

VILLAGE OF WALDO

MARION COUNTY

Agreed Upon Procedures

January 1, 2014 to December 31, 2015





Dave Yost • Auditor of State

Village Council
Village of Waldo
P.O. Box 202
Waldo, Ohio 43356

We have reviewed the *Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures* of the Village of Waldo, Marion County, prepared by Charles E. Harris & Associates, Inc., for the period January 1, 2014 through December 31, 2015. Based upon this review, we have accepted this report in lieu of the audit required by Section 117.11, Revised Code

Our review was made in reference to the applicable sections of legislative criteria, as reflected by the Ohio Constitution, and the Revised Code, policies, procedures and guidelines of the Auditor of State, regulations and grant requirements. The Village of Waldo is responsible for compliance with these laws and regulations.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Dave Yost".

Dave Yost
Auditor of State

July 27, 2016

This page intentionally left blank.

**VILLAGE OF WALDO
MARION COUNTY
Agreed Upon Procedures
December 31, 2015 and 2014**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures	1

This page intentionally left blank.

Rockefeller Building
614 W Superior Ave Ste 1242
Cleveland OH 44113-1306
Office phone - (216) 575-1630
Fax - (216) 436-2411

Charles E. Harris & Associates, Inc.
Certified Public Accountants

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Village of Waldo
Marion County
P.O. Box 202
Waldo, Ohio 43356

To the Village Council:

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Village Council and Mayor, and the management of Village of Waldo (the Village) and the Auditor of State have agreed, solely to assist the Council and Mayor in evaluating receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Management is responsible for recording transactions; and management, the Mayor, and / or the Council are responsible for complying with the compliance requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

Cash

1. We tested the mathematical accuracy of the December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
2. We agreed the January 1, 2014 beginning fund balances recorded in the Cash Summary by Fund Report to the December 31, 2013 balances in the prior year audited statements. We found no exceptions. We agreed the January 1, 2015 beginning fund balances recorded in the Cash Summary by Fund Report to the December 31, 2014 balances in the Cash Summary by Fund Report. We found no exceptions.
3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the December 31, 2015 and 2014 fund cash balances reported in the Cash Summary by Fund Report. The amounts agreed.
4. We confirmed the December 31, 2015 bank account balance with the Village's financial institution. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the December 31, 2015 bank reconciliation without exception.
5. We selected five reconciling debits (such as outstanding checks) haphazardly from the December 31, 2015 bank reconciliation:
 - a. We traced each debit to the subsequent January bank statement. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We traced the amounts and dates to the check register, to determine the debits were dated prior to December 31. We noted no exceptions.

Property Taxes, Intergovernmental and Other Confirmable Cash Receipts

1. We selected a property tax receipt from one *Statement of Semiannual Apportionment of Taxes* (the *Statement*) for 2015 and one from 2014:
 - a. We traced the gross receipts from the *Statement* to the amount recorded in the Cash Journal Report. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We determined whether the receipt was allocated to the proper funds as required by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.05-.06 and 5705.10. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We determined whether the receipt was recorded in the proper year. The receipt was recorded in the proper year.
2. We scanned the Cash Journal Report to determine whether it included two real estate tax receipts for 2015 and 2014. We noted the Cash Journal Report included the proper number of tax receipts for each year.
3. We selected five receipts from the State Distribution Transaction Lists (DTL) from 2015 and five from 2014. We also selected five receipts from the County Auditor's DTLs from 2015 and five from 2014.
 - a. We compared the amount from the above reports to the amount recorded in the Cash Journal Report. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper funds. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Debt

1. From the prior audit documentation, we noted the following bonds, notes and loans outstanding as of December 31, 2013. These amounts agreed to the Village's January 1, 2014 balances on the summary we used in step 3.

Issue	Principal outstanding as of December 31, 2013:
OPWC Loan CP24N	\$ 100,000
OWDA Loan 6206	644,477

2. We inquired of management, and scanned the Revenue Ledger Report and Cash Journal Report for evidence of debt issued during 2015 or 2014 or debt payment activity during 2015 or 2014. We noted no new debt issuances.
3. We obtained a summary of loan debt activity for 2015 and 2014 and agreed principal and interest payments from the related debt amortization schedules to sewer operating fund payments reported in the Cash Journal Report. We also compared the date the debt service payments were due to the date the Village made the payments. We found no exceptions.

Payroll Cash Disbursements

1. We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2015 and one payroll check for five employees from 2014 from the Wage Withholding Detail report and:
 - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Wage Withholding Detail report to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively or statutorily-approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
 - b. We determined whether the fund and account codes to which the check was posted were reasonable based on the employees' duties as documented in the employees' personnel files. We also determined whether the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.

2. We scanned the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2015 to determine whether remittances were timely paid, and if the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld, plus the employer's share where applicable, during the final withholding period during 2015. We noted the following:

Withholding (plus employer share, where applicable)	Date Due	Date Paid	Amount Due	Amount Paid
Federal income taxes & Medicare (and social security, for employees not enrolled in pension system)	January 31, 2016	December 30, 2016	\$ 417.80	\$417.80
State income taxes	January 15, 2016	December 30, 2015	\$19.98	\$ 19.98
OPERS retirement	January 30, 2016	January 13, 2016	\$ 287.20	\$ 287.20

Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements

1. We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Cash Journal for the year ended December 31, 2015 and ten from the year ended December 31, 2014 and determined whether:
 - a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
 - b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Cash Journal Report and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
 - c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.
 - d. The fiscal officer certified disbursements requiring certification or issued a *Then and Now Certificate*, as required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(D). We found no exceptions.

