



OHIO AUDITOR OF STATE
KEITH FABER



OHIO AUDITOR OF STATE KEITH FABER



88 East Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215
ContactMCA@ohioauditor.gov
(800) 282-0370

Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Up Procedures

Ohio Department of Medicaid
50 West Town Street, Suite 400
Columbus, Ohio 43215

RE: Ignacio Chiong M.D. NPI: 1629292255
Program Year 2: Meaningful Use Stage 1 Year 1

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the Ohio Department of Medicaid (ODM), on Dr. Ignacio Chiong's (hereafter referred to as the Provider) compliance with the requirements of the Medicaid Provider Incentive Program (MPIP) for the year ended December 31, 2015. The Provider is responsible for compliance with the MPIP requirements. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of ODM. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures enumerated below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

1. We compared the Provider's Ohio Medicaid Agreement dates from the Medicaid Information Technology System (MITS) to the patient volume and meaningful use attestation periods. We found the Provider had an active agreement in effect during the attestation periods.
2. Using the Ohio e-license center, we compared the licensure type and effective dates to the patient volume and meaningful use attestation periods. We found no exceptions.
3. Using the MPIP system, we confirmed the Provider underwent the ODM's payment approval process, was approved for an incentive payment and received an incentive payment.

We compared the date of the payment approval with the date of the incentive payment and confirmed the payment approval occurred prior to the payment. In addition, we compared the payment amount with the MPIP payment schedule and found no variance.

4. The Provider had an original attestation period from April 1, 2015 to June 30, 2015. We received a report of the Provider's encounters during an alternate patient volume attestation period (August 1, 2014 to October 31, 2014). We scanned the list and found no duplicate encounters. We also scanned the list and found that it included multiple payer sources.
5. We calculated the Medicaid patient volume from the Provider's encounter list and confirmed the Provider met the patient volume requirement during the alternate patient volume period.
6. We found that the location where the Provider worked was using a newer version of the electronic health record (EHR) software reported in the MPIP system. We verified that the newer version of the EHR software was approved by the Office of the National Coordinator of Health IT.

7. We obtained the Provider's location list; however the meaningful use report did not identify locations. We selected 10 patient names from the patient volume report during the meaningful use period and compared the names to the detailed meaningful use report. We found none of the 10 patient names traced to the meaningful use report. We noted 759 encounters on the patient volume report for the meaningful use period and only one encounter on the detailed meaningful use report for Core measure 6 and an additional encounter for Core measure 12.

The Provider stated the encounters reported for meaningful use were for consultations for patients seen; however, the majority of encounters as a Diagnostic Radiologist involve only reading images and not office visits; therefore, these were not reported for meaningful use. The Provider stated they intend to return the incentive payment for 2015.

8. We obtained supporting documentation for the core measures and compared it to the applicable criteria. We found no exceptions. For those measures that require only unique patients be counted, we scanned the detailed data and found no duplicate patients.
9. We obtained supporting documentation for the menu measures and compared it to the applicable criteria and we confirmed the minimum number of measures was met, including at least one public health menu measure. We found one menu measure (MUMP007) did not meet the applicable 10 percent criteria for the measure. For those measures that require only unique patients be counted we scanned the detailed data and found no duplicate patients.
10. We obtained supporting documentation for the clinical quality measures and compared it to the applicable criteria and confirmed the minimum number of measures was met with at least one measure from three different domains. We found no exceptions.

Responsible Party's Written Representation

The Provider did not submit a signed representation letter acknowledging responsibility for maintaining records and complying with applicable MPIP regulations; making available all documentation related to compliance; responding fully to our inquiries; reporting any non-compliance subsequent to the end of the engagement period; and disclosing all communications received from regulatory agencies alleging noncompliance with the Ohio MPIP rules.

This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards. We were not engaged to and did not conduct an examination or review, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion or conclusion, respectively, on the Provider's compliance with the requirements of the Medicaid Provider Incentive Program. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion or conclusion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Provider and the ODM, and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than the specified parties.



Keith Faber
Auditor of State
Columbus, Ohio

July 12, 2019

OHIO AUDITOR OF STATE
KEITH FABER



IGNACIO CHIONG

CUYAHOGA COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbitt

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

**CERTIFIED
AUGUST 6, 2019**