



RICHLAND COUNTY DEMOCRATIC PARTY
AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2001



JIM PETRO
AUDITOR OF STATE

STATE OF OHIO



STATE OF OHIO
OFFICE OF THE AUDITOR

JIM PETRO, AUDITOR OF STATE

111 Second Street, NW
Fourth Floor
Canton, Ohio 44702
Telephone 330-438-0617
800-443-9272
Facsimile 330-471-0001
www.auditor.state.oh.us

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Richland County Democratic Party Executive Committee
810 E. Debby Lane
Mansfield, Ohio 44906

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the Democratic Executive Committee (the Committee), solely to assist the Committee in evaluating its compliance with Sections 3517.17 and 3517.18 of the Ohio Revised Code for the year ended December 31, 2001. Management is responsible for the Committee's compliance with those requirements. We followed the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards for agreed-upon procedures engagements. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the Executive Committee. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

Cash Receipts

We confirmed the Ohio Political Party Fund receipts with the State of Ohio and agreed them to amounts shown on the Ohio Campaign Finance Report. We found no exceptions.

Cash Reconciliation

We compared the cash balance recorded on the Ohio Campaign Finance Report with the bank balance for the Richland County Democratic Party as of December 31, 2001. The amounts agreed.

There were no reconciling items at December 31, 2001.

We found no exceptions as a result of these procedures.

Cash Disbursements

1. We footed the expenditures from Political Party Fund Monies listing and compared the listing totals to the disbursement totals on the Ohio Campaign Finance Report. The amounts agreed.
2. We selected the only disbursement transaction and traced the payee and amount to an approved request for reimbursement form. The Committee does not receive the original cancelled checks from the bank. They receive a copy of the electronic image of the front of the checks. We also compared the payee on the check copy to the name on the approved request for reimbursement form. The payee and amount recorded on the expenditures from Political Party Fund Monies listing agreed to the payee and amount on the check copy.
3. We compared the signature on the check copy to the list dated September 26, 2001 of authorized signatories the Committee provided to us. Since the bank only provided the Committee with the electronic image of the front of the check, we were unable to compare the endorsement to the payee listed on the check. The signatory on the check we selected was an approved signatory.

4. We compared the purpose for the disbursement with the allowable uses described in Section 3517.18 of the Ohio Revised Code.

The Committee does not receive canceled checks from its bank. The bank provides the Committee an electronic image of the front of the checks. This does not allow the Committee to determine whether checks were properly endorsed by the appropriate party.

We recommend that the Committee either require the bank to return the canceled checks or if electronic imaging of the canceled checks is preferred, the Committee should follow the guidance in Auditor of State Bulletin 96-006. This bulletin, issued on February 26, 1996, states in part, that in order for the electronic image to be sufficient evidential matter, an adequate audit record must be preserved. For example, the imaging of the reverse side of the check must occur, and it must be clear which back side of a check matches which front side. In addition, the imaging must be large enough to determine that no alteration to the original documents has occurred. The Committee must also ensure that the bank retains the original checks in accordance with the records retention schedule to which the Committee is subject under Ohio Rev. Code Chapter 149. The original paper checks are public records, subject to the records retention schedule applicable to the Committee, regardless of whether the documents are in the Committee's possession or in the possession of the financial institution.

We found no additional exceptions as a result of these procedures.

Payroll Disbursements

The Committee had no payroll disbursements during 2001.

We were not engaged to, and did not, perform an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on compliance of the Ohio Campaign Finance Report which is attached to this report. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that we would have reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Executive Committee and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone else.

Jim Petro
Auditor of State

January 28, 2002

**DEMOCRATIC POLITICAL PARTY
RICHLAND COUNTY**

**OHIO CAMPAIGN FINANCE REPORT
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2001
(UNAUDITED)**

Beginning Balance, January 1, 2001		\$ 208
Receipts:		
State Distribution	1,087	
Interest	<u> 7</u>	
Total Receipts		1,094
Disbursements:		
Donation to Veteran's Council		<u> 250</u>
Ending Balance, December 31, 2001		<u><u>\$1,052</u></u>

(See Independent Accountant's Report)



STATE OF OHIO
OFFICE OF THE AUDITOR

JIM PETRO, AUDITOR OF STATE

88 East Broad Street
P.O. Box 1140
Columbus, Ohio 43216-1140
Telephone 614-466-4514
800-282-0370
Facsimile 614-466-4490

DEMOCRATIC PARTY

RICHLAND COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbitt

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

**CERTIFIED
FEBRUARY 26, 2002**