



bhm cpa group, inc.
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

MANSFIELD-ONTARIO-RICHLAND COUNTY BOARD OF HEALTH
RICHLAND COUNTY

SINGLE AUDIT

For the Years Ended December 31, 2016
Fiscal Years Audited Under GAGAS: 2016



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

District Board
Mansfield Ontario Richland Board of Health
555 Lexington Avenue
Mansfield, Ohio 44907

We have reviewed the *Independent Auditor's Report* of the Mansfield Ontario Richland Board of Health, Richland County, prepared by BHM CPA Group, Inc., for the audit period January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2016. Based upon this review, we have accepted these reports in lieu of the audit required by Section 117.11, Revised Code. The Auditor of State did not audit the accompanying financial statements and, accordingly, we are unable to express, and do not express an opinion on them.

Our review was made in reference to the applicable sections of legislative criteria, as reflected by the Ohio Constitution, and the Revised Code, policies, procedures and guidelines of the Auditor of State, regulations and grant requirements. The Mansfield Ontario Richland Board of Health is responsible for compliance with these laws and regulations.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Dave Yost".

Dave Yost
Auditor of State

July 21, 2017

This page intentionally left blank.

Mansfield-Ontario-Richland Board of Health
Richland County
Table of Contents
For the Fiscal Years Ended December 31, 2016

Title	Page
Independent Auditor’s Report.....	1
Combined Statement of Cash Receipts, Cash Disbursements, and Changes in Fund Cash Balances – Governmental Funds – For the Year Ended December 31, 2016.....	4
Notes to the Financial Statements	5
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	11
Independent Auditor’s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Required by <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	12
Independent Auditor’s Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to The Major Federal Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance Required by the Uniform Guidance.....	14
Schedule of Audit Findings.....	16

This page intentionally left blank.



Independent Auditor's Report

Mansfield Ontario Richland Board of Health
Richland County
555 Lexington Ave
Mansfield, Ohio 44907

To the District Board:

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the cash balance, receipts, and disbursements by fund type and related notes of the Mansfield Ontario Richland Board of Health, Richland County, (the District), as of and for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for preparing and fairly presenting these financial statements in accordance with the financial reporting provisions Ohio Revised Code Section 117.38 and Ohio Administrative Code Section 117-2-03(D) permit; this responsibility includes designing, implementing and maintaining internal control relevant to preparing and fairly presenting financial statements free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to opine on these financial statements based on our audit. We audited in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the financial audit standards in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. Those standards require us to plan and perform the audit to reasonably assure the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit requires obtaining evidence about financial statement amounts and disclosures. The procedures selected depend on our judgment, including assessing the risks of material financial statement misstatement, whether due to fraud or error. In assessing those risks, we consider internal control relevant to the District's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not to the extent needed to opine on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we express no opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of management's accounting policies and the reasonableness of their significant accounting estimates, as well as our evaluation of the overall financial statement presentation.

We believe the audit evidence we obtained is sufficient and appropriate to support our audit opinion.

Basis for Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

As described in Note 1 of the financial statements, the District prepared these financial statements using the accounting basis permitted by the financial reporting provisions of Ohio Revised Code Section 117.38 and Ohio Administrative Code Section 117-2-03(D), which is an accounting basis other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP), to satisfy these requirements.

Although the effects on the financial statements of the variances between the regulatory accounting basis and GAAP are not reasonably determinable, we presume they are material.

Though the District does not intend these statements to conform to GAAP, auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America require us to include an adverse opinion on GAAP. However, the adverse opinion does not imply the amounts reported are materially misstated under the accounting basis Ohio Revised Code Section 117.38 and Ohio Administrative Code Section 117-2-03(D) permit. Our opinion on this accounting basis is in the *Opinion on Regulatory Basis of Accounting* paragraph below.

Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

In our opinion, because of the significance of the matter discussed in the *Basis for Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles* paragraph, the financial statements referred to above do not present fairly, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the financial position of the District as of December 31, 2016, or changes in financial position thereof for the years then ended.

Opinion on Regulatory Basis of Accounting

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the combined cash balances of the Mansfield Ontario Richland Board of Health, Richland County, as of December 31, 2016, and its combined cash receipts and disbursements for the year then ended in accordance with the financial reporting provisions Ohio Revised Code Section 117.38 and Ohio Administrative Code Section 117-2-03(D) permit, described in Note 1.

