

**PLAIN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT PUBLIC LIBRARY  
MADISON COUNTY  
Agreed-Upon Procedures  
For the Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011**

***Perry & Associates***  
Certified Public Accountants, A.C.





# Dave Yost • Auditor of State

Board of Trustees  
Plain City School District Public Library  
305 West Main Street  
Plain City, Ohio 43064

We have reviewed the *Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures* of the Plain City School District Public Library, Madison County, prepared by Perry & Associates, Certified Public Accountants, A. C., for the period January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2012. Based upon this review, we have accepted this report in lieu of the audit required by Section 117.11, Revised Code.

Our review was made in reference to the applicable sections of legislative criteria, as reflected by the Ohio Constitution, and the Revised Code, policies, procedures and guidelines of the Auditor of State, regulations and grant requirements. The Plain City School District Public Library is responsible for compliance with these laws and regulations.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Dave Yost".

Dave Yost  
Auditor of State

June 13, 2013

**This page intentionally left blank.**

**PLAIN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT PUBLIC LIBRARY  
MADISON COUNTY**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures .....	1

***Perry & Associates***  
Certified Public Accountants, A.C.

[www.perrycpas.com](http://www.perrycpas.com)

MARIETTA  
428 Second Street  
Marietta, OH 45750  
(740) 373-0056  
(740) 373-2402 Fax

PARKERSBURG  
1035 Murdoch Avenue  
Parkersburg, WV 26101  
(304) 422-2203  
(304) 428-5587 Fax

ST. CLAIRSVILLE  
121 E. Main Street  
St. Clairsville, OH 43950  
(740) 695-1569  
(740) 695-5775 Fax

**INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES**

May 30, 2013

Plain City School District Public Library  
Madison County  
305 West Main Street  
Plain City, Ohio 43064

To the Fiscal Officer, Executive Director and Board of Trustees:

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Board of Trustees and the management of the **Plain City School District Public Library**, Madison County, Ohio (the Library) and the Auditor of State agreed, solely to assist the Board in evaluating receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Management is responsible for recording transactions; and management and the Board are responsible for complying with the compliance requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

**Cash and Investments**

1. We tested the mathematical accuracy of the December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
2. We agreed the January 1, 2011 beginning fund balance recorded in the Combined Statement of Receipts, Disbursements and Changes in Fund Balances to the December 31, 2010 balance in the documentation in the prior year Agreed-Upon Procedures working papers. We found no exceptions. We agreed the January 1, 2012 beginning fund balance recorded in the Combined Statement of Receipts, Disbursements and Changes in Fund Balances to the December 31, 2011 balance in the Combined Statement of Receipts, Disbursements and Changes in Fund Balances. We found no exceptions.
3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the December 31, 2012 and 2011 fund cash balances reported in the Fund Status Reports. The amounts agreed.
4. We confirmed the December 31, 2012 bank account balances with the Library's financial institutions. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the December 31, 2012 bank reconciliation without exception.

### **Cash and Investments (Continued)**

5. We selected five reconciling debits (such as outstanding checks) haphazardly from the December 31, 2012 bank reconciliation:
  - a. We traced each debit to the subsequent January bank statement. We found no exceptions.
  - b. We traced the amounts and dates written to the check register, to determine the debits were dated prior to December 31. We noted no exceptions.
6. We tested investments held at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 to determine that they:
  - a. Were of a type authorized by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 135.13, 135.14 or 135.144. We found no exceptions.
  - b. Mature within the prescribed time limits noted in Ohio Rev. Code Section 135.13 or 135.14. We noted no exceptions.

### **State Library and Local Government Support Receipts**

We selected two State Library and Local Government Support (LLGS) receipts from the County Vendor Audit Trail Reports from 2012 and two from 2011.

- a. We compared the amount from the County Vendor Audit Trail Reports to the amount recorded in the Receipt Register Report. The amounts agreed.
- b. We determined whether these receipts were posted to the General Fund. We found no exceptions.
- c. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.
- d. We scanned the Receipt Register Report to determine whether it included one LLGS receipt per month for 2012 and 2011. We found two intergovernmental receipts in 2011 posted as LLGS receipts. No other exceptions were noted.

