

**CLARK COUNTY COMBINED HEALTH DISTRICT
CLARK COUNTY
Single Audit
For Year Ended December 31, 2006**



Mary Taylor, CPA
Auditor of State

Board of Health
Clark County Combined Health District
529 East Home Road
Springfield, Ohio 45503

We have reviewed the *Independent Accountants' Report* of the Clark County Combined Health District, Clark County, prepared by Perry & Associates, Certified Public Accountants, A.C., for the audit period January 1, 2006 through December 31, 2006. Based upon this review, we have accepted these reports in lieu of the audit required by Section 117.11, Revised Code. The Auditor of State did not audit the accompanying financial statements and, accordingly, we are unable to express, and do not express an opinion on them.

Our review was made in reference to the applicable sections of legislative criteria, as reflected by the Ohio Constitution, and the Revised Code, policies, procedures and guidelines of the Auditor of State, regulations and grant requirements. The Clark County Combined Health District is responsible for compliance with these laws and regulations.

Mary Taylor

Mary Taylor, CPA
Auditor of State

October 24, 2007

This Page is Intentionally Left Blank.

**CLARK COUNTY COMBINED HEALTH DISTRICT
CLARK COUNTY**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE	PAGE
Independent Accountants' Report.....	1
Managements Discussion and Analysis	3
Government-Wide Financial Statements:	
Statement of Net Assets – Cash Basis December 31, 2006.....	9
Statement of Activities – Cash Basis For the Year Ended December 31, 2006.....	10
Fund Financial Statements:	
Statement of Cash Basis Assets and Fund Balances – Governmental Funds December 31, 2006.....	11
Statement of Cash Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash Basis Fund Balances – Governmental Funds For the Year Ended December 31, 2006.....	12
Statement of Cash Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Fund Balance Budget and Actual - Budget Basis - General Fund For the Year Ended December 31, 2006.....	13
Statement of Cash Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Fund Balance Budget and Actual - Budget Basis - HMG/TANF Fund For the Year Ended December 31, 2006.....	14
Notes to the Financial Statements	15
Schedule of Federal Awards Expenditures	24
Notes to the Schedule of Federal Awards Expenditures	25
Independent Accountants' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Required by <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	26
Independent Accountants' Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Federal Program and Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133	28
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs - OMB Circular A -133 § .505.....	30

Perry & Associates
Certified Public Accountants, A.C.

PARKERSBURG
1035 Murdoch Avenue
Parkersburg, WV 26101
(304) 422-2203

MARIETTA
428 Second Street
Marietta, OH 45750
(740) 373-0056

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT

June 29, 2007

Clark County Combined Health District
Clark County
529 East Home Road
Springfield, OH 45503

To the Members of the Board:

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Clark County Combined Health District, (the District) as of and for the year ended December 31, 2006, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the District's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to reasonably assure whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

As described more fully in Note 2, the accompanying financial statements and notes follow the cash accounting basis. This is a comprehensive accounting basis other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective cash financial position of the governmental activities, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of the District as of December 31, 2006 and the respective changes in the cash financial position and the respective budgetary comparison for the General Fund and HMG/TANF Fund thereof for the year ended in conformity with the basis of accounting Note 2 describes.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated June 29, 2007, on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. While we did not opine on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance, that report describes the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance, and the results of that testing. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*. You should read it in conjunction with this report in assessing the results of our audit.

Management's Discussion and Analysis is not a required part of the basic financial statements but is supplementary information the Government Accounting Standards Board requires. We have applied certain limited procedures, consisting principally of inquiries of management regarding methods of measuring and presenting the required supplementary information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

We conducted our audit to opine on the financial statements that collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements. The Schedule of Federal Awards Expenditures is required by U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*, and is also not a required part of the basic financial statements. We subjected the Schedule of Federal Awards Expenditures to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements. In our opinion, this information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

Respectfully Submitted,

Perry and Associates
Certified Public Accountants, A.C.

**CLARK COUNTY COMBINED HEALTH DISTRICT
CLARK COUNTY**

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006
UNAUDITED**

This discussion and analysis of the Clark County Combined Health District's financial performance provides an overall review of the District's financial activities for the year ended December 31, 2006, within the limitations of the District's cash basis accounting. Readers should also review the basic financial statements and notes to enhance their understanding of the District's financial performance.

Highlights

Key highlights for 2006 are as follows:

Net assets from all governmental activities increased \$307,830 or 12.7 percent, creating a cash carryover from the Health Fund of \$1,940,750. These carryover monies are necessary over the next several years to ensure financial stability in 2010 when the first significant effects of the loss of Tangible Personal Property Tax are budgeted. In addition, \$400,000 of the carryover total is listed as the Health District Contingency Fund. The 12.7 percent increase is down from 18 percent in 2005.

Grant revenue decreased by \$44,877, or 2.4 percent, mostly due to a general erosion of grant dollars available from the State of Ohio.

The District's general receipts are primarily property taxes. These receipts represent 93.6 percent of the general receipts and 39.5 percent of all governmental activity revenues. Property taxes for 2006 increased by 17.4 percent due primarily to a reclassification of revenue streams compared to 2005.

Using the Basic Financial Statements

This annual report is presented in a format consistent with the presentation requirements of Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 34, as applicable to the Health District's cash basis of accounting.

Report Components

The statement of net assets and the statement of activities provide information about the cash activities of the District as a whole.

Fund financial statements provide a greater level of detail. Funds are created and maintained on the financial records of the District as a way to segregate money whose use is restricted to a particular specified purpose. These statements present financial information by fund, presenting funds with the largest balances or most activity in separate columns.

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of the district-wide and fund financial statements and provide expanded explanation and details regarding the information reported in the statements.

**CLARK COUNTY COMBINED HEALTH DISTRICT
CLARK COUNTY**

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006
UNAUDITED**

Basis of Accounting

The basis of accounting is a set of guidelines that determine when financial events are recorded. The District has elected to present its financial statements on a cash basis of accounting. This basis of accounting is a basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles. Under the District's cash basis of accounting, receipts and disbursements are recorded when cash is received or paid.

As a result of using the cash basis of accounting, certain assets and their related revenues (such as accounts receivable) and certain liabilities and their related expenses (such as accounts payable) are not recorded in the financial statements. Therefore, when reviewing the financial information and discussion within this report, the reader must keep in mind the limitations resulting from the use of the cash basis of accounting.

