

VILLAGE OF SUMMERFIELD

AUDIT REPORT

JANUARY 1, 2009 - DECEMBER 31, 2010

**Wolfe, Wilson, & Phillips, Inc.
37 South Seventh Street
Zanesville, Ohio 43701**



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

Village Council
Village of Summerfield
303 Cross Street
P.O. Box 223
Summerfield, Ohio 43788

We have reviewed the *Independent Auditors' Report* of the Village of Summerfield, Noble County, prepared by Wolfe, Wilson & Phillips, Inc., for the audit period January 1, 2009 through December 31, 2010. Based upon this review, we have accepted these reports in lieu of the audit required by Section 117.11, Revised Code. The Auditor of State did not audit the accompanying financial statements and, accordingly, we are unable to express, and do not express an opinion on them.

The financial statements in the attached report are presented in accordance with a regulatory basis of accounting prescribed or permitted by the Auditor of State. Due to a February 2, 2005 interpretation from the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA), modifications were required to the *Independent Auditors' Report* on your financial statements. While the Auditor of State does not legally require your government to prepare financial statements pursuant to Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP), the AICPA interpretation requires auditors to formally acknowledge that you did not prepare your financial statements in accordance with GAAP. The attached report includes an opinion relating to GAAP presentation and measurement requirements, but does not imply the statements are misstated under the non-GAAP regulatory basis. The *Independent Auditors' Report* also includes an opinion on the financial statements using the regulatory format the Auditor of State permits.

Our review was made in reference to the applicable sections of legislative criteria, as reflected by the Ohio Constitution, and the Revised Code, policies, procedures and guidelines of the Auditor of State, regulations and grant requirements. The Village of Summerfield is responsible for compliance with these laws and regulations.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Dave Yost".

Dave Yost
Auditor of State

June 9, 2011

This Page is Intentionally Left Blank.

**VILLAGE OF SUMMERFIELD
NOBLE COUNTY
JANUARY 1, 2009 - DECEMBER 31, 2010**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Contents	(i)
Independent Auditors' Report	1-2
Financial Statements	
Combined Statement of Cash Receipts, Cash Disbursements, and Changes in Fund Cash Balances - All Governmental Fund Types For the Year Ended December 31, 2010	3
Combined Statement of Cash Receipts, Cash Disbursements, and Changes in Fund Cash Balances - All Governmental Fund Types For the Year Ended December 31, 2009	4
Notes to the Financial Statements	5-8
Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on compliance and Other Matters Required by Government Auditing Standards.	9
Schedule of Findings	11
Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	14

This Page is Intentionally Left Blank.

WOLFE, WILSON, & PHILLIPS, INC.
37 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET
ZANESVILLE, OHIO 43701

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Mayor and Village Council
Village of Summerfield
303 Cross Street
P.O. Box 223
Summerfield, Ohio 43788

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Village of Summerfield, Noble County, as of and for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Village of Summerfield's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described more fully in Note 1, the Village of Summerfield has prepared these financial statements using accounting practices the Auditor of State prescribes or permits. These practices differ from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Although we cannot reasonably determine the effects on the financial statements of the variances between these regulatory accounting practices and accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, we presume they are material.

Instead of the combined funds the accompanying financial statements present for 2010 and 2009, the revisions require presenting entity wide statements and also to present its larger (i.e. major) funds separately for 2010 and 2009. While the Village does not follow GAAP, generally accepted auditing standards requires us to include the following paragraph if the statements do not substantially conform to the new GAAP presentation requirements. The Auditor of State permits, but does not require governments to reformat their statements. The Village has elected not to reformat its statements. Since this Village does not use GAAP to measure financial statement amounts, the following paragraph does not imply the amounts reported are materially misstated under the accounting basis the Auditor of State permits. Our opinion on the fair presentation of the amounts reported pursuant to its non-GAAP basis is in the second following paragraph.

In our opinion, because of the effects of the matter discussed in the preceding paragraph, the financial statements referred to above do not present fairly, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the financial position of the Village of Summerfield's combined funds as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, or its changes in financial position.

Also, in our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the combined fund cash balances of the Village of Summerfield, Noble County, as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and its combined cash receipts and disbursements for the years then ended on the basis of accounting described in Note 1.

