# Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission Steubenville, Ohio

**Audit Report** 

June 30, 2002



Executive Committee Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission

We have reviewed the Independent Auditor's Report of the Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission, Jefferson County, prepared by S.R. Snodgrass, A.C. for the audit period July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002. Based upon this review, we have accepted these reports in lieu of the audit required by Section 117.11, Revised Code. The Auditor of State did not audit the accompanying financial statements and, accordingly, we are unable to express, and do not express an opinion on them.

Our review was made in reference to the applicable sections of legislative criteria, as reflected by the Ohio Constitution, and the Revised Code, policies, procedures and guidelines of the Auditor of State, regulations and grant requirements. The Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission is responsible for compliance with these laws and regulations.

Betty Montgomeny

BETTY MONTGOMERY Auditor of State

March 10, 2003



#### Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission AUDIT REPORT JUNE 30, 2002

	Page <u>Number</u>
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	2-3
Independent Auditor's Report	4-5
General Purpose Financial Statements Combined Balance Sheet	6
Combined Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Equity and Other Credits	7
Notes to the Financial Statements	8-15
Schedule of Agency Management Expenditures – Indirect Costs	16
Schedule of Fringe Benefits	17
Schedule of Contract Revenues and Expenditures	18-19
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	20-21
Note to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	22
Report on Compliance and on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based On an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	23-24
Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133	25-26
Summary of Prior Years Findings	27

#### Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS JUNE 30, 2002

#### **SUMMARY OF AUDITOR RESULTS**

(A)	Type of report issued on financial statements
	Unqualified
(B)	Internal control reportable conditions
	None
(C)	Material Noncompliance over financial reporting in accordance with GAAS.
	None
(D)	Internal control reportable conditions – Major Program Compliance
	None
(E)	Type of report issued on compliance for major programs
	Unqualified
(F)	<u>Audit findings – Major Programs</u>
	None
(G)	<u>Major Programs</u>
	CFDA – 20.205 Highway Planning and Construction
(H)	<u>Dollar threshold of major programs</u>
	The threshold for distinguishing Types A and B programs was \$300,000.

#### Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS JUNE 30, 2002

#### (I) <u>Low-risk auditee</u>

Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission was determined to be a low-risk auditee.

#### FINDINGS - RELATED TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH GAGAS

None

#### FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR FEDERAL AWARDS

None

#### **Independent Auditor's Report**

To the Executive Committee of the Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission

We have audited the accompanying general purpose financial statements of the Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2002, as listed in the table of contents. These general purpose financial statements are the responsibility of the Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these general purpose financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by The Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall general purpose financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the general purpose financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission, as of June 30, 2002, and the results of its operations for the year then ended in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated December 30, 2002 on our consideration of the Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grants. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and should be read in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission Page 2

Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming an opinion on the general purpose financial statements taken as a whole. The supplemental schedules on pages 18 through 21 are presented for the purpose of additional analysis and are not a required part of the general purpose financial statements of the Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission. Such schedules have been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the general purpose financial statements and, in our opinion, are fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the general purpose financial statements taken as whole.

Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming an opinion on the general purpose financial statements taken as a whole. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards on pages 21 through 22 is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, Non-Profit Organizations, and is not a required part of the general purpose financial statements of the Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the general purpose financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the general purpose financial statements taken as a whole.

S.R. Snodgrass, A.C.

Steubenville, Ohio December 30, 2002

#### Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission COMBINED BALANCE SHEET ALL FUNDS JUNE 30, 2002

