





Medicaid Contract Audit 88 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 (614) 466-3340 ContactMCA@ohioauditor.gov

Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures

Ohio Department of Medicaid 50 West Town Street, Suite 400 Columbus, Ohio 43215

We have performed the procedures enumerated below on the Council on Aging of Southwestern Ohio's Medicaid Waiver Cost Report (Cost Report) and certain compliance requirements related to contract monitoring for the year ended June 30, 2021 included in the information provided to us by the management of the Council on Aging of Southwestern Ohio. The Council on Aging of Southwestern Ohio is responsible for completion of the Cost Report and contract monitoring as required by the Agreement between the Ohio Department of Medicaid (ODM) and the Ohio Department of Aging (ODA) and the PASSPORT Administrative Agency (PAA).

The ODM and the ODA have agreed to and acknowledged that the procedures performed are appropriate to meet the intended purpose of evaluating the Cost Report. No other party acknowledged the appropriateness of the procedures. This report may not be suitable for any other purpose. The procedures performed may not address all the items of interest to a user of this report and may not meet the needs of all users of this report and, as such, users are responsible for determining whether the procedures performed are appropriate for their purposes. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in the report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

The procedures and the associated findings are as follows:

Revenue

- 1. We agreed the revenue on *Final Page A* to the Trial Balance and General Ledger and agreed the Transfer-In amount to the prior year Cost Report. There were no variances.
- 2. We agreed each client liability amount on *Final C Page* to the Trial Balance and Liability Reconciliation report and we agreed the beginning receivable to the Prior Year Cost Report. There were no variances.

Square Footage

We compared the square footage summary and lease agreement to the Monthly Rent Allocation worksheet used to allocate costs to each waiver worksheet. There were square footage variances exceeding 10 percent on the Assisted Living worksheet; however, these variances did not result in decreased costs.

Trial Balance and Non-Payroll Expenses

1. We agreed the disbursements for each waiver on the General Ledger to the Income Statement and the Income Statement to *Worksheet 1, Total Allowable Expenses by Line Item.* There were no variances.

Council on Aging of Southwestern Ohio Hamilton County Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures Page 2

Trial Balance and Non-Payroll Expenses (Continued)

We compared total PASSPORT Information Management System (PIMS) costs to total costs on *Worksheet 2, Waiver Services Expenses Detail* and calculated the variance. The variance exceeded one half percent of total PIMS costs and we confirmed the Cost Report contained no explanation of the variance.

2. For each waiver worksheet, we calculated five percent of the total of all non-payroll cost report accounts and identified any cost report accounts with a total that exceeds the five percent threshold. We scanned the General Ledger for potential unallowable or improperly allocated costs per 2 CFR 200 Subpart E and selected 60 disbursements that impact the identified account codes including items identified in the scan.

We obtained the invoice and/or contract for each selected expense and;

- We confirmed documentation for the full cost was maintained as required by PAA Medicaid Waiver Cost Report Instructions (Cost Report Instructions) and 2 CFR 200.403(g).
- We footed the invoice/documentation for accuracy and found no computational differences resulting in decreased costs on *Worksheet 1*.
- For invoices with a corresponding contract, we compared the invoice rate to the contracted rate and found no differences resulting in decreased costs.
- We compared documentation for each expense to the unallowable categories listed in 2 CFR part 200.400 .475. There was a variance for a non-federal reimbursable cost and we scanned the corresponding invoice and found a similar error and we reported both variances in the Appendix.
- We compared the documented non-payroll expense and type of expense to the worksheet and account classification on the Cost Report and with the Cost Report Instructions and the PAA's Cost Allocation Plan. There were no account reclassifications between cost report accounts exceeding \$1,000 per row.
- We documented the name and type of expense and if the purpose was unclear, we inquired with PAA to determine the business purpose of the expense. We confirmed the non-payroll expenses benefitted the PAA's Medicaid Waiver Programs in accordance with 2 CFR part 200.405 and CMS Publication 15-1 § 2302.4 and did not benefit other programs.
- We compared the documented cost and type of expense to the PAA's Depreciation/ Capitalization Policy and confirmed the expenses were not capital assets.
- We compared the cost methodology and allocation statistics for each expense to the allowable methods per the Cost Report Instructions and CMS 15-1 § 2302. There were no differences resulting in decreased costs.
- We confirmed each amount was recorded on the accrual basis, in accordance with the Cost Report Instructions, by agreeing the date/time period of the invoice/documentation to the Cost Reporting period.

Payroll

1. We agreed salaries and benefits on the General Ledger to the Income Statement and the Income Statement to *Worksheet 1* for each waiver. There were no variances.

