# MIAMI VALLEY REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION

General Purpose Financial Statements

June 30, 2001

with

Independent Auditors' Report



35 North Fourth Street, 1st Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215

Telephone 614-466-4514 800-282-0370

Facsimile 614-728-7398

To the Members and Board of Directors Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

We have reviewed the Independent Auditor's Report of the Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission, Montgomery County, prepared by Clark, Schaefer, Hackett & Co., for the audit period July 1, 2000 to June 30, 2001. Based upon this review, we have accepted these reports in lieu of the audit required by Section 117.11, Revised Code. The Auditor of State did not audit the accompanying financial statements and, accordingly, we are unable to express, and do not express an opinion on them.

Our review was made in reference to the applicable sections of legislative criteria, as reflected by the Ohio Constitution, and the Revised Code, policies, procedures and guidelines of the Auditor of State, regulations and grant requirements. The Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission is responsible for compliance with these laws and regulations.

JIM PETRO Auditor of State

December 19, 2001



## MIAMI VALLEY REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION

# Table of Contents For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2001

	<u>Exhibit</u>
Independent Auditors' Report	
General Purpose Financial Statements:	
Combined Balance Sheet - All Governmental Fund Types and Account Groups	A-1
Combined Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances - All Governmental Fund Types	A-2
Combined Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances - Budget and Actual - All Governmental Fund Types	A-3
Notes to the General Purpose Financial Statements	
Additional Information:	
Combining Financial Statements:	
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance - Special Revenue Fund Summary by Program	B
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance - Special Revenue Fund - Transportation Program Summary	C-1
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance - Special Revenue Fund - Environmental Program Summary	C-2
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance - Special Revenue Fund - Criminal Justice Program Summary	C-3
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance - Special Revenue Fund – Community Services Program Summary	C-4
General Fixed Assets Account Group Statements:	
Statement of General Fixed Assets	D-1
Statement of Changes in General Fixed Assets	D-2

## MIAMI VALLEY REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION

# Table of Contents For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2001 (Continued)

Miscellaneous Information:	Exhibit
Schedule of Fringe Benefit Cost Pool Charges, Rate Base, Final Rate Computation and Current Year's Recovery Comparison	E-1
Schedule of Indirect Cost Pool Charges, Rate Base, Final Rate Computation and Current Year's Recovery Comparison	E-2
Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures by Program	F
Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures Federal Transit Administration and Federal Highway  Administration FY 2001 Planning Work Elements	G
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance and on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	H
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133	1
Schedule of Federal Awards Expenditures	J
Notes to the Schedule of Federal Awards Expenditures	K
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	L
Commission Membership	М
Board of Directors	N
Schedule of Insurance, Legal Counsel and Key Personnel	0

#### Independent Auditors' Report

To the Members and Board of Directors Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

We have audited the accompanying general purpose financial statements of Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2001, as listed in the table of contents. These general purpose financial statements are the responsibility of Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these general purpose financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the general purpose financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission, as of June 30, 2001, and the results of its operations for the year then ended in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated September 19, 2001 on our consideration of the Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grants. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and should be read in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming an opinion on the general purpose financial statements of the Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission, taken as a whole. The accompanying schedules included in Exhibits B, C, D, E and F are presented for purposes of additional analysis only. The accompanying schedule of federal awards expenditures is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*, and is not a required part of the general-purpose financial statements.

Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the general-purpose financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the general-purpose financial statements taken as a whole.

Clank, Schaegu Linckett Hlo Springfield, Ohio

September 19, 2001

GENERAL PURPOSE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

-50 WW WWW

4

# Combined Balance Sheet - All Governmental Fund Types and Account Groups

### As of June 30, 2001, with Comparative Totals for 2000

	Governmental Fund Types		Account Groups									
				Special	Ge	eneral	Ge	eneral		To	tals	
		General	F	Revenue	F	ixed		g Term		(Memorai	ndum	Only)
		Fund		Fund	As	ssets	Obli	gations		2001		2000
ASSETS and OTHER DEBITS												
Cash	\$	1,536,510	\$	120,889	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1,657,399	\$	1,480,932
Accounts Receivable		521		-		-		-		521		616
Grants Receivable		17,089		830,440		-		-		847,529		803,145
Due From Special Revenue Fund		830,440		-		-		-		830,440		787,231
Prepaid Expenses		6,455		-		-		-		6,455		8,404
Amount to be Provided		-		-		-	2	68,562		268,562		274,554
Fixed Assets				-	6	32,039				632,039		629,072
Total Assets and Other Debits	\$	2,391,015	\$	951,329	\$ 6	32,039	\$ 2	68,562	\$	4,242,945	\$	3,983,954
LIADULTIES												
LIABILITIES												
Accounts Payable	\$	420,085	\$	•	\$	-	\$	-	\$	420,085	\$	267,993
Accrued Personnel Costs		144,628		-		-	2	68,562		413,190		422,537
Due to General Fund		-		830,440		-		-		830,440		787,231
Deferred Revenues		76,702		120,889						197,591		209,170
Total Liabilities		641,415		951,329			2	68,562	_	1,861,306		1,686,931
EQUITY and OTHER CREDITS												
Investment in General Fixed Assets		•		-	6	32,039		-		632,039		629,072
Designated For:												
Carryover Grant Matching		30,649		_		-		_		30,649		32,688
Future Year's Operation		211,926		_		-		-		211,926		209,755
Undesignated Fund Balance		1,507,025		-		-		-		1,507,025		1,425,508
Total Fund Balance		1,749,600		-	6	32,039		_		2,381,639		2,297,023
Total Liabilities, Equity												
and Other Credits	\$	2,391,015	\$	951,329	\$ 6	32,039	\$ 2	68,562	\$	4,242,945	\$	3,983,954

See Accompanying Notes

# Combined Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances - All Governmental Fund Types

# Year Ended June 30, 2001, with Comparative Totals for 2000

	Governme	ental Fund Types				
	Special		Totals			
	General	Revenue	(Memorandum Only)			
	Fund	Fund	2001	2000		
Revenues:						
Grantor Agency	\$ 72,273	\$ 4,025,458	\$ 4,097,731	\$ 3,657,943		
Other	28,732	105,838	134,570	161,462		
Membership Dues and Assessments	423,851	-	423,851	419,510		
Total Revenues	524,856	4,131,296	4,656,152	4,238,915		
Expenditures:						
Personnel	1,047,984	982,777	2,030,761	1,970,553		
Contractual	32,245	2,297,453	2,329,698	2,057,090		
Other	223,004	436,053	659,057	544,460		
Indirect Costs	88,307	587,799	676,106	644,152		
Capital Outlays	37,115	-	37,115	66,179		
Total Expenditures	1,428,655	4,304,082	5,732,737	5,282,434		
Excess of Expenditures Over Revenues	(903,799)	(172,786)	(1,076,585)	(1,043,519)		
Other Financing Sources (Uses):						
Operating Transfers-In	-	172,786	172,786	190,336		
Operating Transfers-Out	(172,786)	-	(172,786)	(190,338)		
Cost Allocation Plan Recoveries	1,158,234	_	1,158,234	1,110,372		
Total Other Financing Sources	985,448	172,786	1,158,234	1,110,370		
Excess of Revenues and Other Sources						
Over Expenditures and Other Uses	81,649	-	81,649	66,851		
Fund Balance, July 1	1,667,951		1,667,951	1,601,100		
Fund Balance, June 30	\$ 1,749,600	\$	\$ 1,749,600	\$ 1,667,951		

