



Dave Yost • Auditor of State



**STARK COUNTY DISTRICT BOARD OF HEALTH  
STARK COUNTY**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Cover Letter .....	1
Independent Accountants' Report.....	3
Combined Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Fund Balances (Cash Basis) - All Fund Types - For the Year Ended December 31, 2011 .....	5
Notes to the Financial Statements .....	7
Federal Awards Receipts and Expenditures Schedule.....	13
Notes to the Federal Awards Receipts and Expenditures Schedule .....	14
Independent Accountants' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Required by <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> .....	15
Independent Accountants' Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Federal Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance Required by OMB Circular A-133 .....	17
Schedule of Findings.....	19

**This page intentionally left blank.**



# Dave Yost • Auditor of State

Stark County District Board of Health  
Stark County  
3951 Convenience Circle NW  
Canton, Ohio 44718

To the District Board of Health:

As you are aware, the Auditor of State's Office (AOS) must modify the *Independent Accountants' Report* we provide on your financial statements due to an interpretation from the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA). While AOS does not legally require your government to prepare financial statements pursuant to Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP), the AICPA interpretation requires auditors to formally acknowledge that you did not prepare your financial statements in accordance with GAAP. Our Report includes an adverse opinion relating to GAAP presentation and measurement requirements, but does not imply the amounts the statements present are misstated under the non-GAAP basis you follow. The AOS report also includes an opinion on the financial statements you prepared using the cash basis and financial statement format the AOS permits.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Dave Yost".

**Dave Yost**  
Auditor of State

July 13, 2012

**This page intentionally left blank.**



# Dave Yost • Auditor of State

## INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT

Stark County District Board of Health  
Stark County  
3951 Convenience Circle NW  
Canton, Ohio 44718

To the District Board of Health:

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Stark County District Board of Health, Stark County, Ohio, (the District) as of and for the year ended December 31, 2011. These financial statements are the responsibility of the District's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to reasonably assure whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described more fully in Note 1, the District has prepared these financial statements using accounting practices the Auditor of State prescribes or permits. These practices differ from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). Although we cannot reasonably determine the effects on the financial statements of the variances between these regulatory accounting practices and GAAP, we presume they are material.

Instead of the combined funds the accompanying financial statements present, GAAP require presenting entity wide statements and also presenting the District's larger (i.e. major) funds separately. While the District does not follow GAAP, generally accepted auditing standards requires us to include the following paragraph if the statements do not substantially conform to GAAP presentation requirements. The Auditor of State permits, but does not require Districts to reformat their statements. The District has elected not to follow GAAP statement formatting requirements. The following paragraph does not imply the amounts reported are materially misstated under the accounting basis the Auditor of State permits. Our opinion on the fair presentation of the amounts reported pursuant to its non-GAAP basis is in the second following paragraph.

In our opinion, because of the effects of the matter discussed in the preceding two paragraphs, the financial statements referred to above for the year ended December 31, 2011 do not present fairly, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the financial position of the District as of December 31, 2011, or its changes in financial position for the years then ended.

Also, in our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the combined fund cash balances of the Stark County District Board of Health, Stark County, as of December 31, 2011, and its combined cash receipts and disbursements for the year then ended on the accounting basis Note 1 describes.

As described in Note 1F, during 2011 the Stark County District Board of Health adopted Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 54, *Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions*.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated July 13, 2012, on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. While we did not opine on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance, that report describes the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance, and the results of that testing. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*. You should read it in conjunction with this report in assessing the results of our audit.

We conducted our audit to opine on the District's financial statements taken as a whole. The federal awards receipts and expenditures schedule provides additional information required by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*, and is not a required part of the financial statements. The federal awards receipts and expenditures schedule is management's responsibility, and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. This schedule was subject to the auditing procedures we applied to the financial statements. We also applied certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling this information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, this information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.



