# MIAMI VALLEY REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION

# **General Purpose Financial Statements**

June 30, 2002

with

Independent Auditors' Report



Auditor of State Betty Montgomery 88 East Broad Street P. O. Box 1140 Columbus, Ohio 43216-1140 Telephone 614-466-4514 800-282-0370 Facsimile 614-466-4490 www.auditor.state.oh.us

Members and Board of Directors Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

We have reviewed the Independent Auditor's Report of the Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission, Montgomery County, prepared by Clark, Schaefer, Hackett & Co. for the audit period July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002. Based upon this review, we have accepted these reports in lieu of the audit required by Section 117.11, Revised Code. The Auditor of State did not audit the accompanying financial statements and, accordingly, we are unable to express, and do not express an opinion on them.

Our review was made in reference to the applicable sections of legislative criteria, as reflected by the Ohio Constitution, and the Revised Code, policies, procedures and guidelines of the Auditor of State, regulations and grant requirements. The Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission is responsible for compliance with these laws and regulations.

Betty Montgomery

BETTY MONTGOMERY Auditor of State

January 22, 2003

This Page is Intentionally Left Blank.

# MIAMI VALLEY REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION Table of Contents Year Ended June 30, 2002

Exhibit

Independent Auditors' Report	
General Purpose Financial Statements:	
Combined Balance Sheet - All Governmental Fund Types and Account Groups	A – 1
Combined Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances – All Governmental Fund Types	A – 2
Combined Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances – Budget and Actual – All Governmental Fund Types	A – 3
Notes to the General Purpose Financial Statements	
Additional Information:	
Combining Financial Statements:	
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance – Special Revenue Fund Summary by Program	В
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance – Special Revenue Fund – Transportation Program Summary	C – 1
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance – Special Revenue Fund – Water Quality Program Summary	
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance – Special Revenue Fund – Criminal Justice Program Summary	C – 3
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance – Special Revenue Fund – Community Services Program Summary	C – 4
General Fixed Assets Account Group Statements:	
Statement of General Fixed Assets	D – 1
Statement of Changes in General Fixed Assets	D – 2

This Page is Intentionally Left Blank.

# MIAMI VALLEY REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION Table of Contents Year Ended June 30, 2002 (Continued)

	<u>Exhibit</u>
Miscellaneous Information:	
Schedule of Fringe Benefit Cost Pool Charges, Rate Base, Final Rate Computation and Current Year's Recovery Comparison	E – 1
Schedule of Indirect Cost Pool Charges, Rate Base, Final Rate Computation and Current Year's Recovery Comparison	E – 2
Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures by Program	F
Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures Federal Transit Administration and Federal Highway Administration FY 2002 Planning Work Elements	G
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance and on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	H
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133	1
Schedule of Federal Awards Expenditures	J
Notes to the Schedule of Federal Awards Expenditures	K
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	1. Sec.
Commission Membership	M
Board of Directors	N
Schedule of Insurance, Legal Counsel and Key Personnel	0

# GENERAL PURPOSE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS



BUSINESS CONSULTANTS

### Independent Auditors' Report

To the Members and Board of Directors Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

We have audited the accompanying general purpose financial statements of Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2002, as listed in the table of contents. These general purpose financial statements are the responsibility of Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the general purpose financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the general purpose financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission, as of June 30, 2002, and the results of its operations for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated September 19, 2002 on our consideration of the Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grants. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be read in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming an opinion on the general purpose financial statements of the Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission, taken as a whole. The accompanying schedules included in Exhibits B, C, D, E, F and G are presented for purposes of additional analysis only. The accompanying schedule of federal awards expenditures is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations,* and is not a required part of the general purpose financial statements.

Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the general purpose financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the general-purpose financial statements taken as a whole.

Clark, Scharfer, Alackett v Ca Springfield, Ohio

September 19, 2002

# Combined Balance Sheet - All Governmental Fund Types and Account Groups

# As of June 30, 2002, with Comparative Totals for 2001

		Governmental	Fund	Types		Account	t Group	)S				• *
		General	·	Special Revenue		ieneral Fixed	Ge	eneral g Term		To (Memora)	otals ndum	Only)
an a	<del></del>	Fund		Fund		Assets	Obli	gations	_	2002		2001
ASSETS and OTHER DEBITS												
Cash	\$	1,855,493	\$	86,918	\$		\$	-	\$	1,942,411	\$	1,657,399
Accounts Receivable		2,919		-		-		-		2,919 540,797		521 847,529
Grants Receivable		23,186		517,611		· -		-		540,797		830,440
Due From Special Revenue Fund		517,611		-		-		-		7,529		6,455
Prepaid Expenses		7,529		-		- 665,571		_		665,571		632,039
Fixed Assets Amount to be Provided		-				-	2	283,132		283,132		268,562
	_	0 100 700		604 500	¢	665,571		283,132	\$	3,959,970	\$	4,242,945
Total Assets and Other Debits		2,406,738		604,529	<u> </u>	005,571	<u> </u>	00,102	· <u> </u>	0,000,010	<u> </u>	1,2 12,0 10
•						÷						
LIABILITIES												
Accounts Payable	\$	319,326	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	319,326	\$	420,085
Accrued Personnel Costs		134,657		•			2	283,132		417,789	•	413,190
Due to General Fund		-		517,611		-		. · •		517,611		830,440
Deferred Revenues		227,869		86,918				-		314,787	<del></del>	197,591
Total Liabilities		681,852		604,529		<u> </u>	2	283,132		1,569,513		1,861,306
EQUITY and OTHER CREDITS				1.1								000 000
Investment in General Fixed Assets		-		-		665,571		-		665,571		632,039
Designated For:												
Future Year's Operation		213,849				- '		-		213,849		211,926
Carryover Grant Matching		25,557		-		-				25,557		30,649
Unreserved/Undesignated		1,485,480	_	• •						1,485,480	·	1,507,025
Total Fund Balance		1,724,886		-		665,571			<b></b>	2,390,457		2,381,639
								.—				
Total Liabilities, Equity		-										
and Other Credits	\$	2,406,738	\$	604,529	_\$	665,571	\$ 2	283,132		3,959,970	\$	4,242,945
							-			1		

# Combined Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances - All Governmental Fund Types

Year Ended June 30, 2002, with Comparative Totals for 2001

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Governmenta	al Fund Types				
	<u></u>	Special	Totals			
	General	Revenue	(Memorandu			
	Fund	Fund	2002	2001		
Revenues:	•					
Grantor Agency	\$ 70,930	\$ 2,950,065	\$ 3,020,995	\$ 4,097,731		
Other	25,254	106,615	131,869	134,570		
Membership Dues and Assessments	427,700	<b>.</b>	427,700	423,851		
Total Revenues	523,884	3,056,680	3,580,564	4,656,152		
Expenditures:						
Personnel	1,119,992	876,306	1,996,298	2,030,761		
Contractual	56,541	1,458,538	1,515,079	2,329,698		
Other	225,041	313,319	538,360	659,057		
Indirect Costs	133,137	572,753	705,890	676,106		
Capital Outlays	48,524	-	48,524	37,115		
Total Expenditures	1,583,235	3,220,916	4,804,151	5,732,737		
Excess of Revenues Under Expenditures	(1,059,351)	(164,236)	(1,223,587)	(1,076,585)		
Other Financing Sources (Uses):				jen server		
Operating Transfers-In	-	164,236	164,236	172,786		
Operating Transfers-Out	(164,236)	-	(164,236)	(172,786)		
Cost Allocation Plan Recoveries	1,198,873	<b>_</b>	1,198,873	1,158,234		
Total Other Financing Sources	1,034,637	164,236	1,198,873	1,158,234		
Excess of Revenues and Other Sources						
Under Expenditures and Other Uses	(24,714)	, –	(24,714)	81,649		
Fund Balance, July 1	1,749,600		1,749,600	1,667,951		
Fund Balance, June 30	\$ 1,724,886	<u> </u>	\$ 1,724,886	\$ 1,749,600		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