See Accompanying Notes

Exhibit A-2

# Combined Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances - Budget and Actual - All Governmental Fund Types

## Year Ended June 30, 2001

	General Fund			Special Revenue Fund			
	<del>*,</del>		Variance Favorable			Variance Favorable	
	Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)	Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)	
Revenues:							
Grantor Agency	\$ 66,304	\$ 72,273	\$ 5,969	\$ 5,186,541	\$ 4,025,458	\$ (1,161,083)	
Other	55,560	28,732	(26,828)	143,908	105,838	(38,070)	
Membership Dues and Assessments	423,529	423,851	322		<del></del>	-	
Total Revenues	545,393	524,856	(20,537)	5,330,449	4,131,296	(1,199,153)	
Expenditures:							
Personnel	1,213,558	1,047,984	165,574	1,049,464	982,777	66,687	
Contractual	58,825	32,245	26,580	3,376,538	2,297,453	1,079,085	
Other	287,660	223,004	64,656	447,314	436,053	11,261	
Indirect Costs	131,808	88,307	43,501	650,672	587,799	62,873	
Capital Outlays	98,000	37,115	60,885	3,000		3,000	
Total Expenditures	1,789,851	1,428,655	361,196	5,526,988	4,304,082	1,222,906	
Excess of Expenditures Over Revenues	(1,244,458)	(903,799)	340,659	(196,539)	(172,786)	23,753	
Other Financing Sources (Uses):							
Operating Transfers-In	-	-	-	196,539	172,786	(23,753)	
Operating Transfers-Out	(196,539)	(172,786)	23,753	-	-	-	
Cost Allocation Plan Recoveries	1,330,738	1,158,234	(172,504)	_			
Total Other Financing Sources	1,134,199	985,448	(148,751)	196,539	172,786	(23,753)	
Excess of Revenues and Other Sources							
Over Expenditures and Other Uses	(110,259)	81,649	<b>1</b> 91,908	-	-	-	
Fund Balance, July 1, 2000	1,667,951	1,667,951		-		-	
Fund Balance, June 30, 2001	\$ 1,557,692	\$ 1,749,600	\$ 191,908	\$ -	\$	\$ -	

See Accompanying Notes

Exhibit A-3

# NOTES TO THE GENERAL PURPOSE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Notes to the General Purpose Financial Statements
June 30, 2001

#### NOTE 1 ORGANIZATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### Organization

The Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission (MVRPC) was created in 1964 by authority granted under the Ohio Revised Code. MVRPC is a regional planning agency composed of representatives from 50 political subdivisions and 14 non-governmental entities in Montgomery, Greene, Miami, Darke, and Preble Counties in Ohio. MVRPC monitors and performs planning activities affecting present and future transportation, environmental, social, economic, physical and governmental characteristics of the region.

By an agreement between MVRPC and the State of Ohio, the Transportation Coordinating Committee (TCC) of the Montgomery-Greene County Transportation and Development Planning Program was merged with MVRPC on July 1, 1982. By this same agreement, MVRPC was designated by the State as a Metropolitan Planning Organization, with responsibility for implementing a coordinated, continuing, comprehensive transportation planning process for Montgomery and Greene Counties. This agreement was modified on September 23, 1992 to include Miami County.

On June 27, 1984, MVRPC adopted a strategic plan that prescribed the future direction the Commission would pursue, functionally and organizationally. On October 24, 1984, amendments to the Constitution and Bylaws were approved which allowed many of the strategic plan's recommendations to be implemented. The primary changes included a new mission statement, expansion of the Commission to include up to 25% non-governmental members, and the creation of a Board of Directors.

A summary of the significant accounting policies consistently applied in the preparation of the accompanying general purpose financial statements follows:

#### Basis of Accounting

The financial statements have been prepared on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Fund accounting is employed for financial reporting purposes. A description of the fund types and account groups follow:

General Fund - accounts for all revenues and expenditures except for those required to be accounted for in other funds.

Special Revenue Fund - accounts for grant and contract revenues that are legally restricted to expenditures for specified purposes.

General Fixed Assets Account Group - accounts for general fixed assets of MVRPC. Capital assets are treated as expenditures in both the general and special revenue funds and are accounted for at cost in the General Fixed Asset account group. No depreciation is recorded for financial reporting purposes. This Account Group is not a "fund" in the sense that it does not measure the results of operations, but rather serves as a custodial account for the fixed assets of MVRPC.

General Long Term Obligations Group - accounts for all unmatured long term liabilities of MVRPC. This group consists solely of long term liabilities for compensated absences.

#### Basis of Reporting

The pyramid approach to governmental financial reporting is used. Under MVRPC's adaptation of this approach, combined overview financial statements are used to present data separately for the general fund, the special revenue fund, the general fixed asset account group and the general long term obligations account group. Combining financial statements are presented for the grants included in the special revenue fund. Supplemental information is also reported to provide grantor agencies with information necessary for them to determine compliance with the financial portions of the grant agreements.

Notes to the General Purpose Financial Statements
June 30, 2001

#### NOTE 1 ORGANIZATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (cont'd)

#### Revenues

General fund revenues are determined by contractual agreements with member political subdivisions represented by MVRPC. Member jurisdictions of MVRPC pay an annual membership fee based on the latest official census or federal revenue sharing population estimates. For 2001 the assessment was as follows:

Member Type	Regional <u>Planning</u>	Transportation		
Counties - Total population - Non-member unincorporated areas	\$ 0.06/capita 0.06/capita	n/a \$ 0.21/capita		
Municipalities and Townships	\$ 0.25/capita	\$ 0.21/capita		
Quasi and Non-governmental bodies	\$ 350/annual	n/a		

The total revenue generated from member fees and assessments for MVRPC and for the Transportation Committee was \$253,816 and \$170,035, respectively.

#### Special Revenue Fund

Grant revenue is recognized when compliance with the various grant requirements is achieved. Generally this occurs at the time expenditures are made and the grant matching requirements are met. Grant revenues received before the revenue recognition criteria have been met are reported as deferred revenues, a liability account. When the revenue recognition criteria have been met, grant revenues not yet received are reported as grants receivable, if the amounts have been billed to grantor agencies, or as earned not billed, if amounts are unbilled.

#### Carry-over Grants and Contracts

Several grants continued after June 30, 2001. The amounts available for completing grant objectives for these grant programs are summarized below by funding type.

Туре	<u>Amount</u>
Federal Grants	\$ 2,120,596
Other Grants and Contracts	\$ 368,504

#### Fringe Benefits

Accumulated unpaid vacation pay is accrued in the general fund and reported as accrued employee compensation. Accumulated unpaid sick leave is accrued and recorded in the general long term obligations account group. Sick leave days may be converted to pay upon retirement at the rate of 4 to 1, up to a maximum of thirty (30) days. As of June 30, 2001 there were three employees eligible to retire. The current cost of their sick leave conversion to retirement pay has been accrued and reported in the general fund.

As discussed in note 3, fringe benefit expenditures are recovered by applying a provisional rate to all programs.

#### Indirect Costs

MVRPC uses an indirect cost rate to recover administrative expenditures. The 2001 indirect costs were billed at a provisional, of 62% of direct labor dollars, including fringe benefits.

Notes to the General Purpose Financial Statements June 30, 2001

#### NOTE 1 ORGANIZATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (cont'd)

#### Total Columns on Combined Overview Statements

The "Memorandum Only" captions on the combined level total columns indicate that the information is presented only as an aid in financial analysis and do not present financial position or results of operations in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The information is not comparable to a consolidation.