The aforementioned revision to generally accepted accounting principles also require the Village to include Management's Discussion and Analysis for the year ended December 31, 2010 and 2009. The Village has not presented Management's Discussion and Analysis, which accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America has determined is necessary to supplement, although not required to be part of, the financial statements.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued a report dated April 26, 2011, on our consideration of the Village of Summerfield's internal control structure over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be read in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

Wolfe, Wilson, & Phillips, Inc.
Zanesville, Ohio
April 26, 2011

**VILLAGE OF SUMMERFIELD
NOBLE COUNTY**

**COMBINED STATEMENT OF CASH RECEIPTS, CASH DISBURSEMENTS, AND CHANGES IN
FUND CASH BALANCES - ALL GOVERNMENTAL FUND TYPES
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010**

	Governmental Fund Types			Totals (Memorandum Only)
	General	Special Revenue	Capital Projects	
Cash Receipts:				
Property Taxes	\$ 7,168	\$ 176	\$ -	\$ 7,344
Intergovernmental	19,553	7,373	-	26,926
Charges for Services	200	1,378	-	1,578
Earnings on Investments	13	26	-	39
Miscellaneous	569	4,400	-	4,969
Total Cash Receipts	<u>27,503</u>	<u>13,353</u>	-	<u>40,856</u>
Cash Disbursements:				
Current:				
Security of Persons and Property	4,602	5,753	-	10,355
Leisure Time Activities	1,720	-	-	1,720
Basic Utility Services	725	-	-	725
Transportation	-	3,092	-	3,092
General Government	13,475	559	-	14,034
Debt Service:				
Principal Payment	-	4,375	-	4,375
Total Cash Disbursements	<u>20,522</u>	<u>13,779</u>	-	<u>34,301</u>
Total Cash Receipts Over/(Under) Cash Disbursements	6,981	(426)	-	6,555
Fund Cash Balances, January 1	<u>6,454</u>	<u>13,658</u>	<u>81</u>	<u>20,193</u>
Fund Cash Balances, December 31	<u>\$ 13,435</u>	<u>\$ 13,232</u>	<u>\$ 81</u>	<u>\$ 26,748</u>

See notes to financial statements.

**VILLAGE OF SUMMERFIELD
NOBLE COUNTY**

**COMBINED STATEMENT OF CASH RECEIPTS, CASH DISBURSEMENTS, AND CHANGES IN
FUND CASH BALANCES - ALL GOVERNMENTAL FUND TYPES
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009**

	Governmental Fund Types			Totals (Memorandum Only)
	General	Special Revenue	Capital Projects	
Cash Receipts:				
Property Taxes	\$ 8,595	\$ 178	\$ -	\$ 8,773
Intergovernmental	12,930	10,301	-	23,231
Charges for Services	140	1,102	-	1,242
Earnings on Investments	11	21	-	32
Miscellaneous	-	3,500	-	3,500
Total Cash Receipts	<u>21,676</u>	<u>15,102</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>36,778</u>
Cash Disbursements:				
Current:				
Security of Persons and Property	4,607	3,727	-	8,334
Leisure Time Activities	1,875	-	-	1,875
Basic Utility Services	906	-	-	906
Transportation	-	3,199	-	3,199
General Government	16,850	1,640	-	18,490
Debt Service:				
Principal Payment	-	2,625	-	2,625
Total Cash Disbursements	<u>24,238</u>	<u>11,191</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>35,429</u>
Total Cash Receipts Over/(Under) Cash Disbursements	(2,562)	3,911	-	1,349
Fund Cash Balances, January 1	<u>9,016</u>	<u>9,747</u>	<u>81</u>	<u>18,844</u>
Fund Cash Balances, December 31	<u>\$ 6,454</u>	<u>\$ 13,658</u>	<u>\$ 81</u>	<u>\$ 20,193</u>

See notes to financial statements.

**VILLAGE OF SUMMERFIELD
NOBLE COUNTY**

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A. Description of the Entity

The Village of Summerfield, Noble County, (the Village) is a body corporate and politic established to exercise the rights and privileges conveyed to it by the constitution and laws of the State of Ohio. The Village is directed by a publicly-elected six-member Council. The Village provides general governmental services, including the maintenance of roads, fire protection services and park operations (leisure time activities).

