



# COLERAIN TOWNSHIP BELMONT COUNTY

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

TITLE	PAGE	
Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures	1	



#### INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Colerain Township Belmont County P.O. Box 284 Colerain, Ohio 43916

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Board of Trustees and the management of Colerain Township, Belmont County, Ohio (the Township), agreed, solely to assist the Board in evaluating receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Management is responsible for recording transactions; and management and the Board are responsible for complying with the compliance requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' Government Auditing Standards. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose. The Township processes its financial transactions with the Auditor of State's Uniform Accounting Network (UAN). Government Auditing Standards considers this service to impair the independence of the Auditor of State to provide attest services to the Township because the Auditor of State designed, developed, implemented, and as requested, operates UAN. However, Government Auditing Standards permits the Auditor of State to perform this engagement, because Ohio Revised Code § 117.101 requires the Auditor of State to provide UAN services, and Ohio Revised Code § 117.11(A) mandates the Auditor of State to perform attest services for Ohio governments.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

## **Cash and Investments**

- 1. We tested the mathematical accuracy of the December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
- 2. We agreed the January 1, 2010 beginning fund balances recorded in the Fund Status Report to the December 31, 2009 balances in the prior documentation in the prior year Agreed-Upon Procedures working papers. We found no exceptions.
- 3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the December 31, 2011 and 2010 fund cash balances reported in the Fund Status Reports. The amounts agreed.

#### **Cash and Investments (Continued)**

- 4. We confirmed the December 31, 2011 bank account balances with the Township's financial institutions. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the December 31, 2011 bank reconciliation with the following exception. The Township has a certificate of deposit (CD) in the amount of \$100,000 on the December 31, 2011 bank reconciliation. However, this CD accumulated interest in the amount of \$955 as of December, 31, 2011 which was not posted to the Township's ledgers. We recommend the Fiscal Officer record the interest earned as of year-end to the accounting records.
- 5. We selected five reconciling debits (such as outstanding checks) haphazardly from the December 31, 2011 bank reconciliation:
  - a. We traced each debit to the subsequent January bank statement. We found no exceptions.
  - b. We traced the amounts and dates written to the check register, to determine the debits were dated prior to December 31. We noted no exceptions.
- 6. We tested investments held at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 to determine that they:
  - a. Were of a type authorized by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 135.13, 135.14 or 135.144. We found no exceptions.
  - b. Mature within the prescribed time limits noted in Ohio Rev. Code Section 135.13 or 135.14. We noted one exception. Ohio Rev. Code Section 135.13 states in part, Inactive deposits shall be evidenced by time certificates of deposit, each of which shall mature not later than the end of the period of designation. ... Interim deposits shall be evidenced by time certificates of deposit maturing not more than one year from the date of deposit. The Township had a certificate of deposit which had a maturity of 13 months. The Township did not designate the funds as "inactive" in the minutes. We recommend the Township Trustees designate the funds as "inactive" in the minutes when investing in certificates of deposit with maturity dates in excess of one year. Otherwise, the Township should only invest in certificates of deposit with maturity dates of one year or less.

#### **Property Taxes and Intergovernmental Cash Receipts**

- 1. We selected a property tax receipt from one *Statement of Semiannual Apportionment of Taxes* (the Statement) for 2011 and one from 2010:
  - a. We traced the gross receipts from the *Statement* to the amount recorded in the Receipt Register Report. The amounts agreed.
  - b. We determined whether the receipt was allocated to the proper funds as required by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.05-.06 and 5705.10. We found no exceptions.
  - c. We determined whether the receipt was recorded in the proper year. The receipt was recorded in the proper year.
- 2. We scanned the Receipt Register Report to determine whether it included the proper number of tax receipts for 2011 and 2010:
  - a. Two personal property tax receipts
  - b. Two real estate tax receipts

We noted the Receipts Register Report included the proper number of tax settlement receipts for each year.

#### **Property Taxes and Intergovernmental Cash Receipts (Continued)**

- We selected five receipts from the State Distribution Transaction Lists (DTL) from 2011 and five from 2010. We also selected five receipts from the County Auditor's Cross Reference Report by Vendor Number from 2011 and five from 2010.
  - a. We compared the amount from the above reports to the amount recorded in the Receipt Register Report. The amounts agreed.
  - b. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper funds. We found no exceptions.
  - c. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

#### **Debt**

- 1. The prior agreed-upon procedures report disclosed no debt outstanding as of December 31, 2009.
- We inquired of management, and scanned the Receipt Register Report and Payment Register Detail Report for evidence of debt issued during 2011 or 2010 or debt payment activity during 2011 or 2010. We noted no new debt issuances, nor any debt payment activity during 2011 or 2010.

