

RUSHCREEK TOWNSHIP
LOGAN COUNTY, OHIO

Agreed-Upon Procedures

For the Years Ended December 31, 2015 and 2014



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

Board of Trustees
Rushcreek Township
6143 County Road 40
Rushsylvania, Ohio 43347-9748

We have reviewed the *Independent Accountant's Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures* of Rushcreek Township, Logan County, prepared by Van Krevel and Company, CPA's, for the period January 1, 2014 through December 31, 2015. Based upon this review, we have accepted this report in lieu of the audit required by Section 117.11, Revised Code

Our review was made in reference to the applicable sections of legislative criteria, as reflected by the Ohio Constitution, and the Revised Code, policies, procedures and guidelines of the Auditor of State, regulations and grant requirements. Rushcreek Township is responsible for compliance with these laws and regulations.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Dave Yost".

Dave Yost
Auditor of State

July 12, 2016

This page intentionally left blank.

Rushcreek Township
Logan County, Ohio

Table of Contents

TITLE _____ PAGE

Independent Accountant's Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures1

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Rushcreek Township
Logan County, Ohio
6143 County Road 40
Rushsylvania, Ohio 43347-9748

To the Board of Trustees:

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Board of Trustees and the management of Rushcreek Township, Logan County, Ohio (the Township) and the Auditor of State agreed, solely to assist the Board in evaluating receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Management is responsible for recording transactions; and management and the Board are responsible for complying with the compliance requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

Cash and Investments

1. We tested the mathematical accuracy of the December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
2. We agreed the January 1, 2014 beginning fund balances recorded in the Fund Ledger Report to the December 31, 2013 balances in the documentation in the prior year Agreed-Upon Procedures working papers. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the January 1, 2015 beginning fund balances recorded in the Fund Ledger Report to the December 31, 2014 balances in the Fund Ledger Report. We found no exceptions.

Cash and Investments (continued)

3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the December 31, 2015 and 2014 fund cash balances reported in the Fund Status Reports. The amounts agreed.
4. We confirmed the December 31, 2015 bank account balances with the Township's financial institutions. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the December 31, 2015 bank reconciliation without exception.
5. We selected five reconciling debits (such as outstanding checks) haphazardly from the December 31, 2015 bank reconciliation:
 - a. We traced each debit to the subsequent January bank statement. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We traced the amounts and dates to the check register, to determine the debits were dated prior to December 31. We noted no exceptions.
6. We tested investments held at December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 to determine that they:
 - a. Were of a type authorized by the Ohio Revised Code Sections 135.13, 135.14 or 135.144. We found no exceptions.
 - b. Mature within the prescribed time limits noted in Ohio Revised Code Section 135.13 or 135.14. We noted no exceptions.

Property Taxes, Intergovernmental and Other Confirmable Cash Receipts

1. We selected a property tax receipt from one *Statement of Semiannual Apportionment of Taxes* (the *Statement*) for 2015 and one from 2014:
 - a. We traced the gross receipts from the *Statement* to the amount recorded in the Revenue Receipt Register Report. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We determined whether the receipt was allocated to the proper funds as require by Ohio Revised Code Sections 5705.05-.06 and 5705.10. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We determined whether the receipt was recorded in the proper year. The receipt was recorded in the proper year.
2. We scanned the Revenue Receipt Register Report to determine whether it included two real estate tax receipts for 2015 and 2014. We noted the Revenue Receipt Register Report included the proper number of tax receipts for each year.

Property Taxes, Intergovernmental and Other Confirmable Cash Receipts (continued)

3. We selected all four receipts from the State Distribution Transaction List (DTL) from 2015 and all four receipts from 2014. We also selected five receipts from the County Auditor's Vendor Expense Report from 2015 and five from 2014.
 - a. We compared the amount from the above reports to the amount recorded in the Revenue Receipt Register Report. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper funds. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.
4. We confirmed the 2014 OPWC receipt of \$36,130.44 with the Logan County Engineer.
 - a. We determined whether the receipt was allocated to the proper fund. Exception noted: Although the Fiscal Officer properly budgeted the receipt and appropriated the expenditure, the on behalf transaction was not recorded in 2014. The Capital Projects fund should have reported an intergovernmental receipt of \$36,130.44 and a capital outlay expenditure of the same amount for the year ended December 31, 2014.
 - b. We determined whether the receipt was recorded in the proper year. Exception noted: The receipt was not recorded.
5. We confirmed the Sales Tax Credit receipt reported in the Township's Revenue Receipt Register during 2015 with the Logan County Engineer. We found no exception.
 - a. We determined whether the receipt was allocated to the proper fund. We found no exception.
 - b. We determined whether the receipt was recorded in the proper year. We found no exception.

Over-The-Counter Cash Receipts

We haphazardly selected 10 over-the-counter cash receipts from the year ended December 31, 2015 and 10 over-the-counter cash receipts from the year ended December 31, 2014 received from Republic Services, Inc. and recorded in the standard receipt reports and determined whether the:

- a. Receipt amount agreed to the amount recorded in the Revenue Receipt Register Report. The amounts agreed.
- b. Amount charged complied with rates in force during the period. We found no exceptions.
- c. Receipt was posted to the proper fund, and was recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Debt

1. The prior agreed-upon procedures documentation disclosed no debt outstanding as of December 31, 2013.
2. We inquired of management and scanned the Revenue Receipt Register Report and Payment Register Detail Report for evidence of debt issued during 2015 or 2014 or debt payment activity during 2015 or 2014. We noted no new debt issuances or any debt payment activity during 2015 or 2014.

