

Ohio Medicaid Program

Review of Medicaid Provider Reimbursements Made to Cardiocare

A Compliance Report prepared by the

Fraud, Waste and Abuse Prevention Division



88 East Broad Street P.O. Box 1140 Columbus, Ohio 43216-1140

Telephone 614-466-4514 800-282-0370

Facsimile 614-466-4490 www.auditor.state.oh.us

Mr. Ted Meroe, Vice President Administrative Services Raytel Cardiac Services 7 Waterside Crossing Windsor, Connecticut 06095-1548

Re: Medicaid Review of Cardiocare

Ohio Medicaid Provider Number 0914514

Dear Mr. Meroe:

We have completed our review of selected medical services rendered to Ohio Medicaid recipients by Cardiocare for the period January 1, 1995 through March 31, 2000. We identified overpayments in the amount of \$121,298.56, which must be repaid to the Ohio Department of Job and Family Services. The attached report details the basis for the overpayment.

Payment arrangements should be made with the Ohio Department of Job and Family Services within 45 days of the date of this report. When making payment, please use the remittance form at the back of this report to ensure proper credit. In accordance with Ohio Revised Code Section 131.02, if payment is not made within 45 days, this matter will be referred to the Ohio Attorney General's Office for collection.

As a matter of policy, a copy of this report is being sent to the Ohio Department of Job and Family Services, the Ohio Attorney General, and the State Medical Board. If you have any questions, please contact Robert I. Lidman, Deputy Chief, Fraud, Waste and Abuse Prevention Division, at (614) 728-7216.

Yours truly,

JIM PETRO Auditor of State

September 19, 2000

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUMMARY	Y OF RESULTS	1	
BACKGRO	OUND	1	
PURPOSE,	SCOPE, AND METHODOLOGY	1-2	
FINDINGS		2	
CONCLUS	ION	2-3	
PROVIDER	R REMITTANCE FORM	5	
	ABBREVIATIONS		
AMA	American Medical Association		
CPT	Physicians' Current Procedural Terminology		
FWAP	Fraud, Waste and Abuse Prevention (Division of)		
OAC	Ohio Administrative Code		
ODJFS	Ohio Department of Job and Family Services		
ORC	Ohio Revised Code		
MMIS	MMIS Medicaid Management Information System		

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

The Auditor of State performed a review of Cardiocare, Provider #0914514, doing business at 118-35 Queens Blvd., Forest Hills, New York 11375. During this review, findings in the amount of

\$121,298.56 were identified for recovery. The cited funds are recoverable as they resulted from Medicaid claims submitted by Cardiocare, for services that were improperly billed and reimbursed under the Ohio Medicaid Handbook, and Ohio Administrative Code (OAC). Therefore, pursuant to Ohio Revised Code Section (ORC)117.28, a finding for recovery is issued against the Provider for improperly received monies in the amount of \$121,298.56.

BACKGROUND

In the State of Ohio, the Ohio Department of Job and Family Services (ODJFS) is delegated the responsibility of administering the Medicaid Program. Within federal guidelines, ODJFS establishes reimbursement policy, service rules and regulations, arranges with

Providers to render their services to patients, and pays Provider claims.

PURPOSE, SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY

The Auditor of State has identified billings for multiple units of services for the same patient as an area where some providers could be over billing. A computer analysis of this issue resulted in the selection of providers for audit.

The purpose of our review was to determine whether this Provider's claims for reimbursement of cardiography services billed with multiple units of service were made in compliance with regulations and to calculate an overpayment amount in the event of any noncompliance. Our review was limited to include only selected services billed with multiple units of service, which the Provider rendered to Medicaid recipients during the period January 1, 1995 through March 31, 2000.

To determine whether a noncompliance occurred, we reviewed paid claim information² residing in ODJFS' Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS) for instances where a provider billed and was paid for more than one unit of service when data and/or the definition of the code billed indicated only one unit or service could have been performed. In such instances, an overpayment would be made on the difference between the amount reimbursed the provider and the established maximum fee allowed for one unit of service.

September 2000 Page 1 AOS/FWAP-01-012C

¹Cardiocare is a subsidiary of Raytel Cardiac Services, 7 Waterside Crossing, Windsor, CT 06095-1548.

²The computerized paid claims data included provider number, recipient name, recipient number, procedure codes, warrant number, date of service, amount billed and paid, and overpayment amount.