#### Designated Fund Balance

Fund Balance is designated for Carryover Grant Matching and Future Year's Operation. The amount designated for Carryover Grant Matching represents the local contribution that is required to be made for grants that extend beyond the end of the fiscal year. The amount designated for Future Year's Operation represents 50% of the current membership dues. This amount is designated because membership period is based upon the calendar year and 100% of the dues revenue is recognized during the current fiscal year.

#### Budgets

Budgets for the general and special revenue fund are prepared annually by the staff and approved by the Commission. Budgets are reviewed on an ongoing basis and amendments are proposed as necessary. The amendments are approved by the Board of Directors.

#### Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### NOTE 2 LEASE COMMITMENTS

MVRPC entered into a noncancellable operating lease agreement for office space effective January 1, 2000 through December 31, 2004. The future minimum rental commitments on the noncancellable lease as of June 30, 2000 is as follows:

	Office
Fiscal Year Ended	_Space
2001	103,289
2002	106,936
2003	109,366
2004	55,290

Total rental expense for the year ended June 30, 2001, was \$107,904.

#### NOTE 3 COST ALLOCATION PLAN

A cost allocation plan is prepared annually by MVRPC. The plan, which includes fringe benefit and indirect costs, is used for the purpose of determining allocation rates and is prepared in accordance with the provisions of Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-87 and the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services' Circular OASC-10. The plan is submitted to the oversight agency, the Federal Highway Administration through the Ohio Department of Transportation, for approval and authorization of negotiated allocation rates, which are used for billing purposes during the fiscal year. The Ohio Department of Transportation has agreed to let MVRPC adjust its provisional rates to the actual experienced rates prior

Notes to the General Purpose Financial Statements
June 30, 2001

#### NOTE 3 COST ALLOCATION PLAN (cont'd)

to final billing. These adjusted provisional rates are subject to audit at the end of each fiscal year, when actual rates are determined and submitted to the oversight agency for approval. If the actual rates are less than the adjusted provisional rates, MVRPC must refund any over-billed amounts to the various agencies. Conversely, MVRPC may recover under-billed amounts when unapplied funds remain from the various grantor agencies. Adjustments as a result of a change in the rates are recognized for financial reporting purposes when determined.

Following are summaries of the accounting treatment and rate experience for fringe benefit and indirect cost for 2001

#### Fringe Benefits

Fringe benefit costs are recorded in the general fund and allocated to the special revenue funds in accordance with the approved cost allocation plan, based upon a provisional rate approved by the oversight agency. The 2001 fringe benefit costs were allocated at a provisional rate of 47% of productive direct and indirect labor dollars. The actual fringe benefit cost rate was 45.34%. Per the agreement with ODOT, the provisional rate was adjusted to actual and the adjustment is reflected in the financial statements.

#### Indirect Costs

Administrative costs are recorded in the general fund as indirect costs and allocated to the special revenue funds in accordance with the approved cost allocation plan, based upon a provisional rate approved by the oversight agency. The 2001 indirect costs were allocated at a provisional rate of 62% of direct labor dollars, including fringe benefits. The actual indirect cost rate was 59.81%. Per the agreement with ODOT, the provisional rate was adjusted to actual and the adjustment is reflected in the financial statements.

#### **NOTE 4 CONTINGENCIES**

The use of direct federal grant funds and state administered federal grant funds is subject to review and audit by the grantor agencies. Such audits could lead to request for reimbursement to the grantor agency for expenditures disallowed under the terms of the grant. Based upon prior experience, management believes that MVRPC will not incur significant losses, if any, on possible grant disallowance.

#### NOTE 5 INTERFUND RECEIVABLES AND PAYABLES

As of June 30, 2001 there was an Interfund Receivable of \$830,440in the General Fund and an Interfund Payable of \$830,440 in the Special Revenue Fund.

#### NOTE 6 CASH AND INVESTMENTS

#### Pooled Cash

The Commission's cash balances are held in the Montgomery County Treasury. Cash is held in a demand deposit account that is insured or collateralized by Federal Depository Insurance and by collateral held by a qualified third party trustee.

Notes to the General Purpose Financial Statements
June 30, 2001

#### NOTE 7 DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLANS

All of the Commission's full-time employees participate in a cost sharing, multiple employer defined benefit pension plan.

#### Public Employees Retirement System (the "PERS of Ohio")

The following information was provided by the PERS of Ohio to assist the Commission in complying with GASB Statement No. 27, "Accounting for Pensions by State and Local Government Employers."

All employees of the Commission, participate in the PERS of Ohio, a cost sharing, multiple employer defined benefit pension plan. The PERS of Ohio provides retirement and disability benefits, annual cost-of-living adjustments and death benefits to plan members and beneficiaries. Chapter 145 of the Ohio Revised Code provides statutory authority to establish and amend benefits. The Public Employees Retirement System of Ohio issues a stand-alone financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for the PERS of Ohio. Interested parties may obtain a copy by making a written request to 277 East Town Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215-4642 or by calling (614) 466-2085 or 1-800-222-7377.

The Retirement Board instituted a temporary employer contribution rate rollback for calendar year 2000. The rate rollback was 20% for state and local government divisions. The Ohio Revised Code provides statutory authority for employee and employer contributions. Plan members are required to contribute 8.5% of their annual covered salary and the Commission's contribution rate for 2000 was 10.84% of covered payroll. The Commission's required contributions for the periods ended June 30, 2001, 2000, and 1999 were \$133,490, \$160,413 and \$144,907, respectively.

#### NOTE 8 OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS

In addition to the pension benefits described previously, PERS provides post-retirement health care coverage commonly referred to as OPEB (other post-employment benefits). For this system, the Ohio Revised Code provides the authority for public employers to fund post-retirement health care through their contributions.

The PERS of Ohio provides postretirement health care coverage to age and service retirees with ten or more years of qualifying Ohio service credit and to primary survivor recipients of such retirees. Health care coverage for disability recipients is also available. A portion of each employer's contribution to the PERS of Ohio is set aside for the funding of post retirement health care. The Ohio Revised Code provides statutory authority for employer contributions. The employer contribution rate was rolled back for the year 2000. For local government employer units the rate was 10.84% of covered payroll; 4.30% was the portion that was used to fund health care for the year.

The Ohio Revised Code provides the statutory authority requiring public employers to fund postretirement health care through their contributions to PERS.

Actuarial Review: The following assumptions and calculations were based on the System's latest Actuarial Review as of December 31, 1999.

Funding Method: An entry age normal actuarial cost method of valuation is used in determining the present value of OPEB. The difference between assumed and actual experience (actuarial gains and losses) becomes part of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability.

Assets Valuation Method: All investments are carried at market value. For actuarial valuation purposes, a smoothed market approach is used. Under this approach, assets are adjusted annually to reflect 25% of unrealized market appreciation or depreciation on investment assets.

Notes to the General Purpose Financial Statements
June 30, 2001

#### NOTE 8 OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS cont'd

Investment Return: The investment assumption rate for 1999 was 7.75%.

Active Employee Total Payroll: An annual increase of 4.75% compounded annually, is the base portion of the individual pay increase assumption. This assumes no change in the number of active employees. Additionally, annual pay increases, over and above the 4.75% base increase, were assumed to range from 0.54% to 5.1%.

Health Care: Health care costs were assumed to increase 4.75% annually.