The Clark County Combined Health District is established to exercise the rights, privileges, and responsibilities conveyed to it by the constitution and laws of the State of Ohio. The District is directed by an eight-member Board of Health that appoints a Health Commissioner as its Executive Officer. The District's services include communicable disease investigations, immunization clinics, inspections, public health nursing services, and the issuance of health-related licenses and permits. The District also acts upon various complaints made by the public concerning the health and welfare of the county.

The statement of net assets and the statement of activities reflect how the District did financially during 2006, within the limitations of cash basis accounting. The statement of net assets presents the cash balances of the activities of the District at year-end. The statement of activities compares cash disbursements with program receipts for each governmental program. Program receipts include charges paid by the recipient of the program's services, grants and contributions restricted to meeting the operational requirements of a particular program. General receipts are all receipts not classified as program receipts. The comparison of cash disbursements with program receipts identifies how each governmental function draws from the District's general receipts.

These statements report the District's cash position and the changes in the cash position. Keeping in mind the limitations of the cash basis of accounting, you can think of these changes as one way to measure the District's financial health. Over time, increases or decreases in the District's cash position is one indicator of whether the District's financial health is improving or deteriorating.

When evaluating the District's financial condition, you should also consider other non-financial factors as well, such as the District's property tax base, the extent of the District's debt obligations, the reliance on non-local financial resources for operations, and the need for continued growth in the major local revenue resources such as property taxes.

In the statement of net assets and the statement of activities, all District activities are reported as governmental. State and federal grants and property taxes finance most of these activities and are not necessarily paid for by the people receiving them.

**CLARK COUNTY COMBINED HEALTH DISTRICT
CLARK COUNTY**

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006
UNAUDITED**

Reporting the District's Most Significant Funds

Fund financial statements provide detailed information about the District's major funds – not the District as a whole. The District establishes separate funds to better manage its many activities and to help demonstrate that restricted funds are being spent for the intended purpose.

All of the District's activities are reported in Governmental Funds. The Governmental Funds Financial Statements provide a detailed view of the District's governmental operations and the basic services it provides. Governmental Fund information helps determine whether there are more or less financial resources that can be spent to finance the District's programs. The District's significant Governmental Funds are presented on the financial statements in separate columns.

The information for non-major funds (funds whose activity or balance are not large enough to warrant separate reporting) is combined and presented in total in a single column. The District's major Governmental Funds are the General Fund and the HMG/TANF Grant Fund. The programs reported in Governmental Funds are closely related to those reported in the Governmental Activities section of the entity-wide statements.

The District as a Whole

Table 1 provides a summary of the District's net assets for 2006 compared to 2005 on a cash basis:

(T a b l e 1)
N e t A s s e t s

	<u>G o v e r n m e n t a l A c t i v i t i e s</u>	
	<u>2 0 0 6</u>	<u>2 0 0 5 *</u>
A s s e t s		
C a s h a n d C a s h E q u i v a l e n t s	\$ 2 , 7 1 5 , 4 1 2	\$ 2 , 4 0 7 , 5 8 2
N e t A s s e t s		
R e s t r i c t e d f o r :		
O t h e r P u r p o s e s	7 7 4 , 6 6 2	8 4 7 , 5 3 8
U n r e s t r i c t e d	<u>1 , 9 4 0 , 7 5 0</u>	<u>1 , 5 6 0 , 0 4 4</u>
T o t a l N e t A s s e t s	<u>\$ 2 , 7 1 5 , 4 1 2</u>	<u>\$ 2 , 4 0 7 , 5 8 2</u>

*Please note that the Accrued Liability Fund and the Sick Leave Payout Fund were reclassified from fiduciary to special revenue funds in 2006. The 2005 fiduciary fund balances are included in the 2005 amounts above for comparative purposes.

As mentioned previously, net assets of all governmental activities increased \$307,830 or 12.7 percent during 2006. The primary reasons contributing to the increase in cash balances are as follows:

- Some small growth in tax collections along with planned carryover monies.
- Disbursements were approximately \$50,000 less than budgeted.
- Several grant funds appear to have healthy cash balances due to the different budget cycles than the District's calendar year fiscal cycle.

**CLARK COUNTY COMBINED HEALTH DISTRICT
CLARK COUNTY**

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006
UNAUDITED**

The District as a Whole (Continued)

Table 2 reflects the changes in net assets in 2006 compared to 2005 on a cash basis.

**(Table 2)
Changes in Net Assets
Governmental Activities 2006**

Receipts:	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
<i>Program receipts:</i>		
Fee for Services	\$1,205,193	\$1,212,155
Operating Grants	\$1,841,070	\$1,885,947
Contracts for Services	<u>\$131,962</u>	<u>\$166,098</u>
<i>Total Program Receipts</i>	\$3,178,225	\$3,264,200
<i>General Receipts:</i>		
Property and Other Local Taxes	\$2,172,952	\$1,850,536
Miscellaneous	\$61,457	\$10,304
State Subsidy	\$53,290	\$329,685
Reimbursements	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$56,315</u>
<i>Total General Receipts</i>	<u>\$2,287,699</u>	<u>\$2,246,840</u>
Total Receipts	\$5,465,924	\$5,511,040
Disbursements:		
Public Health Services	\$5,158,094	\$5,147,132
Transfer to Fiduciary Fund	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$13,000</u>
Total Disbursements	\$5,158,094	\$5,160,132
Increase (decrease) in Net Assets	<u>\$307,830</u>	<u>\$350,908</u>
Net Assets, January 1, 2006 (See Note 3)	<u>\$2,407,582</u>	<u>\$1,944,944</u>
Net Assets, December 31, 2006	<u><u>\$2,715,412</u></u>	<u><u>\$2,295,852</u></u>

Program receipts represent 58.1 percent of total receipts and are primarily comprised of state and federal grants, which make up 57.9 percent of total Program Receipts.

General receipts represent 41.9 percent of the District's total receipts, and of this amount, over 93.6 percent are local taxes. Other receipts are very insignificant and somewhat unpredictable revenue sources.