The Village's management believes these financial statements present all activities for which the Village is financially accountable.

B. Basis of Accounting

These financial statements follow the basis of accounting prescribed or permitted by the Auditor of State, which is similar to the cash receipts and disbursements basis of accounting. Receipts are recognized when received in cash rather than when earned, and disbursements are recognized when paid rather than when a liability is incurred. Budgetary presentations report budgetary expenditures when a commitment is made (i.e., when an encumbrance is approved).

These statements include adequate disclosure of material matters, as prescribed or permitted by the Auditor of State.

C. Cash

All cash is maintained in a pooled checking account.

D. Fund Accounting

The Village uses fund accounting to segregate cash and investments that are restricted as to use. The Village classifies its funds into the following types:

General Fund

The General Fund is the general operating fund. It is used to account for all financial resources except those required to be accounted for in another fund.

Special Revenue Funds

These funds are used to account for proceeds from specific sources (other than from trusts or for capital projects) that are restricted to expenditure for specific purposes. The Village had the following significant Special Revenue Funds:

Street Construction, Maintenance and Repair Fund – This fund is used to account for gasoline tax monies and motor vehicle tax monies for constructing, maintaining and repairing Village streets.

Fire Truck Fund – This fund receives money from the Summerfield Volunteer Fire Department for the payment of the debt related to the purchase of a Suburban Truck financed by the Village on behalf of the Fire Departments.

**VILLAGE OF SUMMERFIELD
NOBLE COUNTY**

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Capital Projects Funds

These funds are used to account for receipts that are restricted for the acquisition or construction of major capital projects (except those financed through enterprise funds). The Village does not have a Capital Project going on at this time.

E. Budgetary Process

The Ohio Revised Code requires that each fund be budgeted annually.

Appropriations

Budgetary expenditures (that is, disbursements and encumbrances) may not exceed appropriations at the fund, function and object level of control and appropriations may not exceed estimated resources. The Council must annually approve appropriation measures and subsequent amendments. The County Budget Commission must also approve the annual appropriation. Unencumbered appropriations lapse at year end.

Estimated Resources

Estimated resources include estimates of cash to be received (budgeted receipts) plus unencumbered cash as of January 1. The County Budget Commission must also approve estimated resources.

Encumbrances

The Ohio Revised Code requires the Village to reserve (encumber) appropriations when commitments are made. Encumbrances outstanding at year end are carried over, and need not be reappropriated.

A summary of 2010 and 2009 budgetary activity appears in Note 3.

F. Property, Plant and Equipment

Acquisitions of property, plant and equipment are recorded as capital outlay disbursements when paid. These items are not reflected as assets on the accompanying financial statements.

G. Accumulated Leave

In certain circumstances, such as upon leaving employment, employees are entitled to cash payments for unused leave. Unpaid leave is not reflected as a liability under the Village's basis of accounting.

2. EQUITY IN POOLED CASH

The Village maintains a cash pool used by all funds. The Ohio Revised Code prescribes allowable deposits and investments. The carrying amount of cash at December 31 was as follows:

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Demand deposits	<u>\$ 26,748</u>	<u>\$ 20,193</u>

Deposits: Deposits are either insured by the Federal Depository Insurance Corporation or collateralized by the financial institution's public entity deposit pool.

**VILLAGE OF SUMMERFIELD
NOBLE COUNTY**

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

3. BUDGETARY ACTIVITY

Budgetary activity for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 follows:

2010 Budgeted vs. Actual Receipts			
Fund Type	Budgeted Receipts	Actual Receipts	Variance
General	\$ 18,360	\$ 27,503	\$ 9,143
Special Revenue	9,510	13,353	3,843
Total	<u>\$ 27,870</u>	<u>\$ 40,856</u>	<u>\$ 12,986</u>

2010 Budgeted vs. Actual Budgetary Basis Expenditures			
Fund Type	Appropriation Authority	Budgetary Expenditures	Variance
General	\$ 24,811	\$ 20,522	\$ 4,289
Special Revenue	23,165	13,779	9,386
Capital Projects	81	-	81
Total	<u>\$ 48,057</u>	<u>\$ 34,301</u>	<u>\$ 13,756</u>