The OPEB's are advance-funded on an actuarially determined basis. The number of active contributing participants was 401,339. The portion of Organization's contributions that were used to fund postemployment benefits was \$52,955. \$10,805.5 million represents the actuarial value of the Retirement System's net assets available for OPEB at December 31, 1999. The actuarially accrued liability and the unfunded actuarial accrued liability, based on the actuarial cost method used, were \$12,473.6 million and \$1,668.1 million, respectively.

The Retirement Board enacted a temporary employer contribution rate rollback for calendar year 2000. The decision to rollback rates was based on the June 30, 1998 actuarial study, which indicated that actuarial assets exceeded actuarial liabilities. The temporary rate roll back was 20% for both state and local government divisions. The Board reallocated employer contributions from 4.20% to 4.30% at the beginning of the year to improve health care financing. The proportion of contributions dedicated to funding OPEB increased during the year for those reasons.

#### NOTE 9 GENERAL FIXED ASSETS

A summary of changes in general fixed assets during fiscal year 2001 follows:

	Balance at June 30, 2000	Additions	<u>Deletions</u>	Balance at June 30, 2001
Furniture and Fixtures	\$ 121,828	-	*	\$121,828
Equipment	392,077	37,115	34,148	395,044
Leasehold Improvements	<u>115,167</u>		<u>-</u>	<u>115,167</u>
Total	\$ <u>629,072</u>	<u>37,115</u>	<u>34,148</u>	\$ <u>632,039</u>

#### NOTE 10 LONG TERM OBLIGATIONS

MVRPC records the potential liability for the conversion of accrued sick leave that would be paid out to eligible retirees within the next 12 months of year end. The following is a summary of long-term obligations for the year ended June 30, 2001:

Amount accrued at June 30, 2000 FY 2001 amount	\$ 274,554 (5,992)
Amount accrued at June 30, 2001	\$ 268,562

The above long-term obligations represent liabilities of the general long-term obligations account group.

Notes to the General Purpose Financial Statements
June 30, 2001

#### NOTE 11 PROPERTY AND INSURANCE

The Commission is exposed to various risk of loss related to torts, theft of damage to, and destruction of assets, errors and omissions, injuries to employees, and natural disasters. During fiscal year 2001, the Commission contracted with The Hartford Insurance Company for the following insurance coverage:

Business personal property	\$ 339,300
Computer equipment	203,000
Comprehensive general liability	1,000,000
Valuable papers	250,000
Business Auto coverage	1,000,000

Settled claims have not exceeded this commercial coverage in any of the past three years, and there has been no significant reduction in coverage from the prior fiscal year.



COMBINING FINANCIAL S	STATEMENTS	

4,

# Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Special Revenue Fund Summary by Program

# Year Ended June 30, 2001

	Transportation Programs Exhibit C-1	Water Quality Programs Exhibit C-2	Criminal Justice Programs Exhibit C-3	Community Services Programs Exhibit C-4	Total Special Revenue Fund
Revenues:					
Grantor Agency	\$ 1,781,302	\$ 269,835	\$ 1,439,980	\$ 534,341	\$ 4,025,458
Other	105,838	-	-		105,838
Total Revenues	1,887,140	269,835	1,439,980	534,341	4,131,296
Expenditures:					
Personnel	786,931	115,713	80,133	-	982,777
Contractual	304,513	115,959	1,342,640	534,341	2,297,453
Other	430,880	2,874	2,299	-	436,053
Indirect Costs	470,663	69,208	47,928	-	587,799
Capital Outlays					
Total Expenditures	1,992,987	303,754	1,473,000	534,341	4,304,082
Excess of Expenditures Over Revenues	(405.047)	(22.040)	(22.020)		(172,786)
Over neveraes	(105,847)	(33,919)	(33,020)		(172,700)
Other Financing Sources (Uses): Operating Transfers-In	105,847	33,919	33,020		172,786
Fund Balance - July 1, 2000		<del></del>		_	
Fund Balance - June 30, 2001	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

### Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Special Revenue Fund Transportation Program Summary

Year Ended June 30, 2001

# Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)/ Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT)

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Consolidated Tran	sportation Planning		
	FY 2001	FY 2000	Regional OZON CY 2001	E Action Program  CY 2000
	F1 2001	1 1 2000	012001	
Revenues:				
Grantor Agency	\$ 820,584	\$ 26,118	\$ 115,103	\$ 153,963
Other	102,573	3,265	-	
Total Revenues	923,157	29,383	115,103	153,963
Expenditures:				
Personnel	570,109	-	23,565	24,928
Contractual	60,874	32,649	-	5,000
Other	53,766	-	77,444	109,126
Indirect Costs	340,982		14,094	14,909
Total Expenditures	1,025,731	32,649	115,103	153,963
Excess of Expenditures Over Revenues	(102,573)	(3,266)	<del></del>	-
Other Financing Sources (Uses):				
Operating Transfers-In	102,573	3,266	-	-
Fund Balance - July 1, 2000	-		_	_
Fund Balance - June 30, 2001	\$ -	\$ <u>-</u>	\$	\$ <u>-</u>

# Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Special Revenue Fund Transportation Program Summary

#### Year Ended June 30, 2001

# Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)/ Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT)

	_R	Rideshare		ITS Nutter Center		IR75 Bridge Reconst PR CY 2000		IR75 Bridge Reconst PR CY 2001		rth South sportation nitiative
Revenues:										
Grantor Agency	\$	209,645	\$	47,492	\$	22,421	\$	83,805	\$	78,656
Other								-		-
Total Revenues		209,645	-	47,492		22,421		83,805		78,656
Expenditures:										
Personnel		78,130		-		-		-		45,339
Contractual		7,000		47,492		-				-
Other		77,785		-		22,429		83,805		6,200
Indirect Costs		46,730		_		-				27,117
Total Expenditures	_	209,645		47,492		22,429	***************************************	83,805		78,656
Excess of Expenditures Over Revenues			·*************************************		<u>,, .,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u>	(8)		-		
Other Financing Sources (Uses):										
Operating Transfers-In		-		-		8		•		-
Fund Balance - July 1, 2000		-		<del>4-</del>		-		_		-
Fund Balance - June 30, 2001	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-

# Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Special Revenue Fund Transportation Program Summary

#### Year Ended June 30, 2001

	MIS 1 Lig	Federal Transit Admin MIS for Dayton Light Rail OH-03-0173		t of Interior Park Service ton Avaition itage Park nsportation	 Total
Revenues:					
Grantor Agency	\$	1,854	\$	221,661	\$ 1,781,302
Other		-		-	 105,838
Total Revenues		1,854		221,661	1,887,140
Expenditures: Personnel Contractual Other Indirect Costs Total Expenditures		1,854 - - 1,854		44,861 149,644 325 26,831 221,661	786,931 304,513 430,880 470,663 1,992,987
Excess of Expenditures Over Revenues		•_		-	 (105,847)
Other Financing Sources (Uses): Operating Transfers-In		-		-	105,847
Fund Balance - July 1, 2000					 
Fund Balance - June 30, 2001	\$	-	\$	**	\$ -

#### Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Special Revenue Fund Water Quality Program Summary