	Governmental Fund Types		Account Group	Totals
	General Fund	Special Revenue <u>Funds</u>	General Fixed <u>Assets</u>	(Memorandum Only)
<u>ASSETS</u>				
Cash in bank and on hand Accounts receivable Grants receivable Due from grantor Interfund receivable Property and equipment (net of accumulated depreciation of \$98,703)	\$ 19,781 4,698 - 74,395	\$ - 170,162 39,747 -	\$ - - - - - 49,564	\$ 19,781 4,698 170,162 39,747 74,395 <u>49,564</u>
Total assets	<u>\$98,874</u>	<u>\$209,909</u>	<u>\$49,564</u>	<u>\$358,347</u>
LIABILITIES AND FUND EQUITY AND OTHER CREDITS LIABILITIES				
Accounts payable Interfund payable Accrued and withheld employee benefits Accrued payroll Accrued and withheld payroll taxes Due to grantors Deferred revenue Total liabilities	\$ - 5,000 - 3,460 - - - - 8,460	\$ 30,695 74,395 5,618 17,655 83,734 212,097	\$ - - - - - - -	\$ 30,695 74,395 5,000 5,618 3,460 17,655 83,734 220,557
FUND EQUITY AND OTHER CREDITS				
Investments in general fixed assets Fund balance – unreserved, undesignated Total fund equity and other credits	90,414 90,414	(2,188) (2,188)	49,564 <u>-</u> 49,564	49,564 <u>88,226</u> <u>137,790</u>
Total liabilities, fund equity and other credits	<u>\$98,874</u>	<u>\$209,909</u>	<u>\$49,564</u>	<u>\$358,347</u>

See accompanying notes to the general purpose financial statements.

#### Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission

#### COMBINED STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND EQUITY AND OTHER CREDITS ALL FUNDS

#### FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2002

	Governmental Fund Types		Totals
	General Fund	Special Revenue <u>Funds</u>	and Memorandum Only
REVENUES  Federal grants and projects State financial assistance Per capita dues Other  Total revenues	\$ - 90,664 2,823 93,487	\$420,439 76,955 - - - 497,394	\$420,439 76,955 90,664 2,823 590,881
EXPENDITURES  Current programs			
Transportation planning Economic development services Transit studies and capital planning	- - -	232,588 112,777 29,667	232,588 112,777 29,667
Community development projects Environmental protection projects Agency management and indirect costs	11,200 11,200	10,976 12,413 189,468 587,889	10,976 12,413 200,668 599,089
Excess of Revenue Over (Under) Expenditures	82,287	<u>(90,495</u> )	(8,208)
Other financing sources (uses): Operating transfers in Operating transfers out Total other financing sources (uses)	(91,014) (91,014)	91,014 <u></u>	91,014 (91,014)
Excess of Revenues and Other Sources Over (Under) Expenditures and Other Uses	(8,727)	519	(8,208)
FUND EQUITY AND OTHER CREDITS – JULY 1, 2001	99,141	(2,707)	96,434
FUND EQUITY AND OTHER CREDITS – JUNE 30, 2002	\$90,414	<u>\$ (2,188)</u>	<u>\$ 88,226</u>

See accompanying notes to the general purpose financial statements.

#### Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2002

#### SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### REPORTING ENTITY

The Commission is a quasi-government agency that provides planning and administrative service to various federal, state and local governments for the three county area of Hancock and Brooke Counties of West Virginia and Jefferson County, Ohio. The Commission is as a reporting entity in accordance with GASB No. 14.

The Commission is made up of the Commissioners of Brooke and Hancock counties of West Virginia and Jefferson county of Ohio and all mayors of each city and village in the above three counties. The commissioners then appoint additional members. As such, each of the counties and municipalities is required to pay a per capita contribution to the Commission for each fiscal year.

The Commission maintains its own set of accounting records. Accordingly, the accompanying financial statements include only the accounts and transactions of the Commission. Under the criteria specified in Statement No. 14, the Commission has no component units. The Commission is not financially accountable for any other organization.

#### **BASIS OF PRESENTATION**

The accounts of the Commission should be organized on the basis of funds and account groups, each being a separate entity with its own self-balancing accounts that comprise its assets, liabilities, fund balances, revenues and expenditures. Resources should be accounted for and presented in accordance with the principles of fund accounting. This is the procedure by which resources for various purposes are classified for accounting and reporting purposes into funds established according to their nature and purpose. Separate accounts should be maintained for each fund; however, in the accompanying financial statements, funds that have similar characteristics have been combined into fund groups. Accordingly, all financial transactions have been recorded and reported by fund group.

