



Dave Yost • Auditor of State





# Dave Yost • Auditor of State

## INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Greene County Agricultural Society  
Greene County  
120 Fairground Road  
Xenia, Ohio 45385

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Board of Directors and the management of Greene County Agricultural Society (the Society) agreed, solely to assist the Board in evaluating receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended November 30, 2012 and 2011, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Management is responsible for recording transactions; and management and the Board are responsible for complying with the compliance requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

### Cash and Investments

1. We tested the mathematical accuracy of the November 30, 2012 and November 30, 2011 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
2. We agreed the December 1, 2010 beginning fund balances recorded to the November 30, 2010 balances in the prior year documentation in the prior year Agreed-Upon Procedures working papers. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the December 1, 2011 beginning fund balances recorded to the November 30, 2011 balances. We found no exceptions.
3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the November 30, 2012 and 2011 fund cash balance reported in the Reconciliation Detail Report. The amounts agreed.
4. We confirmed the November 30, 2012 bank account balances with the Society's financial institution. We found no exceptions. We also observed the year-end bank balances on the financial institution's website. The balances agreed. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the November 30, 2012 bank reconciliation without exception.
5. We selected five reconciling debits (such as outstanding checks) haphazardly from the November 30, 2012 bank reconciliation:
  - a. We traced each debit to the subsequent December bank statement. We found no exceptions.
  - b. We traced the amounts and dates to the check register, to determine the debits were dated prior to November 30. We noted no exceptions.

### **Cash and Investments (Continued)**

6. We tested investments held at November 30, 2012 and November 30, 2011 to determine that they:
  - a. Were of a type authorized by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 135.13, 135.14 or 135.144. We found no exceptions.
  - b. Mature within the prescribed time limits noted in Ohio Rev. Code Section 135.13 or 135.14. We noted no exceptions.

### **Intergovernmental and Other Confirmable Cash Receipts**

1. We selected all the receipts from the Distribution Transaction Detail Report (State DTL) for 2012 and 2011. We also selected five receipts from the Greene County Vendor Audit Trail Report from 2012 and five from 2011.
  - a. We compared the amount from the above reports to the amount recorded in the Receipt Ledger. The amounts agreed.
  - b. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper account code(s). Two receipts on the State DTL and one receipt on the Greene County Vendor Audit Trail Report was not allocated to the proper account code. Because we did not test all receipts from Greene County, our report provides no assurance whether or not additional similar errors occurred.
  - c. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

### **Admission/Grandstand Receipts**

We haphazardly selected one day of admission/grandstand cash receipts from the year ended November 30 2012 recorded in the transaction detail report and determined whether the receipt amount agreed to the supporting documentation (ticket recapitulation sheets/cash register tapes, etc). The amounts agreed for the day we tested from 2012.

### **Rental Receipts**

We haphazardly selected 10 rental cash receipts from the year ended November 30, 2012 and 10 rental cash receipts from the year ended November 30, 2011 recorded in the duplicate cash receipts book and determined whether the:

- a. Receipt amount agreed to the amount recorded in the transaction detail report. The amounts agreed.
- b. Amount charged complied with rates in force during the period. We found no exceptions.
- c. Receipt was recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

### **Over-The-Counter Cash Receipts**

We haphazardly selected 10 over-the-counter cash receipts from the year ended November 30, 2012 and 10 over-the-counter cash receipts from the year ended 2011 recorded in the duplicate cash receipts book and determined whether the:

- a. Receipt amount agreed to the amount recorded in the transaction detail report. The amounts agreed.
- b. Amount charged complied with rates in force during the period. We found no exceptions.
- c. Receipt was posted to the proper fund(s), and was recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

## Debt

1. From the prior agreed-upon procedures documentation, we noted the following loan outstanding as of November 30, 2010. These amounts agreed to the Societies December 1, 2011 balances on the summary we used in step 3.

<u>Issue</u>	<u>Principal outstanding as of November 30, 2010:</u>
Building Mortgage	\$388,054

2. We inquired of management, and scanned the receipt and expenditure records for evidence of loan or credit agreements, and bonded, note, County, or mortgage debt issued during 2012 or 2011 or debt payment activity during 2012 or 2011. All debt noted agreed to the summary we used in step 3.
3. We obtained a summary of debt service payments including mortgage debt and loan/credit agreements permitted by Ohio Rev. Code Section 1711.13 owed during 2012 and 2011 and agreed these payments from the expenditure ledger to the related debt amortization schedules. We also compared the date the debt service payments were due to the date the Society made the payments. We noted one instance where the Building Mortgage payment was made late. No other exceptions were noted.
4. For new debt issued during 2011, we inspected the debt legislation, noting the Society entered into a financing agreement for purchase of a lawn mower.
5. We inquired of management, scanned the Transaction Detail Report, and scanned the prior agreed upon procedures report and determined that the Society had a loan outstanding from a prior year and obtained a loan or credit line in 2011 as permitted by Ohio Rev. Code Section 1711.13(B). We examined the Society's computation supporting that the total net indebtedness from loans and credit did not exceed twenty-five percent of its annual revenues. It was noted that in 2012 the total debt outstanding was \$365,677 and the total revenues were \$1,102,090. Debt was 33.18% of total revenues.

## Payroll Cash Disbursements

1. We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2012 and one payroll check for five employees from 2011 from the Transaction Detail Report and:
  - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Transaction Detail Report to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively or statutorily-approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
2. For any new employees selected in step 1 we determined whether the following information in the employees' personnel files was consistent with the information used to compute gross and net pay related to this check:
  - a. Name
  - b. Authorized salary or pay rate
  - c. Retirement system participation and payroll withholding
  - d. Federal, State & Local income tax withholding authorization and withholding.
  - e. Any other deduction authorizations (deferred compensation, etc.)

We found no exceptions related to steps a – e above.

**Payroll Cash Disbursements (Continued)**

3. We scanned the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended November 30, 2012 to determine whether remittances were timely paid, and that the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld, plus the employer's share where applicable, during the final withholding period of 2012. We noted the following:

<b>Withholding (plus employer share, where applicable)</b>	<b>Date Due</b>	<b>Date Paid</b>	<b>Amount Due</b>	<b>Amount Paid</b>
Federal income taxes, social security, & Medicare (and social security, for employees not enrolled in pension system)	January 31, 2013	January 9, 2013	\$1,119	\$1,119
State income taxes	January 31, 2013	January 28, 2013	336	336
Xenia income tax	January 31, 2013	January 28, 2013	161	161
School District Income Tax	January 31, 2013	January 28, 2013	156	156

**Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements**

1. We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Transaction Detail Report for the year ended November 30, 2012 and ten from the year ended 2011 and determined whether:
- The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
  - The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Transaction Detail Report and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
  - Based on the nature of the expenditure, the account coding is reasonable. We found no exceptions.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Society's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, those charged with governance, and others within the Society, and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.



**Dave Yost**  
Auditor of State

May 29, 2013



# Dave Yost • Auditor of State

**GREENE COUNTY AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY**

**GREENE COUNTY**

**CLERK'S CERTIFICATION**

**This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.**

*Susan Babbitt*

**CLERK OF THE BUREAU**

**CERTIFIED  
JUNE 13, 2013**