#### Year Ended June 30, 2001

	U.S.	Environmental Protection	Ohi	o General			
	Ohio Environme	ental Protection Agency			Reve	enue Grant	
	Water 0	Water Quality Mgmt			Wat	er Quality	
	FY20	01 604(b)	R 82	R 827091-01-0		ing Support	Total
Revenues:							
Grantor Agency	\$	50,879	\$	132,291	\$	86,665	269,835
Other		-		-			0
Total Revenues		50,879		132,291		86,665	269,835
Expenditures:							
Personnel		51,881		10,134		53,698	115,713
Contractual		-		115,959		-	115,959
Other		1,887		136		851	2,874
Indirect Costs		31,030		6,062		32,116	69,208
Capital Outlays							
Total Expenditures		84,798		132,291		86,665	303,754
Excess of Expenditures Over Revenues		(33,919)				<u>-</u>	(33,919)
	<b></b>						
Other Financing Sources (Uses):		00.040					22.040
Operating Transfers-In		33,919		-		-	33,919
Fund Balance - July 1, 2000		-		-		<u> </u>	-
Fund Balance - June 30, 2001	\$	•	\$	_	\$	-	-

# Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Special Revenue Fund Criminal Justice Program Summary

Year Ended June 30, 2001

# U.S. Department of Justice/ Ohio Office of Criminal Justice Services

	Anti-Na Adminis									
	9-DG- 0M-7525	00-DG- ADM-7525			FFY 99 0997	FFY 00 0907		FFY 98 0987		
Revenues:										
Grantor Agency	\$ 36,067	\$	38,343	\$	476,811	\$	248,212	\$	60,000	
Other	 -						-		-	 
Total Revenues	 36,067		38,343		476,811		248,212		60,000	 <del>-</del>
Expenditures:										
Personnel	29,816		31,206		-		-		-	-
Contractual	-		-		476,811		248,212		60,000	
Other	739		1,254		-		-		-	-
Indirect Costs	17,833		18,664		-		-		-	-
Capital Outlays										
Total Expenditures	 48,388		51,124		476,811		248,212		60,000	 
Excess of Expenditures Over Revenues	 (12,321)		(12,781)						-	-
Other Financing Sources (Uses): Operating Transfers-In	12,321		12,781		-		-		-	-
Fund Balance - July 1, 2000	 		-							 
Fund Balance - June 30, 2001	\$ _	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 

# Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Special Revenue Fund Criminal Justice Program Summary

Year Ended June 30, 2001

# U.S. Department of Justice/ Ohio Office of Criminal Justice Services

		inistrative Juvenile Justice Block Grants											
	9	99-JJ- 01-0224	FFY 99 0909			FY 99 0995	FFY 98 0985		FFY 98 Title V 0986		FFY 99 Title V 0996		
		71-0224		0909	·	0993		0303	110	e v 0300	- 1141	e v 0330	
Revenues:													
Grantor Agency	\$	7,742	\$	98,355	\$	93,630	\$	4,178	\$	21,070	\$	13,161	
Other				-				_		-		<u>-</u>	
Total Revenues		7,742		98,355		93,630		4,178		21,070		13,161	
Expenditures:													
Personnel		9,754				-		-		-		-	
Contractual		_		98,355		93,630		4,178		21,070		13,161	
Other		11				-		-		-		-	
Indirect Costs		5,834				-		-		-		-	
Capital Outlays													
Total Expenditures		15,599	**********	98,355		93,630		4,178		21,070		13,161	
Excess of Expenditures Over Revenues		(7,857)				_						<u></u>	
Other Financing Sources (Uses): Operating Transfers-In		7,857		-		-		-		-		-	
Fund Balance - July 1, 2000				**		_		<u>-</u>		<del>-</del>			
Fund Balance - June 30, 2001	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$	-	

# Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Special Revenue Fund Criminal Justice Program Summary

Year Ended June 30, 2001

# U.S. Department of Justice/ Ohio Office of Criminal Justice Services

	Stop	Violence A	Against	Women								
		Admi	nistrativ	⁄e		Block Grants						
•	9	9-WF	0	0-WF	<del></del>	FFY 99 FFY 98			FFY 00			
	_VA'	W-8901	VA	W-8901		0998		0988		0908		Total
Revenues:												
Grantor Agency	\$	9,232	\$	5,956	\$	254,996	\$	30,630	\$	41,597	\$	1,439,980
Other		_		-		-				-		-
Total Revenues		9,232		5,956		254,996	,	30,630		41,597		1,439,980
Expenditures:												
Personnel		5,633		3,724			-	-		-		80,133
Contractual		-		-		254,996		30,630		41,597		1,342,640
Other		291		4		-		-		-		2,299
Indirect Costs		3,369		2,228		-		-		-		47,928
Total Expenditures		9,293		5,956		254,996	*****	30,630		41,597		1,473,000
Excess of Expenditures Over Revenues		(61)		<u></u>		-				_		(33,020)
Other Financing Sources (Uses): Operating Transfers-In		61		_		-		-				33,020
Fund Balance - July 1, 2000	,					-		<del>-</del>				_
Fund Balance - June 30, 2001	\$	-	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	-	\$	_

## Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Special Revenue Fund Community Services Program Summary

## Year Ended June 30, 2001

	Montgomery County	
	Ortho-Photography	Total
Revenues:		
Grantor Agency	534,341	\$ 534,341
Other	<u> </u>	
Total Revenues	534,341	534,341
Expenditures: Personnel Contractual Other Indirect Costs Total Expenditures	534,341 - - 534,341	534,341 - - 534,341
Excess of Expenditures Over Revenues		
Other Financing Sources (Uses): Operating Transfers-In	-	-
Fund Balance, July 1, 2000		
Fund Balance, June 30, 2001	\$ -	\$ -



MISCELLANEOUS INFORMATION

## **Statement of General Fixed Assets**

June 30, 2001

General Fixed Assets Furniture and Fixtures Equipment Leasehold Improvements Total General Fixed Assets	\$ 121,828 395,044 115,167 \$ 632,039
Investment in General Fixed Assets General Fund Special Revenue Funds Total Investment in General Fixed Assets	\$ 592,230 39,809 \$ 632,039

# **Statement of Changes in General Fixed Assets**

# Year Ended June 30, 2001

	Balances at 7/1/00	Additions	Deletions	Balances at 6/30/01
Furniture and Fixtures	\$ 121,828	-		\$ 121,828
Equipment	392,077	37,115	34,148	395,044
Leasehold Improvements	115,167	-	-	115,167
Total	\$ 629,072	37,115	34,148	\$ 632,039

# Schedule of Fringe Benefit Cost Pool Charges, Rate Base, Final Rate Computation and Current Year's Recovery Comparison

### Year Ended June 30, 2001

Fringe Benefit Cost Pool Charges:  Public Employees Retirement System Contributions  Health Insurance Premiums  Life Insurance Premiums	\$ 133,490 138,868 1,938
Workers' Compensation Premiums Unemployment Insurance F.I.C.A. (Medicare) Expenses Sick Leave Pay	7,842 351 13,042 40,196 51,097
Holiday Pay Vacation, Personal and Other Leave Retirement Pay Other Fringe Benefit Costs	92,216 3,040 -
Total Fringe Benefit Cost Pool Charges  Fringe Benefit Cost Rate Base: Salaries	\$ 482,080 \$1,130,423
Final Fringe Benefit Cost Rate Computation: Total Fringe Benefit Cost Pool Charges	\$ 482,080
Divided By: Total Fringe Benefit Cost Rate Base  Equals - Final Fringe Benefit Cost Rate	1,063,363 45.34%
Current Year's Cost Recovery Comparison: Fringe Benefit Costs Recovered	\$ 482,128
Total Fringe Benefit Cost Pool Charges Over (Under) Recovered Costs	\$ 482,080 \$ 48