The assets, liabilities, fund balances, revenues and expenditures of the Commission are reported in the following funds:

#### **Government Fund Types**

<u>General Fund</u> – the general fund represents the portion of expendable funds that are available for support of the Commission's programs.

<u>Special Revenue Funds</u> – the special revenue funds represents resources from specific revenue sources, the expenditures for which are legally restricted for purposes specified in grants and project agreements.

<u>General Fixed Asset Account Group</u> – represents fixed assets used in the governmental fund type operations.

#### **DEBT REDUCTION PLAN**

In July of 1995, the Commission developed a debt reduction plan which employs the use of local dollars and old outstanding receivables to pay off all outstanding debt by the fiscal year 2004. As part of this debt reduction plan, a consultant was hired to calculate interest on cumulative unpaid retirement amounts. Management relied upon the use of assumptions in calculating interest on the individual employee accounts with the stipulation that the current and former employees agree to the calculation. As of the writing of this report, acceptance by all individuals involved has not been obtained. This plan was paid off in June 2000, well ahead of schedule. The action or actions taken by the former employees, and the result of such, is currently unknown.

#### MEASUREMENT FOCUS AND BASIS OF ACCOUNTING

The accounting and financial reporting treatment applied to a fund is determined by its measurement focus. All governmental fund types are accounted for using a flow of current financial resources measurement focus. With this measurement focus, only current assets and current liabilities are generally included on the balance sheet. Operating statements of these funds present increases (i.e., revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (i.e., expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

Basis of accounting refers to when revenues and expenditures or expenses are recognized in the accounts and reported in the financial statements. Basis of accounting relates to the timing of the measurements made.

The modified accrual basis of accounting is followed for the Commission's funds.

Revenue resulting from exchange transactions, in which each party gives and receives essentially equal value, is recorded on the accrual basis when the exchange takes place. On a modified accrual basis, revenue is recorded in the fiscal year in which the resources are measurable and become available. Available means that the resources will be collected within the current fiscal year or are expected to be collected soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current fiscal year. For the Commission, available means expected to be received with ninety days of the year end.

Non-exchange transactions, in which the Commission receives value without directly giving equal value in return, include grants. Revenue from grants is recognized in the fiscal year in which all eligibility requirements have been satisfied. Eligibility requirements include timing requirements, which specify the year when the resources are required to be used or the fiscal year when use is first permitted, matching requirements, in which the Commission must provide local resources to be used for a specified purpose, and expenditure requirements, in which the resources are provided to the Commission on a reimbursement basis. On a modified accrual basis, revenue from non-exchange transactions must also be available before it can be recognized.

Under the modified accrual basis, the grant revenue sources are considered to be both measurable and available at fiscal year end.

Deferred revenue arises when assets are recognized before revenue recognition criteria have been satisfied.

#### MEASUREMENT FOCUS AND BASIS OF ACCOUNTING (CONTINUED)

Grants received before eligibility requirements are met are also recorded as deferred revenue. On a modified accrual basis, receivables that will not be collected within the available period have also been reported as deferred revenue.

The measurement focus of governmental fund accounting is on decreases in net financial resources (expenditures) rather than expenses. Expenditures are generally recognized in the accounting period in which the related fund liability is incurred, if measurable.

#### ALLOCATION OF EMPLOYEE BENEFITS AND INDIRECT COSTS

The Commission's Employee Benefits and Indirect Costs are allocated based upon direct labor costs. The allocation method is approved by the Commission's oversight agency through the acceptance of the Overall Work Plan (OWP) submitted annually.

#### **CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS**

The investment and deposit of the Commission's monies are governed by the provisions of the Ohio Revised Code. In accordance with these statutes, only banks located in Ohio and domestic building and loan associations are eligible to hold public deposits. The statutes also permit the Commission to invest its monies in certificates of deposit, savings accounts, money market accounts, the State Treasurer's investment pool (Star Ohio), and obligations of the United States government and certain agencies thereof. The Commission may also enter into repurchase agreements with any eligible depository or any eligible dealer who is a member of the National Association of Securities Dealers for a period not exceeding thirty days.

