



Dave Yost • Auditor of State



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures

Ohio Department of Medicaid
50 West Town Street, Suite 400
Columbus, Ohio 43215

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the Ohio Department of Medicaid (ODM), on the Aging Administered Waiver Annual Cost Report (Cost Report) for the Area Agency on Aging District 7, Inc. (hereafter referred to as the PAA), for the period July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014 (fiscal year (FY) 2014). The PAA's management is responsible for preparing this report. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of ODM. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures enumerated below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

Unless otherwise identified in the procedure, this report only describes exceptions exceeding \$500.

Revenue

1. We compared the revenue reported in the *Ohio Department of Aging (ODA) Administered Waiver Programs Monthly Financial Report, Final Page A* to the PAA's Normal Trial Balance report and SFY 2013 Cost Report. We found no variances.
2. We compared the PAA's PASSPORT Monthly Statement of Consumer Liability to the PAA's Normal Trial Balance report and *Final Page A* and determined if the costs were properly posted. We found no variances exceeding \$500.

Square Footage

1. We obtained the PAA's square footage summary and floor plans and compared with the square footage used for the cost allocation in the Cost Report. We found no variances.

Trial Balance and Non-Payroll Expenses

1. We compared the disbursements on the PAA's Department Group Code reports and Cost Report worksheets to *Worksheet 1, Total Allowable Expenses by Line Item* for each waiver. We found no variances exceeding \$500 resulting in decreased costs.

In addition, we compared the PAA's PASSPORT Information Management System (PIMS) report to total *Worksheet 2, Waiver Services Expenses Detail* costs and determined if the variance was greater than one half percent of the total PIMS costs. We found variances below the threshold and determined the PAA's Cost Report did not contain an explanation for the variance.

2. We scanned the PAA's Department Group Code reports and selected 60 disbursements from all cost pools and determined if supporting documentation was maintained, the costs were allowable, properly allocated and classified to correct cost center in accordance with the Three Party Agreement, Cost Report Instructions and 2 CFR part 225. We found no variances.

Trial Balance and Non-Payroll Expenses (Continued)

3. We found the PAA used an allocation methodology consistent with the Cost Report Instructions and applied the correct allocation to each cost center consistent with its square footage and monthly time study allocation reports. We found no variances.

Payroll

1. We compared total salaries and benefits on *Worksheet 1* for each waiver to the PAA's Department Group Code reports and Cost Report worksheets to identify variances greater than two percent of each waiver's individual cost categories. We found no variances.
2. We selected 20 employees and obtained the detailed time sheets for one month for each employee. We verified that each employee's time sheet rolled up to the individual cost centers on the monthly time study. We found no variances.

Property

1. We compared the PAA's capital costs reported on *Worksheet 1* to the Department Group Code reports and Cost Report worksheets. We found no variances exceeding \$500.
2. We compared the PAA's FY 2014 Depreciation Schedule to its prior FY Depreciation Schedule for changes in the depreciation amounts for assets purchased prior to the periods under review, depreciation taken on the same asset more than once, assets that have been fully depreciated in prior years, or depreciation which was not in compliance with the Cost Report Instructions. We found no variances.
3. We determined the PAA's capitalization threshold and selected the lesser of 10 or 10 percent of the PAA's fixed assets which met the capitalization threshold and were being depreciated in the first year in FY 2014 and determined if the useful lives agreed to the estimated useful lives prescribed in the 2013 American Hospital Association (AHA) Asset Guide. We also recomputed the first year's depreciation for the one asset tested, based on cost, acquisition date and useful life to determine compliance with the Cost Report Instructions and AHA Asset. We found no variances.
4. We selected the lesser of 10 percent or 10 disposed assets from FY 2014 from the PAA's list of disposed assets and determined if the asset was removed from the PAA's Depreciation Schedule. We also recalculated depreciation and any gain or loss for the one disposed items tested, based on the undepreciated basis and any proceeds received from the disposal or sale of the asset to determine compliance with the Cost Report Instructions and CMS Publication 15-1, Chapter 1. We found no variances exceeding \$500 that resulted in decreased costs.
5. We scanned the Department Group Code reports for items purchased during the fiscal year that met the PAA's capitalization criteria and the procurement requirements and traced them to the PAA's fixed asset listing. This included a scan of the repair and maintenance accounts to determine if these disbursements are properly reported in regards to capitalization and expenditure classification. We found no variances.

Contract Monitoring

1. We determined the PAA had written procedures and supporting documentation for all provider monitoring controls during the Cost Report period. We selected five providers for pre-certification and structural compliance reviews to determine if the PAA maintained supporting documentation showing it performed provider oversight processes during the Cost Report period in accordance with Section II (A)(3) in its Three party agreement with ODM and ODA. We found no exceptions.

This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards. We were not engaged to and did not conduct an examination or review, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion or conclusion, respectively, on the Aging Administered Waiver Annual Cost Report. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion or conclusion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported. This report is intended solely for the information and use of the PAA and ODM, and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than the specified parties.



Dave Yost
Auditor of State

November 1, 2017

This page intentionally left blank.



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

AREA AGENCY AGING, DISTRICT 7, INC.

GALLIA COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbitt

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

CERTIFIED
NOVEMBER 16, 2017