**CLARK COUNTY COMBINED HEALTH DISTRICT
CLARK COUNTY**

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006
UNAUDITED**

The District as a Whole (Continued)

Disbursements for the Health District represent the overhead costs of running the District and the support services provided for the other District activities. These include primarily the costs of personnel and personnel support, as the primary product of the District is preventive health services which are labor-intensive.

Capital outlay signifies the disbursements for equipment for use in administering the District's services. There were no significant equipment purchases during 2006.

Governmental Activities

If you look at the Statement of Activities on page 10, you will see that the first column lists the major services provided by the District. The next column identifies the costs of providing these services. All of the major program disbursements for governmental activities are for Public Health services. The next column of the Statement entitled Program Receipts identifies amounts paid by people who are directly charged for the service as well as grants received by the District that must be used to provide a specific service.

The Net Cost (Disbursement) column compares the program receipts to the cost of the service. This "net cost" amount represents the cost of the service that ends up being paid from money provided by local taxpayers. These net costs are paid from the general receipts that are presented at the bottom of the Statement. A comparison between the total cost of services and the net cost is presented in Table 3.

**(Table 3)
Governmental Activities**

	Total Cost of Services 2006	Program Receipts 2006	Net Cost of Services 2006	Total Cost of Services 2005	Program Receipts 2005	Net Cost of Services 2005
Public Health Services	\$5,158,094	\$3,178,225	\$2,014,223	\$5,147,132	\$3,264,200	\$1,882,932
Total Expenses	\$5,158,094	\$3,178,225	\$2,014,223	\$5,147,132	\$3,264,200	\$1,882,932

The District's Funds

Total Governmental funds had receipts of \$5,554,280 and disbursements of \$5,246,450. The greatest change within Governmental funds occurred within the General Fund, the balance of which increased \$307,830 as the result of planned carryover monies for the fiscal year.

Receipts were more than disbursements in 6 out of the 10 non-grant funds, mainly due to planned carryover. The Environmental Services fund is subsidized heavily by local tax receipts and raising fees high enough to completely cover the costs is not feasible in the foreseeable future. All of the funds began and ended 2006 with positive cash balances.

**CLARK COUNTY COMBINED HEALTH DISTRICT
CLARK COUNTY**

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006
UNAUDITED**

General Fund Budgeting Highlights

The District's budget is prepared according to Ohio law and is based upon accounting for certain transactions on a basis of cash receipts, disbursements, and encumbrances. The most significant budgeted fund is the General Fund.

During 2006, the District amended its General and Special Revenue fund budgets to reflect changing circumstances. The increase of Public Health Infrastructure monies for Pandemic Influenza required an Amended Certificate of Estimated Revenue.

General Fund disbursements were originally budgeted at \$2,353,876, amended to \$2,363,726 and actual disbursements were \$2,380,641. Receipts were initially budgeted at \$2,822,749, amended to \$2,925,546 and actual receipts were \$2,939,578. The excess in funds (actual receipts minus actual disbursements) was \$558,937, which is a planned carryover to help fund the district operations during the next levy cycle.

Current Issues

The challenge for all Health Districts is to provide high quality preventive health services to the public while staying within the restrictions imposed by limited, and in some cases shrinking, funding. We rely heavily on local taxes and the county's industry is now in the early stages of recovering from a downturn in the past few years. With the passage of a replacement levy for operating expenses coupled with a downturn in Tangible Personal Property Tax revenue projected beginning in 2010 and beyond, we can predict fairly flat to slightly declining total dollar amount in local tax receipts in the next six years. With these resources, along with conservative spending, the District can continue its slow rate of financial growth and can be assured that basic service provision can continue even with shrinkage of grant funding.

Contacting the District's Financial Management

This financial report is designed to provide our citizens, taxpayers and providers with a general overview of the Health District's finances and to reflect the Health District's accountability for the monies it receives. Questions concerning any of the information in this report or requests for additional information should be directed to Charles Patterson, Health Commissioner, Clark County Combined Health District, 529 East Home Road, Springfield, Ohio 45503.

Clark County Combined Health District

Statement of Net Assets - Cash Basis

December 31, 2006

	<u>Governmental Activities</u>
Assets	
Equity in Pooled Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 2,715,412
<i>Total Assets</i>	<u>2,715,412</u>
Net Assets	
Restricted for:	
Other Purposes	774,662
Unrestricted:	
General Fund	<u>1,940,750</u>
<i>Total Net Assets</i>	<u>\$ 2,715,412</u>

See accompanying notes to the basic financial statements

Clark County Combined Health District
Statement of Activities - Cash Basis
For the Year Ended December 31, 2006

	Program Cash Receipts				Net (Disbursements) Receipts and Changes in Net Assets
	Cash Disbursements	Charges for Services and Sales	Operating Grants and Contributions	Contract For Services	Primary Government Governmental Activities
Governmental Activities					
Environmental Health					
Food Service Fund	\$ 216,451	\$ 202,835	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (13,616)
Solid Waste Fund	94,756	-	-	53,562	(41,194)
Plumbing Fund	207,464	189,379	-	-	(18,085)
Environmental Services Fund	238,743	62,226	-	-	(176,517)
Water System Fund	104,822	72,365	-	-	(32,457)
CD&D Fund	80,710	75,923	-	-	(4,787)
Other Environmental Health Funds	183,439	33,585	-	15,000	(134,854)
Grant Programs					
Help Me Grow	476,409	-	498,771	-	22,362
Child and Family Health Services	28,900	-	-	-	(28,900)
Public Health Infrastructure	178,983	-	143,705	16,500	(18,778)
Tobacco Use Grant	171,975	-	212,301	-	40,326
Early Start Grant	159,386	-	163,263	-	3,877
OIMRI Grant	265,894	-	321,866	-	55,972
Other Grants	86,064	-	501,164	46,900	462,000
Immunizations	185,288	278,073	-	-	92,785
Vital Statistics	158,556	290,807	-	-	132,251
Administration	1,598,895	-	-	-	(1,598,895)
Other Health Expenditures	721,359	-	-	-	(721,359)
	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Total Governmental Activities</i>	<u>\$ 5,158,094</u>	<u>\$ 1,205,193</u>	<u>\$ 1,841,070</u>	<u>\$ 131,962</u>	<u>(1,979,869)</u>
General Receipts					
Property Taxes Levied for					
General Health District Purposes					2,172,952
State Subsidy/contract with New Carlisle					53,290
Miscellaneous					61,457
<i>Total General Receipts</i>					<u>2,287,699</u>
Change in Net Assets					<u>307,830</u>
<i>Net Assets Beginning of Year (See Note 3)</i>					<u>2,407,582</u>
<i>Net Assets End of Year</i>					<u>\$ 2,715,412</u>