Public depositories must give security for all public funds on deposit. These institutions may either specifically collateralize individual accounts in excess of amounts insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), or may pledge a pool of government securities valued at least 105% of the total value of public monies on deposit at the institution or may deposit surety company bonds which when executed shall be for an amount in excess of collateral requirements. Repurchase agreements must be secured by the specific government securities upon which the repurchase agreements are based. These securities must be obligations of or guaranteed by the United States and must mature or be redeemable within five years of the date of the related repurchase agreement. The market value of the securities subject to a repurchase agreement must exceed the value of the principal by 2% and be marked to market daily. State law does not require security for public deposits and investments to be maintained in the Commission's name.

The Commission is prohibited from investing in any financial instruments, contract, or obligation whose value or return is based upon or linked to another asset or index, or both, separate from the financial instruments, contracts, or obligation itself (commonly known as a "derivative"). The Commission is also prohibited from investing in reverse purchase agreements.

The Commission held no investments at June 30, 2002.

#### **FIXED ASSETS**

Fixed assets are recorded at historical costs. The use of operational funds for the purpose of acquiring fixed assets are accounted for as transfers to the General Fixed Asset Account Group. Proceeds from the sale of these assets, if not restricted, are transferred to the general fund balance. Depreciation of these assets is provided over the estimated useful lives of the respective assets under the straight line method over five (5) to seven (7) years. Depreciation on these assets is accounted for in the General Fixed Asset Account Group.

#### **ESTIMATES**

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### TOTAL COLUMN ON COMBINED STATEMENTS

The total column on the combined statements are captioned "Memorandum Only" to indicate that they are presented only to facilitate financial analysis. Data in these columns do not present financial position or results of operations in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

#### **CASH IN BANK AND ON HAND**

Cash in bank and on hand consist of the following at June 30, 2002:

	Per	Per
	<u>Bank</u>	<u>Books</u>
United National Bank – Checking Plus	<u>\$42,818</u>	<u>\$19,681</u>
Total cash in bank	42,818	19,681
Petty cash	<del>_</del>	100
Total cash in bank and on hand	<u>\$42,818</u>	<u>\$19,781</u>

The Commission's funds at United National Bank are insured up to the FDIC limit. At June 30, 2002, the agency's balances were \$-0- over the FDIC prescribed insured limits.

#### **GRANTS RECEIVABLE**

Grants receivable of \$170,162 is comprised of amounts due from the following governmental entities at June 30, 2002:

WV Department of Transportation – FHWA WV Department of Transportation – FTA 8 WV Department of Transportation – Bridge Study Ohio Department of Transportation – FHWA Ohio Department of Transportation – FTA 8 Ohio Department of Transportation – Bridge Study Ohio Department of Transportation – FHWA (Rideshare)	\$ 24,818 667 32,912 50,850 4,570 23,450 7,448
Total transportation  Brooke County, WV – Windsor Heights – CDBG  New Cumberland Heights/Johnsonville Road Sewer – CDBG  Total development block grants	190 6,258 6,448
Brooke County, WV – Colliers Water – EPA	448
Brooke County, WV – Colliers Sewer – EPA	12,227
McKinley Water Storage Tank – EPA	3,026
Mahans Lane/Eldersville Road – EPA	<u>2,416</u>
Total EPA	18,117
Jefferson County, Ohio – Airpark Total other grants	<u>882</u> 882
Total other grants	
Total grants receivable	<u>\$170,162</u>

#### **DUE FROM GRANTORS**

Due from grantors of \$39,747 is comprised of amounts due from the following governmental agencies at June 30, 2002. These amounts are based upon closed programs at June 30, 2002 and after considering the grant receivable for these programs.