## Schedule of Indirect Cost Pool Charges, Rate Base, Final Rate Computation and Current Year's Recovery Comparison

Indirect Cost Pool Charges:		
Salaries	\$	284,515
Allocated Fringe Benefits		128,999
Contractual Services		32,011
Communication and Supplies		47,921
Rents and Rentals		101,336
Travel		1,781
Maintenance and Repairs		12,697
Other Costs		15,732
Allowance for Depreciation		51,139
Total Indirect Costs	\$	676,131
Indirect Cost Rate Base:	٠	777 770
Direct Salaries	\$	777,778
Allocated Fringe Benefits 45.34%		352,645
Total Indirect Cost Rate Base	<u>\$</u>	1,130,423
Final Indirect Cost Rate Computation:		
Total Indirect Cost Pool Charges	\$	676,131
Divided By: Total Indirect Cost Rate Base	\$	1,130,423
Equals - Final Indirect Cost Rate	***************************************	59.81%
Current Year's Cost Recovery Comparison:		
Indirect Cost Recovered	\$	676,105
Total Indirect Costs		676,131
Over (Under) Recovered Costs	\$	(26)

### Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures by Program

	ansportation Programs	 ironmental rograms	 Criminal Justice Programs	;	ommunity Services Programs	 Total
Revenues:						
Federal Grants	\$ 1,791,788	\$ 183,170	\$ 1,439,980	\$	<del>.</del>	\$ 3,414,938
Other Grants & Contracts	-	89,549	-		592,781	682,330
Other Matching	105,838	-	-		-	105,838
Misc Sales & Receipts	 -	 -		_	3,959	 3,959
Total Revenues	 1,897,626	 272,719	 1,439,980		596,740	 4,207,065
Other Financing Sources (Uses):						
Operating Transfers-In	105,847	62,165	39,069		146,858	353,939
Operating Transfers-Out	-	-	 -		-	 -
Total Other Financing Sources	 105,847	 62,165	 39,069		146,858	 353,939
Total Revenues & Other Sources	\$ 2,003,473	\$ 334,884	\$ 1,479,049	\$	743,598	\$ 4,561,004
Expenditures:						
Personnel	\$ 786,931	\$ 131,956	\$ 83,918	\$	127,617	\$ 1,130,422
Contractual	304,513	115,959	1,342,640		534,575	2,297,687
Other	441,366	8,046	2,299		5,078	456,789
Indirect Costs	470,663	78,923	50,191		76,328	676,105
Total Expenditures	\$ 2,003,473	\$ 334,884	\$ 1,479,048	\$	743,598	\$ 4,561,003

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures - Federal Transit Administration and Federal Highway Administration/Ohio Department of Transportation - Fiscal Year 2001 Transportation Planning Work Program Elements

		601		602	605	610	625	695	697		
			15	Transportation	Monitoring			Transportation	Transportation		
		Short	<u>=</u>	Improvement	and	Review &		Program	Annual		
		Range		Program	Surveillance	Appraisal	Service	Administration	Report		Total
Revenues: Federal Transit Administration / Federal											
Highway Administration CPG Grant	<del>6</del> >	67,127.61	↔	54,756.10	\$ 265,255.77	\$ 216,130.59	\$ 73,414.76	\$ 122,123.52	\$ 21,775.72	↔	820,584.07
Ohio Dept. of Transporation		8 301 20		6 844 50	33 156 00	27 016 37	0 176 86	15 265 29	2 722 00		102 573 24
Total Revenues		75.518.81		61.600.60	298,412.76	243,146.96	82,591.62	137,388.81	24,497.72		923,157.28
Other Financing Sources:					- The state of the						massimism of the state of the s
Operating Transfers-In		8,391.14		6,844.52	33,156.96	27,016.28	9,176.84	15,265.40	2,721.92		102,573.06
Total Revenues and Other Sources	6	83 909 95	€.	68 445 12	\$ 331 569 72	\$ 270.163.24	\$ 91.768.46	\$ 152,654,21	\$ 27.219.64	69	\$ 1.025.730.34
total nevelues and other coarses	•	20.000,00	11	71.01.100					Ш		
Expenditures:											
Salaries	<del>69</del>	35,509.09	₩	28,639.79	\$ 122,206.25	\$ 104,918.50	\$ 35,251.40	\$ 63,611.73	\$ 2,122.00	₩	392,258.76
Fringe Benefits		16,099.81		12,985.28	55,408.31	47,570.05	15,982.98	28,841.56	962.11		177,850.10
Contract Services				1,924.09	40,296.00		615.77		19,961.90		62,797.76
Other		1,433.76			7,427.89	26,471.28	9,275.02	4,904.61	2,329.02		51,841.58
Indirect Costs		30,867.29		24,895.96	106,231.27	91,203.41	30,643.29	55,296.31	1,844.61		340,982.14
Total Expenditures	ss.	83,909.95	₩	68,445.12	\$ 331,569.72	\$ 270,163.24	\$ 91,768.46	\$ 152,654.21	\$ 27,219.64	\$	\$ 1,025,730.34
					, and a second s						

# Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance and on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

To the Board of Directors Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

We have audited the general purpose financial statements of Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission as of and for the year ended June 30, 2001, and have issued our report thereon dated September 19, 2001. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

### Compliance

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

### Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

Exhibit H

This report is intended solely for the information of the audit committee, management, the Auditor of State of Ohio, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Clank, Schoegu Knikett olo. Springfield, Ohio

September 19, 2001

# Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and Internal Control over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133

To the Board of Directors and Members Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

### Compliance

We have audited the compliance of Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission with the types of compliance requirements described in the *U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2001. The Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2001.

Exhibit I

### Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133.

Our consideration of the internal control over compliance would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that noncompliance with applicable requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants that would be material in relation to a major federal program being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over compliance and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

### Schedule of Federal Awards Expenditures

We have audited the general purpose financial statements of Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2001, and have issued our report thereon dated September 19, 2001. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming an opinion on the general purpose financial statements taken as a whole. The accompanying schedule of federal awards expenditures is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the general purpose financial statements of Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the general purpose financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the general purpose financial statements taken as a whole.

This report is intended solely for the information of the audit committee, management, the Auditor of State of Ohio, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Clark, Schoyn Knikett Ho. Springfield, Ohio September 19, 2001

Exhibit I (Cont'd)