See Accompanying Notes

Exhibit A-2

# Combined Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances -Budget and Actual - All Governmental Fund Types

Year Ended June 30, 2002

	General Fund			Special Revenue Fund			
	Budget	Actual	Variance Favorable (Unfavorable)	Budget	Actual	Variance Favorable (Unfavorable)	
Revenues:		·					
Grantor Agency	\$ 67,908	\$ 70,930	\$ 3,022	\$ 4,169,686	\$ 2,950,065	\$ (1,219,621)	
Other	62,280	25,254	(37,026)	122,515	106,615	(15,900)	
Membership Dues and Assessments	425,983	427,700	1,717		·		
Total Revenues	556,171	523,884	(32,287)	4,292,201	3,056,680	(1,235,521)	
						•	
Expenditures:	4 000 050	1,119,992	103,958	1,040,537	876,306	164,231	
Personnel	1,223,950 76,400	56,541	19,859	2,319,277	1,458,538	860,739	
Contractual	332,890	225,041	107,849	466,153	313,319	152,834	
Other	332,890 144,906	133,137	11,769	645,133	572,753	72,380	
Indirect Costs	144,900	48,524	96,476	-	-	-	
Capital Outlays		· · ·				4 050 404	
Total Expenditures	1,923,146	1,583,235	339,911	4,471,100	3,220,916	1,250,184	
Excess of Revnues Under Expenditures	(1,366,975)	(1,059,351)	307,624	(178,899)	(164,236)	14,663	
Other Financing Sources (Uses):						•	
Operating Transfers-In	-	<b>-</b> -	. –	178,899	164,236	(14,663)	
Operating Transfers-Out	(178,899)	(164,236)	14,663		- · · ·	• * •	
Cost Allocation Plan Recoveries	1,336,229	1,198,873	(137,356)		<u> </u>		
Total Other Financing Sources	1,157,330	1,034,637	(122,693)	178,899	164,236	(14,663)	
Excess of Revenues and Other Sources							
Under Expenditures and Other Uses	(209,645)	(24,714)	184,931	•	-		
Fund Balance, July 1, 2001	1,749,600	1,749,600		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
Fund Balance, June 30, 2002	\$ 1,539,955	\$ 1,724,886	\$ 184,931	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$</u>	

See Accompanying Notes

Exhibit A-3

# NOTES TO THE GENERAL PURPOSE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### Notes to the General Purpose Financial Statements June 30, 2002

### NOTE 1 ORGANIZATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### **Organization**

The Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission (MVRPC) was created in 1964 by authority granted under the Ohio Revised Code. MVRPC is a regional planning agency composed of representatives from 51 political subdivisions and 14 non-governmental entities in Montgomery, Greene, Miami, Darke, and Preble Counties in Ohio. MVRPC monitors and performs planning activities affecting present and future transportation, environmental, social, economic, physical and governmental characteristics of the region.

By an agreement between MVRPC and the State of Ohio, the Transportation Coordinating Committee (TCC) of the Montgomery-Greene County Transportation and Development Planning Program was merged with MVRPC on July 1, 1982. By this same agreement, MVRPC was designated by the State as a Metropolitan Planning Organization, with responsibility for implementing a coordinated, continuing, comprehensive transportation planning process for Montgomery and Greene Counties. This agreement was modified on September 23, 1992 to include Miami County.

On June 27, 1984, MVRPC adopted a strategic plan that prescribed the future direction the Commission would pursue, functionally and organizationally. On October 24, 1984, amendments to the Constitution and Bylaws were approved which allowed many of the strategic plan's recommendations to be implemented. The primary changes included a new mission statement, expansion of the Commission to include up to 25% non-governmental members, and the creation of a Board of Directors.

A summary of the significant accounting policies consistently applied in the preparation of the accompanying general purpose financial statements follows:

#### Basis of Accounting

MVRPC uses the following fund types and account groups:

#### **Governmental Funds:**

General Fund - accounts for all revenues and expenditures except for those required to be accounted for in other funds.

Special Revenue Fund - accounts for grant and contract revenues that are legally restricted to expenditures for specified purposes.

#### Account Groups:

General Fixed Assets Account Group - accounts for general fixed assets of MVRPC. Capital assets are treated as expenditures in both the general and special revenue funds and are accounted for at cost in the General Fixed Asset account group. No depreciation is recorded for financial reporting purposes. This Account Group is not a "fund" in the sense that it does not measure the results of operations, but rather serves as a custodial account for the fixed assets of MVRPC.

General Long Term Obligations Group - accounts for all unmatured long term liabilities of MVRPC. This group consists solely of long term liabilities for compensated absences

The governmental funds are accounted for on the modified accrual basis of accounting and "flow of current financial resources" measurement focus. Under the modified accrual basis, revenues for these funds are recognized when they become both measurable and available to finance operations. In applying this concept, primary revenue sources accrued at year end include membership fees and intergovernmental revenues. Under the modified accrual basis, expenditures are recognized in the accounting period in which the related fund liability is incurred, if measurable.

#### **Basis of Reporting**

The pyramid approach to governmental financial reporting is used. Under MVRPC's adaptation of this approach, combined overview financial statements are used to present data separately for the general fund, the special revenue fund, the general fixed asset account group and the general long term obligations account group. Combining financial statements are presented for the grants included in the special revenue fund. Supplemental information is also reported to provide grantor agencies with information necessary for them to determine compliance with the financial portions of the grant agreements.

### Notes to the General Purpose Financial Statements June 30, 2002

#### NOTE 1 ORGANIZATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (cont'd)

#### Revenues

General fund revenues are determined by contractual agreements with member political subdivisions represented by MVRPC. Member jurisdictions of MVRPC pay an annual membership fee based on the latest official census or federal revenue sharing population estimates. For 2002 the assessment was as follows:

Member Type	Regional <u>Planning</u> <u>T</u>	Transportation		
Counties - Total population - Non-member unincorporated areas	\$ 0.06/capita 0.06/capita	n/a \$ 0.21/capita		
Municipalities and Townships	\$ 0.25/capita	\$ 0.21/capita		
Quasi and Non-governmental bodies	\$ 500/annual	n/a		

The total revenue generated from member fees and assessments for MVRPC and for the Transportation Committee was \$256,964 and \$170,736, respectively.

#### Special Revenue Fund

Grant revenue is recognized when compliance with the various grant requirements is achieved. Generally this occurs at the time expenditures are made and the grant matching requirements are met. Grant revenues received before the revenue recognition criteria have been met are reported as deferred revenues, a liability account. When the revenue recognition criteria have been met, grant revenues not yet received are reported as grants receivable, if the amounts have been billed to grantor agencies, or as earned not billed, if amounts are unbilled.

#### Carry-over Grants and Contracts

Several grants continued after June 30, 2002. The amounts available for completing grant objectives for these grant programs are summarized below by funding type.

Туре	Amount
Federal Grants	\$ 1,251,983
Other Grants and Contracts	236,646

#### Fringe Benefits

Accumulated unpaid vacation pay is accrued in the general fund and reported as accrued employee compensation. Accumulated unpaid sick leave is accrued and recorded in the general long term obligations account group. Sick leave days may be converted to pay upon retirement at the rate of 4 to 1, up to a maximum of thirty (30) days. As of June 30, 2002 there were two employees eligible to retire. The current cost of their sick leave conversion to retirement pay has been accrued and reported in the general fund.

As discussed in note 3, fringe benefit expenditures are recovered by applying a provisional rate to all programs.

#### Indirect Costs

MVRPC uses an indirect cost rate to recover administrative expenditures. The 2002 indirect costs were billed at a provisional, of 62% of direct labor dollars, including fringe benefits.

