts/(Disbursements):</b>			
Transfers-In	30,162	13,936	44,098
Transfers-Out	(13,936)	(30,162)	(44,098)
<b>Total Other Financing Receipts/(Disbursements)</b>	<u>16,226</u>	<u>(16,226)</u>	<u>0</u>
<b>Excess of Cash Receipts and Other Financing Receipts Over/(Under) Cash Disbursements and Other Financing Disbursements</b>	<u>93,494</u>	<u>(282,582)</u>	<u>(189,088)</u>
<b>Fund Cash Balances, January 1</b>	<u>993,569</u>	<u>919,485</u>	<u>1,913,054</u>
<b>Fund Cash Balances, December 31</b>	<u><u>\$1,087,063</u></u>	<u><u>\$636,903</u></u>	<u><u>\$1,723,966</u></u>
<b>Reserves for Encumbrances, December 31</b>	<u><u>\$22,043</u></u>	<u><u>\$1,105</u></u>	<u><u>\$23,148</u></u>

*The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.*

*This page intentionally left blank.*

**GENERAL HEALTH DISTRICT  
ASHTABULA COUNTY**

**NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2010**

**1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

**A. Description of the Entity**

Empowered by Section 3709.01, Revised Code, the General Health District, Ashtabula County (the District) consists of the County's 27 Townships, 7 Villages, and 1 City not incorporated as a City Health District within Ashtabula County. The chief executive officer of each constituent political subdivision serves on the District Advisory Council, which meets annually on the first Monday in March to appoint the members of the General Health District, Ashtabula County, or to fill any Board vacancies that may have occurred. The General Health District appoints a Health Commissioner to a term not to exceed 2 years. As chief executive officer, the Health Commissioner presides over the County Health Department and reports to the Board at its regular meeting on the first Wednesday of each month.

As a separate political entity, the General Health District operates autonomously from the government of Ashtabula County. However, the Revised Code charges the county auditor and county treasurer to serve in similar capacities for the District. Charged with maintaining the health and welfare of the General Health District, the General Health District is empowered to enforce the laws, rules and regulations as promulgated by the appropriate federal and state legislatures, departments, and agencies.

The General Health District's management believes these financial statements present all activities for which the District is financially accountable.

**B. Basis of Accounting**

These financial statements follow the basis of accounting the Auditor of State prescribes or permits. This basis is similar to the cash receipts and disbursements accounting basis. The District recognizes receipts when received in cash rather than when earned and recognizes disbursements when paid rather than when a liability is incurred. Budgetary presentations report budgetary expenditures when a commitment is made (i.e., when an encumbrance is approved).

These statements include adequate disclosure of material matters, as the Auditor of State prescribes.

**C. Cash**

As required by Ohio Revised Code, the County Treasurer is custodian for the District's cash. The County's cash and investment pool holds the District's assets, valued at the County Treasurer's reported carrying amount.

**D. Fund Accounting**

The District uses fund accounting to segregate cash and investments that are restricted as to use. The District classifies its funds into the following types:

**GENERAL HEALTH DISTRICT  
ASHTABULA COUNTY**

**NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2010  
(Continued)**

**1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)**

**1. General Fund**

The General Fund accounts for all financial resources except those restricted by law or contract.

**2. Special Revenue Funds**

These funds account for proceeds from specific sources (other than from trusts or for capital projects) that are restricted to expenditure for specific purposes. The District had the following significant Special Revenue Funds:

*Solid Waste Fund* – This fund receives license and permit fees. The General Health District retains \$2,500 of each license fee collected and transmits the remainder to the Director of the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency.

*Child and Family Health Grant Fund* – This fund receives Federal grant monies. The monies are used for the prevented care of children.

*Food Service Fund* – This fund received monies from licenses issued to food service providers.

*Public Health Nursing Fund* – This fund receives fees for providing nursing services to the public, such as immunizations and physical testing and their related expenses.

**E. Budgetary Process**

The Ohio Revised Code requires the District to budget each fund annually.

**1. Appropriations**

Budgetary expenditures (that is, disbursements and encumbrances) may not exceed appropriations at the fund, function or object level of control, and appropriations may not exceed estimated resources. The Board must annually approve appropriation measures and subsequent amendments. The County Budget Commission must also approve the annual appropriation measure and amendments thereto. Unencumbered appropriations lapse at year end.

**2. Estimated Resources**

Estimated resources include estimates of cash to be received (budgeted receipts) plus unencumbered cash as of January 1. The County Budget Commission must also approve estimated resources and amendments thereto.