# Schedule of Federal Awards Expenditures

Federal Grantor/	Federal		Program	_	Total	Une, Pro	Unexpended Program	Federal	<u>.</u>	MVRPC Matching	Maj O	Other Matching			Unexpended Program
Pass-Through Grantor/	CFDA	Grant	or Award	77	Federal	An	Amount	Share of	of	Expen-	ũ	Expen-			Amount
Program Title	Number	Number	Amount		Share	0//20	07/01/2000	Expenditures	ures	ditures	<del>[</del> 5]	ditures	Other	Je l	06/30/2001
Department of Justice															
Pass-Through, Office of Criminal Justice Services															
	16.523	99-JB-RPU-0909	\$ 111,	111,112 \$	100,000	<b>↔</b>	100,000	\$ 98	98,355	-	\$	-	\$	1,645	4
	16.540	99-JJ-C01-0224	\$ 30,	30,000 \$	15,000	€9	15,484	\$	7,742	\$ 7,742	69		↔	1	, \$
	16.540	99-JV-RPU-0996	161,	161,178	107,452		107,452	5	13,161	•				1	94,291
	16.540	98-JV-RPU-0986	85,	85,800	57,200		48,749	21	21,070	,			27	27,679	ı
	16.540	99-JJ-RPU-0995	143,	143,642	143,642		93,641	96	93,630	r				Ξ	•
	16.540	98-JJ-RPU-0985	158,	158,311	158,311		4,178	4	4,178	•					4
Subtotal Juvenile Justice							269,504	136	139,781	7,742		,	27	27,690	94,291
	16.579	00-DG-ADM-7525	93,	93,333	70,000		93,333	38	38,343	12,781					42,209
	16.579	99-DG-ADM-7525	93,	93,333	70,000		48,089	36	36,067	12,022					•
	16.579	00-DG-RPU-0907	1,248,580	580	936,436		936,436	246	248,212	•					688,224
	16.579	99-DG-RPU-0997	1,130,897	897	848,173		558,146	476	476,810						81,336
	16.579	98-DG-RPU-0987	1,100,503	503	825,377		60,000	ე9	000'09	•		-		,	t
Subtotal Byrne Memorial						T	,696,004	856	859,432	24,803					811,769
	16.588	00-WF-VAW-8901	17,	17,000	17,000		17,000	Ω	5,956	•		ı			11,044
	16.588	99-WF-VAW-8901	17,	17,000	17,000		9,232	ຜ	9,232	•				1	1
	16.588	00-WF-RPU-0908	365,567	267	311,967		311,967	4	41,597	•		ı		r	270,370
	16.588	99-WF-RPU-0998	349,088	088	298,175		257,175	254	254,996	•				1	2,179
	16.588	98-WF-RPU-0988	402,457	457	341,075		30,703	38	30,630	1				73	t
Subtotal Violence Against Women	omen						626,077	342	342,411	•				23	283,593
Total Department of Justice						€ <del>9</del>	2,691,585	\$ 1,439,979	\$ 626'	32,545	€		\$ 29	29,408	\$ 1,189,653
Department of Interior	15.914	CA6295A0002	\$ 250,000	000	\$ 250,000		250.000	221	221.661	1					28.339
otal Danatmont of Interior					Exhibit	65	250.000	÷	221 661 \$	,	€	.	64		DE 330
lotal Department of menor							200,000				€	·	<b>-</b>	1	

# Schedule of Federal Awards Expenditures

					Unexpended		MVRPC	Other		Une	Unexpended
Federal Grantor/	Federal		Program	Total	Program	Federal	Matching	Matching		ď	Program
Pass-Through Grantor/	CFDA	Grant	or Award	Federal	Amount	Share of	Expen-	Expen-		Ā	Amount
Program Title	Number	Number	Amount	Share	07/01/2000	Expenditures	ditures	ditures	Other	/90	06/30/2001
<b>Environmental Protection Agency</b>	\gency										
Consolidated Research	66.500	R 827091-01-0	\$ 475,000	0 \$ 475,000	\$ 143,052	\$ 132,291	, € <del>9</del>	⇔	· &	49	10,761
Pass-Through, Ohio Environmental	ımental										
Protection Agency											
Water Quality Management	66.454	604(b) FY 2001	84,798	8 50,879	84,798	50,879	33,919		-		
Total Environmental Protection Agency	on Agency				227,850	183,170	33,919	3		ļ	10,761
Department of Transportation	on										
Federal Transit Administration	tion										
Section 5309 New Start	20.500	OH-03-0173-00	\$ 992,550	0 \$ 992,550	\$ 114,401	\$ 1,854				↔	112,547
Pass-Through, Ohio Department	ment										
of Transportation											
Highway Planning and	20.205	Consolidated Planning FY 99	\$ 937,128	8 \$ 749,702	\$ 96,583	, <del>()</del>	, #	, \$	,	↔	96,583
Construction	20.205	Consolidated Planning FY 2000	1,077,721	1 862,177	32,649	26,118	3,266	3,265	•		1
	20.205	Consolidated Planning FY 2001	1,109,800	0 887,840	1,109,800	820,584	102,573	102,573	1		84,070
	20.202	Rideshare FY 2001 - FY 2003	000'069	000'069 0	000'069	209,645	,	•	•		480,355
	20.205	Models Update	380,709	9 380,709	65,323	•		1			65,323
	20.202	Regional OZONE CY 2001	243,000	0 243,000	243,000	115,102		•			127,898
	20.205	Regional OZONE CY 2000	230,000	0 230,000	154,942	153,963		ı	•		979
	20.205	IR 75 Brigde Replace PR - Yr 2	100,000	000,000	100,000	83,805	1	•	1		16,195
	20.205	IR 75 Brigde Replace PR - Yr 1	100,000	000,000	22,421	22,421		ı			,
	20.205	NSTI - I75 MIS	200,000	200,000	200,000	78,656	1	•	,		121,344
	20.205	Intelligent Trans Systems	534,500	534,500	182,531	47,492		,	•		135,039
Total Highway Planning and Construction	Construction	Ľ			2,897,249	1,557,786	105,839	105,838	'	-	1,127,786
Total Department of Transportation	rtation				3,011,650	1,559,640	105,839	105,838		-	1,240,333
Total Federal Financial Assistance	tance			Exhibit J	\$ 6,181,085	\$ 3,404,450	\$ 172,303	\$ 105,838	\$ 29,408	\$ 2,	2,469,086

Notes to the Schedule of Federal Awards Expenditures

- Note 1. Some federal financial assistance programs require MVRPC to match expenditures made with their own funds or funds received from other sources. The matching requirements are at various rates as governed by the specific grant agreement. The Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT) is the other matching source for the Transportation Planning Program and the Intelligent Transportation Systems grant.
- Note 2. The "Other" category consists of grant amounts that were refunded to or canceled by Grantor Agency as listed below:

Department of Justice – Juvenile Justice 98-JB-RPU-0909 – Refunded Department of Justice – Juvenile Justice 0986 – Canceled Department of Justice – Juvenile Justice 0995 – Refunded Department of Justice – VAWA 0988 – Canceled

- Note 3. The federal assistance funds received by MVRPC under the Anti-Narcotic Control Block Grants and the Violence Against Women Block Grants were subgranted to Montgomery County, the City of Dayton, the City of Riverside, and The Dayton Metropolitan Housing Authority. The subgrantee is required to match the federal funds received through MVRPC on a 75% to 25% basis. The matching expenditures required of the subgrantee are not recorded on MVRPC's books and are not included on this schedule.
- Note 4. The federal assistance funds received from the Department of Transportation through the Ohio Department of Transportation and reported under CFDA number 20.205 titled Transportation Planning was a consolidation of funds the Federal Highway Administration 's Highway Planning and Construction and the Federal Transit Administration's Section 8 Technical Studies Grant.
- Note 5. The Schedule of Federal Awards Expenditures was prepared using the accrual basis method of accounting

## SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS OMB CIRCULAR A-133 § .505

## MIAMI VALLEY REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION JUNE 30, 2001

### 1. SUMMARY OF AUDITORS' RESULTS

(d)(1)(i)	Type of Financial Statement Opinion	Unqualified
(d)(1)(ii)	Were there any material control weakness conditions reported at the financial statement level (GAGAS)?	No
(d)(1)(ii)	Were there any other reportable control weakness conditions reported at the financial statement level (GAGAS)?	No
(d)(1)(iii)	Were there any reported non-compliance at the financial statement level (GAGAS)?	No
(d)(1)(iv)	Were there any material internal control weakness conditions reported for major federal programs?	No
(d)(1)(iv)	Were there any other reportable internal control weakness conditions reported for major federal programs?	No
(d)(1)(v)	Type of Major Programs' Compliance Opinion	Unqualified
(d)(1)(vi)	Are there any reportable findings under § .510?	No
(d)(1)(vii)	Major Programs (list):	Byrne Formula Grant; CFDA #16.579
(d)(1)(viii)	Dollar Threshold: Type A\B Programs	Type A: > \$300,000 Type B: All others
(d)(1)(ix)	Low Risk Auditee?	Yes