### Notes to the General Purpose Financial Statements June 30, 2002

#### NOTE 1 ORGANIZATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (cont'd)

#### Comparative Data

Comparative total data for the prior year has been presented in the accompanying general purpose financial statements in order to provide an understanding of overall changes in the Commission's financial position and operations. However, comparative data (i.e., presentation of prior year totals by fund type) have not been presented in the general purpose financial statements, since their inclusion would make the statements unduly complex and difficult to read.

#### Total Columns on Combined Overview Statements

The "Memorandum Only" captions on the combined level total columns indicate that the information is presented only as an aid in financial analysis and do not present financial position or results of operations in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The information is not comparable to a consolidation.

#### **Designated Fund Balance**

Fund Balance is designated for Carryover Grant Matching and Future Year's Operation. The amount designated for Carryover Grant Matching represents the local contribution that is required to be made for grants that extend beyond the end of the fiscal year. The amount designated for Future Year's Operation represents 50% of the current membership dues. This amount is designated because membership period is based upon the calendar year and 100% of the dues revenue is recognized during the current fiscal year.

#### Budgets

Budgets for the general and special revenue fund are prepared annually by the staff and approved by the Commission. Budgets are reviewed on an ongoing basis and amendments are proposed as necessary. The amendments are approved by the Board of Directors.

#### Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### NOTE 2 LEASE COMMITMENTS

MVRPC entered into a noncancellable operating lease agreement for office space effective January 1, 2000 through December 31, 2004 and various office equipment leases that run through FY 2007. The future minimum rental commitments on the noncancellable lease as of June 30, 2002 is as follows:

Fiscal Year Ended	Office Space	Equipment
2003	\$106,936	\$18,455
2004	109,366	18,455
2005	55,290	18,455
2006	0	17,570
2007	0	11,133

Total rental expense for the year ended June 30, 2002, was \$118,772.

# Notes to the General Purpose Financial Statements June 30, 2002

### NOTE 3 COST ALLOCATION PLAN

A cost allocation plan is prepared annually by MVRPC. The plan, which includes fringe benefit and indirect costs, is used for the purpose of determining allocation rates and is prepared in accordance with the provisions of Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-87 and the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services' Circular OASC-10. The plan is submitted to the oversite grantor agency, the Federal Highway Administration through the Ohio Department of Transportation, for approval and authorization of negotiated allocation rates, which are used for billing purposes during the fiscal year. The Ohio Department of Transportation has agreed to let MVRPC adjust its provisional rates to the actual experienced rates prior to final billing. These adjusted provisional rates are subject to audit at the end of each fiscal year, when actual rates are determined and submitted to the oversite agency for approval. If the actual rates are less than the adjusted provisional rates, MVRPC must refund any over-billed amounts to the various grantor agencies. Conversely, MVRPC may recover under-billed amounts when unapplied funds remain from the various grantor agencies. Adjustments as a result of a change in the rates are recognized for financial reporting purposes when determined.

Following are summaries of the accounting treatment and rate experience for fringe benefit and indirect cost for 2002

#### **Fringe Benefits**

Fringe benefit costs are recorded in the general fund and allocated to the special revenue funds in accordance with the approved cost allocation plan, based upon a provisional rate approved by the oversite grantor agency. The 2002 fringe benefit costs were allocated at a provisional rate of 47% of productive direct and indirect labor dollars. The actual fringe benefit cost rate was 49.27%. Per the agreement with ODOT, the provisional rate was adjusted to actual and the adjustment is reflected in the financial statements.

#### Indirect Costs

Administrative costs are recorded in the general fund as indirect costs and allocated to the special revenue funds in accordance with the approved cost allocation plan, based upon a provisional rate approved by the oversite grantor agency. The 2002 indirect costs were allocated at a provisional rate of 62% of direct labor dollars, including fringe benefits. The actual indirect cost rate was 65.36%. Per the agreement with ODOT, the provisional rate was adjusted to actual and the adjustment is reflected in the financial statements.

#### **NOTE 4 CONTINGENCIES**

The use of direct federal grant funds and state administered federal grant funds is subject to review and audit by the grantor agencies. Such audits could lead to request for reimbursement to the grantor agency for expenditures disallowed under the terms of the grant. Based upon prior experience, management believes that MVRPC will not incur significant losses, if any, on possible grant disallowance.

# NOTE 5 INTERFUND RECEIVABLES AND PAYABLES

As of June 30, 2002 there was an Interfund Receivable of \$517,611 in the General Fund and an Interfund Payable of \$517,611 in the Special Revenue Fund.

#### NOTE 6 CASH AND INVESTMENTS

#### Pooled Cash

As required by Ohio Revised Code, the Montgomery County Auditor acts as the fiscal agent for the Commission and the cash is held and invested by the Montgomery County Treasurer. The Commission's assets are held in the County's cash and investment pool. At year-end, the reconciled carrying amount on the County Auditor's records for the Commission's cash balance was \$1,945,411.

### Notes to the General Purpose Financial Statements June 30, 2002

### NOTE 7 DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLANS

All of the Commission's full-time employees participate in a cost sharing, multiple employer defined benefit pension plan.

#### Public Employees Retirement System (the "PERS of Ohio")

The following information was provided by the PERS of Ohio to assist the Commission in complying with GASB Statement No. 27, "Accounting for Pensions by State and Local Government Employers."

All employees of the Commission, participate in the PERS of Ohio, a cost sharing, multiple employer defined benefit pension plan. The PERS of Ohio provides retirement and disability benefits, annual cost-of-living adjustments and death benefits to plan members and beneficiaries. Chapter 145 of the Ohio Revised Code provides statutory authority to establish and amend benefits. The Public Employees Retirement System of Ohio issues a stand-alone financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for the PERS of Ohio. Interested parties may obtain a copy by making a written request to 277 East Town Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215-4642 or by calling (614) 466-2085 or 1-800-222-7377.

The Ohio Revised Code provides statutory authority for employee and employer contributions. Plan members are required to contribute 8.5% of their annual covered salary and the Commission's contribution rate for 2002 was 13.55% of covered payroll. The Commission's required contributions for the periods ended June 30, 2002, 2001, and 2000 were \$158,212, \$133,490 and \$160,413, respectively. All required contributions were made at fiscal year end.

#### NOTE 8 OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS

In addition to the pension benefits described previously, PERS provides post-retirement health care coverage commonly referred to as OPEB (other post-employment benefits). For this system, the Ohio Revised Code provides the authority for public employers to fund post-retirement health care through their contributions.

The PERS of Ohio provides post employment health care benefits to age and service retirees with ten or more years of qualifying Ohio service credit and to primary survivor recipients of such retirees. Health care coverage for disability recipients is also available. A portion of each employer's contribution to the PERS of Ohio is set aside for the funding of post retirement health care. The Ohio Revised Code provides statutory authority requiring public employers to fund post employment health care through their contributions to the PERS of Ohio. For local government employer units the rate was 13.55% of covered payroll; 4.30% was the portion that was used to fund health care for the year.

The Ohio Revised Code provides the statutory authority requiring public employers to fund postretirement health care through their contributions to PERS.

#### Summary of Assumptions:

Actuarial Review: The following assumptions and calculations were based on the System's latest Actuarial Review performed as of December 31, 2000.

**Funding Method:** An entry age normal actuarial cost method of valuation is used in determining the present value of OPEB. The difference between assumed and actual experience (actuarial gains and losses) becomes part of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability.

Assets Valuation Method: All investments are carried at market value. For actuarial valuation purposes, a smoothed market approach is used. Under this approach, assets are adjusted annually to reflect 25% of unrealized market appreciation or depreciation on investment assets.