**GENERAL HEALTH DISTRICT  
ASHTABULA COUNTY**

**NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2010  
(Continued)**

**1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)**

**3. Encumbrances**

The Ohio Revised Code requires the District to reserve (encumber) appropriations when commitments are made. Encumbrances outstanding at year end are carried over, and need not be re-appropriated.

A summary of 2010 and 2009 budgetary activity appears in Note 2.

**F. Property, Plant, and Equipment**

The District records disbursements for acquisitions of property, plant, and equipment when paid. The accompanying financial statements do not report these items as assets.

**G. Accumulated Leave**

In certain circumstances, such as upon leaving employment, employees are entitled to cash payments for unused leave. The financial statements do not include a liability for unpaid leave.

**2. BUDGETARY ACTIVITY**

Budgetary activity for the year ended December 31, 2010 follows:

2010 Budgeted vs. Actual Receipts			
Fund Type	Budgeted Receipts	Actual Receipts	Variance
General	\$1,042,700	\$944,936	(\$97,764)
Special Revenue	1,096,571	976,567	(120,004)
Total	\$2,139,271	\$1,921,503	(\$217,768)

2010 Budgeted vs. Actual Budgetary Basis Expenditures			
Fund Type	Appropriation Authority	Budgetary Expenditures	Variance
General	\$1,065,600	\$873,485	\$192,115
Special Revenue	1,345,853	1,260,254	85,599
Total	\$2,411,453	\$2,133,739	\$277,714

**GENERAL HEALTH DISTRICT  
ASHTABULA COUNTY**

**NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2010  
(Continued)**

**3. INTERGOVERNMENTAL FUNDING**

The County apportions the excess of the District's appropriations over other estimated receipts among the townships and municipalities composing the District, based on their taxable property valuations. The County withholds the apportioned excess from property tax settlements and distributes it to the District. The financial statements present these amounts as intergovernmental receipts if received.

**4. RETIREMENT SYSTEM**

The General Health District's employees belong to the Ohio Public Employees Retirement System (OPERS). OPERS is a cost-sharing, multiple-employer plan. The Ohio Revised Code prescribes the plan benefits, which include postretirement healthcare and survivor and disability benefits.

Contribution rates are also prescribed by the Ohio Revised Code. For 2010, OPERS members contributed 10.0 percent of their gross salaries. The General Health District contributed an amount equal to 14.0 percent, of participants' gross salaries. The District has paid all contributions required through December 31, 2010.

**5. RISK MANAGEMENT**

The District is exposed to various risks of property and casualty losses, and injuries to employees.

The District insures against injuries to employees through the Ohio Bureau of Worker's Compensation.

**Risk Pool Membership**

The General Health District is a member of the Public Entity Pool of Ohio (PEP), a risk-sharing pool available to Ohio local governments. PEP provides property and casualty insurance for its members. PEP is a member of the American Public Entity Excess Pool (APEEP). Member governments pay annual contributions to fund PEP. PEP pays judgments, settlements and other expenses resulting from covered claims that exceed the members' deductibles.

Casualty Coverage

For an occurrence prior to January 1, 2009, PEP retains casualty risks up to \$350,000 per occurrence, including automobile loss adjustment expenses. PEP pays a percentage of its contributions to APEEP. APEEP reinsures claims exceeding \$250,000, up to \$1,750,000 per claim and \$10,000,000 in the aggregate per year. Governments can elect up to \$10,000,000 in additional coverage with the General Reinsurance Corporation, through contracts with PEP.

**GENERAL HEALTH DISTRICT  
ASHTABULA COUNTY**

**NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2010  
(Continued)**

**5. RISK MANAGEMENT (Continued)**

For an occurrence on or subsequent to January 1, 2006, PEP retains casualty risk up to \$350,000 per occurrence, including automobile loss adjustment expenses. Claims exceeding \$350,000 are reinsured with APEEP in an amount not to exceed \$2,650,000 for each claim and \$10,000,000 in the aggregate per year. Governments can elect additional coverage, from \$3,000,000 to \$13,000,000 from General Reinsurance Corporation, through contracts with PEP.

If losses exhaust PEP's net assets, APEEP provides excess of funds available coverage up to \$5,000,000 per year, subject to a per claim limit of \$2,000,000 (prior to January 1, 2006) or \$3,000,000 (on or subsequent to January 1, 2006) as noted above.