Other Matters

Our audit was conducted to opine on the financial statements taken as a whole.

The Schedule of Federal Award Expenditures provided additional analysis as required by Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards and is not a required part of the financial statements.

The schedule is management's responsibility, and derives from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. We subjected this schedule to the auditing procedures we applied to the financial statements. We also applied certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling the schedule directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, this schedule is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated May 24, 2017, on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. That report describes the scope of our internal control testing over financial reporting and compliance, and the results of that testing, and does not opine on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standard* in considering the District's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.



BHM CPA Group, Inc.
Columbus, Ohio
May 24, 2017

Mansfield-Ontario-Richland County Board of Health
Richland County
Combined Statement of Cash Receipts, Cash Disbursements, and Changes in Fund Cash Balances
Governmental Funds
For the Year Ended December 31, 2016

	<u>Governmental Fund Types</u>		Totals (Memorandum Only)
	<u>General</u>	<u>Special Revenue</u>	
Cash Receipts			
Property Taxes	\$ 2,299,479	\$ -	\$ 2,299,479
Charges for Services	365,204	919,147	1,284,351
Fees, Licenses and Permits	318,885	921,432	1,240,317
Intergovernmental	612,792	1,426,700	2,039,492
Donations	54	26	80
Miscellaneous	36,456	-	36,456
<i>Total Cash Receipts</i>	<u>3,632,870</u>	<u>3,267,305</u>	<u>6,900,175</u>
Cash Disbursements			
Current:			
Health:			
Personal Services	2,187,632	2,912,226	5,099,858
Materials and Supplies	81,200	424,192	505,392
Contractual Services	544,264	606,279	1,150,543
Capital Outlay	88,172	17,734	105,906
<i>Total Cash Disbursements</i>	<u>2,901,268</u>	<u>3,960,431</u>	<u>6,861,699</u>
<i>Excess of Cash Receipts Over /(Under) Cash Disbursements</i>	<u>731,602</u>	<u>(693,126)</u>	<u>38,476</u>
Other Financing Receipts/(Disbursements)			
Transfers In	1,351,142	1,040,797	2,391,939
Transfers Out	(2,377,594)	(14,345)	(2,391,939)
Advances In	-	485,000	485,000
Advances Out	(485,000)	-	(485,000)
<i>Total Other Financing Receipts/(Disbursements)</i>	<u>(1,511,452)</u>	<u>1,511,452</u>	<u>-</u>
<i>Net Change in Fund Cash Balances</i>	(779,850)	818,326	38,476
<i>Fund Cash Balances, January 1</i>	<u>2,373,782</u>	<u>421,810</u>	<u>2,795,592</u>
Fund Cash Balances, December 31			
Nonspendable	81,200	424,192	505,392
Committed	(4,091)	489,122	485,031
Restricted	-	67,452	67,452
Unassigned (Deficit)	1,516,823	259,370	1,776,193
<i>Fund Cash Balances, December 31</i>	<u>\$ 1,593,932</u>	<u>\$ 1,240,136</u>	<u>\$ 2,834,068</u>

See accompanying notes to the financial statements.

**Mansfield-Ontario-Richland County Board of Health
Richland County**

**Notes to The Financial Statements
For the Year Ended December 31, 2016**

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A. Description of the Entity

The constitution and laws of the State of Ohio establish the rights and privileges of The Mansfield-Ontario-Richland County Board of Health, Richland County (the Board) as a body corporate and politic. A 13-member Board and a Health Commissioner govern the Board. The Board's services include medical assistance and public safety.

The Board's management believes these financial statements present all activities for which the Board is financially accountable.

B. Accounting Basis

These financial statements follow the accounting basis the permitted by the financial reporting provisions of Ohio Revised Code Section 117.38 and Ohio Administrative Code Section 1117-2-03(D). This basis is similar to the cash receipts and disbursements accounting basis. The Board recognizes receipts when received in cash rather than when earned, and recognizes disbursements when paid rather than when a liability is incurred. Budgetary presentations report budgetary expenditures when a commitment is made (i.e., when an encumbrance is approved).

These statements include adequate disclosure of material matters, as the Financial reporting provisions of Ohio Revised Code Section 117.38 and Ohio Administrative Code Section 1117-2-03 (D) permit.

C. Deposits and Investments

As required by the Ohio Revised Code, the Richland County Treasurer is custodian for the Board's deposits. The County's deposit and investment pool holds the Board's assets, valued at the Treasurer's reported carrying amount.