See accompanying notes to the basic financial statements

Clark County Combined Health District
Statement of Cash Basis Assets and Fund Balances
Governmental Funds
December 31, 2006

	<u>General</u>	<u>HMG/TANF Fund</u>	<u>Other Governmental Funds</u>	<u>Total Governmental Funds</u>
Assets				
Equity in Pooled Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 1,940,750	\$ 49,648	\$ 725,014	\$ 2,715,412
<i>Total Assets</i>	<u>1,940,750</u>	<u>49,648</u>	<u>725,014</u>	<u>2,715,412</u>
Fund Balances				
Reserved:				
Reserved for Encumbrances	66,286	27,485	82,190	175,961
Unreserved:				
General Fund	1,874,464	-	-	1,874,464
Special Revenue Funds	-	22,163	642,824	664,987
<i>Total Fund Balances</i>	<u>\$ 1,940,750</u>	<u>\$ 49,648</u>	<u>\$ 725,014</u>	<u>\$ 2,715,412</u>

See accompanying notes to the basic financial statements

Clark County Combined Health District
Statement of Cash Receipts, Disbursements and Changes in Cash Basis Fund Balances
 Governmental Funds
 For the Year Ended December 31, 2006

	General	HMG/TANF Fund	Other Governmental Funds	Total Governmental Funds
Receipts				
State Funds	\$ 297,814	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 297,814
Tax Levies	1,928,429	-	-	1,928,429
License Fees	339	-	268,495	268,834
Nursing Fees	277,733	-	-	277,733
Vital Statistics Fees	290,807	-	-	290,807
Contractual Services	-	-	131,962	131,962
Medicaid	31,949	-	654	32,603
Other Receipts	24,151	-	-	24,151
Reimbursements	88,356	-	-	88,356
Grants	-	498,561	1,342,509	1,841,070
Income and Fees	-	210	4,493	4,703
Fees/Fines/Permits	-	-	367,818	367,818
<i>Total Receipts</i>	<u>2,939,578</u>	<u>498,771</u>	<u>2,115,931</u>	<u>5,554,280</u>
Disbursements				
Current:				
Salaries - Employees	1,119,604	215,769	1,168,655	2,504,028
PERS	160,602	29,469	151,486	341,557
Supplies	229,980	781	13,219	243,980
Equipment	27,684	-	2,277	29,961
Contract Services/Repair	35,265	140,529	366,228	542,022
Contract Services/Other	125,559	-	18,572	144,131
Travel & Expenses	26,214	1,437	42,148	69,799
Advertising & Printing	9,826	-	1,046	10,872
Health Insurance	231,407	54,360	248,538	534,305
Workers Compensation & DWR	7,545	956	4,110	12,611
Medicare	14,173	2,643	15,121	31,937
Dental	4,949	880	5,377	11,206
Life Insurance	605	107	699	1,411
Vital Statistics	158,556	-	-	158,556
Maintenance	130,559	-	199,265	329,824
Utilities	24,260	2,502	-	26,762
Market & PR	2,424	-	-	2,424
Lactation	5,143	-	-	5,143
Remittance-State	-	-	97,306	97,306
Other Expense	-	-	799	799
Wraparound	-	26,016	2,907	28,923
Admin Costs	-	22,960	6,500	29,460
Returned Grant Funds	-	-	47,255	47,255
Vehicle Purchase	-	-	42,178	42,178
<i>Total Disbursements</i>	<u>2,314,355</u>	<u>498,409</u>	<u>2,433,686</u>	<u>5,246,450</u>
<i>Excess of Receipts Over (Under) Disbursements</i>	<u>625,223</u>	<u>362</u>	<u>(317,755)</u>	<u>307,830</u>
Other Financing Sources (Uses)				
Transfers In	11,166	-	279,129	290,295
Transfers Out	(279,129)	-	(11,166)	(290,295)
Advances In	28,900	-	5,454	34,354
Advances Out	(5,454)	-	(28,900)	(34,354)
<i>Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)</i>	<u>(244,517)</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>244,517</u>	<u>-</u>
<i>Net Change in Fund Balances</i>	380,706	362	(73,238)	307,830
<i>Fund Balances Beginning of Year (See Note 3)</i>	<u>1,560,044</u>	<u>49,286</u>	<u>798,252</u>	<u>2,407,582</u>
<i>Fund Balances End of Year</i>	<u>\$ 1,940,750</u>	<u>\$ 49,648</u>	<u>\$ 725,014</u>	<u>\$ 2,715,412</u>

See accompanying notes to the basic financial statements

Clark County Combined Health District
Statement of Cash Receipts, Disbursements and Changes
In Fund Balance - Budget and Actual -Budget Basis
General Fund
For the Year Ended December 31, 2006