Property Coverage

Traveler's reinsures specific losses exceeding \$150,000 up to \$600,000,000 per occurrence. This amount was increased to \$300,000 in 2007. For 2007, APEEP reinsures members for specific losses exceeding \$100,000 up to \$300,000 per occurrence, subject to an annual aggregate loss payment. For 2006, APEEP reinsures members for specific losses exceeding \$100,000 up to \$250,000 per occurrence, subject to an annual aggregate loss payment. Travelers provides aggregate stop-loss coverage based upon the combined members' total insurable values. If the stop-loss is reached by payment of losses between \$100,000 and \$250,000 in 2006, or \$100,000 and \$300,000 in 2007, Travelers will then reinsure specific losses exceeding \$100,000 up to their \$600,000,000 per occurrence limit. The aggregate stop-loss limit for 2007 and 2006 were \$2,014,547 and \$1,901,127, respectively.

The aforementioned casualty and property reinsurance agreements do not discharge PEP's primary liability for claims payments on covered losses. Claims exceeding coverage limits are the obligation of the respective local government.

Property and casualty settlements did not exceed insurance coverage for the past three years.

Financial Position

PEP's financial statements (audited by other accountants) conform with generally accepted accounting principles, and reported the following assets, liabilities and net assets at December 31, 2010:

	<u>2010</u>
Assets	\$34,952,010
Liabilities	<u>(14,320,812)</u>
Net Assets	<u><u>\$20,631,198</u></u>

The District's share of these unpaid claims collectible in future years is approximately \$6,648. This payable includes the subsequent year's contribution due if the District terminates participation, as described in the last paragraph below.

**GENERAL HEALTH DISTRICT  
ASHTABULA COUNTY**

**NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2010  
(Continued)**

**5. RISK MANAGEMENT (Continued)**

Based on discussions with PEP, the expected rates PEP charges to compute member contributions, which are used to pay claims as they become due, are not expected to change significantly from those used to determine the contributions detailed below. By contract, the annual liability of each member is limited to the amount of financial contributions required to be made to PEP for each year of membership.

<u>Contributions to PEP</u>	
2010	\$8,984
2009	\$8,259
2008	\$7,185

After completing one year of membership, members may withdraw on each anniversary of the date they joined PEP provided they provide written notice to PEP 60 days in advance of the anniversary date. Upon withdrawal, members are eligible for a full or partial refund of their capital contributions, minus the subsequent year's budgetary contribution. Withdrawing members have no other future obligation to the pool. Also upon withdrawal, payments for all casualty claims and claims expenses become the sole responsibility of the withdrawing member, regardless of whether a claim occurred or was reported prior to the withdrawal.

The District insures against injuries to employees through the Ohio Bureau of Worker's Compensation. Workers' compensation coverage is provided by the State. The District pays the State Workers' Compensation System a premium based on a rate per \$100 of salaries. The rate is calculated based on accident history and administrative costs. The System administers and pays all claims.



**ASHTABULA COUNTY GENERAL HEALTH DISTRICT  
ASHTABULA COUNTY**

**SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS  
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010**

<b>FEDERAL GRANTOR</b> <i>Pass Through Grantor</i> Program Title	Pass Through Entity Number	Federal CFDA Number	Disbursements
<b>U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES:</b>			
<i>Passed Through Ohio Department of Health</i>			
Public Health Emergency Preparedness (PHEP)	04-1-001-2-PH-01-10	93.069	\$59,021
	04-1-001-2-PH-01-11	93.069	<u>57,405</u>
Subtotal - PHEP			116,426
Public Health Emergency Response H1N1 (PHER)	04-1-001-2-PH-02-10	93.069	246,268
	04-1-001-2-PH-02-11	93.069	<u>80,042</u>
Subtotal - PHER			<u>326,310</u>
<i>Passed through the City of Cleveland/ Passed through Cuyahoga County Board of Health:</i>			
Cities Readiness Initiative (CRI)	n/a	93.069	<u>4,749</u>
<b>Total CFDA 93.069</b>			<b>447,485</b>
Maternal and Child Health Service Block Grants	04-1-001-1-MC-03-10	93.994	<u>89,911</u>
<i>Passed through Lake County General Health District:</i>			
Immunization Action Plan	04310012IM0410	93.268	<u>37,858</u>
<b>Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services</b>			<b><u><u>\$575,254</u></u></b>

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.*

**ASHTABULA COUNTY GENERAL HEALTH DISTRICT  
ASHTABULA COUNTY**

**NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS  
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010**

**NOTE A- SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (the Schedule) reports the District's federal award program's disbursements. The Schedule has been prepared on a cash basis of accounting.

**NOTE B – MATCHING REQUIREMENTS**

Certain Federal programs require that the District contribute non-federal funds (matching funds) to support the Federally-funded programs. The District has complied with the matching requirements. The expenditure of non-Federal matching funds is not included on the Schedule.



