



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

GARNET WILSON PUBLIC LIBRARY
PIKE COUNTY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE	PAGE
Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures.....	1

This page intentionally left blank.



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Garnet Wilson Public Library
Pike County
207 North Market Street
Waverly, Ohio 45690

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Board of Trustees and the management of the Garnet Wilson Public Library, Pike County, Ohio (the Library), agreed, solely to assist the Board in evaluating receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Management is responsible for recording transactions; and management and the Board are responsible for complying with the compliance requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

Cash and Investments

1. We tested the mathematical accuracy of the December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
2. We agreed the January 1, 2009 beginning fund balances recorded in the YTD Fund Report to the December 31, 2008 balances in the prior year audited statements. We found no exceptions.
3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the December 31, 2010 and 2009 fund cash balances reported in the YTD Fund Report. The amounts agreed.
4. We confirmed the December 31, 2010 bank account balances with the Library's financial institutions. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the December 31, 2010 bank reconciliation without exception.
5. We selected five outstanding checks haphazardly from the December 31, 2010 bank reconciliation:
 - a. We traced each check to the debit appearing in the subsequent January bank statement. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We traced the amounts and date written to the check register, to determine the checks were dated prior to December 31. We noted no exceptions.

743 East State Street, Athens Mall Suite B, Athens, Ohio 45701-2157
Phone: 740-594-3300 or 800-441-1389 Fax: 740-594-2110

www.auditor.state.oh.us

Cash and Investments (Continued)

6. We tested investments held at December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 to determine that they:
 - a. Were of a type authorized by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 135.13, 135.14 or 135.144. We found no exceptions.
 - b. Mature within the prescribed time limits noted in Ohio Rev. Code Section 135.13 or 135.14. We noted no exceptions.

State Library and Local Government Support Receipts

We selected two State Library and Local Government Support (LLGS) receipts from the County Appropriation History Report from 2010 and two from 2009.

- a. We compared the amount from the County Appropriation History Report to the amount recorded in the Detail Revenue Transaction report. The amounts agreed.
- b. We determined whether these receipts were posted to the General Fund. We found no exceptions.
- c. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.
- d. We scanned the Detail Revenue Transaction report to determine whether it included one LLGS receipt per month for 2010 and 2009. We found no exceptions.

Property Taxes, Intergovernmental and Other Confirmable Cash Receipts

1. We selected a property tax receipt from one *Statement of Semiannual Apportionment of Taxes* (the Statement) for 2010. This is the first year the Library had a levy to receive taxes.
 - a. We traced the gross receipts from the *Statement* to the amount recorded in the Detail Revenue Transaction Report. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We determined whether the receipt was recorded in the proper year. The receipt was recorded in the proper year.
2. We scanned the Detail Revenue Transaction Report to determine whether it included the proper number of tax receipts for 2010:
 - a. One personal property tax receipt, which included the first and second half distributions.
 - b. Two real estate tax receipts.

We noted the Detailed Revenue Transaction Report included the proper number of tax settlement receipts for each year.

3. We selected both receipts from the State Distribution Transaction Lists (DTL) from 2010 and 2009.
 - a. We compared the amount from the DTL to the amount recorded in the Detailed Revenue Transaction Report. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper fund. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Debt

1. The prior audit report disclosed no debt outstanding as of December 31, 2008.

Debt (Continued)

2. We inquired of management, and scanned the Detailed Revenue Transaction Report and Detail Expense Transaction Report for evidence of debt issued during 2010 or 2009 or debt payment activity during 2010 or 2009. We noted no new debt issuances, nor any debt payment activity during 2010 or 2009.

Payroll Cash Disbursements

1. We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2010 and one payroll check for five employees from 2009 from the Subsidiary Payroll Ledgers and determined whether the following information in the employees' personnel files and minute records were consistent with the information used to compute gross and net pay related to this check:
 - a. Name.
 - b. Authorized salary or pay rate.
 - c. Department and fund to which the check should be charged.
 - d. Retirement system participation and payroll withholding.
 - e. Federal, State & Local income tax withholding authorization and withholding.
 - f. Any other deduction authorizations (deferred compensation, etc.).

We found no exceptions related to steps a. – f. above.

2. We tested the checks we selected in step 1, as follows:
 - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary amount used in computing gross pay to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively or statutorily-approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
 - b. We recomputed gross and net pay and agreed it to the amount recorded in the payroll register. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We determined whether the fund and account codes to which the check was posted was reasonable based on the employees' duties as documented in the employees' personnel files. We also determined whether the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.
3. We scanned the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2010 to determine whether remittances were timely paid, and that the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld during the final withholding period during 2010. We noted the following:

Withholding	Date Due	Date Paid	Amount Withheld	Amount Paid
Federal income taxes	1/31/11	12/29/10	\$1,375.19 tax \$499 Medicare	\$1,874.19
State income taxes	1/18/11	12/24/10	\$929.57	\$929.57
Piketon Local Tax	1/31/11	12/24/10	\$75.82	\$75.82
Waverly Local Tax	1/31/11	12/24/10	\$296.31	\$296.31
OPERS retirement (withholding plus employee share)	1/30/11	12/24/10	\$3,886.89	\$3,886.89

Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements

1. For the Detail Expense Transaction Report, we refooted checks recorded as General Fund salaries, and checks recorded as Office and Clerical Supplies in the General Fund for 2010. We found no exceptions.
2. We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Detail Expense Transaction Report for the year ended December 31, 2010 and ten from the year ended 2009 and determined whether:
 - a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
 - b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Detail Expense Transaction Report and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
 - c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.
3. We scanned the Detail Expense Transaction Report for the year ended December 31, 2010 and determined that the proceeds from the levy passed under Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.23, were used for the purpose stated in the resolution.

Compliance – Budgetary

1. We compared total appropriations required by Ohio Admin. Code Section 117-8-02, to the amounts recorded in the Expense Budget Journal for 2010 and 2009 for the following funds: General and Capital Projects Funds. The amounts on the appropriation resolutions agreed to the amounts recorded in the Expense Budget Journal report.
2. Ohio Admin. Code Section 117-8-02 prohibits spending in excess of budgeted amounts. We compared total expenditures to total appropriations for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 for the General and Capital Projects Funds, as recorded in the Expense Budget Journal Report. We noted no funds for which expenditures exceeded appropriations.

Compliance – Contracts & Expenditures

We inquired of management and scanned the Detail Expense Transaction report for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 for procurements requiring competitive bidding to construct, demolish, alter, repair, or reconstruct a library or make any improvements or repairs, the cost of which exceeded \$25,000, except in cases of urgent necessity or for the security and protection of library property.

We identified no purchases subject to the aforementioned bidding requirements

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Library's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management and those charged with governance and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Dave Yost". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, looping "D" and "Y".

Dave Yost
Auditor of State

April 15, 2011

This Page is Intentionally Left Blank.



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

GARNET WILSON PUBLIC LIBRARY

PIKE COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbitt

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

**CERTIFIED
MAY 5, 2011**