**Dave Yost**  
Auditor of State

July 13, 2012



**STARK COUNTY DISTRICT BOARD OF HEALTH  
STARK COUNTY**

**COMBINED STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS  
AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES (CASH BASIS)  
ALL GOVERNMENTAL FUND TYPES  
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011**

	General	Special Revenue	Totals (Memorandum Only)
<b>Cash Receipts</b>			
Charges for Services	\$430,210	\$1,294,619	\$1,724,829
Licenses and Permits	161,424	914,023	1,075,447
Fines and Forfeitures	7,616	8,897	16,513
Intergovernmental	1,687,573	1,057,934	2,745,507
Miscellaneous	321,235	380	321,615
<i>Total Cash Receipts</i>	<u>2,608,058</u>	<u>3,275,853</u>	<u>5,883,911</u>
<b>Cash Disbursements</b>			
Current:			
Health:			
Personal Services - Salaries and Wages	1,036,125	2,136,163	3,172,288
Personal Services - Employee Fringe Benefits	722,773	455,323	1,178,096
Supplies & Materials	142,726	45,996	188,722
Purchased Services	606,442	146,305	752,747
Capital Outlay	2,308	0	2,308
Other Allocations	178,278	553,852	732,130
<i>Total Cash Disbursements</i>	<u>2,688,652</u>	<u>3,337,639</u>	<u>6,026,291</u>
<i>Excess of Receipts Over (Under) Disbursements</i>	(80,594)	(61,786)	(142,380)
<i>Fund Cash Balances, January 1 (Restated)</i>	<u>277,934</u>	<u>275,088</u>	<u>553,022</u>
<b>Fund Cash Balances, December 31</b>			
Restricted	0	213,302	213,302
Assigned	44,907	0	44,907
Unassigned (Deficit)	152,433	0	152,433
<i>Fund Cash Balances, December 31</i>	<u><u>\$197,340</u></u>	<u><u>\$213,302</u></u>	<u><u>\$410,642</u></u>

*The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.*

**This page intentionally left blank.**

**STARK COUNTY DISTRICT BOARD OF HEALTH  
STARK COUNTY**

**NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2011**

**1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

**A. Description of the Entity**

The constitution and laws of the State of Ohio establish the rights and privileges of the Stark County District Board of Health, Stark County, (the District) as a body corporate and politic. An eight-member Board and a Health Commissioner govern the District. The District's services include communicable disease investigations, immunization clinics, inspections, tuberculosis screenings, public health nursing services and issues health-related licenses and permits.

The District's management believes these financial statements present all activities for which the District is financially accountable.

**B. Accounting Basis**

These financial statements follow the accounting basis the Auditor of State prescribes or permits. This basis is similar to the cash receipts and disbursements accounting basis. The District recognizes receipts when received in cash rather than when earned, and recognizes disbursements when paid rather than when a liability is incurred. Budgetary presentations report budgetary expenditures when a commitment is made (i.e., when an encumbrance is approved).

These statements include adequate disclosure of material matters, as the Auditor of State prescribes or permits.

**C. Deposits and Investments**

As required by the Ohio Revised Code, the Stark County Treasurer is custodian for the District's deposits. The County's deposit and investment pool holds the District's assets, valued at the Treasurer's reported carrying amount.

**D. Fund Accounting**

The District uses fund accounting to segregate cash and investments that are restricted as to use. The District classifies its funds into the following types:

**1. General Fund**

The General Fund reports all financial resources except those required to be accounted for in another fund.

**2. Special Revenue Funds**

These funds account for proceeds from specific sources (other than from private-purpose trusts or for capital projects) that are restricted to expenditure for specific purposes. The District had the following significant Special Revenue Funds:

Women, Infants, and Children (WIC) Fund - This is a Federal grant fund accounts for the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program.

Public Home Nursing Services (PHNF) Fund - This fund receives fees for providing home nursing services to elderly and homebound persons.

**STARK COUNTY DISTRICT BOARD OF HEALTH  
STARK COUNTY**

**NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2011  
(Continued)**

**1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)**

**D. Fund Accounting (Continued)**

**2. Special Revenue Funds (Continued)**

Child and Health Family Services Project (CHFSP) - This fund attempts to eliminate health disparities, improve birth outcomes and improve the health status of women, infants and children.

Food Service Fund - This fund receives monies for food service licenses and permits.

Construction and Demolition Fund - This fund receives money for dumping trash from construction and demolition sites to landfills.