Notes to the General Purpose Financial Statements June 30, 2002

#### NOTE 8 OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS cont'd

Investment Return: The investment assumption rate for 2000 was 7.75%.

Active Employee Total Payroll: An annual increase of 4.75% compounded annually, is the base portion of the individual pay increase assumption. This assumes no change in the number of active employees. Additionally, annual pay increases, over and above the 4.75% base increase, were assumed to range from 0.54% to 5.1%.

Health Care: Health care costs were assumed to increase 4.75% annually.

The OPEB's are advance-funded on an actuarially determined basis. The number of active contributing participants was 411,076. The portion of MVRPC's contributions that were used to fund post employment benefits was \$50,207. The actuarial value of the Retirement System's net assets available for OPEB at December 31, 2000 was \$11,735.9 million. The actuarially accrued liability and the unfunded actuarial accrued liability, based on the actuarial cost method used, were \$14,364.6 million and \$2,628.7 million, respectively.

#### NOTE 9 GENERAL FIXED ASSETS

	Balances at 7/1/01	Additions	Deletions	Balances at 6/30/02
Furniture and Fixtures	\$ 121,828	• •	يو موري وي در در در در در در در مرد و در	\$ 121,828
Equipment	395,044	48,524	14,992	428,576
Leasehold Improvements	115,167		-	115,167
Total	\$ 632,039	48,524	14,992	\$ 665,571

### NOTE 10 LONG TERM OBLIGATIONS

MVRPC records the potential liability for the conversion of accrued sick leave that would be paid out to eligible retirees within the next 12 months of year end. The following is a summary of long-term obligations for the year ended June 30, 2002:

Amount accrued at June 30, 2001	\$ 268,562
FY 2002 amount	<u>    14,570</u>
	<b>\$ 000 400</b>
Amount accrued at June 30, 2002	<u>\$ 283,132</u>

The above long-term obligations represent liabilities of the general long-term obligations account group.

## Notes to the General Purpose Financial Statements June 30, 2002

# NOTE 11 PROPERTY AND INSURANCE

The Commission is exposed to various risk of loss related to torts, theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets, errors and omissions, injuries to employees, and natural disasters. During fiscal year 2002, the Commission contracted with The Hartford Insurance Company and Cincinnati Intermediaries for the following insurance coverage:

Business personal property	\$ 615,000
Computer equipment	200,000
Comprehensive general liability	1,000,000
Valuable papers	250,000
Business auto coverage	1,000,000
Public officials & employee liability	1,000,000

Settled claims have not exceeded this commercial coverage in any of the past three years, and there has been no significant reduction in coverage from the past fiscal year.

# **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

.

# COMBINING FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

# Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Special Revenue Fund Summary by Program

	Transportation Programs	Water Quality Programs	Criminal Justice Programs	Community Services Programs	Total Special Revenue
	Exhibit C-1	Exhibit C-2	Exhibit C-3	Exhibit C-4	Fund
Revenues:					
Grantor Agency	\$ 1,449,700	\$ 121,005	\$ 1,202,692	\$ 176,668	\$ 2,950,065
Other	106,615	-	•		106,615
Total Revenues	1,556,315	121,005	1,202,692	176,668	3,056,680
				· · ·	
Expenditures:					•
Personnel	761,576	63,970	50,760	-	876,306
Contractual	98,267	48,207	1,135,396	176,668	1,458,538
Other	310,338	2,147	834	-	313,319
Indirect Costs	497,766	41,810	33,177		572,753
Total Expenditures	1,667,947	156,134	1,220,167	176,668	3,220,916
•	<u></u>				
Excess of Revenues					(101.000)
Under Expenditures	(111,632)	(35,129)	(17,475)	-	(164,236)
Other Financing Sources (Uses):					404.000
Operating Transfers-In	111,632	35,129	17,475		164,236
				•	
:				· .	
Fund Balance - July 1, 2001			·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			<b>^</b>	¢ ·	¢ _
Fund Balance - June 30, 2002	<u> </u>	<u>\$</u>		Ψ	<u> </u>

# Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Special Revenue Fund Transportation Program Summary

		Federal Ohio De	•	an a					
	Consolidated Transportation Planning							ITS Nutter	
		FY 2002 FY 20		Y 2001	2001 Rides			Center	
Revenues:				•					
Grantor Agency	\$	785,731	\$	67,209	\$	198,277	\$	34,758	
Other		98,214		8,401			<u> </u>	<b>-</b> .	
Total Revenues		883,945		75,610		198,277	<u></u>	34,758	
Expenditures:									
Personnel		556,634		44,365		57,357		· –	
Contractual		30,859		12,198		4,170		34,758	
Other		30,852		1,035		99,262			
Indirect Costs		363,816		28,997		37,488		-	
Total Expenditures		982,161		86,595		198,277		34,758	
		:						• • • • • •	
Excess of Revenues Under Expenditures	<del></del> .	(98,216)		(10,985)				<u> </u>	
Other Financing Sources (Uses):			•					· .	
Operating Transfers-In	·	98,216		10,985		-		-	
Fund Balance - July 1, 2001		- 	24 	-			<u> </u>		
Fund Balance - June 30, 2002	<u></u>		\$	-	\$	-	\$	·	

# Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Special Revenue Fund Transportation Program Summary

			hway Admini Iment of Tran								
	 Onic	75 Bridge	170/75								
· · ·	-		ONE Action P				econst PR		Interchange		
	 CY 2002	C	Y 2001	<u></u> C)	2000	·	CY 2001	PR	Outreach		
			•								
Revenues:											
Grantor Agency	\$ 115,321	\$	127,898	\$	979	\$	15,603	\$ .	10,386		
Other	 		<u> </u>				· -				
Total Revenues	115,321		127,898		979		15,603		10,386		
								· •			
Expenditures:											
Personnel	24,287		29,138	1.1	627		-		-		
Contractual	-		5,000		<b>-</b> '				-		
Other	75,159		76,415		-		15,603		10,386		
Indirect Costs	 15,875		19,044		409		-	· · ·			
Total Expenditures	 115,321		129,597	. <u></u>	1,036		15,603		10,386		
					•			• •			
Excess of Revenues Under Expenditures			(1,699)		(57)						
	 <u> </u>										
Other Financing Sources (Uses):				· · .							
Operating Transfers-In	-		1,699		57		<b>-</b> ·		en -		
Fund Balance - July 1, 2001	 		-								
Fund Balance - June 30, 2002	\$ 	<b>\$</b>	-	\$			-	\$	-		

## Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Special Revenue Fund Transportation Program Summary

	North South				Nat'l I	t of Interior Park Service on Avaition		
	Transportatio			Heritage Park Transportation				
Revenues:								· · ·
Grantor Agency	\$ 64,07	8	\$	1,121	\$	28,339	\$	1,449,700
Other				-		<b>-</b>	·	106,615
Total Revenues	64,07	8		1,121	 	28,339		1,556,315
Expenditures:								
Personnel	37,84	3		619		10,706		761,576
Contractual	• •			-		11,282		98,267
Other	1,50	1		97		28		310,338
Indirect Costs	24,73	4		405		6,998	·	497,766
Total Expenditures	64,07	8		1,121		29,014		1,667,947
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•							
Excess of Revenues Under Expenditures	<u> </u>				 	(675)	· . <del></del>	(111,632)
						-		
Other Financing Sources (Uses): Operating Transfers-In	•			-		675		111,632
Fund Balance - July 1, 2001		· *						
Fund Balance - June 30, 2002	<u>\$ -</u>		\$	_		-	\$	

## Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Special Revenue Fund Water Quality Program Summary