Ohio Department of Transportation – FHWA	\$ 16,638
Ohio Department of Transportation – FHWA (Rideshare)	6,976
Ohio Department of Transportation – FHWA – ODOT	1,444
Ohio Department of Transportation – FTA 8	2,615
Ohio Department of Transportation – FTA – ODOT	586
WV Department of Transportation – FHWA	5,182
WV Department of Transportation – FTA 8	<u>5,725</u>
Total transportation	39,166
Hancock County, WV – SRF	581
Total state revolving funds	581
Total due from grantors	<u>\$39,747</u>

#### PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Equity and other credits – office furniture and equipment:

Balance July 1, 2001	\$48,129
Additions	16,182
Deletions	-
Depreciation	<u>(14,747)</u>
Balance June 30, 2002	\$49,564

#### **DUE TO GRANTORS**

Due to grantors of \$17,655 is comprised of amounts due to the following governmental entities at June 30, 2002:

WV Department of Transportation – FHWA	\$ 3,585
WV Department of Transportation – FTA 8	83
WV Department of Transportation – FTA 9	1,167
Ohio Department of Transportation – FHWA	7,576
Ohio Department of Transportation – FHWA (Rideshare)	329
Ohio Department of Transportation – FTA 8	862
Ohio Department of Transportation – FTA Match	447
Brooke County, WV – CDBG, Windsor Heights	3,337
Jefferson County Airpark – Obstruction Removal	<u>269</u>
Total due to grantor	<u>\$17,655</u>

#### **DEFERRED REVENUE**

Deferred Revenue represents monies advanced to the Commission from grantors for the purpose of carrying on specific grant functions, but is unobligated as of June 30, 2002.

U.S. Department of Commerce – EDA	\$17,773
Appalachian Regional Commission (12/02)	19,251
Ohio Department of Transportation – Bridge Study	23,355
WV Department of Transportation – Bridge Study	_23,355
Total deferred revenue	\$83,734

#### SPECIAL REVENUE FUND BALANCE

Special revenue fund balances (deficit) of \$(2,188) is comprised from the following agencies at June 30, 2002. These amounts are based upon open programs that have excess expenditures over revenues as of June 30, 2002.

New Cumberland Heights/Johnsonville Road Sewer Line	\$ (144)
McKinleyville Water Storage Tank	(247)
Mahans Lane/Eldersville Road	43
Hancock County, WV – Colliers Sewer – EPA	<u>(1,840</u> )
	\$(2,188)

#### **DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN**

#### **Public Employees Retirement System of Ohio**

Plan Description – All employees of the Commission are required to be members of the Public Employees Retirement System of Ohio ("PERS"), a cost-sharing, multiple-employer pension plan. PERS provides retirement and disability benefits, annual cost of living adjustments, and death benefits to plan members and beneficiaries. Chapter 145 of the Ohio Revised Code assigns the authority to establish and amend benefit provisions to the PERS Board of Trustees (Board). PERS issues a stand-alone financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information. The financial report may be obtained by making a written request to the Public Employees Retirement System of Ohio, 277 East Town Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215-4642 or by calling (614) 466-2085 or 1-800-222-PERS (7377).

**Funding Policy** – The Ohio Revised Code provides statutory authority for employee and employer contributions. Plan members are required to contribute 8.5% of their annual covered salary, and the Authority is required to contribute at an actuarially determined rate. The 2002, 2001, and 2000 calendar year employer contribution rate was 13.55%, 13.55%, and 10.84%, respectively, of annual covered payroll. The 2000 rate reflected a 20% temporary contribution rate rollback. The contribution requirements of plan members and the Commission are established and may be amended by the Board. The Commission's contributions to PERS for the years ending June 30, 2002, 2001, and 2000 were approximately \$39,300, \$18,700 and \$21,000, respectively, equal to 100% of the required contributions for each year.