	Budgeted Amounts		Actual	(Optional)
	Original	Final		Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative)
Receipts				
State Funds	\$ 244,408	\$ 253,860	\$ 297,814	\$ 43,954
Taxes	2,017,841	1,972,354	1,928,429	(43,925)
License Fees	-	-	339	339
Nursing Fees	200,000	277,000	277,733	733
Vital Statistic Fees	258,000	286,000	290,807	4,807
Medicaid	25,000	25,000	31,949	6,949
Other Receipts	7,500	7,500	24,151	16,651
Reimbursements	70,000	103,832	88,356	(15,476)
<i>Total receipts</i>	<u>2,822,749</u>	<u>2,925,546</u>	<u>2,939,578</u>	<u>14,032</u>
Disbursements				
Salaries	1,132,503	1,122,290	1,119,604	2,686
PERS	160,208	162,849	160,602	2,247
Supplies	183,800	236,000	236,085	(85)
Unemployment	20,000	2,628	-	2,628
Equipment	25,000	28,000	27,684	316
Contract Services/Other	43,000	43,000	38,090	4,910
Contract Services/Repair	123,900	128,900	138,291	(9,391)
Travel	30,950	31,950	30,914	1,036
Advertising and Printing	15,000	10,000	11,035	(1,035)
Health Insurance	229,235	231,741	231,407	334
Worker's Compensation	4,058	5,501	8,129	(2,628)
Medicare	16,371	16,371	14,173	2,198
Dental Insurance	4,856	4,987	4,949	38
Life Insurance	595	609	605	4
Vital Statistics	165,000	165,000	185,306	(20,306)
Maintenance	127,500	127,500	130,559	(3,059)
Utilities	36,400	36,400	35,310	1,090
Marketing and PR	7,500	2,500	2,424	76
Capital Improvement	20,500	-	-	-
Lactation	7,500	7,500	5,474	2,026
<i>Total Disbursements</i>	<u>2,353,876</u>	<u>2,363,726</u>	<u>2,380,641</u>	<u>(16,915)</u>
<i>Excess of Receipts Over (Under) Disbursements</i>	<u>468,873</u>	<u>561,820</u>	<u>558,937</u>	<u>(2,883)</u>
Other Financing Sources (Uses)				
Transfers In	-	11,166	11,166	-
Transfers Out	(247,097)	(279,129)	(279,129)	-
Advances In	48,900	28,900	28,900	-
Advances Out	(20,000)	(6,116)	(5,454)	662
<i>Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)</i>	<u>(218,197)</u>	<u>(245,179)</u>	<u>(244,517)</u>	<u>662</u>
<i>Net Change in Fund Balance</i>	250,676	316,641	314,420	(2,221)
<i>Fund Balance Beginning of Year</i>	<u>1,504,947</u>	<u>1,504,947</u>	<u>1,504,947</u>	<u>-</u>
Prior Year Encumbrances Appropriated	<u>55,097</u>	<u>55,097</u>	<u>55,097</u>	<u>-</u>
<i>Fund Balance End of Year</i>	<u>\$ 1,810,720</u>	<u>\$ 1,876,685</u>	<u>\$ 1,874,464</u>	<u>\$ (2,221)</u>

See accompanying notes to the basic financial statements

Clark County Combined Health District
Statement of Cash Receipts, Disbursements and Changes
In Fund Balance - Budget and Actual -Budget Basis
HMG/TANF Fund
For the Year Ended December 31, 2006

	Budgeted Amounts			(Optional) Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative)
	Original	Final	Actual	
Receipts				
Grants	\$ 498,292	\$ 497,965	\$ 498,561	\$ 596
Other	-	210	210	-
<i>Total receipts</i>	498,292	498,175	498,771	596
Disbursements				
Salaries	201,136	216,642	215,769	873
PERS	27,254	29,700	29,469	231
Contract Services/Repair	140,000	139,970	140,529	(559)
Travel	6,000	3,885	1,437	2,448
Health Insurance	55,176	54,360	54,360	-
Worker's Compensation	662	956	956	-
Medicare	2,916	3,142	2,643	499
Dental Insurance	886	880	880	-
Life Insurance	111	107	107	-
Utilities	7,000	4,229	4,228	1
Wraparound	45,000	33,736	46,016	(12,280)
Office Supplies	5,150	2,500	2,500	-
Administrative Costs	27,000	27,000	27,000	-
<i>Total Disbursements</i>	518,291	517,107	525,894	(8,787)
<i>Excess of Receipts Over (Under) Disbursements</i>	(19,999)	(18,932)	(27,123)	(8,191)
<i>Net Change in Fund Balance</i>	(19,999)	(18,932)	(27,123)	(8,191)
<i>Fund Balance Beginning of Year</i>	27,804	27,804	27,804	-
Prior Year Encumbrances Appropriated	21,482	21,482	21,482	-
<i>Fund Balance End of Year</i>	\$ 29,287	\$ 30,354	\$ 22,163	\$ (8,191)

See accompanying notes to the basic financial statements

**CLARK COUNTY COMBINED HEALTH DISTRICT
CLARK COUNTY**

**NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2006**

Note 1 – Reporting Entity

The constitution and laws of the State of Ohio establish the rights and privileges of the Clark County Combined Health District, (the District) as a body corporate and politic.

An eight-member Board and a Health Commissioner govern the District. Services provided by the District include: prevention and control of diseases, sanitation, vital statistics, abatement and removal of nuisances, and air pollution control.

The District's Management believes this financial statement presents all activities for which the District is financially responsible.

A reporting entity is comprised of the primary government, component units and other organizations ensuring that the financial statements are not misleading. The primary government consists of all funds, departments, boards and agencies that are not legally separate from the District. For acceptable OCBOA, this includes general operations, immunization, vital statistics, health related licenses and permits, disease prevention and control, and public health nursing.

Note 2 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

As discussed further in Note 2C, these financial statements are presented on a cash basis of accounting. This cash basis of accounting differs from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). Generally accepted accounting principles include all relevant Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) pronouncements, which have been applied to the extent they are applicable to the cash basis of accounting. In the District-wide financial statements, Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) pronouncements and Accounting Principles Board (APB) opinions issued on or before November 30, 1989, have been applied, to the extent they are applicable to the cash basis of accounting, unless those pronouncements conflict with or contradict GASB pronouncements, in which case GASB prevails. Following are the more significant of the District's accounting policies.

A. Fund Accounting

The District uses funds to maintain its financial records during the fiscal year. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid management by segregating transactions related to certain functions or activities. A fund is a fiscal and accounting entity with a self balancing set of accounts. The District classifies each fund as either governmental, proprietary or fiduciary.

Governmental Funds - The District classifies funds financed primarily from taxes, intergovernmental receipts (e.g. grants) and other non-exchange transactions as governmental funds. The following are the District's major governmental funds:

General Fund - The general fund accounts for all financial resources except for restricted resources requiring a separate accounting. The general fund balance is available for any purpose provided it is disbursed or transferred according to Ohio law.

Special Revenue Funds - The other governmental funds of the District account for grants and other resources whose use is restricted to a particular purpose. The District has the following major Special Revenue Fund:

GRF/TANF Funds – This fund receives Federal grant money used to provide services to the Help Me Grow system in Clark County. These funds are predominantly for early childhood issues including early detection and intervention.

**CLARK COUNTY COMBINED HEALTH DISTRICT
CLARK COUNTY**

**NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2006
(Continued)**

Note 2 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

B. Basis of Presentation

The Village's basic financial statements consist of government-wide statements, including a statement of net assets and a statement of activities, and fund financial statements which provide a more detailed level of financial information.