### Year Ended June 30, 2002

	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency					General		
	and the second se	hio Environmental Protection Agency				nue Grant	•	
		Quality Mgmt		EMPACT		r Quality	Total	
	FY20	002 604(b)	R 82	27091-01-0	Plannin	ng Support	TOTAL	
							••	
Revenues:								
Grantor Agency	\$	50,426	\$	58,589	\$	11,990	121,005	
Other		-					-	
Total Revenues		50,426		58,589		11,990	121,005	
					,			
Expenditures:								
Personnel		50,609		5,889		7,472	63,970	
Contractual		· · · ·		48,207		-	48,207	
Other	·	1,368		645		134	2,147	
Indirect Costs		33,078		3,848		4,884	41,810	
Total Expenditures		85,055	·	58,589		12,490	156,134	
			·			-		
Excess of Revenues Under Expenditures	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(34,629)		-		(500)	(35,129)	
Other Financing Sources (Uses):				n All an an Arthr	4. <sup>1</sup>			
Operating Transfers-In		34,629	÷.,	-		500	35,129	
Operating managers in								
Fund Balance - July 1, 2001		<u> </u>				-		
Fund Balance - June 30, 2002	\$		\$	-	\$	-	<u> </u>	

Exhibit C-2

# Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Special Revenue Fund Criminal Justice Program Summary

Year Ended June 30, 2002

	U.S. Department of Justice/ Ohio Office of Criminal Justice Services									
	Anti-Narcotics Administrative			Anti-NarcoticsBlock Grants						
		00-DG-	-	FFY 99	FFY 00					
	ADM-7525 0997				0907					
Revenues:										
Grantor Agency	\$	31,657	\$	57,582	\$	604,574				
Other		-								
Total Revenues		31,657	· ·	57,582		604,574				
Expenditures:		·								
Personnel	÷	26,161		-		-				
Contractual		-		57,582		604,574				
Other		481		-		·				
Indirect Costs		17,099		-						
Total Expenditures		43,741		57,582		604,574				
				••••••	• •	····				
Excess of Revenues Under Expenditures		(12,084)			- -					
Other Financing Sources (Uses): Operating Transfers-In		12,084		<b>-</b> .		•				
Fund Balance - July 1, 2001						<u> </u>				
Fund Balance - June 30, 2002	\$		\$		\$					
		:								

Exhibit C-3

# Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Special Revenue Fund Criminal Justice Program Summary

	U.S. Department of Justice/ Ohio Office of Criminal Justice Services								
	Juvenile Adminis		nile Justice B	Block Grants					
	00	)-JB	FF	Y 2000	FFY 99				
	-ADM-228		00-JB-R	PU-0900	Title V 0996				
Revenues:									
Grantor Agency	\$	18,226	\$	210,481	\$	30,631			
Other		-		· -		-			
Total Revenues		18,226		210,481		30,631			
Expenditures:									
Personnel		12,680		•		-			
Contractual		-		210,482		30,631			
Other		25		-		-			
Indirect Costs		8,288		-		-			
Total Expenditures		20,993	<u> </u>	210,482		30,631			
			<u></u>						
Excess of Revenues Under Expenditures	<u></u>	(2,767)		(1)					
Other Financing Sources (Uses):		0 767		4	· •				
Operating Transfers-In		2,767				-			
Fund Balance - July 1, 2001		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		- ·			
Fund Balance - June 30, 2002	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			

# Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Special Revenue Fund Criminal Justice Program Summary

Year Ended June 30, 2002

				S. Departme						
		0	hio Off	ice of Crimi	nal Justi	ce Service	S			
	Stop Violence Against Women Administrative Block Grants								•	
•	C	and the second		99-WF		FY 99		FFY 00		
		W-8901	VA	W-8901	(	0998		0908		Total
Revenues:										
Grantor Agency	\$	6,370	\$	11,044	\$	2,540	. \$	229,587	\$	1,202,692
Other		-		-						<u> </u>
Total Revenues		6,370		11,044		2,540		229,587		1,202,692
Expenditures:							• •			
Personnel		3,956		7,963		-				50,760
Contractual		_				2,540		229,587		1,135,396
Other	•	92		236		· -		-		834
Indirect Costs		2,585		5,205		-				33,177
Total Expenditures		6,633		13,404		2,540		229,587		1,220,167
•										
Excess of Revenues Under Expenditures		(263)		(2,360)				-		(17,475)
Other Financing Sources (Uses):										Maria da Au
Operating Transfers-In		263		2,360				-	•	17,475
Fund Balance - July 1, 2001		-		·						
Fund Balance - June 30, 2002	\$	-	\$		\$		\$	-	\$	-

# Exhibit C-3 (cont'd)

# Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Special Revenue Fund Community Services Program Summary

		Montgomery County Dr <u>tho-Photograp</u> hy			Total		
		÷1	•		e de la composición de la comp		
	• ••						
					•		
Revenues:				•			
Grantor Agency	\$	176,668		.\$ 1	76,668		
Other		-	_		-		
Total Revenues		176,668	-	1	76,668		
	<u> </u>		-				
Expenditures:							
Personnel		-			•		
Contractual		176,668		1	76,668		
Other		110,000		•	10,000		
					<b>-</b> .		
Indirect Costs			<b>.</b> .		-		
Total Expenditures		176,668	-	1	76,668		
			ri e la		10 T - 18		
Excess of Revenues Under Expenditures			· •.		-		
				1			
Other Financing Sources (Uses):							
Operating Transfers-In		-			-		
-							
Fund Balance, July 1, 2001		·		1.1.1	· · · ·		
• •			-				
Fund Balance, June 30, 2002	\$	-	· .	\$	-		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		V million	=				

# GENERAL FIXED ASSETS ACCOUNT GROUP STATEMENTS

# Statement of General Fixed Assets

# June 30, 2002

General Fixed Assets	
Furniture and Fixtures	\$ 121,828
Equipment	428,576
Leasehold Improvements	115,167
Total General Fixed Assets	\$ 665,571

Investment in General Fixed Assets	
General Fund	\$ 625,762
Special Revenue Funds	39,809
Total Investment in General Fixed Assets	\$ 665,571

# Statement of Changes in General Fixed Assets

	Balances at 7/1/01	Additions	Deletions	Balances at 6/30/02
		• • • •		
Furniture and Fixtures	\$ 121,828	<b>\$</b> -	\$ -	\$ 121,828
Equipment	395,044	48,524	14,992	428,576
Leasehold Improvements	115,167			115,167
Total	\$ 632,039	\$ 48,524	\$ 14,992	\$ 665,571

# **MISCELLANEOUS INFORMATION**

# Schedule of Fringe Benefit Cost Pool Charges, Rate Base, Final Rate Computation and Current Year's Recovery Comparison

Year Ended June 30, 2002

Fringe Benefit Cost Pool Charges:		
Public Employees Retirement System Contributions	\$	158,212
Health Insurance Premiums		142,608
Life Insurance Premiums		1,738
Workers' Compensation Premiums		3,139
Unemployment Insurance	•	510
F.I.C.A. (Medicare) Expenses		12,304
Sick Leave Pay		37,578
Holiday Pay		48,311
Vacation, Personal and Other Leave		88,137
Retirement Pay		438
Total Fringe Benefit Cost Pool Charges	\$	492,975
Fringe Benefit Cost Rate Base:		
Salaries	\$	1,000,575
Salaries Final Fringe Benefit Cost Rate Computation:	<u> </u>	1,000,575
Final Fringe Benefit Cost Rate Computation: Total Fringe Benefit Cost Pool Charges	\$	492,975
Final Fringe Benefit Cost Rate Computation:	\$	
Final Fringe Benefit Cost Rate Computation: Total Fringe Benefit Cost Pool Charges	\$	492,975
<b>Final Fringe Benefit Cost Rate Computation:</b> Total Fringe Benefit Cost Pool Charges Divided By: Total Fringe Benefit Cost Rate Base	\$	492,975 1,000,575
<b>Final Fringe Benefit Cost Rate Computation:</b> Total Fringe Benefit Cost Pool Charges Divided By: Total Fringe Benefit Cost Rate Base Equals - Final Fringe Benefit Cost Rate	\$	492,975 1,000,575
<b>Final Fringe Benefit Cost Rate Computation:</b> Total Fringe Benefit Cost Pool Charges Divided By: Total Fringe Benefit Cost Rate Base Equals - Final Fringe Benefit Cost Rate <b>Current Year's Cost Recovery Comparison:</b>	\$	492,975 1,000,575 49.27%
Final Fringe Benefit Cost Rate Computation: Total Fringe Benefit Cost Pool Charges Divided By: Total Fringe Benefit Cost Rate Base Equals - Final Fringe Benefit Cost Rate Current Year's Cost Recovery Comparison: Fringe Benefit Costs Recovered	\$	492,975 1,000,575 49.27% 492,983

