



Dave Yost • Auditor of State



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Auglaize County Agricultural Society
Auglaize County
P.O. Box 431
Wapakoneta, Ohio 45895

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Board of Directors and the management of Auglaize County Agricultural Society (the Society) agreed, solely to assist the Board in evaluating receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended November 30, 2010 and 2009, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Management is responsible for recording transactions; and management and the Board are responsible for complying with the compliance requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

Cash and Investments

1. We tested the mathematical accuracy of the November 30, 2010 and November 30, 2009 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
2. We agreed the December 1, 2008 beginning fund balances recorded to the November 30, 2008 balances in the prior year audited statements. We found no exceptions.
3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the November 30, 2010 and 2009 fund cash balance reported in the cash report. The amounts agreed.
4. We confirmed the November 30, 2010 bank account balances with the Society's financial institutions. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the November 30, 2010 bank reconciliation without exception.
5. We selected five outstanding checks haphazardly from the November 30, 2010 bank reconciliation:
 - a. We traced each check to the debit appearing in the subsequent December bank statement. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We traced the amounts and date written to the check register, to determine the checks were dated prior to November 30. We noted no exceptions.

One First National Plaza / 130 W. Second St. / Suite 2040 / Dayton, OH 45402
Telephone: (937) 285-6677 (800) 443-9274 Fax: (937) 285-6688
www.auditor.state.oh.us

Cash and Investments (Continued)

6. We tested investments held at November 30, 2010 and November 30, 2009 to determine that they:
 - a. Were of a type authorized by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 135.13, 135.14 or 135.144. We found no exceptions.
 - b. Mature within the prescribed time limits noted in Ohio Rev. Code Section 135.13 or 135.14. We noted no exceptions.

Intergovernmental and Other Confirmable Cash Receipts

1. We selected all the receipts from the Distribution Transaction Detail Report (State DTL) for 2010 and 2009.
 - a. We compared the amount from the DTL to the amount recorded in the Receipt Ledger. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper account code(s). We found no exceptions.
 - c. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.
2. We agreed to supporting documentation and confirmed the \$6,000 and \$10,000 donations received from the Auglaize County Swine Committee and the \$5,000 donation received from the Auglaize County Junior Fair Boosters during the year ending November 30, 2010. We found no exceptions.
 - a. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper account code(s). We found no exceptions.
 - b. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Admission Receipts

We haphazardly selected one day of admission cash receipts from the year ended November 30, 2010 and one day of admission cash receipts from the year ended November 30, 2009 recorded in the receipts ledger and determined whether the receipt amount agreed to the supporting documentation (ticket recapitulation sheets). The amounts agreed.

Rental Receipts

We haphazardly selected 10 rental cash receipts from the year ended November 30, 2010 and 10 rental cash receipts from the year ended November 30, 2009 recorded on the duplicate cash receipts and determined whether the:

- a. Receipt amount agreed to the amount recorded in the Receipt Ledger. The amounts agreed.
- b. Amount charged complied with rates in force during the period. We found no exceptions.
- c. Receipt was recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Debt

1. We inquired of management, and scanned the receipt and expenditure records for evidence of loan or credit agreements, and bonded, note, County, or mortgage debt issued during 2010 or 2009 or outstanding as of November 30, 2010 or 2009. All outstanding debt noted agreed to the summary we used in step 2.

Debt (Continued)

2. We obtained a summary of debt service payments owed during 2010 and 2009 and agreed these payments from the expenditure ledger to the related debt amortization schedule. We also compared the date the debt service payments were due to the date the Society made the payments. We found no exceptions.
3. We inquired of management, scanned the receipt ledger, and scanned the prior audit report and determined that the Society had a loan agreement outstanding from a prior year as permitted by Ohio Rev. Code Section 1711.13(B). We examined the Society's computation supporting that the total net indebtedness from loans and credit did not exceed twenty-five percent of its annual revenues. We found no exceptions.

Payroll Cash Disbursements

1. We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2010 and one payroll check for five employees from 2009 from the Payroll Register and determined whether the following information in the minutes record was consistent with the information used to compute gross and net pay related to this check:
 - a. Name
 - b. Authorized salary or pay rate
 - c. Retirement system participation and payroll withholding.
 - d. Federal, State & Local income tax withholding authorization and withholding.
 - e. Any other deduction authorizations (deferred compensation, etc.)

We found no exceptions related to steps a – e above.

2. We tested the checks we selected in step 1, as follows:
 - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary amount used in computing gross pay to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively or statutorily-approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
 - b. We recomputed gross and net pay and agreed it to the amount recorded in the payroll register. We found no exceptions.
3. We scanned the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended November 30, 200X+1 to determine whether remittances were timely paid, and that the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld during the final withholding period during 200X+1. We noted the following:

<u>Withholding</u>	<u>Date Due</u>	<u>Date Paid</u>	<u>Amount Withheld</u>	<u>Amount Paid</u>
Federal income taxes and social security	January 31, 2011	January 15, 2011	\$1,146.02	\$1,146.02
State income taxes	January 15, 2011	January 20, 2011	540.89	540.89
Local income tax	January 31, 2011	January 20, 2011	201.66	201.66

Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements

1. We agreed total disbursements (non-payroll and payroll) from the check register to the Annual Report for the years ended November 30, 2010 and 2009. We found no exceptions.
2. We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Expenditure Report for the year ended November 30, 2010 and ten from the year ended 2009 and determined whether:

Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements (Continued)

- a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
- b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Expenditure Ledger and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
- c. Based on the nature of the expenditure, the account coding is reasonable. We found no exceptions.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Society's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management and those charged with governance and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Dave Yost". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, looping "D" and "Y".

Dave Yost
Auditor of State

May 27, 2011



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

AUGLAIZE COUNTY AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY

AUGLAIZE COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbitt

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

**CERTIFIED
JULY 05, 2011**