Government-wide Financial Statements: The statement of net assets and the statement of activities display information about the Village as a whole. These statements include the financial activities of the primary government, except for the fiduciary funds. The statements distinguish between those activities that are governmental and those that are considered business-type. Governmental activities generally are financed through taxes, intergovernmental receipts, or other non-exchange transactions. Business-type activities are financed in whole or in part by fees charged to external parties for goods or services. The District has no business-type activities.

The statement of net assets presents the cash balances of the governmental and business-type activities of the Village at yearend. The statement of activities compares disbursements with program receipts for each of the Village's governmental and business-type activities. Disbursements are reported by function. A function is a group of related activities designed to accomplish a major service or regulatory program for which the Village is responsible. Program receipts include charges paid by the recipient of the program's goods or services and grants and contributions restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular program. General receipts are all receipts not classified as program receipts, with certain limited exceptions. The comparison of direct disbursements with program receipts identifies the extent to which each governmental function or business-type activity is self-financing on a cash basis or draws from the Village's general receipts.

Fund Financial Statements: During the year, the Village segregates transactions related to certain Village functions or activities in separate funds in order to aid financial management and to demonstrate legal compliance. Fund financial statements are designed to present financial information of the Village at this more detailed level. The focus of governmental and enterprise fund financial statements is on major funds. Each major fund is presented in a separate column. Non-major funds are aggregated and presented in a single column.

Proprietary fund statements distinguish operating transactions from non-operating transactions. Operating receipts generally result from exchange transactions such as charges for services directly relating to the fund's principal services. Operating disbursements include costs of sales and services and administrative costs. The proprietary fund statements report all other receipts and disbursements as non-operating. The District has no proprietary funds.

**CLARK COUNTY COMBINED HEALTH DISTRICT
CLARK COUNTY**

**NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2006
(Continued)**

Note 2 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

C. Basis of Accounting

The basis of accounting is a set of guidelines that determine when financial events are recorded. The Health District has elected to present its financial statements on a cash basis of accounting. This basis of accounting is a basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles. Under the Government's cash basis of accounting, receipts and disbursements are recorded when cash is received or paid.

As a result of using the cash basis of accounting, certain assets and their related revenues (such as accounts receivable) and certain liabilities and their related expenses (such as accounts payable) are not recorded in the financial statements. Therefore, when reviewing the financial information and discussion within this report, the reader must keep in mind the limitations resulting from the use of the cash basis of accounting.

D. Cash

As required by Ohio Revised Code, the County Treasurer is custodian for the District's cash. The County's cash and investment pool holds the District's assets, valued at the County Treasurer's reported carrying amount.

E. Inventory and Prepaid Items

On the cash basis of accounting, inventories of supplies are reported as disbursements when purchased.

F. Capital Assets

Acquisitions of property, plant, and equipment are recorded as disbursements when paid. The financial statements do not report these items as assets.

G. Compensated Absences

In certain circumstances, such as upon leaving employment, employees are entitled to cash payments for unused leave. Unpaid leave is not reflected as a liability under the District's cash basis of accounting.

H. Fund Balance Reserves

The District reserves those portions of fund equity legally segregated for a specific future use or which are not available for appropriation or disbursement. The District has reserved fund equity for encumbrances.

I. Interfund Activity

The statements report exchange transactions between funds as receipts in the seller funds and as disbursements in the purchasing funds. Non-exchange flows of cash from one fund to another are reported as interfund transfers. Governmental funds report interfund transfers as other financing sources/uses. The statements do not report repayments from funds responsible for particular disbursements to the funds initially paying the costs.

**CLARK COUNTY COMBINED HEALTH DISTRICT
CLARK COUNTY**

**NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2006
(Continued)**

Note 2 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

J. Budgetary Data

The major documents prepared are the tax budget, the appropriation resolution and the certificate of estimated resources, which use the budgetary basis of accounting. The budgetary basis reports expenditures when a commitment is made (i.e., when an encumbrance is approved). The tax budget demonstrates a need for existing or increased tax rates. The certificate of estimated resources establishes a limit on the amounts the Board may appropriate. The appropriation resolution is the Board’s authorization to spend resources and sets annual limits on expenditures plus encumbrances at a level of control the Board selects. The District uses the object level within each fund and function as its legal level of control.

The certificate of estimated resources may be amended during the year if the District projects increases or decreases in receipts. The amounts reported as the original budget in the budgetary statements reflect the amounts in the certificate when the Board adopted the original appropriations. The amounts reported as the final budget in the budgetary statements reflect the amounts in the amended certificate in effect at the time the final appropriations were passed.

The Board may amend appropriations throughout the year with the restriction that appropriations may not exceed estimated resources. The amounts reported as the original budget reflect the first appropriation for a fund covering the entire fiscal year, including amounts automatically carried over from prior years. The amounts reported as the final budget represent the final appropriation the Board passed during the year.

Budget versus actual statements for major funds are presented as part of the financial statements.

K. Net Assets

Net assets are reported as restricted when there are limitations imposed on their use either through enabling legislation or through external restrictions imposed by creditors, grantors, or laws or regulations of other governments. Net assets restricted for other purposes primarily include programs mandated by the Ohio Revised Code and grants awarded by the Ohio Department of Health or other agencies. The District’s policy is to first apply restricted resources when an obligation is incurred for purposes for which both restricted and unrestricted net assets are available.

Note 3 – Fund Reclassification / Restatement of Beginning Fund Balances

The 2006 beginning balances on the financial statements were restated for the reclassification of funds from Agency to Special Revenue to correct errors of a prior period. The effect of the restatement is as follows:

	Special Revenue	Agency
Balance as of December 31, 2005	\$735,808	\$111,730
Adjustment for Health Accrued Liability Fund	85,241	(85,241)
Adjustment for Sick Leave Payout Fund	26,489	(26,489)
Adjusted Fund Balance as of January 1, 2006	\$847,538	\$0

**CLARK COUNTY COMBINED HEALTH DISTRICT
CLARK COUNTY**

**NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2006
(Continued)**

Note 4 – Budgetary Basis of Accounting

The budgetary basis as provided by law is based upon accounting for certain transactions on the basis of cash receipts, disbursements, and encumbrances. The Statement of Receipts, Disbursements and Changes in Fund Balances – Budget and Actual – Budgetary Basis presented for the general fund and each major special revenue fund is prepared on the budgetary basis to provide a meaningful comparison of actual results with the budget. The difference between the budgetary basis and the cash basis is (are) outstanding year end encumbrances are treated as expenditures (budgetary basis) rather than as a reservation of fund balance (cash basis) and outstanding year end advances are treated as an other financing source or use (budgetary basis) rather than as an interfund receivable or payable (cash basis).