Exhibit E-1

# Schedule of Indirect Cost Pool Charges, Rate Base, Final Rate Computation and Current Year's Recovery Comparison

Year Ended June 30, 2002

Indirect Cost Pool Charges:	
Salaries	\$ 274,577
Allocated Fringe Benefits	135,284
Contractual Services	56,442
Communication and Supplies	32,678
Rents and Rentals	112,244
Travel	4,182
Maintenance and Repairs	9,937
Other Costs	28,452
Allowance for Depreciation	52,134
Total Indirect Costs	\$ 705,930

• \$	723,523
	356,480
\$	1,080,003
	\$

#### Final Indirect Cost Rate Computation:

Total Indirect Cost Pool Charges		<u>\$</u>	705,930
Divided By: Total Indirect Cost Rate Base	-	\$	1,080,003
Equals - Final Indirect Cost Rate	=		65.36%
			a pitela d
Current Year's Cost Recovery Comparison:	×		
Indirect Cost Recovered		\$	705,890

705,930

(40)

\$

Total Indirect Costs Over (Under) Recovered Costs

# Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures by Program

# Year Ended June 30, 2002

	ansportation Programs		vironmental Programs	. ·	Criminal Justice Programs		ommunity Services <sup>p</sup> rograms		Total
Revenues:		÷							
Federal Grants	\$ 1,457,069	\$	109,015	\$	1,202,692	\$	-	\$	2,768,776
Other Grants & Contracts	-		15,490				226,965		242,455
Other Matching	106,615		-		-		· _	•	106,615
Misc Sales & Receipts	 <b>-</b> , '		-		-	ير .	75		75
Total Revenues	1,563,684		124,505		1,202,692		227,040		3,117,921
Other Financing Sources (Uses):							· 5.11.		
Operating Transfers-In	111,632		152,726		26,103		165,475		455,936
Total Other Financing Sources	111,632		152,726	-	26,103		165,475		455,936
	 <i></i>		a ser e						
Total Revenues & Other Sources	\$ 1,675,316	\$	277,231	\$	1,228,795	\$	392,515	\$	3,573,857
		ť.							
							•••		
Expenditures:			<u>.</u>			•			
Personnel	\$ 761,576	\$	133,994	\$	55,978	\$	128,457	\$	1,080,005
Contractual	98,267		48,207		1,135,396		176,767		1,458,637
Other	317,707		7,453		834		3,331		329,325
Indirect Costs	497,766		87,577		36,587		83,960		705,890
Total Expenditures	\$ 1,675,316	\$	277,231	\$	1,228,795	\$	392,515	\$	3,573,857

# Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures - Federal Transit Administration and Federal Highway Administration/Ohio Department of Transportation -Fiscal Year 2002 Transportation Planning Work Program Elements

		601		602		605	610	625	695		G	697		
			Tra	Transportation	Σ	Monitoring			Transportation	ation	Transp	Transportation		
		Short	<u>E</u>	Improvement		and	Review &		Program	ε	Anr	Annual		
		Range		Program	Su	Surveillance	Appraisal	Service	Administration	ation	Re	Report		Total
Revenues:														
Federal Transit Administration / Federal						•								
Highway Administration CPG Grant	ŝ	53,381.96	φ	81,322.55	θ	208,127.36	\$ 204,647.86	6 \$ 59,752.11	I \$ 155,440.78	0.78	\$ 23	23,058.39	<del>с</del> ,	785,731.01
Ohio Dept. of Transporation														
Match to CPG Grant		6,670.48		10,165.31		26,015.97	25,581.02	2 7,469.04	19,430.14	0.14	2	2,882.31		98,214.27
Total Revenues		60,052.44		91,487.86		234,143.33	230,228.88	67,221.15	174,870.92	0.92	25	25,940.70		883,945.28
Other Financing Sources:														
Operating Transfers-In		6,672.10		10,165.32		26,015.87	25,580.95	5 7,468.97	19,430.04	8	N	2,882.29		98,215.54
-											,			
Total Revenues and Other Sources	ୢୄ୶	66,724.54	φ	101,653.18	φ	260,159.20	\$ 255,809.83	3 \$ 74,690.12	2 \$ 194,300.96	0.96	\$ 28	28,822.99	φ	982,160.82
								۰.						
Expenditures:														•
Salaries	θ	26,224.42	θ	40,865.70	\$	102,073.88	\$ 95,746.85	5 \$ 28,705.69	9 \$ 77,079.72	9.72	сі Ф	2,208.00	<del>с</del> р	372,904.26
Fringe Benefits		12,920.76		20,134.53		50,291.80	47,174.47	7 14,143.29	37,977.18	7.18	-	1,087.88		183,729.91
Contract Services		ı		ſ		ı	7,500.00	0 564.62	0		22	22,794.50		30,859.12
Other		1,994.08		783.20		8,207.31	11,975.13	3 3,270.43		4,042.87		578.42		30,851.44
Indirect Costs		25,585.28		39,869.75		99,586.21	93,413.38	8 28,006.09	9 75,201.19	1.19	5	2,154.19		363,816.09
Total Expenditures	θ	66,724.54	ଚ	101,653.18	မ	260,159.20	\$ 255,809.83	3 \$ 74,690.12	2 \$ 194,300.96	96.0	\$ 28	28,822.99	ŝ	982,160.82

Exhibit G

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS BUSINESS CONSULTANTS

#### Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance and on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

To the Board of Directors Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

We have audited the general purpose financial statements of Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission as of and for the year ended June 30, 2002, and have issued our report thereon dated September 19, 2002. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

#### Compliance

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

#### Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

This report is intended solely for the information of the audit committee, management, the Auditor of State of Ohio, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Clark Schupe, Dockett ~ G. Springfield, Ohio

September 19, 2002

Exhibit H

# Clark, Schaefer, Hackett & Co.

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS BUSINESS CONSULTANTS

Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and Internal Control over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133

To the Board of Directors and Members Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

#### Compliance

We have audited the compliance of Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2002. The Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2002.

### Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133.

Our consideration of the internal control over compliance would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that noncompliance with applicable requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants that would be material in relation to a major federal program being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over compliance and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

#### Schedule of Federal Awards Expenditures

We have audited the general purpose financial statements of Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2002, and have issued our report thereon dated September 19, 2002. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming an opinion on the general purpose financial statements taken as a whole. The accompanying schedule of federal awards expenditures is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the general purpose financial statements of Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the general purpose financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the general purpose financial statements taken as a whole.

This report is intended solely for the information of the audit committee, management, the Auditor of State of Ohio, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Clark, Scharfer, Aleckett V G.