#### **Payroll Cash Disbursements**

- 1. We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2011 and one payroll check for five employees from 2010 from the Employee Detail Adjustment Report and:
  - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Employee Detail Adjustment Report to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively or statutorily-approved rate or salary). We found the following exceptions: A new employee was added to the payroll as a Township foreman in June 2010 and there was no mention in the minute record documenting the approval of his hiring or the establishment of his pay rate. This lack of documentation and subsequent approval of the Township Trustees actions could result in the intentions of the Trustees not being accurately carried out. We recommend the Township Trustees maintain a complete and accurate minute record which reflects all major financial decisions including the hiring and establishing of pay rates of new employees. We also noted an instance where an employee was paid \$11.25 per hour instead of the legislatively-established rate of \$10.25 per hour for the pay period ending July 15, 2010, which resulted in an over payment of \$80. We recommend the Township process payroll for each employee using the legislatively-established rate approved by the Township Trustees. Because we did not test all expenditures, our report provides no assurance whether or not other similar errors occurred.
  - b. We determined whether the fund and account codes to which the check was posted were reasonable based on the employees' duties as documented in the minute record or as required by statute. We also determined whether the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.

## **Payroll Cash Disbursements (Continued)**

- 2. For the new employee selected in step 1 we determined whether the following information in the minute record was consistent with the information used to compute gross and net pay related to this check:
  - a. Name.
  - b. Authorized salary or pay rate.
  - c. Departments and funds to which the check should be charged.
  - d. Retirement system participation and payroll withholding.
  - e. Federal, State & Local income tax withholding authorization and withholding.
  - f. Any other deduction authorizations (deferred compensation, etc.).

We found no exceptions related to steps a. and c.-f. above. For step b. above, we noted there was no documentation in the minute record of the hiring or establishing of the pay rate for this new employee. We recommend the Township Trustees approve the hiring and pay rates of new employees and document such in the minute record.

3. We scanned the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2011 to determine whether remittances were timely paid, and if the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld, plus the employer's share where applicable, during the final withholding period of 2011. We noted the following:

Withholding (plus employer share, where applicable)	Date Due	Date Paid	Amount Due	Amount Paid
Federal income taxes & Medicare	1/31/12	1/10/12	\$1,314.49	\$1,314.49
State income taxes	1/15/12	1/5/12	\$250.15	\$250.15
OPERS retirement	1/30/12	1/5/12	\$2,674.47	\$2,674.47

#### **Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements**

We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Payment Register Detail Report for the vear ended December 31, 2011 and ten from the vear ended 2010 and determined whether:

a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.

## **Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements (Continued)**

- b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Payment Register Detail Report and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found one check in 2011 and one check in 2010 for which there were no supporting invoices. Without proper supporting documentation, it cannot be determined if the expenditure was for a proper public purpose and a valid expenditure. We recommend the Township Trustees thoroughly review the monthly bills, invoices, and other supporting documentation to determine that all expenditures made by the Township are valid expenditures for a proper public purpose and have valid supporting documentation on file. Any items the Trustees decide are not valid expenditures or are not properly supported may be denied for payment by the Trustees. Because we did not test all disbursements, our report provides no assurance whether or not additional similar errors occurred.
- c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found one exception. According to the Ohio Township Handbook (Revised 3/2012), indigent burial expenditures are to be charged to the General Fund for burying indigent persons who were legal residents of the township. In July 2010, the Township incurred indigent burial expenditures of \$750 that were charged to the Linwood Cemetery Fund. We brought this to management's attention. The Fiscal Officer corrected the General and Linwood Cemetery fund balances for this item. However, because we did not test all disbursements, our report provides no assurance whether or not additional similar errors occurred.
- d. The fiscal officer certified disbursements requiring certification or issued a *Then and Now Certificate*, as required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(D). We found 2 instances where the certification date was after the vendor invoice date, and there was also no evidence that a *Then and Now Certificate* was issued. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(D) requires certifying at the time of a commitment, which should be on or before the invoice date, unless a *Then and Now Certificate* is used. Because we did not test all disbursements requiring certification, our report provides no assurance whether or not additional similar errors occurred.

#### Compliance - Budgetary

1. We compared the total estimated receipts from the *Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources* required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.36(A)(1), to the amounts recorded in the Revenue Status Report for the General, Road & Bridge and Gasoline Tax Funds for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010. The amounts agreed for 2010. In 2011, the amounts on the *Certificate* did not agree to the amount recorded in the accounting system for the General, Road & Bridge, and Gasoline Tax Funds. The Revenue Status Report recorded budgeted (i.e. certified) resources for the General Fund of \$83,500, the Road & Bridge Fund of \$112,000, and the Gasoline Tax Fund of \$86,000. However, the final *Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources* reflected the General Fund in the amount of \$86,632, the Road & Bridge Fund in the amount of \$115,000, and the Gasoline Tax Fund in the amount of \$87,000. The fiscal officer should periodically compare amounts recorded in the Revenue Status Report to amounts recorded on the *Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources* to assure they agree. If the amounts do not agree, the Trustees may be using inaccurate information for budgeting and monitoring purposes.