	General Fund	HMG/TANF Fund
Budgetary Basis	\$1,874,464	\$22,163
Net Adjustment for Encumbrances	<u>66,286</u>	<u>27,485</u>
Cash Basis	<u>\$1,940,750</u>	<u>\$49,648</u>

Note 5 – Property Taxes

The County Commissioners serve as a special taxing authority to levy a special levy outside the property tax ten-mill limitation to provide the District with sufficient funds to carry out health programs and general operations. The County Commissioners placed a countywide (except The City of New Carlisle) levy of 1.0 mill on the ballot in 2001 and gained approval by the electors of the county. This levy is for a period of five years and collections continue through 2007. The County Commissioners also authorized the placement of a 1.0 mill replacement levy on the November 2006 ballot. The voters passed the replacement levy to begin collections in 2008 and continue through 2012.

Note 6 – Interfund Transfers

Interfund transfers for the year ended December 31, 2006, were as follows:

Transfers from the General Fund to:		
Major Governmental Fund	\$	-
Other Governmental Funds	\$	<u>279,129</u>
Total Transfers from the General Fund	\$	279,129
Transfers from Special Revenue Fund to the General Fund	\$	11,166

The above mentioned Transfers From/To were used to move receipts from the fund that statute or budget requires to collect them to the fund that statute or budget requires to expend them; and to use unrestricted receipts collected in the General Fund to finance various programs accounted for in other funds in accordance with budgetary authorizations. A transfer was also made out of the Child and Family Health Fund (a nonmajor governmental fund) into the General Fund, per court approval, to reimburse the fund for expenditures made before grant monies were received.

**CLARK COUNTY COMBINED HEALTH DISTRICT
CLARK COUNTY**

**NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2006
(Continued)**

Note 7 – Risk Management

Risk Pool Membership

The District belongs to the Public Entities Pool of Ohio (PEP), a risk-sharing pool available to Ohio local governments. PEP provides property and casualty coverage for its members. PEP is a member of the American Public Entity Excess Pool (APEEP). Member governments pay annual contributions to fund PEP. PEP pays judgments, settlements and other expenses resulting from covered claims that exceed the members' deductibles.

Coverage limits - The District has coverage for a variety of instances through PEP. Highlights of the coverage include \$4,000,000 for automobile liability, \$4,000,000 for medical malpractice and \$4,000,000 for Public Health Department liability.

Risk Pool membership- The local government is a member of the Public Entities Pool of Ohio (PEP), a risk-sharing pool available to Ohio political subdivisions. PEP provides property and casualty coverage for its members. PEP is a member of the American Public Entity Excess Pool (APEEP). Member political subdivisions pay annual contributions to fund PEP. PEP pays judgments, settlements and other expenses resulting from covered claims that exceed the members' deductibles.

Casualty Coverage- PEP retains up to \$250,000 per claim, including loss adjustment expenses. PEP makes an annual contribution to APEEP. APEEP reinsures casualty claims exceeding \$250,000, up to \$1,750,000 per claim and \$5,000,000 in the aggregate per year. If losses exhaust PEP's net assets, APEEP covers PEP's retained casualty risk up to \$10,000,000 per year, subject to a per-claim limit of \$2,000,000. Local political subdivisions can elect additional coverage, up to a total limit of \$12,000,000 from the General Reinsurance Corporation.

Property Coverage- PEP retains property risks, including automobile physical damage, up to \$100,000 in any one occurrence. APEEP reinsures property losses that are greater than \$100,000 up to \$250,000 per occurrence. The Travelers Indemnity Company reinsures losses exceeding \$250,000 up to \$600,000,000 per occurrence.

The aforementioned casualty and property reinsurance agreements do not discharge PEP's primary liability for claims payments on covered losses. Claims exceeding coverage limits are the obligation of the respective local government.

Financial Position- PEP's financial statements (audited by other accountants) conform with generally accepted accounting principles, and reported the following assets, liabilities and net assets at December 31, 2005 and 2004 (the latest information available)

<u>Casualty Coverage</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
Assets	\$29,719,675	\$27,437,169
Liabilities	<u>(15,994,168)</u>	<u>(13,880,038)</u>
Net assets	<u>\$13,725,507</u>	<u>\$13,557,131</u>

**CLARK COUNTY COMBINED HEALTH DISTRICT
CLARK COUNTY**

**NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2006
(Continued)**

Note 7 – Risk Management (Continued)

<u>Property Coverage</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
Assets	\$4,443,332	\$3,648,272
Liabilities	<u>(1,068,246)</u>	<u>(540,073)</u>
Net assets	<u>\$3,375,086</u>	<u>\$3,108,199</u>

Settled claims have not exceeded this commercial coverage in any of the past three fiscal years. There has been no significant reduction in insurance coverage in any of the past three years.

C. County Commissioner Association of Ohio Workers’ Compensation Group Rating Plan

For 2006, the District, through the County of Clark as their fiscal agent, participated in the County/Commissioners Association of Ohio Workers’ Compensation Group Rating Plan (“the GRP”), an insurance purchasing pool.

The intent of the GRP is to achieve a reduced premium for the District by virtue of its grouping with other participants in the GRP. The workers’ compensation experience of the participants is calculated as one experience and a common premium rate is applied to all participants in the Plan. Each participant pays its workers’ compensation premium to the State based on the rate for the Plan rather than the individual rate.

The GRP then calculates the total savings which accrued to the Plan through its formation. This savings is then compared to the overall savings percentage of the Plan. The Plan’s executive committee then collects rate contributions from, or pays rate equalization rebates to the various participants. Participation in the plan is limited to counties that can meet the Plan’s selection criteria.

D. Employee Medical Benefits

The District participates in a health insurance plan through United Healthcare available for all fulltime employees. The fully insured plan includes health, mental health and prescription benefits.