Payroll Cash Disbursements

1. We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2015 and one payroll check for five employees during 2014 from the Wage Detail Reports and:
 - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Employee Wage Detail Report to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively or statutorily-approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
 - b. We determined whether the fund and account codes to which the check was posted were reasonable based on the employees' duties as documented in the minute record or as required by statute. We also determined whether the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.
2. We scanned the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2015 to determine whether the remittances were timely paid and if the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld plus the employer's share, where applicable, during the final withholding period of 2015. We noted the following:

Withholding (plus Employer share where applicable)

	Date Due	Date Paid	Amount Due	Amount Paid
Federal income taxes & Medicare (and Social Security, for employees not enrolled in pension system)	Jan 15, 2016	Dec 31, 2015	\$1,961.13	\$1,961.13
State income taxes	Jan 15, 2016	Dec 30, 2015	228.47	228.47
OPERS retirement-Dec	Feb 1, 2016	Dec 30, 2015	1,854.86	1,854.86
OPERS retirement-Nov	Dec 31, 2015	Dec 30, 2015	1,802.95	1,802.95
Local-CCA	Jan 15[, 2016	Dec 30, 2015	309.17	309.17

3. We inquired of management and scanned the Appropriation Payment Register Detail Report for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 to determine if Township employees and /or trustees were reimbursed for out-of-pocket insurance premiums. Insurance reimbursements were made in compliance with ORC 505.60 and 505.601 and federal regulations.

Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements

1. We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Payment Register Detail Report for the year ended December 31, 2015 and ten from the year ended December 31, 2014, and determined whether:
 - a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
 - b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Payment Register Detail Report and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
 - c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.
 - d. The fiscal officer certified disbursements requiring certified or issued a *Then and Now Certificate*, as required by Ohio Revised Code Section 5705.41(D). We found no exceptions.

Compliance - Budgetary

1. We compared the total estimated receipts from the *Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources*, required by Ohio Revised Code Section 5705.36(A)(1) to the amounts recorded in the Revenue Status Report for the General, Gasoline Tax and Fire District Funds for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014. The amounts agreed.
2. We scanned the appropriation measures adopted for 2015 and 2014 to determine whether, for the General, Gasoline and Fire District Funds, the Trustees appropriated separately for "each office department, and division and within each the amount appropriated for personal services," as is required by Ohio Revised Code Section 5705.38(C). We found no exceptions.
3. We compared total appropriations required by Ohio Revised Section 5705.38 and 5705.40 to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status Report for 2015 and 2014 for the following funds: General, Gasoline and Fire District. The amounts on the appropriation resolutions agreed to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status Report.

Compliance – Budgetary (continued)

4. Ohio Revised Code Sections 5705.36(A)(5) and 5705.39 prohibits appropriations exceeding the certified resources. We compared total appropriations to total certified resources for the General, Gasoline and Fire District Funds for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014. We noted no funds for which appropriations exceeded certified resources.
5. Ohio Revised Code Section 5705.41(B) prohibits expenditures (disbursements plus certified commitments) from exceeding appropriations. We compared total expenditures to total appropriations for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 for the General, Gasoline and Fire District Funds, as recorded in the Appropriation Status Report. We noted no funds for which expenditures exceeded appropriations.
6. Ohio Revised Code Section 5705.09 requires establishing separate funds to segregate externally restricted resources. We scanned the Receipt Register Report for evidence of new restricted receipts requiring a new fund during December 31, 2015 and 2014. We also inquired of management regarding whether the Township received new restricted receipts. We noted no evidence of new restricted receipts for which Ohio Revised Code Section 5705.09 would require the Township to establish a new fund.
7. We scanned the 2015 and 2014 Revenue Status Reports and Appropriation Status Reports for evidence of interfund transfers exceeding \$5,000 which Ohio Revised Code Sections 5705.14-.16 restrict. We found no evidence of transfers these Sections prohibit, or for which Section 5705.16 would require approval by the Tax Commissioner and Court of Common Pleas.
8. We inquired of management and scanned the Appropriations Status Reports to determine whether the Township elected to establish reserve accounts permitted by Ohio Revised Code Section 5705.13. We noted the Township did not establish these reserves.
9. We scanned the Cash Summary by Fund Report for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 for negative cash fund balance. Ohio Revised Code Section 5705.10(1) provides that money paid into a fund must be used for the purposes for which such fund is established. As a result, a negative fund cash balance indicates that money from one fund was used to cover the expenses of another. We noted no funds having a negative cash fund balance.

Compliance – Contracts and Expenditures

We inquired of management and scanned the Payment Register Detail Report for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 to determine if the Township proceeded by force account (i.e., used its own employees) to maintain or repair roads (cost of project \$15,000-\$45,000) or to construct or

reconstruct township roads (cost of project \$5,000-\$15,000/per mile) for which Ohio Revised Code Section 5575.01 requires the county engineer to complete a force account project assessment form (i.e. cost estimate). We identified no projects requiring the county engineer to complete a force account cost estimate.

Other Compliance

1. Ohio Rev. Code Section 117.38 requires townships to file their financial information in the HINKLE system formerly known as the Annual Financial Data Reporting System (AFDRS) within 60 days after the close of the fiscal year. We reviewed AFDRS to verify the Township filed their financial information within the allotted timeframe for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014. No exceptions were noted.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Township's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, those charged with governance, the Auditor of State, and others within the Township, and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.



VAN KREVEL & COMPANY
Dublin, Ohio

June 28, 2016



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

RUSHCREEK TOWNSHIP

LOGAN COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbitt

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

**CERTIFIED
JULY 26, 2016**