Compliance – Budgetary

1. We compared the total estimated receipts from the *Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources*, required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.36(A)(1), to the amounts recorded in the Revenue Status Report for the General, State Highway and Street Construction Maintenance & Repair funds for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014. The amounts did not agree to the amounts recorded in the accounting system. The Revenue Status Report recorded budget resources for the General Fund of \$50,571 for 2015. However, the final Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources reflected \$59,0367. The Revenue Status report Recorded budgeted resources for the Street Construction Maint. & Repair of \$14,043.67 for 2015. However, the final Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources reflected \$15,000. The Revenue Status Report recorded budgeted resources for the State Highway fund for 1,139 for 2015. However, the final Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources reflected \$7,000. The Revenue Status Report recorded budgeted resources for the General fund for \$57,251 for 2014. However, the final Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources reflected \$68,568. The Revenue Status Report recorded budgeted resources for the Street Construction Maintenance & Repair for \$13,393 for 2014. However, the final Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources reflected \$14,000. The Revenue Status Report recorded budgeted resources for the State Highway fund for \$1,017 for 2014. However, the final Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources reflected \$1,100. The fiscal officer should periodically compare amounts recorded in the Revenue Status Report to amounts recorded on the Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources to assure they agree. If the amounts do not agree, the Council may be using inaccurate information for budgeting and to monitor spending.
2. We scanned the appropriation measures adopted for 2015 and 2014 to determine whether, for the General, Street Construction Maint. & Repair and State Highway funds, the Council appropriated separately for “each office, department, and division, and within each, the amount appropriated for personal services,” as is required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.38(C). We found no exceptions.
3. We compared total appropriations required by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.38 and 5705.40, to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status Report for 2015 and 2014 for the following funds: General, Street Construction Main. & Repair, State Highway. The amounts on the appropriation resolutions did not agree to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status report. The 2015 Appropriation Status Report recorded the General fund balance as \$218,890 and the appropriated fund balance was recorded as \$119,675. The 2015 Appropriation Status Report recorded the Street Construction Maint. & Repair fund balance as \$8,501 and the appropriated fund balance was recorded as \$3,000. The 2015 Appropriation Status Report Recorded the State Highway fund balance as \$1,100 and the appropriated fund balance was recorded as \$5,100. The 2014 Appropriation Status Report recorded the General fund balance as \$273,335 and the appropriated fund balance was recorded as \$267,835. The 2014 Appropriation Status Report recorded the Street Construction Maint. & Repair fund balance as \$70,425 and the appropriated fund balance was recorded as \$11,200. The 2014 Appropriation Status Report recorded the State Highway fund balance as \$18,400 and the appropriated fund balance was recorded as \$5,100. The fiscal officer should periodically compare amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status to the Appropriations to assure they agree.
4. Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.36(A)(5) and 5705.39 prohibits appropriations from exceeding the certified resources. We compared total appropriations to total certified resources for the General, Street Construction Maint. & Repair and State Highway funds for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014. We noted no funds for which appropriations exceeded certified resources.

5. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(B) prohibits expenditures (disbursements plus certified commitments) from exceeding appropriations. We compared total expenditures to total appropriations for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 for the General, Street Construction Maint. & Repair and State Highway fund, as recorded in the Appropriation ordinances. We noted no funds for which expenditures exceeded appropriations.
6. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.09 requires establishing separate funds to segregate externally-restricted resources. We scanned the Revenue Ledger Report for evidence of new restricted receipts requiring a new fund during December 31, 2015 and 2014. We also inquired of management regarding whether the Village received new restricted receipts. We noted no evidence of new restricted receipts for which Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.09 would require the Village to establish a new fund.
7. We scanned the 2015 and 2014 Revenue Status Reports and Appropriation Status Reports for evidence of interfund transfers exceeding \$500 which Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.14 - .16 restrict. We found no evidence of transfers these Sections prohibit, or for which Section 5705.16 would require approval by the Tax Commissioner and Court of Common Pleas.
8. We inquired of management and scanned the Appropriation Status Reports to determine whether the Village elected to establish reserve accounts permitted by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.13. We noted the Village did not establish these reserves.
9. We scanned the Cash Summary by Fund Report for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 for negative cash fund balance. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.10 (I) provides that money paid into a fund must be used for the purposes for which such fund is established. As a result, a negative fund cash balance indicates that money from one fund was used to cover the expenses of another. We noted no funds having a negative cash fund balance.

Compliance – Contracts & Expenditures

We inquired of management and scanned the Cash Journal Report for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 to determine if the Village proceeded by force account (i.e. used its own employees) to maintain or repair roads (cost of project exceeding \$30,000) or to construct or reconstruct Village roads (cost of project \$30,000/per mile) for which Ohio Rev. Code Sections 117.16(A) and 723.52 requires the Village engineer, or officer having a different title but the duties and functions of an engineer, to complete a force account project assessment form (i.e., cost estimate). We identified no projects requiring the completion of the force account assessment form.

Other Compliance

1. Ohio Rev. Code Section 117.38 requires villages to file their financial information in the HINKLE system formerly known as the Annual Financial Data Reporting System (AFDRS) within 60 days after the close of the fiscal year. We reviewed AFDRS to verify the Village filed their financial information within the allotted timeframe for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014. No exceptions noted.
2. We inquired of the fiscal officer and/or scanned the Fiscal Integrity Act Portal (<http://www.ohioauditor.gov/fiscalintegrity/default.html>) to determine whether the fiscal officer obtained the training required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 507.12 and 733.81. The Fiscal Officer obtained the required training.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Village's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the Village Council, the Auditor of State and others within the Village, and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Charles Harris Associates

Charles E. Harris & Associates, Inc.

June 30, 2016



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

VILLAGE OF WALDO

MARION COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbitt

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

CERTIFIED
AUGUST 9, 2016