We utilized ODJFS' Medicaid Provider Handbook and the Ohio Administrative Code (OAC) as guidance in determining the applicable regulations and applicable reimbursement rates.

Work performed on this review was done in accordance with government auditing standards.

FINDINGS

Pursuant to the Ohio Medicaid Provider Handbook, Chapter 3334, Section V, Subsection B(6), OAC Section 5101:3-1-198: Overpayments, duplicate payments, or payments for services not rendered are recoverable by the department at the time of discovery. . .

We reviewed four cardiography CPT codes (93012, 93014, 93268, 93271) billed by the Provider. This review showed 311 paid claims where the Provider billed 30 units of service for one cardiography code. In one example, the Provider billed 30 units of service for performing CPT code 93268; Patient demand single or multiple event recording with presymptom memory loop, per 30 day period of time; includes transmission, physician review and interpretation, for the same patient over a 30-day period. For this procedure code, one unit of service represents an entire 30-day period. Therefore, the Provider was overpaid because only one unit of service should have been billed for each 30-day period that the service was performed

The amount of the overpayment received by the Provider resulted from how ODJFS calculates the Medicaid maximum fee. For the example of CPT code 93268, the maximum reimbursable fee was calculated by multiplying the number of units billed (30 in this case) by the established maximum fee allowed for the service $(\$108.87)^3$. The Provider then received the lessor of the billed charge (\$595), or the calculated Medicaid maximum $(\$108.87 \times 30 = \$3,266.10)$. In this instance, however, the Provider should have only billed and been reimbursed for one unit of service (\$108.87). Therefore, an overpayment occurred for the difference between what was paid to the Provider and the established maximum fee for one unit (\$595 - \$108.87 = \$486.13) in this example).

Using the logic in the above example, we calculated the amount overpaid for each of the 311 instances in which the Provider billed and was paid for multiple units of service in lieu of the established maximum fee for one unit. The resulting overpayment was \$121,298.56

CONCLUSION

Our review identified 311 instances in which the Provider erroneously billed and was paid for multiple units of service when only one unit of service was delivered.

September 2000 Page 2 AOS/FWAP-01-012C

³ Maximum fees are periodically revised. This was the maximum fee for this code from January 1, 1997 through December 31, 1999.

A draft of this report was mailed to the Provider on June 7, 2000, to afford the Provider an opportunity to provide additional documentation or otherwise respond in writing. The Provider disagreed with our findings in responses dated June 21, 2000 and August 11, 2000. The Provider's position was that each day of service constitutes one unit of reimbursable service, and the Provider billed in this fashion in accordance with oral advice received from Ohio Medicaid.

Based on the above, we asked ODJFS' Office of Medicaid to review our interpretation of the billing procedures and the Provider's written response. The head of the Medicaid Policy Department concurred with our interpretation, i.e. that one unit of service represents a 30-day period of service, not one day of service. A copy of the Office's determination was faxed to the Provider on August 28, 2000.

Therefore, pursuant to Ohio Revised Code Section (ORC) 117.28, a finding for recovery is issued against the Provider for improperly received monies in the amount of \$121,298.56.

The Provider is aware that if restitution is not made to ODJFS within 45 days after the release of this audit, the overpayments will be referred to the Ohio Attorney General for collection.

September 2000 Page 3 AOS/FWAP-01-012C

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

PROVIDER REMITTANCE FORM

Make your check payable to the Treasurer of State of Ohio and mail check along with this completed form to:

Ohio Department of Job and Family Services Post Office Box 182367 Columbus, Ohio 43218-2367

Provider:	Cardiocare
	118-35 Queens Blvd.
	Forest Hills, New York
Provider Number:	<u>0914514</u>
Review Period:	January 1, 1995 through March 31, 2000
AOS Finding Amount:	<u>\$121,298.56</u>
Date Payment Mailed:	
Check Number:	

IMPORTANT: To ensure that our office properly credits your payment, please also fax a copy of this remittance form to: Charles T. Carle at (614) 728-7398.

September 2000 Page 5 AOS/FWAP-01-012C

This Page Intentionally Left Blank



88 East Broad Street P.O. Box 1140 Columbus, Ohio 43216-1140

Telephone 614-466-4514

800-282-0370

Facsimile 614-466-4490

CARDIOCARE

OUT OF STATE (N.Y.)

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

Susan Babbitt

CERTIFIED SEPTEMBER 19, 2000