**E. Budgetary Process**

The Ohio Revised Code requires the District to budget each fund annually.

**1. Appropriations**

Budgetary expenditures (that is, disbursements and encumbrances) may not exceed appropriations at the fund, function or object level of control, and appropriations may not exceed estimated resources. The District Board must annually approve appropriation measures and subsequent amendments. The County Budget Commission must also approve the annual appropriation measure. Unencumbered appropriations lapse at year end.

**2. Estimated Resources**

Estimated resources include estimates of cash to be received (budgeted receipts) plus unencumbered cash as of January 1. The County Budget Commission must also approve estimated resources.

**3. Encumbrances**

The Ohio Revised Code requires the District to reserve (encumber) appropriations when individual commitments are made. Encumbrances outstanding at year end are carried over, and need not be reappropriated.

A summary of 2011 budgetary activity appears in Note 3.

**STARK COUNTY DISTRICT BOARD OF HEALTH  
STARK COUNTY**

**NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2011  
(Continued)**

**1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)**

**F. Fund Balance**

For December 31, 2011, Fund balance is divided into five classifications based primarily on the extent to which the District must observe constraints imposed upon the use of its governmental-fund resources. The classifications are as follows:

**1. Nonspendable**

The District classifies assets as *nonspendable* when legally or contractually required to maintain the amounts intact.

**2. Restricted**

Fund balance is *restricted* when constraints placed on the use of resources are either externally imposed by creditors (such as through debt covenants), grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments; or is imposed by law through constitutional provisions.

**3. Committed**

The Board can *commit* amounts via formal action (resolution). The District must adhere to these commitments unless the Board amend the resolution. Committed fund balance also incorporates contractual obligations to the extent that existing resources in the fund have been specifically committed to satisfy contractual requirements.

**4. Assigned**

Assigned fund balances are intended for specific purposes but do not meet the criteria to be classified as *restricted* or *committed*. Governmental funds other than the general fund report all fund balances as *assigned* unless they are restricted or committed. In the general fund, *assigned* amounts represent intended uses established by the Board or a District official delegated that authority by resolution, or by State Statute.

**5. Unassigned**

Unassigned fund balance is the residual classification for the general fund and includes amounts not included in the other classifications. In other governmental funds, the unassigned classification is used only to report a deficit balance.

The District applies restricted resources first when expenditures are incurred for purposes for which either restricted or unrestricted (committed, assigned, and unassigned) amounts are available. Similarly, within unrestricted fund balance, committed amounts are reduced first followed by assigned, and then unassigned amounts when expenditures are incurred for purposes for which amounts in any of the unrestricted fund balance classifications could be used.

**STARK COUNTY DISTRICT BOARD OF HEALTH  
STARK COUNTY**

**NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2011  
(Continued)**

**1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)**

**G. Property, Plant, and Equipment**

The District records disbursements for acquisitions of property, plant, and equipment when paid. The accompanying financial statements do not report these items as assets.

**H. Accumulated Leave**

In certain circumstances, such as upon leaving employment, employees are entitled to cash payments for unused leave. The financial statements do not include a liability for unpaid leave.

**2. Change in Accounting Principle and Restatement of Fund Balance**

For fiscal year 2011, the District reclassified Fund 722 (District Health Leave Accumulations) as required by the revised fund classification guidance in Governmental Accounting Standard Board (GASB) Statement No. 54. Implementing GASB Statement No. 54 had the following effect on fund balances previously reported:

	<b>Fund Balance at December 31, 2010</b>	<b>GASB 54 Change in Fund Structure</b>	<b>Adjusted Fund Balance at December 31, 2010</b>
<b>General</b>	<b>236,480</b>	<b>41,454</b>	<b>277,934</b>
<b>Special Revenue</b>	<b>316,542</b>	<b>(41,454)</b>	<b>275,088</b>

**3. Budgetary Activity**

Budgetary activity for the year ending December 31, 2011 follows:

2011 Budgeted vs. Actual Receipts

<u>Fund Type</u>	<u>Budgeted Receipts</u>	<u>Actual Receipts</u>	<u>Variance</u>
General	\$2,658,800	\$2,608,058	(\$50,742)
Special Revenue	3,603,291	3,295,853	(307,438)
Total	<u>\$6,262,091</u>	<u>\$5,903,911</u>	<u>(\$358,180)</u>