D. Fund Accounting

The Board uses fund accounting to segregate cash and investments that are restricted as to use. The Board classifies its funds into the following types:

1. General Fund

The General Fund reports all financial resources except those required to be accounted for in another fund.

2. Special Revenue Funds

These funds account for and report the proceeds of specific revenue sources that are restricted or committed to expenditure for specified purposes other than debt service or capital projects. The Board had the following significant Special Revenue Funds:

General Clinic Fund – This fund receives charges for services money for providing medical care to county residents.

Women, Infants, and Children - This is a Federal grant fund and accounts for the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program.

**Mansfield-Ontario-Richland County Board of Health
Richland County**

**Notes to The Financial Statements
For the Year Ended December 31, 2016**

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

E. Budgetary Process

The Ohio Revised Code requires the Board to budget each fund annually.

1. Appropriations

Budgetary expenditures (that is, disbursements and encumbrances) may not exceed appropriations at the fund, function or object level of control, and appropriations may not exceed estimated resources. The Board must annually approve appropriation measures and subsequent amendments. The County Budget Commission must also approve the annual appropriation measure. Appropriations lapse at year end.

2. Estimated Resources

Estimated resources include estimates of cash to be received (budgeted receipts) plus cash as of January 1. The County Budget Commission must also approve estimated resources.

3. Encumbrances

The Ohio Revised Code requires the Board to reserve (encumber) appropriations when individual commitments are made. Encumbrances outstanding at year end are canceled, and reappropriated in the subsequent year.

A summary of 2016 budgetary activity appears in Note 2.

F. Fund Balance

Fund balance is divided into five classifications based primarily on the extent to which the Board must observe constraints imposed upon the use of its governmental-fund resources. The classifications are as follows:

1. Nonspendable

The Board classifies assets as *nonspendable* when legally or contractually required to maintain the amounts intact.

2. Restricted

Fund balance is *restricted* when constraints placed on the use of resources are either externally imposed by creditors (such as through debt covenants), grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments; or is imposed by law through constitutional provisions.

3. Committed

The Board can *commit* amounts via formal action (resolution). The Board must adhere to these commitments unless the Board amends the resolution. Committed fund balance also incorporates contractual obligations to the extent that existing resources in the fund have been specifically committed to satisfy contractual requirements.

**Mansfield-Ontario-Richland County Board of Health
Richland County**

**Notes to The Financial Statements
For the Year Ended December 31, 2016**

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

F. Fund Balance (continued)

4. Assigned

Assigned fund balances are intended for specific purposes but do not meet the criteria to be classified as *restricted* or *committed*. Governmental funds other than the general fund report all fund balances as *assigned* unless they are restricted or committed. In the general fund, *assigned* amounts represent intended uses established by the Board or a Board official delegated that authority by resolution, or by State Statute.

5. Unassigned

Unassigned fund balance is the residual classification for the general fund and includes amounts not included in the other classifications. In other governmental funds, the unassigned classification is used only to report a deficit balance.

The Board applies restricted resources first when expenditures are incurred for purposes for which either restricted or unrestricted (committed, assigned, and unassigned) amounts are available. Similarly, within unrestricted fund balance, committed amounts are reduced first followed by assigned, and then unassigned amounts when expenditures are incurred for purposes for which amounts in any of the unrestricted fund balance classifications could be used.

G. Property, Plant, and Equipment

The Board records disbursements for acquisitions of property, plant, and equipment when paid. The accompanying financial statements do not report these items as assets.

H. Accumulated Unpaid Vacation and Sick Leave

In certain circumstances, such as upon leaving employment, employees are entitled to cash payments for unused vacation and sick leave. The financial statements do not include a liability for unpaid leave.

2. BUDGETARY ACTIVITY

Budgetary activity for the year ended December 31, 2016 follows:

Budgeted vs. Actual Receipts			
Fund Type	Budgeted Receipts	Actual Receipts	Variance
General Fund	\$ 4,523,972	\$ 3,632,870	\$ (891,102)
Special Revenue	4,622,943	3,267,305	\$ (1,355,638)
Total	\$ 9,146,915	\$ 6,900,175	\$ (2,246,740)