Other Postemployment Benefits Provided Through PERS – In addition to the pension benefits described previously, PERS provides postretirement health care coverage to age and service retirees with 10 or more years of qualifying Ohio service credit. Health care coverage for disability recipients and primary survivor recipients is also available. The health care coverage provided by the retirement system is considered an Other Postemployment Benefit (OPEB) as described in GASB Statement No. 12. As required by state statute, a portion of each employer's contribution to PERS is set aside for the funding of postretirement health care. The Ohio Revised Code provides statutory authority for employer contributions. The contribution rate of 13.55% to the Plan for the calendar years ended June 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively, included a portion (4.3%) that was used to fund healthcare. The portion of the Commission's contributions that were used to fund other postemployment benefits were approximately \$12,500 and \$5,900 for the years ended June 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

#### **Summary of Assumptions:**

- Actuarial Review The assumptions and calculations below were based on the System's latest Actuarial Review performed as of December 31, 2000.
- Funding Method An entry age normal actuarial cost method of valuation is used in determining the present value of OPEB. The difference between assumed and actual experience (actuarial gains and losses) becomes part of unfunded actuarial accrued liability.
- Assets Valuation Method All investments are carried at market value. For actuarial valuation purposes, a smoothed market approach is used. Under this approach assets are adjusted annually to reflect 25% of the unrealized market appreciation or depreciation on investment assets

#### **DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN (CONTINUED)**

- Investment Return The investment assumption rate for 2000 was 7.75%.
- Active Employee Total Payroll An annual increase of 4.75% compounded annually, is the base portion of the individual pay increase assumption. This assumes no change in the number of active employees. Additionally, annual pay increases, over the above the 4.75% base increase, were assumed to range from 0.54% to 5.1%.
- Health Care Health care costs were assumed to increase 4.75% annually.

OPEB's are advanced funded on an actuarially determined basis. The number of active participating participants at December 31, 2001 was 411,076. \$11,735.9 million represents the actuarial value of the Retirement System's net assets available for OPEB at December 31, 2000 (the latest date information is available). The actuarially accrued liability and the unfunded actuarial accrued liability at December 31, 2000 (the latest date information is available), based on the actuarial cost method used, were \$14,364.6 million and \$2,628.7 million, respectively.

#### **CONTINGENCIES**

Federal and State Grants – Under the terms of the Commission's various grants, periodic audits are required where certain costs could be questioned as not being an eligible expenditure under the terms of the grant. At June 30, 2002, there were no significant questioned costs that had not been resolved with the applicable federal and state agencies. Questioned costs could still be identified during audits to be conducted in the future. Management of the Commission believes there will be no material adjustments to the grants and, accordingly, has not recorded a provision for possible repayments under the above grants.

The Commission receives a substantial amount of support from federal, state, and local governments. A significant reduction in the level of this support, if such were to occur, would have a material effect on the Commission's programs and activities.

In the normal course of its business activities, the Commission may become subject to claims and litigation relating to contracts, employment or other matters. In the opinion of management, the resolution of any such claims pending would not likely have a material impact on the Commission's financial position

#### RISK MANAGEMENT

The Commission is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts, theft of, damage to and destruction of assets, flood and earthquake, errors and omissions, employment matters, injuries to employees and employee theft and fraud.

The Commission participates in the Ohio Bureau of Workers' Compensation for workers compensation coverage and with the Ohio Department of Job and Family Services for unemployment coverage. The Commission continues to carry commercial insurance for all other risks of loss, including employee health and accident insurance. Settled claims resulting from these risks have not exceeded commercial insurance coverage in any of the past three years and there has been no significant reduction in insurance coverage from coverage in prior years.

# Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission SCHEDULE OF AGENCY MANAGEMENT EXPENDITURES – INDIRECT COSTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2002

	Allocation of Indirect Costs	Unallocated Agency <u>Management</u>	Total Agency <u>Management</u>
Personnel	\$ 69,427	\$ -	\$ 69,427
Fringe benefits	43,814	<u>-</u>	43,814
Travel	38	-	38
Rent	29,628	-	29,628
Audit and personal service contracts	9,597	-	9,597
Insurance	3,715	-	3,715
Telephone	4,976	-	4,976
Equipment costs	7,665	-	7,665
Supplies	7,127	-	7,127
Postage	3,263	-	3,263
Dues and publications	1,973	-	1,973
Advertising	463	-	463
Interest and penalties	422	-	422
Other	7,360	11,200	<u>18,560</u>
	<u>\$189,468</u>	<u>\$11,200</u>	\$200,668

