



Dave Yost • Auditor of State



**SCIOTO COUNTY AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY  
SCIOTO COUNTY**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures.....	1

**This page intentionally left blank.**



# Dave Yost • Auditor of State

## INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Scioto County Agricultural Society  
Scioto County  
P.O. Box 109  
Lucasville, Ohio 45648

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Board of Directors and the management of Scioto County Agricultural Society, Scioto County, Ohio (the Society) agreed, solely to assist the Board in evaluating receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended November 30, 2012 and 2011, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Management is responsible for recording transactions; and management and the Board are responsible for complying with the compliance requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

### Cash

1. We tested the mathematical accuracy of the November 30, 2012 and November 30, 2011 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
2. We agreed the December 1, 2010 beginning fund balances recorded to the November 30, 2010 balances in the prior year audited statements. There is a variance of \$420. We also agreed the December 1, 2011 beginning fund balances recorded to the November 30, 2011 balances. We found no exceptions.
3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the November 30, 2012 and 2011 fund cash balance reported in the fair report. There is a variance of \$17.93 between the amounts on the 2011 American Savings Bank November 2011 bank reconciliation and the amount on the 2011 Fair Report. There is a variance of \$5.13 between the amounts on the 2012 American Savings Bank November 2012 bank reconciliation and the amount on the 2012 Fair Report. There is a variance of \$1,360.22 between the amounts on the 2012 Fifth Third Bank November bank reconciliation and the amount on the 2012 Fair Report.

4. We confirmed the November 30, 2012 certificates of deposit and money market account balances with the Society's financial institutions. We noted the confirmed certificate of deposit balances varied by \$133.16. The money market account balance agreed. We observed the November 30, 2012 year-end checking account bank balance on the financial institution's website. The balance agreed. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the November 30, 2012 bank reconciliations, however there are no reconciliations prepared that include the certificate of deposit balances. We recommend the fiscal officer include all bank accounts in the reconciliations.
5. We selected five reconciling debits (such as outstanding checks) haphazardly from the November 30, 2012 bank reconciliation:
  - a. We traced each debit to the subsequent December bank statement. We found no exceptions.
  - b. We traced the amounts and dates to the check register, to determine the debits were dated prior to November 30. We noted no exceptions.
6. We tested investments held at November 30, 2012 and November 30, 2011 to determine that they:
  - a. Were of a type authorized by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 135.13, 135.14 or 135.144. We found no exceptions.
  - b. Mature within the prescribed time limits noted in Ohio Rev. Code Section 135.13 or 135.14. We noted no exceptions.

#### **Intergovernmental and Other Confirmable Cash Receipts**

1. We selected all the receipts from the State for 2012 and 2011. We also selected all receipts from the County Auditor's Vendor Audit Trail Report from 2012 and 2011.
  - a. We compared the amount from the above reports to the amount recorded in the Receipt Ledger. The amounts agreed.
  - b. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper account codes. We found no exceptions.
  - c. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

#### **Admission/Grandstand Receipts**

We haphazardly selected one day of admission/grandstand cash receipts from the year ended November 30 2012 and one day of admission/grandstand cash receipts from the year ended November 30, 2011 recorded in the receipts ledger and determined whether the receipt amount agreed to the supporting documentation (ticket recapitulation sheets/cash register tapes, etc). The amounts agreed.

#### **Privilege Fee Receipts**

We haphazardly selected 10 privilege fee cash receipts from the year ended November 30, 2012 and 10 privilege fee cash receipts from the year ended November 30, 2011 recorded in the duplicate cash receipts book and determined whether the:

- a. Receipt amount agreed to the amount recorded in the Receipt Ledger. The amounts agreed.
- b. Amount charged complied with rates in force during the period. We found no exceptions.
- c. Receipt was recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

### Rental Receipts

We haphazardly selected 10 rental cash receipts from the year ended November 30, 2012 and 10 rental cash receipts from the year ended November 30, 2011 recorded in the duplicate cash receipts book and determined whether the:

- a. Receipt amount agreed to the amount recorded in the Receipt Ledger. The amounts agreed.
- b. Amount charged complied with rates in force during the period. We found no exceptions.
- c. Receipt was recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

### Over-The-Counter Cash Receipts

We haphazardly selected 10 over-the-counter cash receipts from the year ended November 30, 2012 and 10 over-the-counter cash receipts from the year ended 2011 recorded in the duplicate cash receipts book and determined whether the:

- a. Receipt amount agreed to the amount recorded in the receipt ledger. The amounts agreed.
- b. Amount charged complied with rates in force during the period. We found no exceptions.
- c. Receipt was posted to the proper funds, and was recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

### Debt

1. From the prior audit documentation, we noted the following bonds outstanding as of November 30, 2010. These amounts agreed to the Societies December 1, 2010 balances on the summary we used in step 3.

<b>Issue</b>	<b>Principal outstanding as of November 30, 2010:</b>
County Fair Facility Bonds	\$550,000

2. We inquired of management, and scanned the receipt and expenditure records for evidence of loan or credit agreements, and bonded, note, County, or mortgage debt issued during the years ended November 30, 2012 or 2011 or debt payment activity during the years ended November 30, 2012 or 2011. All debt noted agreed to the summary we used in step 3.
3. We obtained a summary of debt service payments owed during the years ended November 30, 2012 and 2011 and agreed these payments from the expenditure ledger to the related debt amortization schedule. We also compared the date the debt service payments were due to the date the Society made the payments. We found no exceptions.
4. We inquired of management, scanned the receipt ledger, and scanned the prior audit report and determined that the Society had a loan or credit agreement outstanding from a prior year as permitted by Ohio Rev. Code 1711.13(B). The Society did not prepare the computation supporting that the total net indebtedness from loans and credit did not exceed twenty-five percent of its annual revenues.

**Payroll Cash Disbursements**

1. We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2012 and one payroll check for five employees from 2011 from the Payroll Register and:
  - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Payroll Register to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively or statutorily-approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
  - b. We recomputed gross and net pay and agreed it to the amount recorded in the payroll register. We found no exceptions.
  
2. We scanned the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended November 30, 2012 to determine whether remittances were timely paid, and that the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld, plus the employer's share where applicable, during the final withholding period of 2012. We noted the following:

<b>Withholding (plus employer share, where applicable)</b>	<b>Date Due</b>	<b>Date Paid</b>	<b>Amount Due</b>	<b>Amount Paid</b>
Federal income taxes, social security, & Medicare <u>(and social security for employees not enrolled in pension system)</u>	January 31, 2013	11/30/2012	986.46	986.46
State income taxes	January 15, 2013	11/30/2012	200.65	200.65

**Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements**

1. From the Expenditure report, we re-footed checks recorded as disbursements for equipment and grounds maintenance for 2012. We found no exceptions.
  
2. We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the General Ledger for the year ended November 30, 2012 and ten from the year ended 2011 and determined whether:
  - a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
  - b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Expenditure Ledger and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
  - c. Based on the nature of the expenditure, the account coding is reasonable. We found no exceptions.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Society's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, those charged with governance, and others within the Society, and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Dave Yost". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, looping initial "D" and a long, sweeping tail on the "y".

**Dave Yost**  
Auditor of State

May 29, 2013

**This page intentionally left blank.**



# Dave Yost • Auditor of State

**SCIOTO COUNTY AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY**

**SCIOTO COUNTY**

**CLERK'S CERTIFICATION**

**This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.**

*Susan Babbitt*

**CLERK OF THE BUREAU**

**CERTIFIED  
JUNE 11, 2013**