**Mansfield-Ontario-Richland County Board of Health
Richland County**

**Notes to The Financial Statements
For the Year Ended December 31, 2016**

2. BUDGETARY ACTIVITY (Continued)

Budgeted vs. Actual Budgetary Basis Expenditures			
Fund Type	Appropriation Authority	Budgetary Expenditures	Variance
General Fund	\$ 4,523,972	\$ 2,952,499	\$ 1,571,473
Special Revenue	4,593,616	3,960,431	\$ 633,185
Total	\$ 9,117,588	\$ 6,912,930	\$ 2,204,658

3. INTERGOVERNMENTAL AND PROPERTY TAX FUNDING

The County apportions the excess of the Board’s appropriations over the estimated receipts among the townships and municipalities composing the Board, based on their taxable property valuations. The County withholds the apportioned excess from property tax settlements and distributes it to the Board. The financial statements reflect these amounts as intergovernmental receipts.

The County Commissioners serve as a special taxing authority for a special levy outside the ten-mill limitation to provide the Board with sufficient funds for health programs. The financial statements present these amounts as property tax receipts.

4. RETIREMENT SYSTEMS

The Board’s officials and employees belong to the Ohio Public Employees Retirement System (OPERS). OPERS is a cost-sharing, multiple-employer plan. The Ohio Revised Code prescribes this plan’s benefits, which include postretirement healthcare and survivor and disability payments.

The Ohio Revised Code also prescribes contribution rates. For 2016, OPERS members contributed 10% of their gross salaries and the Board contributed an amount equaling 14% of participants’ gross salaries. The Board has paid all contributions required through December 31, 2016.

5. RISK MANAGEMENT

The Board is exposed to various risks of property and casualty losses, and injuries to employees.

The Board insures against injuries to employees through the Ohio Bureau of Worker’s Compensation.

The Board belongs to the Public Entities Pool of Ohio (PEP), a risk-sharing pool available to Ohio local governments. PEP provides property and casualty coverage for its members. American Risk Pooling Consultants, Inc. (ARPCO), a division of York Insurance Services Group, Inc. (York), functions as the administrator of PEP and provides underwriting, claims, loss control, risk management, and reinsurance services for PEP. PEP is a member of the American Public Entity Excess Pool (APEEP), which is also administered by ARPCO. Member governments pay annual contributions to fund PEP. PEP pays judgements, settlements and other expenses resulting from covered claims that exceed the members’ deductibles.

**Mansfield-Ontario-Richland County Board of Health
Richland County**

**Notes to The Financial Statements
For the Year Ended December 31, 2016**

5. RISK MANGEMENT (Continued)

Casualty and Property Coverage

APEEP provides PEP with an excess risk-sharing program. Under this arrangement, PEP retains insured risks up to an amount specified in the contracts. At December 31, 2015, PEP retained \$350,000 for casualty claims and \$100,000 for property claims.

The aforementioned casualty and property reinsurance does not discharge PEP's primary liability for claims payments on covered losses. Claims exceeding coverage limits are the obligation of the respective government

Financial Position

PEP's financial statement (audited by other accountants) conform with generally accepted accounting principles, and reported the following assets, liabilities and net position at December 31, 2014 and 2015 (the latest information available):

	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>
Assets	\$ 35,402,177	\$ 38,307,677
Liabilities	(12,363,257)	(12,759,127)
Net Position	\$ 23,038,920	\$ 25,548,550

At December 31, 2014 and 2015, respectively, the liabilities above include approximately \$11.1 million and \$11.5 million of estimated incurred claims payable. The assets above also include approximately \$10.8 million and \$11.0 million of unpaid claims to be billed. The Pool's membership increased from 488 members in 2014 to 499 member in 2015. These amounts will be included in future contributions from members when related claims are due for payment. As of December 31, 2015, the Board's share of these unpaid claims collectible in future years is approximately \$15,282.

Based on discussions with PEP, the expected rates PEP charges to compute member contributions, which are used to pay claims as they become due, are not expected to change significantly from those used to determine the historical contributions detailed below. By contract, the annual liability of each member is limited to the amount of financial contributions required to be made to PEP for each year of membership.

Contributions to PEP	
<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>
\$ 24,542	\$ 26,952

**Mansfield-Ontario-Richland County Board of Health
Richland County**

**Notes to The Financial Statements
For the Year Ended December 31, 2016**

5. RISK MANGEMENT (Continued)

Financial Positon (Continued)

After one year of membership, a member may withdraw on the anniversary of the date of joining PEP, if the member notifies PEP in writing 60 days prior to the anniversary date. Upon withdrawal, members are eligible for a full or partial refund of their capital contributions, minus the subsequent year's contribution. Withdrawing members have no other future obligation to PEP. Also upon withdrawal, payments for all casualty claims and claim expenses become the sole responsibility of the withdrawing member, regardless of whether a claim occurred or was reported prior to the withdrawal.

6. CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

The Board is party to various claims and legal proceedings. The board management is of the opinion that the ultimate disposition of claims and legal proceedings will not have a material effect, if any, on the financial condition of the Board.

Amounts grantor agencies pay to the Board are subject to audit and adjustment by the grantor, principally the federal government. The grantor may require refunding any disallowed costs. Management cannot presently determine amounts grantors may disallow. However, based on prior experience, management believes any refunds would be immaterial.

Mansfield-Ontario-Richland County Board of Health
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards
For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2016

Federal Grantor/ Pass Through Grantor/ Program Title	Pass Through Entity Number	Federal CFDA Number	Disbursements
United States Department of Agriculture			
<i>Passed through the Ohio Department of Health</i>			
Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children	07010011WA0916	10.557	\$ 560,873
	07010011WA1017	10.557	150,835
Total Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants and Children			<u>711,708</u>
Total United States Department of Agriculture			<u>711,708</u>
United States Department of Transportation			
<i>Passed through the Ohio Department of Public Safety</i>			
State & Community Highway Safety Grant	SC-2015-70-00-00-00	20.600	12,899
Total United States Department of Transportation			<u>12,899</u>
United States Department of Health and Human Services			
<i>Passed through the Ohio District 5 Area Agency on Aging, Inc.</i>			
Special Programs for the Aging Title III Part B - Grants for Supportive Services and Senior Centers	SS08-003-3D	93.044	33,500
Total Ohio District 5 Area Agency on Aging, Inc.			<u>33,500</u>
<i>Passed through the Ohio Department of Health</i>			
Immunization Cooperative Agreements	070100121 M0916	93.268	21,643
Public Health Emergency Preparedness	07010012PH0716	93.069	76,426
	07010012PH0817		43,473
Total Public Health Emergency Preparedness			<u>119,899</u>
Breast & Cervical Cancer Early Detection Program	07010014BC0916	93.283	58,774
Total Breast & Cervical Cancer Early Detection Program			<u>58,774</u>
Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant to the States	07010011MC0916	93.994	128,076
Total Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant to the States			<u>128,076</u>
Preventive Health and Services Block Grant	07010014CC0615	93.991	101,420
Preventing Obesity, Diabetes, and Heart Disease and Stroke	07010014PO0216	93.757	311,307
	07010014PO0317	93.757	61,059
Total Preventing Obesity, Diabetes, and Heart Disease and Stroke			<u>372,366</u>
Total Ohio Department of Health			<u>802,178</u>
Total United States Department of Health and Human Services			<u>835,678</u>
Total Federal Financial Assistance			<u>\$ 1,560,285</u>

See Accompanying Notes to the Schedule of Federal Awards Expenditures

Note A - Basis of Presentation

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (the Schedule) includes the federal award activities of Mansfield Ontario Richland County Board of Health (the Board) under programs of the federal government for the year ended December 31, 2015. The information on this schedule is prepared in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal regulations Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Because the schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of the Government, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position, changes in net assets, or cash flows of the Board.

Note B - Summary of Significant Policies

Expenditures reported on the Schedule are reported on the cash basis of accounting. Such expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards*, wherein certain types of expenditures may or may not be allowable or may be limited as to reimbursement. The Board has elected not to use the 10-percent de minimis indirect cost rate as allowed under the Uniform Guidance.

This page intentionally left blank.



**Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and
Other Matters Required by *Government Auditing Standards***

Mansfield Ontario Richland Board of Health
Richland County
555 Lexington Ave
Mansfield, Ohio 44907

To the Members of the Board:

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States and the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*, the financial statements of the Mansfield Ontario Richland Board of Health, Richland County, (the Board) as of and for the years ended December 31, 2016 and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated May 24, 2017, wherein we noted the Board followed financial reporting provisions that Ohio Revised Code Section 117.38 and Ohio Administrative Code Section 117-2-03(D) permit.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