Springfield, Ohiø September 19, 2002

# Schedule of Federal Awards Expenditures

# Year Ended June 30, 2002

			Droram	Total	Unexpended Program	Federal	MVRPC Matching		Other Matching		Unexpended Program
Federal Grantor/ Pass-Throuch Grantor/	CFDA	Grant	or Award	Federal	Amount	Share of	Expen-		Expen-		Amount
Program Title	Number	Number	Amount	Share	7/1/2001	Expenditures	ditures	ر ا	ditures	Other	6/30/2002
Department of Justice											
Pass-Through, Office of											
<b>Criminal Justice Services</b>										ŧ	6
Juvenile Justice Programs	16.523	00-JB-ADM-0228	\$ 20,252	\$ 18,226	<b>\$</b> 20,252	\$ 18,226	N N	\$ 070'Z	r 1	¢	• •
Crithtotal 16 503	16.523	00-JB-KPU-0900	400,127	418,014	419,314	228,707	2,	2,026		209,033	•
	10 10		161 17R	107 452	04 291	30.631			•	63,660	•
Curtated 16 640	10.240				94.291	30,631				63,660	
Burne Memorial Processes	16 K70	00-DG-ADM-7525	93.333	70.000	42.209	31,657	0 0	10,552	1		
byine menorial riograms	16.579	00-DG-RPI I-0907	1.248.580	936,436	688,224	604,574	•		ľ	83,650	ı
	16.579	99-DG-RPU-0997	1,130,897	848,173	81,336	57,582				23,754	ı
Subtotal 16.579					811,769	693,813	10,	10,552	,	107;404	5
							• .				
Violence Against Women	16.588	00-WF-VAW-8901	17,000	17,000	11,044	11,044	•		•	•	1
Programs	16.588	01-WF-VAW-8901	6,370	6,370	6,370	6,370	•	ı	•	•	ı
	16.588	00-WF-RPU-0908	365,567	311,967	270,370	229,587	•			40,783	ł
2	16.588	99-WF-RPU-0998	349,088	298,175	2,998	2,540		   		458	
Subtotal 16.588					290,782	249,541			-	41,241	
Total Denartment of Justice					\$ 1,636,608	\$ 1,202,692	\$ 12,	12,578 \$	́т.	\$ 421,338	ب
								. · :   .	1.		
Department of Interior						•	ę				6
National Park Service	15.914	CA6295A0002	\$ 250,000	\$ 250,000	\$ 28,339	\$ 28,339	<del>,</del>	₽   	•	• •	Ð
Total Department of Interior					\$ 28,339	\$ 28,339	Ś	\$		\$	۔ ج

Exhibit J

# Schedule of Federal Awards Expenditures

# Year Ended June 30, 2002

							Unexpended			ž	MVRPC	Õ	Other			Unexpended	ndeđ
Federal Grantor/ Fec	Federal		đ	Program	Total		Program		Federal	Ma	Matching	Mat	Matching			Program	am
Pass-Through Grantor/ CF	CFDA	Grant	ō	or Award	Federal	•	Amount		Share of	Ш	Expen-	щ	Expen-			Amount	nut
Program Title Nur	Number	Number	4	Amount	Share		7/1/2001	Ш	Expenditures	d	ditures	dit	ditures	Other	er I	6/30/2002	002
Environmental Protection Agency	cy															-	
Consolidated Research 66.	66.500	R 827091-01-0	Ś	561,705	\$ 534,210		\$ 69,971	\$	58,589	\$	• •	\$	·	\$	,	<del>ک</del>	11,382
Pass-Through, Ohio Environmental	ital																
Protection Agency																	
Water Quality Management 66	66.454	604(b) FY 2002		84,043	50,426		84,043		50,426		33,617		•				
Total Environmental Protection Agency	Jency	•					\$ 154,014	\$	109,015	s	33,617	\$	•	\$	1	\$ 1	11,382
Department of Transportation				-		l'											1
Federal Transit Administration	ĺ		-														
Section 5309 New Start 20	20.500	OH-03-0173-00		\$ 992,550	\$ 992,550		\$ 112,547	\$		\$	,	\$	1	ŝ	,	\$ 11	112,547
Pass-Through, Ohio Department		•															
of Transportation							-		ŗ								
-				•													
Highway Planning and 20	20.205	Consolidated Planning FY 99	ŝ	937,128	\$ 749,702		\$ 96,583	\$	•	\$	ı	ŝ	•	ŝ	•	6 \$	96,583
Construction 20	20:205	Consolidated Planning FY 2002		1,141,078	912,862	62	1,141,078		785,731		98,216		98,214		,	15	158,917
20	20.205	Consolidated Planning FY 2001		1,109,800	887,840	40	84,070	_	67,209		8,460	• •	8,401		,		
20	20.205	Rideshare FY 2001 - FY 2003		690,000	690,000	8	480,355		198,277		•		ı		1	28	282,078
20	20,205	Models Update		380,709	380,709	60	65,323		1,121		•		1 1 1		:	Ó	64,202
20	20.205	Regional OZONE CY 2002		263,700	263,700	8	263,700	_	115,321		1		•			14	148,379
20	20.205	Regional OZONE CY 2001		243,000	243,000	8	127,898		127,898		•			•	1		
20	20.205	Regional OZONE CY 2000		230,000	230,000	8	619	_	619		•		ı	:			ı
20	20.205	IR 75 Brigde Replace PR - Yr 2		100,000	100,000	8	16,195		15,603		•		,		592		
20	20.205	IR 70/75 Interchng PR Campaign		331,015	331,015	15	331,015		10,386		•		•			32	320,629
20	20.205	NSTI - 175 MIS		200,000	200,000	8	121,344		64,078				•			ίΩ	57,266
20	20.205	Intelligent Trans Systems		534,500	534,500	। ८	135,039		34,758		•		·	100	100,281		.
Total Highway Planning and Construction	struction			• • •		I	2,863,579		1,421,361		106,676		106,615	10	100,873	1,12	1,128,054
Total Department of Transportation	u U					1	\$ 2,976,126	\$	1,421,361	ŝ	106,676	Ś	106,615	\$ 100,873		\$ 1,240,601	0,601
-																	

Total Federal Financial Assistance

Exhibit J

\$ 1,251,983

106,615 \$ 522,211

ŝ

\$ 152,871

\$ 2,761,407

\$ 4,795,087

#### Notes to the Schedule of Federal Awards Expenditures June 30, 2002

- Note 1. Some federal financial assistance programs require MVRPC to match expenditures made with their own funds or funds received from other sources. The matching requirements are at various rates as governed by the specific grant agreement. The Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT) is the other matching source for the Transportation Planning Program and the Intelligent Transportation Systems grant.
- Note 2. The "Other" category consists of grant amounts that were refunded to or canceled by Grantor Agency as listed below:

Department of Justice – Juvenile Justice 00-JB-RPU-0900 – Canceled Department of Justice – Juvenile Justice 99-JV-RPU-0996 – Canceled Department of Justice – Byrne Memorial 00-DG-RPU-0907 – Canceled Department of Justice – Byrne Memorial 99-DG-RPU-0997 – Canceled Department of Justice – Violence Against Women 99-WF-RPU-0998 – Canceled Department of Justice – Violence Against Women 00-WF-RPU-0998 – Canceled Department of Transportation – IR75 Bridge Replacement PR Yr2 – Canceled Department of Transportation – Intelligent Trans Systems - Canceled

- Note 3. The federal assistance funds received by MVRPC under the Byrne Memorial Block Grants and the Violence Against Women Block Grants were subgranted to Montgomery County, the City of Dayton, the City of Riverside, and The Dayton Metropolitan Housing Authority. The subgrantee is required to match the federal funds received through MVRPC on a 75% to 25% basis. The matching expenditures required of the subgrantee are not recorded on MVRPC's books and are not included on this schedule.
- Note 4. The federal assistance funds received from the Department of Transportation through the Ohio Department of Transportation and reported under CFDA number 20.205 titled Transportation Planning was a consolidation of funds the Federal Highway Administration 's Highway Planning and Construction and the Federal Transit Administration's Section 5303 Technical Studies Grant.
- Note 5. The Schedule of Federal Awards Expenditures was prepared using the accrual basis method of accounting

# SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS OMB CIRCULAR A-133 § .505

### MIAMI VALLEY REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION

# JUNE 30, 2002

# 1. SUMMARY OF AUDITORS' RESULTS

(d)(1)(i)	Type of Financial Statement Opinion	Unqualified
(d)(1)(ii)	Were there any material control weakness conditions reported at the financial statement level (GAGAS)?	No
(d)(1)(ii)	Were there any other reportable control weakness conditions reported at the financial statement level (GAGAS)?	No
(d)(1)(iii)	Were there any reported non-compliance at the financial statement level (GAGAS)?	No
(d)(1)(iv)	Were there any material internal control weakness conditions reported for major federal programs?	No
(d)(1)(iv)	Were there any other reportable internal control weakness conditions reported for major federal programs?	Νο
(d)(1)(v)	Type of Major Programs' Compliance Opinion	Unqualified
(d)(1)(vi)	Are there any reportable findings under § .510?	No
(d)(1)(vii)	Major Programs (list):	Highway Planning and Construction; CFDA #20.205
(d)(1)(viii)	Dollar Threshold: Type A\B Programs	Type A: > \$300,000 Type B: All others
(d)(1)(ix)	Low Risk Auditee?	Yes

Exhibit L

### 2. FINDINGS RELATED TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS REQUIRED TO BE REPORTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GAGAS

### Findings: None

# 3. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR FEDERAL AWARDS

Findings: None

# SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS OMB CIRCULAR A-133 § .315(b)

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

June 30, 2002

### Prior Audit Findings:

None

#### MIAMI VALLEY REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION

#### **Commission Members**

#### Governmental Members

**Beavercreek Beavercreek Township** Bellbrook **Bethel Township Brookville Butler Township** Carlisle Centerville Clavton **Darke County** Dayton Eaton Eldorado Englewood Fairborn Farmersville Germantown **Greene County** Greenville Harrison Township **Huber Heights** Jamestown Kettering Miami County Miami Township Miamisburg Monroe Township Montrgomery County Moraine **New Carlisle** New Lebanon **New Madison** Oakwood Perry Township Phillipsburg Piqua

#### Member

#### Alternate

**Richard Perales Richard Little** Pat Campbell Jerome Hirt, Sr. **David Seagraves Eleanor Lewis** Patrick Long Sally Beals Tim Gorman **Terry Haworth Richard Zimmer** Larry Petry Larry Marker Judy Gerhard Jack Wilson Mary Ann Rondeau W. Henry Young W. Reed Madden John Burkett **David Woods** Jan Vargo **Donald Kolesar** Donald Patterson, Jr. B. Ronald Widener Shirley Omietanski Robert Faulkner John Evans Don Lucas **Robert Rosencrans Robert Horrocks** Lou Cooper Kenneth Farmer **Carlo McGinnis Bill Fohrman Charles Marguis Robert DeBrosse** 

Juliann Vann **Carol Graff Robert Middlestetter** Matthew Davis John Wright **Joseph Ellis Brad Townsend Douglas Cline David Rowlands Robert Downing** Vacant K. Douglas Spitler **Don Thompson** Thomas Franz **Michael Cornell** Melodie Faulkner Scott Pickup **Robert Schroeder** Penny Perry **Roland Winburn Jack Hensley** Aaron Kilbarger **Bruce Duke Daniel Brandewie Greg Rogers** vacant Ronald Thuma **Charles Curran Bryon Blake James Caplinger** Annie Clark Steve Eadler Norbert Klopsch **Gerald Peters** Larry Shields Frank Barhorst

#### MIAMI VALLEY REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION

#### **Commission Members**

Member

#### Governmental Members cont'd

Riverside Springboro Tipp City Trotwood Troy Union Union City Union Township Vandalia Washington Township West Carrollton West Milton Xenia Xenia Twp Yellow Springs

#### **NonGovernmental Members**

Ameritech Dayton Area Chamber of Commerce Dayton Power & Light Delphi Automotive Systems General Motors Issue 9 Group League Women Voters Mead Corp Miami Conservancy District Montgomery County Farm Bureau Sinclair Community College South Metro Reg Chamber of Commerce WPAFB

# Sara Lommatzsch John Agenbroad Carol McKeever Donald McLaurin Peter Jenkins Robert Packard Dick Debolt George Mote Jack Shirley Joyce Young Jack Jensen Donald Hamann, Sr. Eric Winston Richard Montgomery Denise Swinger

Gregory Sample Bryan Bucklew Vacant Robert Jordan Paul Dorsten Richard Wright Jo Columbro Ronald Budzik Michael Robinette Sarajane Steinecker Ned Sifferlen Julia Maxton Michael Hazen Alternate

James Weaver, Jr. Christine Thompson Donald Earnest Frank Myers Anthony Char John Applegate Scott Stahl Bill Coate Hal Hunter Gary Huff William Gordon Marty Gabbard John Saraga Jon Luttrell Tony Arnett

Toni Perry Phillip Parker Vacant Vacant Janell Smith Vacant Deb Wenig Vacant Kelly Fackel Dale Seim Stephan Jonas Jack Kindler Barry Hatfield

#### **Board of Directors**

Chair: First Vice-Chair: Second Vice-Chair: Immediate Past Chair: Richard Montgomery B. Ronald Widener Jack Jensen Sally D. Beals

DARKE COUNTY:

Terry Haworth John Burkett

W. Reed Madden

**Donald Kolesar** 

Jerome Hirt, Sr.

**Richard Little** 

**GREENE COUNTY:** 

**MIAMI COUNTY:** 

MONTGOMERY COUNTY:

PREBLE COUNTY:

NONGOVERNMENTAL:

Don Lucas Shirley Omietanski Richard Zimmer Jan Vargo Jack Shirley

Larry Petry

Vacant Robert Jordan Dr. Ned Sifferlen Xenia Township Miami County City of West Carrollton City of Centerville

Darke County City of Greenville

Beavercreek Township Greene County Village of Jamestown

**Bethel Township** 

Montgomery County Miami Township City of Dayton City of Huber Heights City of Vandalia

City of Eaton

Dayton Power & Light Co Delphi Chassis Systems Sinclair Community College

Schedule of Insurance, Legal Counsel, and Key Personnel

Blanket Bond and General Insurance:

Description	Surety	<u>Amount</u>	Period
Blanket Bond Covering All Employees	The Ohio Casualty Insurance Company	\$100,000	01/13/02-01/12/03
Business Personal Property	The Hartford Insurance Company	\$615,000	01/13/02-01/12/03
Computer Equipment	The Hartford Insurance Company	\$200,000	01/13/02-01/12/03
Comprehensive General Liability	The Hartford Insurance Company	\$1,000,000	01/13/02-01/12/03
Valuable Papers	The Hartford Insurance Company	\$250,000	01/13/02-01/12/03
Business Auto Coverage	The Hartford Insurance Company	\$1,000,000	01/13/02-01/12/03
Public Officials & Employees Liability	Cincinnati Intermediaries Company	\$1,000,000	02/03/02-02/02/03

Legal Counsel:

Thompson, Hine and Flory 2000 Courthouse Plaza, Northeast Post Office Box 8801 Dayton, Ohio 45401-8801

Key Personnel:

Nora E. Lake Gretchen B. Brafford

Gary L. Bellotti

Executive Director Director, Administrative & Support Services Controller

All of the above can be reached at the following address:

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission 40 West Fourth Street, Suite 400 Dayton, Ohio 45402



Auditor of State Betty Montgomery 88 East Broad Street P.O. Box 1140 Columbus, Ohio 43216-1140

Telephone 614-466-4514 800-282-0370

Facsimile 614-466-4490

# MIAMI VALLEY REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION

# MONTGOMERY COUNTY

# **CLERK'S CERTIFICATION**

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbett

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

CERTIFIED FEBRUARY 4, 2003