#### **INDIRECT COST RATE COMPUTATION**

TOTAL INDIRECT COSTS
DIRECT PERSONNEL COSTS

<u>189,468</u> = 108.3832% <u>174,813</u>

#### Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission SCHEDULE OF FRINGE BENEFITS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2002

Salary benefits		\$ 35,659
Payroll benefits:		
Social security	\$ 4,608	
Unemployment insurance	892	
Workers compensation	<u>473</u>	5,973
Other benefits:		
Health insurance	68,297	
Ohio PERS	44,206	112,503
Total fringe benefits		<u>\$154,135</u>

#### **FRINGE BENEFIT RATE COMPUTATION**

TOTAL FRINGE BENEFITS
TOTAL PERSONNEL COSTS

\$154,135 = 63.1078%
\$244,241

# Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission SCHEDULE OF CONTRACT REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2002

	Ŗ	Revenue Recorded	orded				Expenditures	itures			
	Federal	State	Local	Total	Personnel	Fringe Benefits	Travel	Other	Total Direct	Indirect	Total
Federal Highway Administration Highway Planning and Research Ohio Department of Transportation FY02 WV Department of Transportation FY02	\$124,551 92,924	\$15,567	\$15,580 23,221	\$155,698 116,145	\$53,350 39,796	\$33,668	\$1,021 762	\$9,837 7,339	\$97,876 73,012	\$57,822 43,133	\$155,698 116,145
Onio Department of Transportation – Bridge Study FY02	30,850	1	1	30,850	ı	1	ı	30,850	30,850	1	30,850
w v Department of Transportation – Bridge Study FY02	30,850	ı	ı	30,850	ı	ı	ı	30,850	30,850	ı	30,850
Federal Transit Administration Transit Technical Studies: FTA Section 8 Pass Through-Ohio Dept. of TranspFY02 Pass Through-WV Dept. of TranspFY02	12,155	1,519	1,519 2,165	15,193	4,385	2,767	18	3,270	10,440 6,829	4,753	15,193 10,826
Rideshare Program Ohio Department of Transportation STP-2M99(8)	16,670	ı	1,852	18,522	5,650	3,566	160	3,022	12,398	6,124	18,522
Appalachian Regional Commission: Appalachian Local Development District Assistance 302(a)(1) Grant #WV-2436-01-C28-302 (1/00-12/01)	11,045	10,656	389	22,090	16,463	10,389	1,355	2,838	31,045	17,843	48,888
502(a)(1) Ordin #W V-2430-02-029-302 $(1/01-12/02)$	30,414	29,344	1,070	60,828	11,459	6,162	943	3,046	21,610	12,420	34,030
U.S. Department of Commerce – EDA	44,227	•	44,227	88,454	26,329	16,616	3,400	13,572	59,917	28,537	88,454

# Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission SCHEDULE OF CONTRACT REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES (CONTINUED) FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2002

1 4	Reve	ded	- F		Fringe	Expenditures	itures	Total	1	17
Federal	State	Local	Total	Personnel	Benefits	Travel	Other	Direct	Indirect	Total
18,092		ı	18,092	6,343	4,003	355	275	10,976	6,875	17,851
1		206	206	92	48	ı	ı	124	82	2
•	5,989	•	5,989	2,172	1,371	47	39	3,629	2,354	5,98
•	448	•	448	164	103	7	2	271	178	44
•	•	429	429	82	52	•	206	340	68	429
'	3,757	•	3,757	1,344	848	71	29	2,292	1,456	3,748
•	9,675	•	9,675	3,386	2,137	77	151	5,751	3,669	9,42(
1		9	9	ı	ı	9	ı	9	ı	
1				126	79	"	1	205	136	341
\$420,439	\$ 56 92\$	\$90,664	\$588,058	\$174,813	\$109,251	\$8,226	\$106,131	\$398,421	\$189,468	\$587,889