As part of our financial statement audit, we considered the Board's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures appropriate in the circumstances to the extent necessary to support our opinion on the financial statements, but not to the extent necessary to opine on the effectiveness of the Board's internal control. Accordingly, we have not opined on it.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, when performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and timely correct misstatements. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of internal control deficiencies resulting in a reasonable possibility that internal control will not prevent or detect and timely correct a material misstatement of the Board's financial statements. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all internal control deficiencies that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider material weaknesses. However, unidentified material weaknesses may exist.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of reasonably assuring whether the Board's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we tested its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could directly and materially affect the determination of financial statement amounts. However, opining on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters we must report under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

This report only describes the scope of our internal control testing and compliance testing and our testing results, and does not opine on the effectiveness of the Board's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed under *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the Board's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

BHM CPA Group

BHM CPA Group, Inc.
Piketon, Ohio
May 24, 2017



Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to The Major Federal Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance Required by The Uniform Guidance

Mansfield-Ontario-Richland County Board of Health
Richland County
555 Lexington Ave
Mansfield, Ohio 44907

To the Members of the Board:

Report on Compliance for The Major Federal Program

We have audited the Mansfield-Ontario-Richland County Board of Health's, Richland County, Ohio (the Board) compliance with the applicable requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) *Compliance Supplement* that could directly and materially affect the Board's major federal program for the year ended December 31, 2016. The *Summary of Auditor's Results* in the accompanying schedule of findings identifies the Board's major federal program.

Management's Responsibility

The Board's Management is responsible for complying with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal program.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to opine on the Board's compliance for the Board's major federal program based on our audit of the applicable compliance requirements referred to above. Our compliance audit followed auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards for financial audits included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). These standards and the Uniform Guidance required us to plan and perform the audit to reasonably assure whether noncompliance with the applicable compliance requirements referred to above that could directly and materially affect a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the Board's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe our audit provides a reasonable basis for our compliance opinion on the Board's major program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the Board's compliance.

Opinion on the Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the Mansfield-Ontario-Richland County Board of Health complied, in all material respects with the compliance requirements referred to above that could directly and materially affect its major federal program for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

The Board's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the applicable compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our compliance audit, we considered the Board's internal control over compliance with the applicable requirements that could directly and materially affect a major federal program, to determine our auditing procedures appropriate for opining on each major federal program's compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not to the extent needed to opine on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we have not opined on the effectiveness of the Board's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, when performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or to timely detect and correct, noncompliance with a federal program's applicable compliance requirement. *A material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a federal program compliance requirement will not be prevented, or timely detected and corrected. *A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with federal program's applicable compliance requirement that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

This report only describes the scope of our internal control compliance tests and the results of this testing based on Uniform Guidance requirements. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.



BHM CPA Group, Inc.
Columbus, Ohio
May 24, 2017

Mansfield-Ontario-Richland County Board of Health

Schedule of Audit Findings

2 CFR § 200.515

December 31, 2016

1. SUMMARY OF AUDITOR’S RESULTS

(d)(1)(i)	Type of Financial Statement Opinion	Adverse under GAAP, unmodified under the regulatory basis
(d)(1)(ii)	Were there any material weaknesses in internal control reported at the financial statement level (GAGAS)?	No
(d)(1)(ii)	Were there any significant deficiencies in internal control reported at the financial statement level (GAGAS)?	No
(d)(1)(iii)	Was there any reported material noncompliance at the financial statement level (GAGAS)?	No
(d)(1)(iv)	Were there any material weaknesses in internal control reported for major federal programs?	No
(d)(1)(iv)	Were there any significant deficiencies in internal control reported for major federal programs?	No
(d)(1)(v)	Type of Major Programs’ Compliance Opinion	Unmodified
(d)(1)(vi)	Are there any reportable findings under 2 CFR § 200.516(a)?	No
(d)(1)(vii)	Major Programs (list):	CFDA #10.557 – Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants and Children
(d)(1)(viii)	Dollar Threshold: Type A/B Programs	Type A: > \$750,000 Type B: all others
(d)(1)(ix)	Low Risk Auditee under 2 CFR §200.520?	No

Mansfield-Ontario-Richland County Board of Health

Schedule of Audit Findings

2 CFR § 200.515

December 31, 2016

2. FINDINGS RELATED TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS REQUIRED TO BE REPORTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GAGAS

None

3. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR FEDERAL AWARDS

None



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

MANSFIELD ONTARIO RICHLAND COUNTY BOARD OF HEALTH

RICHLAND COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbitt

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

**CERTIFIED
AUGUST 3, 2017**