Council on Aging of Southwestern Ohio Hamilton County Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures Page 3

Payroll (Continued)

We compared the first and last pay periods on the Paycor and Post reports to the General Ledger and confirmed employee payroll expenses were reported on the accrual basis.

- 2. We obtained the Employee Position and Titles report and Payroll Journal and counted the total number of employees reported on *Worksheet 1*. We selected a sample of the lessor of 10 employees or 25 percent of the number of total employees reported on *Worksheet 1*. We performed the following procedures on each employee in the sample:
 - We compared the employee payroll documentation (Labor Allocation to GL report, PSP Timestudy worksheets, job descriptions and if the programs which benefit from the employees work is unclear, we inquired with the PAA) to the unallowable categories listed in 2 CFR part 200.400 - .475. There were no variances.
 - We compared the employee documentation to 2 CFR part 200.405 and CMS Publication 15-1 § 2302.4 to determine if the cost benefitted the Medicaid program and did not benefit other programs.
 There were no variances.
 - We compared the employee payroll documentation to the worksheet and account classification on the Cost Report, the Cost Report Instructions and the PAA's Cost Allocation Plan. There were no variances which resulted in reclassifications between cost report accounts exceeding \$1,000.
 - We compared the cost methodology and allocation statistics for each employee payroll expense to the allowable methods per the Cost Report Instructions and CMS 15-1 § 2302. There were no differences.

Property

- 1. We agreed the capital costs on the Depreciation Schedule to the Income Statement and from the Income Statement to *Worksheet 1*. There were no differences.
- 2. We compared the FY 21 Depreciation Schedule to the FY 20 Depreciation Schedule for changes in the depreciation amounts for assets purchased prior to FY 21, depreciation taken on the same asset more than once, assets that have been fully depreciated in prior years or depreciation which was not in compliance with the Cost Report Instructions. There were no reclassifications exceeding \$1,000 or variances resulting in decreased costs.
- 3. We selected 10 percent of the capital assets which were being depreciated in the first year in FY 21. We recalculated the first year's depreciation for the one asset selected, based on the Cost Report Instructions and useful lives prescribed in the 2018 American Hospital Association Asset Guide. We compared the recalculated depreciation to the reported depreciation. There were no variances.
- 4. We scanned the Depreciation schedule and General Ledger for any loss on *Worksheet 1* that was not in accordance with CMS Publication 15-1, Chapter 1, § 104.10(E). There were no losses.
- 5. We scanned the General Ledger for items purchased during the reporting period that met the capitalization criteria and traced them to the Depreciation Schedule. There were no variances.

Contract Monitoring

1. We obtained the PAA's written procedures and supporting documentation related to performing provider oversight processes in accordance with Section II (A)(3)(d) in its Three Party Agreement.

Council on Aging of Southwestern Ohio Hamilton County Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures Page 4

Contract Monitoring (Continued)

We selected providers for each type of provider oversight, including five new providers, five structural reviews and the one event based review and compared supporting documentation to the written procedure requirements. There were no differences.

We conducted our engagement in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA). We were not engaged to and did not conduct an examination or review engagement, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion or conclusion, respectively, on the PAA's Cost Report and compliance with contract monitoring requirements. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion or conclusion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

We are required to be independent of the PAA and to meet our ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the ethical requirements established by the AICPA related to our agreed-upon procedures engagement.

Keith Faber Auditor of State Columbus, Ohio

January 9, 2023

Appendix Council on Aging of Southwestern Ohio Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed Upon Procedures

SUMMARY OF COST AND EXPENSE ADJUSTMENTS (Corrections)

Program Passport

	COST REPORT								
Finding Number	Works heet	Line	Account	Cost Center	Type of Finding	Specific Compliance Citation	Reported Costs	Adjustment	Adjusted Cost
1	1	3	Service Contracts	General Admin.	To remove non-federal costs for employee health and welfare costs not related to performance	2 CFR § 200.437- Employee health and welfare	\$292,577	(\$866)	\$291,711

Program Assisted Living

	COST REPORT								
Finding Number	Works heet	Line	Account	Cost Center	Type of Finding	Specific Compliance Citation	Reported Costs	Adjustment	Adjusted Cost
1	1	3	Service Contracts	General Admin.	To remove non-federal costs for employee health and welfare costs not related to performance	2 CFR § 200.437- Employee health and welfare	\$77,021	(\$206)	\$76,815

Total Effect on Cost Report

(\$1,072)



COUNCIL ON AGING OF SOUTHWESTERN OHIO

HAMILTON COUNTY

AUDITOR OF STATE OF OHIO CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report, which is required to be filed pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in the Office of the Ohio Auditor of State in Columbus, Ohio.



Certified for Release 1/31/2023

88 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215 Phone: 614-466-4514 or 800-282-0370