20 E. McKinley Way, Suite 4  
Poland, Ohio 44514  
Ph: 330.707.9035  
Fax: 888.516.1186

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

WWW.CANTERCPA.NET

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND  
ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS*

Ashtabula County General Health District  
Ashtabula County  
12 West Jefferson St.  
Jefferson, OH 44047

To the Members of the Board:

We have audited the financial statements of the Ashtabula County General Health District (the District), Ashtabula County, Ohio as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010 and have issued our report thereon dated August 15, 2011, wherein we noted the District followed accounting practices the Auditor of State prescribes rather than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, when performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and timely correct misstatements. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the District's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of reasonably assuring whether the District's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we tested its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could directly and materially affect the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and accordingly, we do not express an opinion.

We also noted one matter not requiring inclusion in this report that we reported to the District's management in a separate letter dated August 15, 2011.

We intend this report solely for the information and use of the Board, management, federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities, and others within the District. We intend it for no one other than these specified parties.

CANTER & ASSOCIATES

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Canter & Assoc".

Poland, Ohio

August 15, 2011



20 East McKinley Way, Suite 4  
Poland, Ohio 44514  
Ph: 330.707.9035  
Fax: 888.516.1186

[WWW.CANTERCPA.NET](http://WWW.CANTERCPA.NET)

---

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS  
APPLICABLE TO EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL  
OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133

Ashtabula County General Health District  
Ashtabula County  
12 West Jefferson St.  
Jefferson, OH 44047

To the Board:

Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the Ashtabula County General Health District (the District), Ashtabula County, with the types of compliance requirements described in the U. S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 *Compliance Supplement* that could directly and materially affect each of Ashtabula County General Health District's major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2010. The summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings identifies the District's major federal program. The District's management is responsible for complying with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to its major federal program. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the District's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance occurred with the compliance requirements referred to above that could directly and materially affect a major federal program. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the District's compliance with those requirements and performing other procedures we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the District's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, the Ashtabula County General Health District, Ashtabula County, complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that could directly and materially affect its major federal program for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Internal Control Over Compliance

The District's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the District's internal control over compliance with the requirements that could directly and materially affect a major federal program, to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of opining on compliance and to test and report on opining on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we have not opined on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over compliance.

A *deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, when performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or to timely detect and correct, noncompliance with a federal program compliance requirement. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with federal program compliance requirement will not be prevented, or timely detected and corrected.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

We noted a matter involving federal compliance or internal control over federal compliance not requiring inclusion in this report, that we reported to the District's management in a separate letter dated August 15, 2011.

We intend this report solely for the information and use of management, the Board, others within the District, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.



CANTER & ASSOCIATES

Poland, Ohio  
August 15, 2011

**ASHTABULA COUNTY GENERAL HEALTH DISTRICT  
ASHTABULA COUNTY**

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS  
OMB CIRCULAR A -133 § .505  
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010

<b>1. SUMMARY OF AUDITOR'S RESULTS</b>
----------------------------------------

<i>(d)(1)(i)</i>	Type of Financial Statement Opinion	UNQUALIFIED
<i>(d)(1)(ii)</i>	Were there any material control weaknesses reported at the financial statement level (GAGAS)?	NO
<i>(d)(1)(ii)</i>	Were there any other significant deficiencies in internal control reported at the financial statement level (GAGAS)?	NO
<i>(d)(1)(iii)</i>	Was there any reported material noncompliance at the financial statement level (GAGAS)?	NO
<i>(d)(1)(iv)</i>	Were there any material internal control weaknesses reported for major federal programs?	NO
<i>(d)(1)(iv)</i>	Were there any other significant deficiencies in internal control reported for major federal programs?	NO
<i>(d)(1)(v)</i>	Type of Major Programs' Compliance Opinion	UNQUALIFIED
<i>(d)(1)(vi)</i>	Are there any reportable findings under § .510?	NO
<i>(d)(1)(vii)</i>	Major Programs (list):	CFDA #93.069 – Public Health Emergency Preparedness
<i>(d)(1)(viii)</i>	Dollar Threshold: Type A\B Programs	Type A: > \$ 300,000 Type B: all others
<i>(d)(1)(ix)</i>	Low Risk Auditee?	NO

<b>2. FINDINGS RELATED TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS REQUIRED TO BE REPORTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GAGAS</b>
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

NONE

<b>3. FINDINGS FOR FEDERAL AWARDS</b>
---------------------------------------

NONE

**This Page is Intentionally Left Blank.**





# Dave Yost • Auditor of State

ASHTABULA COUNTY GENERAL HEALTH DISTRICT

ASHTABULA COUNTY

## CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

*Susan Babbitt*

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

CERTIFIED  
NOVEMBER 17, 2011