2011 Budgeted vs. Actual Budgetary Basis Expenditures

<u>Fund Type</u>	<u>Appropriation Authority</u>	<u>Budgetary Expenditures</u>	<u>Variance</u>
General	\$2,816,514	\$2,810,781	\$5,733
Special Revenue	3,657,254	3,436,359	220,895
Total	<u>\$6,473,768</u>	<u>\$6,247,140</u>	<u>\$226,628</u>

As described in Note 2, the Leave Accumulation Fund is reclassified to the General Fund in the Combined Statement of Receipts, Disbursements and Changes in Fund Balance in accordance with GASB Statement No. 54. For the budgetary activity tables reflected above, the Leave Accumulation Fund is presented within the Special Revenue Fund Type.

**STARK COUNTY DISTRICT BOARD OF HEALTH  
STARK COUNTY**

**NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2011  
(Continued)**

**3. Budgetary Activity (Continued)**

Additionally a \$20,000 transfer from the General Fund to the Leave Accumulation Fund which was eliminated in the Statement of Receipts, Disbursements and Changes in Fund Balance is reflected as part of receipts/expenditures in the budgetary activity tables.

**4. Intergovernmental Funding**

The County apportions the excess of the District's appropriations over other estimated receipts among the townships and municipalities composing the District, based on their taxable property valuations. The County withholds the apportioned excess from property tax settlements and distributes it to the District. The financial statements present these amounts as intergovernmental receipts.

**5. Retirement Systems**

The District's employees belong to the Ohio Public Employees Retirement System (OPERS). OPERS is a cost-sharing, multiple-employer plan. The Ohio Revised Code prescribes this plan's benefits, which include postretirement healthcare and survivor and disability benefits.

The Ohio Revised Code also prescribes contribution rates. For 2011, OPERS members contributed 10% of their gross salaries and the District contributed an amount equaling 14% of participants' gross salaries. The District has paid all contributions required through December 31, 2011.

**6. Risk Management**

The District is exposed to various risks of property and casualty losses, and injuries to employees.

The District insures against injuries to employees through the Ohio Bureau of Worker's Compensation.

The District belongs to the Public Entities Pool of Ohio (PEP), a risk-sharing pool available to Ohio local governments. PEP provides property and casualty coverage for its members. American Risk Pooling Consultants, Inc. (ARPCO), a division of York Insurance Services Group, Inc. (YORK), functions as the administrator of PEP and provides underwriting, claims, loss control, risk management, and reinsurance services for PEP. PEP is a member of the American Public Entity Excess Pool (APEEP), which is also administered by ARPCO. Member governments pay annual contributions to fund PEP. PEP pays judgments, settlements and other expenses resulting from covered claims that exceed the members' deductibles.

Casualty and Property Coverage

APEEP provides PEP with an excess risk-sharing program. Under this arrangement, PEP retains insured risks up to an amount specified in the contracts. At December 31, 2010, PEP retained \$350,000 for casualty claims and \$150,000 for property claims.

The aforementioned casualty and property reinsurance agreement does not discharge PEP's primary liability for claims payments on covered losses. Claims exceeding coverage limits are the obligation of the respective government.

**STARK COUNTY DISTRICT BOARD OF HEALTH  
STARK COUNTY**

**NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2011  
(Continued)**

**6. Risk Management (Continued)**

Financial Position

PEP's financial statements (audited by other accountants) confirm with generally accepted account principles, and reported the following assets, liabilities and retained earnings at December 31, 2010 and 2009 (the latest information available):

	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>
Assets	\$34,952,010	\$36,374,898
Liabilities	<u>(\$14,320,812)</u>	<u>(\$15,256,862)</u>
Net Assets	\$20,631,198	\$21,118,036

At December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, the liabilities above include approximately \$12.9 million and \$14.1 million of estimated incurred claims payable. The assets above also include approximately \$12.4 million and \$13.7 million of unpaid claims to be billed to approximately 454 member governments in the future, as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. These amounts will be included in future contributions from members when the related claims are due for payment. As of December 31, 2011, the District's share of these unpaid claims collectible in future years is approximately \$24,000.