## **Compliance – Budgetary (Continued)**

- 2. We scanned the appropriation measures adopted for 2011 and 2010 to determine whether, for the General, Road & Bridge and Gasoline Tax Funds, the Trustees appropriated separately for "each office, department, and division, and within each, the amount appropriated for personal services." as is required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.38(C). We found no exceptions.
- 3. We compared total appropriations required by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.38 and 5705.40, to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status Report for 2011 and 2010 for the General, Road & Bridge, and Gasoline Tax Funds. The amounts on the appropriation resolutions agreed to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status Report.
- 4. Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.36(A)(5) and 5705.39 prohibits appropriations from exceeding the certified resources. We compared total appropriations to total certified resources for the General, Road & Bridge and Gasoline Tax Funds for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010. We noted no funds for which appropriations exceeded certified resources.
- 5. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(B) prohibits expenditures (disbursements plus certified commitments) from exceeding appropriations. We compared total expenditures to total appropriations for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 for the General, Road & Bridge and Gasoline Tax Funds, as recorded in the Appropriation Status Report. We noted no funds for which expenditures exceeded appropriations.
- 6. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.09 requires establishing separate funds to segregate externally-restricted resources. We scanned the Receipt Register Report for evidence of new restricted receipts requiring a new fund during December 31, 2011 and 2010. We also inquired of management regarding whether the Township received new restricted receipts. We noted no evidence of new restricted receipts for which Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.09 would require the Township to establish a new fund.
- 7. We scanned the 2011 and 2010 Revenue Status Reports and Appropriation Status Reports for evidence of interfund transfers which Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.14 -- .16 restrict. We found no evidence of transfers these Sections prohibit, or for which Section 5705.16 would require approval by the Tax Commissioner and Court of Common Pleas.
- 8. We inquired of management and scanned the Appropriation Status Reports to determine whether the Township elected to establish reserve accounts permitted by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.13. We noted the Township did not establish these reserves.

## **Compliance – Contracts & Expenditures**

- 1. We inquired of management and scanned the Payment Register Detail Report for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 for procurements requiring competitive bidding under the following statutes:
  - a. Materials, machinery and tools used in constructing, maintaining and repairing roads and culverts, where costs exceeded \$25,000 (\$50,000 effective September 29, 2011) (Ohio Rev. Code Section 5549.21).
  - b. Construction and erection of a memorial building or monument costs exceeding \$25,000 (\$50,000 effective September 29, 2011) (Ohio Rev. Code Section 511.12).
  - c. Equipment for fire protection and communication costs exceeding \$50,000 (Ohio Rev. Code Sections 505.37 to 505.42).
  - d. Street lighting systems or improvement costs exceeding \$25,000 (\$50,000 effective September 29, 2011) (Ohio Rev. Code Section 515.01 & 515.07).
  - e. Building modification costs exceeding \$25,000 (\$50,000 effective September 29, 2011) to achieve energy savings (Ohio Rev. Code Section 505.264).
  - f. Private sewage collection tile costs exceeding \$25,000 (\$50,000 effective September 29, 2011) (Ohio Rev. Code Sections 521.02 to 521.05).
  - g. Fire apparatus, mechanical resuscitators, other fire equipment, appliances, materials, fire hydrants, buildings, or fire-alarm communications equipment or service costs exceeding \$50,000 (Ohio Rev. Code Section 505.37(A)).
  - h. Maintenance and repair of roads exceeding \$45,000 (Ohio Rev. Code Section 5575.01).
  - Construction or reconstruction of a township road exceeding \$15,000/per mile (Ohio Rev. Code Section 5575.01).

We identified a street paving project exceeding \$25,000 (\$50,000 effective September 29, 2011), subject to Ohio Rev. Code Section 5549.21. For this project, we noted that the Board advertised the project in a local newspaper, and selected the lowest responsive and responsible bidder.

2. We inquired of management and scanned the Payment Register Detail Report for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 to determine if the Township proceeded by force account (i.e. used its own employees) to maintain or repair roads (cost of project \$15,000-\$45,000) or to construct or reconstruct township roads (cost of project \$5,000-\$15,000/per mile) for which Ohio Rev. Code Section 5575.01 requires the County Engineer to complete a force account project assessment form (i.e., cost estimate). We identified no projects requiring the County Engineer to complete a force account cost estimate.

#### Officials' Response:

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Township's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, those charged with governance, and others within the Township, and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

**Dave Yost** Auditor of State

April 16, 2012



#### **COLERAIN TOWNSHIP**

#### **BELMONT COUNTY**

#### **CLERK'S CERTIFICATION**

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

**CLERK OF THE BUREAU** 

Susan Babbitt

CERTIFIED MAY 8, 2012