#### Brooke-Hancock- Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2002

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grant/Program Title Expenditures	CFDA <u>Number</u>	Pass-Through Grantor Number	Program Or Award Amount	-
U.S. Department of Transportation				
Federal Highway Administration Highway Planning and Construction Ohio Department of Transportation (FY02)	20.205	N/A	\$125,800	\$124,551
Ohio Department of Transportation – Bridge Study (FY02)		SPR(FY02)	125,000	30,850
Ohio Department of Transportation (Rideshare) – (FY02)		N/A	25,000	16,670
WV Department of Transportation (FY02)		N/A	90,117	92,924
WV Department of Transportation – Bridge Study (FY02) Subtotal – Highway Planning And Construction		WVPL(FY02)	125,000	<u>30,850</u> <u>295,845</u>
Federal Transit Administration Transit Technical Studies – FTA Section 8 Ohio Department of Transportation (FY02)	20.505	N/A	14,000	12,155
WV Department of Transportation (FY02) Subtotal – Transit Technical Studies – FTA Section 8		N/A	8,427	<u>8,661</u> <u>20,816</u>
Subtotal U.S. Department of Transportation				316,661
U.S. Department of Commerce Economic Development Administration				
Economic Adjustment Program	11.307	01-69-07521	62,000	44,227

#### Brooke-Hancock- Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS (CONTINUED) FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2002

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grant/Program Title Expenditures	CFDA <u>Number</u>	Pass-Through Grantor Number	Program Or Award Amount	
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development				
Passed Through Development Office/Community Development Division and the Grantee County or Municipality: Community Development Block Grants	14.228			
Hancock County Commission/New Cumberland Heights/Johnsonville Road Sewer Line		N/A	50,000	17,851
Appalachian Regional Commission				
Appalachian Local Development District Assistance 302(a)(1) Grant#WV-2436-01-C28-302 (01/01 – 12/01)	23.009	WV-2436-01 C28-302	44,040	26,869
302(a)(1) Grant#WV-2436-02-C29-302 (01/02 – 12/02)		WV-2436-02 C29-302	45,733	10,911
Subtotal Appalachian Regional Commission	l			37,780
Total Federal Financial Expenditures				<u>\$416,519</u>

#### Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission NOTE TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2002

#### **BASIS OF PRESENTATION**

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (the "Schedule") reflects the expenditures of the Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission programs financed by the U.S. Government for the year ended June 30, 2002. The Schedule has been prepared in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations, using the modified accrual basis of accounting in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

## REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To The Executive Committee Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission

We have audited the general purpose financial statements of Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission as of and for the year ended June 30, 2002, and, have issued our report thereon dated December 30, 2002. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

#### Compliance

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission's general purpose financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

#### Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses

Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission Page 2

This report is intended for the information and use of the executive committee, management and federal awarding agencies, pass-through entities, and the auditor of the State of Ohio and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

S.R. Snodgrass, A.C.

Steubenville, Ohio December 30, 2002

## REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133

To The Executive Committee Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission

#### **Compliance**

We have audited the compliance of Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission with the types of compliance requirements described in the U. S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2002. Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission's major federal program is identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to its major federal program is the responsibility of Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures, as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission's compliance with those requirements.

Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission Page 2

In our opinion, Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to its major federal program for the year ended June 30, 2002.

#### **Internal Control Over Compliance**

The management of Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133.

Our consideration of the internal control over compliance would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that noncompliance with applicable requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants that would be material in relation to a major federal program being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over compliance and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

This report is intended for the information and use of the executive committee, management and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

S.R. Snodgrass, A.C.

Steubenville, Ohio December 30, 2002

#### Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission SUMMARY OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2002

None



88 East Broad Street P.O. Box 1140 Columbus, Ohio 43216-1140

Telephone 614-466-4514

800-282-0370

Facsimile 614-466-4490

## BROOKE-HANCOCK-JEFFERSON METROPOLITAN PLANNING COMMISSION JEFFERSON COUNTY

#### **CLERK'S CERTIFICATION**

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

**CLERK OF THE BUREAU** 

Susan Babbitt

CERTIFIED MARCH 25, 2003