Based on discussions with PEP, the expected rates PEP charges to compute member contributions, which are used to pay claims as they become due, are not expected to change significantly from those used to determine the historical contributions detailed below. By contract, the annual liability of each member is limited to the amount of financial contributions required to be made to PEP for each year of membership.

<b><u>Contributions to PEP</u></b>	
<b><u>2011</u></b>	<b><u>2010</u></b>
\$25,968	\$22,591

After one year of membership, a member may withdraw on the anniversary of the date of joining PEP, if the member notifies PEP in writing 60 days prior to the anniversary date. Upon withdrawal, members are eligible for a full or partial refund of their capital contributions, minus the subsequent year's contribution. Withdrawing members have no other future obligation to PEP. Also upon withdrawal, payments for all casualty claims and claim expenses become the sole responsibility of the withdrawing member, regardless of whether a claim occurred or was reported prior to the withdrawal.

**7. Contingent Liabilities**

Amounts grantor agencies pay to the District are subject to audit and adjustment by the grantor, principally the federal government. The grantor may require refunding any disallowed costs. Management cannot presently determine amounts grantors may disallow. However, based on prior experience, management believes any refunds would be immaterial.



**STARK COUNTY DISTRICT BOARD OF HEALTH  
STARK COUNTY**

**FEDERAL AWARDS RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES SCHEDULE  
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011**

<b>FEDERAL GRANTOR</b> <i>Pass Through Grantor</i>	Pass Through Entity Number	Federal CFDA Number	Receipts	Disbursements
Program Title				
<b>U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE</b>				
<i>Passed Through Ohio Department of Health / Pass Through City of Canton</i>				
Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children	132-I	10.557	457,523	432,661
Total U.S. Department of Agriculture			<u>457,523</u>	<u>432,661</u>
<b>U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH &amp; HUMAN SERVICES</b>				
<i>Passed Through Ohio Department of Health</i>				
Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant to the States				
Child and Family Health Services Project	76-1-001-1-MC	93.994	322,348	322,348
Primary Dental Care Grant	76-1-001-1-EH	93.994	80,000	80,000
Total Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant			<u>402,348</u>	<u>402,348</u>
Preventative Health and Health Services Block Grant				
Injury Prevention Grant	76-1-001-2-EE	93.991	58,662	52,633
Medical Assistance Program (Title XIX)	N/A	93.778	149,925	149,925
<i>Passed Through Ohio Department of Health/Pass Through City of Canton</i>				
Immunization Grant	132-R	93.268	38,701	35,900
Public Health Emergency Preparedness	76-2-001-2-BI	93.069	172,382	137,503
<i>Pass Through National Association of County and City Health Officials</i>				
Medical Reserve Corps Small Grant Program	N/A	93.008	9,685	9,685
<b>Total U.S. Department of Health &amp; Human Services</b>			<u>831,703</u>	<u>787,994</u>
<b>Totals</b>			<u>1,289,226</u>	<u>1,220,655</u>

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.*

**STARK COUNTY DISTRICT BOARD OF HEALTH  
STARK COUNTY**

**NOTES TO THE FEDERAL AWARDS RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES SCHEDULE  
FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011**

**NOTE A - SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

The accompanying Federal Awards Receipts and Expenditures Schedule (the Schedule) reports the Stark County District Board of Health's (the District's) federal award programs' receipts and disbursements. The schedule has been prepared on the cash basis of accounting.



# Dave Yost • Auditor of State

## INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS REQUIRED BY GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Stark County District Board of Health  
Stark County  
3951 Convenience Circle NW  
Canton, Ohio 44718

To the District Board of Health:

We have audited the financial statements of the Stark County District Board of Health, Stark County, Ohio, (the District) as of and for the year ended December 31, 2011, and have issued our report thereon dated July 13, 2012 wherein we noted the District followed accounting practices the Auditor of State prescribes or permits rather than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We also noted the District restated fund balances as a result of implementing Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement 54. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*.

### Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our audit procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of opining on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we have not opined on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, when performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and timely correct misstatements. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or combination of internal control deficiencies resulting in more than a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the District's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and timely corrected.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider material weaknesses, as defined above.

### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of reasonably assuring whether the District's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we tested its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could directly and materially affect the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and accordingly, we do not express an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters we must report under *Government Auditing Standards*.

We intend this report solely for the information and use of management, the Board of Health, federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities, and others within the District. We intend it for no one other than these specified parties.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Dave Yost". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

**Dave Yost**  
Auditor of State

July 13, 2012



# Dave Yost • Auditor of State

## INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE REQUIRED BY OMB CIRCULAR A-133

Stark County District Board of Health  
Stark County  
3951 Convenience Circle NW  
Canton, Ohio 44718

To the District Board of Health:

### Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the Stark County District Board of Health, Stark County, Ohio, (the District) with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) *Circular A-133, Compliance Supplement* that could directly and materially affect the Stark County District Board of Health's major federal program for the year ended December 31, 2011. The *summary of auditor's results* section of the accompanying schedule of findings identifies the District's major federal program. The District's management is responsible for complying with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to each major federal program. Our responsibility is to opine on the District's compliance based on our audit.

Our compliance audit followed auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. These standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to reasonably assure whether noncompliance occurred with the compliance requirements referred to above that could directly and materially affect a major federal program. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the District's compliance with these requirements and performing other procedures we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the District's compliance with these requirements.

In our opinion, the Stark County District Board of Health complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that could directly and materially affect its major federal program for the year ended December 31, 2011.

### Internal Control Over Compliance

The District's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the District's internal control over compliance with requirements that could directly and materially affect a major federal program, to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of opining on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of opining on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we have not opined on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over compliance.

*A deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, when performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or to timely detect and correct, noncompliance with a federal program compliance requirement. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a federal program compliance requirement will not be prevented, or timely detected and corrected.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

We intend this report solely for the information and use of management, the Board of Health, others within the entity, federal awarding agencies, and pass-through entities. It is not intended for anyone other than these specified parties.



**Dave Yost**  
Auditor of State

July 13, 2012

**STARK COUNTY DISTRICT BOARD OF HEALTH  
STARK COUNTY**

**SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS  
OMB CIRCULAR A -133 § .505  
DECEMBER 31, 2011**

**1. SUMMARY OF AUDITOR'S RESULTS**

<b>(d)(1)(i)</b>	<b>Type of Financial Statement Opinion</b>	Unqualified
<b>(d)(1)(ii)</b>	<b>Were there any material control weaknesses reported at the financial statement level (GAGAS)?</b>	No
<b>(d)(1)(ii)</b>	<b>Were there any significant deficiencies in internal control reported at the financial statement level (GAGAS)?</b>	No
<b>(d)(1)(iii)</b>	<b>Was there any reported material noncompliance at the financial statement level (GAGAS)?</b>	No
<b>(d)(1)(iv)</b>	<b>Were there any material internal control weaknesses reported for major federal programs?</b>	No
<b>(d)(1)(iv)</b>	<b>Were there any significant deficiencies in internal control reported for major federal programs?</b>	No
<b>(d)(1)(v)</b>	<b>Type of Major Programs' Compliance Opinion</b>	Unqualified
<b>(d)(1)(vi)</b>	<b>Are there any reportable findings under § .510(a)?</b>	No
<b>(d)(1)(vii)</b>	<b>Major Programs (list):</b>	Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants and Children (CFDA #10.557)
<b>(d)(1)(viii)</b>	<b>Dollar Threshold: Type A/B Programs</b>	Type A: > \$ 300,000 Type B: all others
<b>(d)(1)(ix)</b>	<b>Low Risk Auditee?</b>	Yes

**2. FINDINGS RELATED TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
REQUIRED TO BE REPORTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GAGAS**

None

**3. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR FEDERAL AWARDS**

None

**This page intentionally left blank.**





# Dave Yost • Auditor of State

**STARK COUNTY DISTRICT BOARD OF HEALTH**

**STARK COUNTY**

**CLERK'S CERTIFICATION**

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

*Susan Babbitt*

**CLERK OF THE BUREAU**

**CERTIFIED  
AUGUST 09, 2012**