### **Property Taxes, Intergovernmental and Other Confirmable Cash Receipts**

1. We selected a property tax receipt from one *Statement of Semiannual Apportionment of Taxes* (the Statement) for 2012 and one from 2011 for each of the three counties from which taxes are levied:
  - a. We traced the gross receipts from the *Statement* to the amounts recorded in the Receipt Register Report. The amounts agreed.
  - b. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. The receipts were recorded in the proper year.
2. We scanned the Receipt Register Report to determine whether it included two real estate tax receipts for 2012 and 2011. We noted the Receipt Register Report included the proper number of tax receipts for each year.
3. We selected five receipts from the State Distribution Transaction Lists (DTL) from 2012 and five from 2011. We also selected all receipts from the County Auditor's Vendor Audit Trail Reports from 2012 and all from 2011:
  - a. We compared the amounts from the above reports to the amounts recorded in the Receipt Register Report. The amounts agreed.
  - b. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper fund. We found no exceptions.
  - c. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

### **Debt**

1. The prior agreed-upon procedures documentation disclosed no debt outstanding as of December 31, 2010.

**Debt (Continued)**

2. We inquired of management, and scanned the Receipt Register Report and Payment Register Detail Report for evidence of debt issued during 2012 or 2011 or debt payment activity during 2012 or 2011. We noted neither new debt issuances, nor any debt payment activity during 2012 or 2011.

**Payroll Cash Disbursements**

1. We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2012 and one payroll check for five employees from 2011 from the Payroll Register Detail Report and:
  - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Payroll Register Detail Report to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively or statutorily-approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
  - b. We determined whether the fund and account code to which the check was posted were reasonable based on the employees' duties as documented in the minute record. We also determined whether the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.
2. We scanned the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2012 to determine whether remittances were timely paid, and if the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld, plus the employer's share where applicable, during the final withholding period of 2012. We noted the following:

<b>Withholding (plus employer share where applicable)</b>	<b>Date Due</b>	<b>Date Paid</b>	<b>Amount Due</b>	<b>Amount Paid</b>
Federal income taxes & Medicare (and social security for employees not enrolled in pension system)	January 31, 2013	December 30, 2012	\$ 2,739.89	\$ 2,739.89
State income taxes	January 15, 2013	December 30, 2012	\$ 531.15	\$ 531.15
Local income tax	January 31, 2013	December 30, 2012	\$ 237.70	\$ 237.70
OPERS retirement	January 30, 2013	December 30, 2012	\$ 5,706.20	\$ 5,706.20
Ohio school tax	January 31, 2013	December 30, 2012	\$ 181.04	\$ 181.04

**Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements**

1. We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Payment Register Detail Report for the year ended December 31, 2012 and ten from the year ended 2011 and determined whether:
  - a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
  - b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Payment Register Detail Report and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
  - c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.
2. We scanned the Payment Register Detail Report for the year ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 and determined that the proceeds from the levy passed under Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.23, were used for the purpose stated in the resolution.

**Compliance – Budgetary**

1. We compared total appropriations required by Ohio Admin. Code Section 117-8-02, to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status Report for 2012 and 2011 for the following funds: General Fund and the Capital Improvement Fund. The amounts on the appropriation resolutions agreed to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status Reports, except for the Capital Projects Fund in 2011. The Appropriation Status Report recorded appropriations for the Capital Projects Fund of \$0 in 2011. However, the appropriation resolution reflected \$9,000. The fiscal officer should periodically compare amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status Report to the amounts recorded on the appropriation resolution to assure they agree. If the amounts do not agree, the Trustees may be using inaccurate information for budgeting and monitoring purposes.
2. Ohio Admin. Code Section 117-8-02 prohibits spending in excess of budgeted amounts. We compared total expenditures to total appropriations for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 for the General and Capital Improvement Fund, as recorded in the Appropriation Status Report. We noted no funds for which expenditures exceeded appropriations.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Library's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management and those charged with governance and the Auditor of State and others within the Library and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Respectfully Submitted,



**Perry and Associates**  
Certified Public Accountants, A.C.

**This page intentionally left blank.**



# Dave Yost • Auditor of State

PLAIN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT PUBLIC LIBRARY

MADISON COUNTY

## CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

*Susan Babbitt*

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

CERTIFIED  
JUNE 25, 2013