2009 Budgeted vs. Actual Receipts			
Fund Type	Budgeted Receipts	Actual Receipts	Variance
General	\$ 19,830	\$ 21,676	\$ 1,846
Special Revenue	9,200	15,102	5,902
Total	<u>\$ 29,030</u>	<u>\$ 36,778</u>	<u>\$ 7,748</u>

2009 Budgeted vs. Actual Budgetary Basis Expenditures			
Fund Type	Appropriation Authority	Budgetary Expenditures	Variance
General	\$ 28,845	\$ 24,238	\$ 4,607
Special Revenue	19,593	11,191	8,402
Capital Projects	81	-	81
Total	<u>\$ 48,519</u>	<u>\$ 35,429</u>	<u>\$ 13,090</u>

Contrary to 5705.36, the Village had instances where a reduced certificate of estimated resources should have been obtained.

Contrary to 5705.41(D), the Village had instances where invoices were dated prior to certification.

4. PROPERTY TAX

Real property taxes become a lien on January 1 preceding the October 1 date for which rates are adopted by Village Council. The State Board of Tax Equalization adjusts these rates for inflation. Property taxes are also reduced for applicable homestead and rollback deductions. Homestead and rollback amounts are then paid by the State, and are reflected in the accompanying financial statements as intergovernmental receipts. Payments are due to the County by December 31. If the property owner elects to make semiannual payments, the first half is due December 31. The second half payment is due the following June 20.

Tangible personal property tax is assessed by the property owners, who must file a list of such property to the County by each April 30. The County is responsible for assessing property, and for billing, collecting, and distributing all property taxes on behalf of the Village.

**VILLAGE OF SUMMERFIELD
NOBLE COUNTY**

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

5. DEBT

Debt outstanding at December 31, 2010 was as follows:

	Principal	Interest rate
Ohio Department of Commerce	\$ 13,750	0.00%
Total	\$ 13,750	

The Ohio Department of Commerce loan relates to the purchase of an Emergency Management Services Vehicle for the Village of Summerfield Volunteer Fire Department in 2004. The Village receives monies from the Summerfield Village Volunteer Fire Department for the repayment of the debt. The loan will be repaid in quarterly installments of \$875 over the next 16 quarters.

Amortization of the above debt, including interest, is scheduled as follows:

Year ending December 31:	Commerce Loan
2011	\$ 3,500
2012	3,500
2013	3,500
2014	3,250
Total	\$ 13,750

6. RETIREMENT SYSTEMS

The Village's full-time employees and elected officials belong to the Public Employees Retirement System (PERS) of Ohio. PERS is a cost-sharing, multiple employer plan. This plan provides retirement benefits, including postretirement healthcare, and survivor and disability benefits to participants as prescribed by the Ohio Revised Code.

Contribution rates are also prescribed by the Ohio Revised Code. For 2010 and 2009, PERS members contributed 10.0% of their wages, respectively. The Village contributed an amount equal to 14.00% of participant's gross salaries for 2010 and 2009, respectively. The Village has paid all contributions required through December 31, 2010.

7. RISK MANAGEMENT

The Village has obtained commercial insurance for the following risks:

- Commercial general liability insurance
- Commercial inland marine coverage
- Errors and omissions
- Certified acts of terrorism

**WOLFE, WILSON, & PHILLIPS, INC.
37 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET
ZANESVILLE, OHIO 43701**

**INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER
FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS
REQUIRED BY GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS.**

Village of Summerfield
Noble County
303 Cross Street
P.O. Box 223
Summerfield, Ohio 43788

We have audited the financial statements of Village of Summerfield as of and for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, and have issued our report thereon dated April 26, 2011, wherein we noted the Village followed accounting practices the Auditor of State prescribes rather than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered Village of Summerfield's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our audit procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of opining on the effectiveness of the Village's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we have not opined on the effectiveness of the Village's internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. Therefore, we cannot assure that we have identified all deficiencies, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. However, as described in the accompanying schedule of findings, we identified a certain deficiency in internal control over financial reporting, that we consider a material weakness..