Exhibit L

# 2. FINDINGS RELATED TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS REQUIRED TO BE REPORTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GAGAS

Findings: None

### 3. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR FEDERAL AWARDS

Findings: None

Exhibit L (Cont'd)

# SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS OMB CIRCULAR A-133 § .315(b)

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission June 30, 2001

Prior Audit Findings:		
None		

Exhibit L (Cont'd)

### MIAMI VALLEY REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION

### Commission Members

Governmental Members	Member	Alternate
Beavercreek	Julie Vann	Robert Glaser
Beavercreek Township	Richard Little	Carol Graff
Bellbrook	Pat Campbell	Robert Middlestetter
Bethel Township	Jerome Hirt, Sr.	Matthew Davis
Brookville	David Seagraves	John Wright
Butler Township	Michael Haines	Joseph Flanagan
Carlisle	Patrick Long	Brad Townsend
Centerville	Sally Beals	Douglas Cline
Clayton	Tim Gorman	John Theobald
Darke County	Terry Haworth	Robert Downing
Dayton	Mary Wiseman	Vacant
Eaton	Larry Petry	K. Douglas Spitler
Eldorado	Larry Marker	Don Thompson
Englewood	Thomas Franz	Judy Gerhard
Fairborn	Larry Long	Michael Cornell
Farmersville	Michael Dowden	Orie Dale
Germantown	Barry Wachter	Scott Pickup
Greene County	W. Reed Madden	Robert Schroeder
Greenville	John Burkett	Penny Perry
Harrison Township	George Curry	Alan Pippenger
Huber Heights	Jack Hensley	James Cahill
Jamestown	Donald Kolesar	Gene Marinelli
Kettering	Donald Patterson, Jr.	Bruce Duke
Miami County	B. Ronald Widener	Daniel Brandewie
Miami Township	Shirley Omietanski	Greg Rogers
Miamisburg	Mady Ransdell	Robert Faulkner
Monroe Township	John Evans	Ronald Thuma
Montrgomery County	Don Lucas	Charles Curran
Moraine	John Shady	Charles Howard
New Carlisle	Robert Horrocks	James Caplinger
New Lebanon	Lou Cooper	Patrick Titterington
New Madison	Philip Miller	Edward Crawford
Oakwood	Carlo McGinnis	Norbert Klopsch
Perry Township	Steve Crowe	Gerald Peters
Phillipsburg	Ken Henz	Charles Marquis
Piqua	Robert DeBrosse	Frank Barhorst

### MIAMI VALLEY REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION

### **Commission Members**

Governmental Members cont'd	Member	Alternate
Riverside	Sara Lommatzsch	James Weaver, Jr.
Springboro	John Agenbroad	S. Wallace Douthwaite
Tipp City	Carol McKeever	Donald Earnest
Trotwood	Bruce Kettelle	Donald McLaurin
Troy	Peter Jenkins	Anthony Char
Union	Robert Packard	John Applegate
Union City	Betty Cook	Gerald Gerstner
Vandalia	Jack Shirley	Hal Hunter
Washington Township	Joyce Young	Gary Huff
West Carrollton	Jack Jensen	William Gordon
West Milton	Howard DeHart	Ray Moore
Xenia	Phyllis Pennewitt	John Saraga
Xenia Twp	Richard Montgomery	Rita Duncan
Yellow Springs	Tony Arnett	Trudy Abrams
NonGovernmental Members		
Ameritech	Gregory Sample	Toni Perry
Dayton Area Chamber of Commerce	Bryan Bucklew	Phillip Parker
Dayton Power & Light	Amy Wright	Vacant
Delphi Automotive Systems	Karenann Berner	Marc Martens
Montgomery County Farm Bureau	Sarajane Steinecker	Tim Stebbins
General Motors	Paul Dorsten	Janell Smith
Issue 9 Group	Richard Wright	Vacant
Mead Corp	Ronald Budzik	Vacant
Miami Conservancy District	Michael Robinette	Kelly Fackel
Sinclair Community College	Ned Sifferlen	Stephan Jonas
South Metro Reg Chamber of Commerce	Julia Maxton	Jack Kindler
League Women Voters	Deb Wenig	Jo Columbro
WPAFB	Michael Hazen	James Heitz

### **Board of Directors**

Chair: Sally D. Beals City of Centerville
First Vice-Chair: Richard Montgomery Xenia Township
cond Vice-Chair: B. Ronald Widener Miami County

Second Vice-Chair: B. Ronald Widener Miami County
Immediate Past Chair: W. Reed Madden Greene County

DARKE COUNTY: Terry Haworth Darke County

John Burkett City of Greenville

GREENE COUNTY: Richard Little Beavercreek Township

Pat Campbell City of Bellbrook

MIAMI COUNTY: Jerome Hirt, Sr. Bethel Township

MONTGOMERY COUNTY: Don Lucas Montgomery County

Shirley Omietanski Miami Township
Mary Wiseman City of Dayton
Bruce Kettelle City of Trotwood
Jack Shirley City of Vandalia

PREBLE COUNTY: Larry Petry City of Eaton

NONGOVERNMENTAL: Amy Wright Dayton Power & Light Co

Karenann Berner Delphi Chassis Systems
Dr. Ned Sifferlen Sinclair Community College

Schedule of Insurance, Legal Counsel, and Key Personnel

### Blanket Bond and General Insurance:

<u>Description</u>	Surety	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Period</u>
Blanket Bond Covering All Employees	The Ohio Casualty Insurance Company	\$100,000	01/13/01-01/12/02
Business Personal Property	The Hartford Insurance Company	\$339,300	01/13/01-01/12/02
Computer Equipment	The Hartford Insurance Company	\$203,000	01/13/01-01/12/02
Comprehensive General Liability	The Hartford Insurance Company	\$1,000,000	01/13/01-01/12/02
Valuable Papers	The Hartford Insurance Company	\$250,000	01/13/01-01/12/02
Business Auto Coverage	The Hartford Insurance Company	\$1,000,000	01/13/01-01/12/02
Public Officials & Employees Liability	Virginia Surety Company, Inc.	\$1,000,000	02/03/01-02/02/02
Logal Counsals			

Legal Counsel:

Thompson, Hine and Flory

2000 Courthouse Plaza, Northeast

Post Office Box 8801 Dayton, Ohio 45401-8801

Key Personnel:

Nora E. Lake

Gretchen B. Brafford

Gary L. Bellotti

**Executive Director** 

Assistant Executive Director

Controller

All of the above can be reached at the following address:

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission 40 West Fourth Street, Suite 400 Dayton, Ohio 45402



88 East Broad Street P.O. Box 1140 Columbus, Ohio 43216-1140

Telephone 614-466-4514

800-282-0370

Facsimile 614-466-4490

# MIAMI VALLEY REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION MONTGOMERY COUNTY

### **CLERK'S CERTIFICATION**

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

**CLERK OF THE BUREAU** 

Susan Babbitt

CERTIFIED JANUARY 03, 2002