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, when performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and timely correct misstatements. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Village's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and timely corrected. We consider Findings 2010-01 described in the accompanying schedule of findings to be a material weakness.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Village of Summerfield's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed one instance of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards* and which are described in the accompanying schedule of findings as items 2010-02.

We noted certain immaterial instances of noncompliance that we have reported to the management of Village of Summerfield in a separate letter dated April 26, 2011.

Village of Summerfield's responses to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses. We did not audit the Village of Summerfield's responses and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

This report is intended for the information of the Village's management, Clerk, and Auditor of State, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Wolfe, Wilson, & Phillips, Inc.
Zanesville, Ohio
April 26, 2011

**VILLAGE OF SUMMERFIELD
NOBLE COUNTY**

**SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS
DECEMBER 31, 2008**

***FINDINGS RELATED TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
REQUIRED TO BE REPORTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GAGAS***

FINDING NUMBER 2010-01

Material Weakness

Posting of Estimated Revenues and Appropriations

The Village did not have control procedures in place to ensure estimated receipts, as authorized by the Village Council and approved by the County Budget Commission, were reconciled to the estimated receipts posted to the accounting system.

This resulted in incorrect amounts posted to the accounting system and information available to Village officials to monitor year-to-date total comparison of budgeted amounts versus actual amounts was not accurate. Adjustments were made to the budgetary activity reported in Note 3 to the financial statements in order to accurately present estimated receipts as certified by the County Budget Commission.

We recommend the Village implement procedures to ensure estimated receipts are accurately posted to the accounting system and reconciled to the amounts approved by the budget commission after each amendment.

Client Response: We did not receive a response from officials to this finding.

**VILLAGE OF SUMMERFIELD
NOBLE COUNTY**

**SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS
DECEMBER 31, 2008**

***FINDINGS RELATED TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
REQUIRED TO BE REPORTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GAGAS***

FINDING NUMBER 2010-02

Noncompliance Citation

Ohio Revised Code Section 5705.41(D) states in part that no subdivision shall make any contract or give any order involving the expenditure of money unless there is attached thereto a certificate of fiscal officer of the subdivision. The fiscal officer must certify that the amount required to meet such a commitment has been lawfully appropriated and is in the treasury or in the process of collection to the credit of an appropriate fund free from any previous encumbrance.

Prior certification was not obtained for 25% and 23% of the expenditures tested for 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The Village Fiscal Officer should certify funds before each payment is made through the issuance of a regular, blanket, or super blanket purchase order. Blanket certificates should be issued for a certain sum of money not in excess of an amount established by resolution or ordinance adopted by a majority of the members of council against any specific line item account over a period not running beyond the end of the current fiscal year. A super blanket purchase order can be completed for any amount for expenditures and contracts from a specific line item appropriation account in a specified fund for most recurring or reasonable predictable operating expenditures. This is not to extend beyond the current year. More than one super blanket may be outstanding at one particular time for a particular line item appropriation account.

Also, as an alternative, the Village can issue then and now certificates for expenses up to \$3,000. Then and now certificates allow the Village's Fiscal Officer to certify that both at the time the expenditure was made and at the time that the certification is completed, sufficient funds are available. These certificates can be certified by the Village's fiscal Officer without subsequent authorization from the Village Council. However, then and now certificates issued by the Village's Fiscal Officer over \$3,000 must be authorized by the Village Council within thirty days after payment.

Client Response: We did not receive a response from officials to this finding

**VILLAGE OF SUMMERFIELD
NOBLE COUNTY**

**SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS
December 31, 2010**

Finding Number	Finding Summary	Fully Corrected	Not Corrected, Partially Corrected Significantly Different Corrective Action Taken; or Finding No Longer Valid; Explain:
2008-01	Control procedures to ensure accurate posting to system	No	In current audit as Finding 2010-01
2008-02	ORC 5705.41(B) Expenditures exceeding appropriations.	Yes	Finding No Longer Valid
2008-03	ORC 5705.41(D) expenditures made without prior certification	No	In current audit as Finding 2010-02
2008-04	ORC 5705.38 Appropriation not passed and approved by County Budget Commission	Yes	Finding No Longer Valid

This Page is Intentionally Left Blank.



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

VILLAGE OF SUMMERFIELD

NOBLE COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbitt

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

CERTIFIED
JUNE 23, 2011