The cost of the program for 2006 was \$534,304. This shows a 6.2 percent increase in one year. The increase is due to the overall 5 percent increase by the carrier and plan changes by staff members.

The District also participates in group dental plans with MCA and Superior.

The total cost for the combined programs was \$11,206 for 2006 and \$10,598 for 2005. This indicates a 5.7 percent increase which is the first tangible increase in three years.

**CLARK COUNTY COMBINED HEALTH DISTRICT
CLARK COUNTY**

**NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2006
(Continued)**

Note 8 – Ohio Public Employees Retirement System (OPERS)

The District participates in the OPERS which administers three separate pension plans. The traditional plan is a cost-sharing, multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan. The member-directed plan is a defined contribution plan in which the member invests both member and employer contributions (employer contributions vest over five years at 20 percent per year). Under the member-directed plan, members accumulate retirement assets equal to the value of the member and vested employer contributions plus any investment earnings. The combined plan is a cost-sharing, multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan that has elements of both a defined benefit and a defined contribution plan. Under the combined plan, employer contributions are invested by OPERS to provide a formula retirement benefit similar to the traditional plan benefit. Member contributions, whose investment is self-directed by the member, accumulate retirement assets in a manner similar to the member-directed plan.

OPERS provides retirement, disability, survivor and death benefits, and annual cost of living adjustments to members of the traditional and combined plans. Members of the member-directed plan do not qualify for ancillary benefits. Authority to establish and amend benefits is provided by Chapter 145 of the Ohio Revised Code.

OPERS issues a stand alone financial report that may be obtained by writing to the Ohio Public Employees Retirement System, 277 East Town Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215-4642, or by calling (614) 222-6705 or (800) 222-7377.

For the year ended December 31, 2006, members of all three plans, were required to contribute 9.0 percent of their annual covered salary to fund pension obligations. The District's contribution rate for pension benefits for 2006 and 2005 was 13.70 percent. The Ohio Revised Code provides statutory authority for member and employer contributions.

The District's required contribution for pension obligations to the traditional, combined, and member directed plans for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, were \$341,556, \$332,940 and \$312,898, respectively. The 2.6% increase is attributed to raises (3.0% average), additional employees paid by grants, and the replacement of higher paid employees with newer employees with lower salaries.

Note 9 – Postemployment Benefits

The Ohio Public Employees Retirement System (OPERS) provides postretirement health care coverage to age and service retirees with ten or more years of qualifying Ohio service credit with either the traditional or combined plans. Health care coverage for disability recipients and primary survivor recipients is available. Members of the member-directed plan do not qualify for postretirement health care coverage.

The health care coverage provided by the retirement system is considered an Other Post employment Benefit (OPB) as described in GASB Statement No. 12. A portion of each employer's contribution to the traditional or combined plans is set aside for the funding of postretirement health care based on authority granted by State statute. The 2006 employer contribution rate was 13.7 percent of covered payroll and 4.50 percent was the portion used to fund health care.

**CLARK COUNTY COMBINED HEALTH DISTRICT
CLARK COUNTY**

**NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2006
(Continued)**

Note 9 – Postemployment Benefits (Continued)

Benefits are advance-funded using the entry age normal actuarial cost method. Significant actuarial assumptions, based on OPERS's latest actuarial review performed as of December 31, 2003, include a rate of return on investments of 8 percent, an annual increase in active employee total payroll of 4 percent compounded annually (assuming no change in the number of active employees), and an additional increase in total payroll of between .5 percent and 6.3 percent based on additional annual pay increases. Health care premiums were assumed to increase 1 to 6 percent annually for the next eight years and 4 percent annually after eight years. All investments are carried at market value. For actuarial valuation purposes, a smoothed market approach is used. Assets are adjusted to reflect 25 percent of unrealized market appreciation or depreciation on investment assets annually.

On September 9, 2004, OPERS Retirement Board adopted a Health Care Preservation Plan (HCPP) with an effective date of January 1, 2007. The HCPP restructures OPERS' health care coverage to improve the financial solvency of the fund in response to skyrocketing health care costs.

OPERS issues a stand alone financial report that may be obtained by writing to the Ohio Public Employees Retirement System, 277 East Town Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215-4642, or by calling (614) 222-6705 or (800) 222-7377.

For the year ended December 31, 2006, members of all three plans, were required to contribute 9.0 percent of their annual covered salary to fund pension obligations. The District's contribution rate for pension benefits for 2006 and 2005 was 13.70 percent. The Ohio Revised Code provides statutory authority for member and employer contributions.

The District's required contribution for pension obligations to the traditional, combined, and member directed plans for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, were \$341,556, \$332,940 and \$312,898, respectively. The 2.6% increase is attributed to raises (3.0% average), additional employees paid by grants, and the replacement of higher paid employees with newer employees with lower salaries.

Note 10 – Contingent Liabilities

Amounts received from grantor agencies are subject to audit and adjustment by the grantor, principally the federal government. Any disallowed costs may require refunding to the grantor. Amounts which may be disallowed, if any, are not presently determinable. However, based on prior experience, management believes such refunds, if any, would not be material.

Note 11 – Contractual Obligations

The District is a party to a multi-year lease for rental of office space. This lease was initiated June 13, 2002 and is renewable through December 31, 2016. This lease requires the District to remit quarterly. The lease payment is \$15,000 per quarter with an annual reconciliation for the maintenance and custodial costs. All utilities are the responsibility of the Combined Health District. An addendum to the lease was entered agreed in September of 2004. This is an increase in the lease payments by \$28,118 per year due by the end of May of each year beginning in 2005 and terminating after the fifth payment in 2009.

CLARK COUNTY COMBINED HEALTH DISTRICT
SCHEDULE OF FEDERAL AWARDS EXPENDITURES
FOR YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

Federal Grantor/Pass Through Grantor Program Title	Pass Through Entity Number	CFDA Number	Disbursements
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services			
(Passed through Ohio Department of Health)			
Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Grant	1210011BD06	93.197	\$ 47,527
	1210011BD07		<u>38,915</u>
Total Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Grant			86,442
Immunization Action Plan Grant	1210012AZ05	93.268	9,677
	1210012AZ06		<u>55,294</u>
Total Immunization Action Plan Grant			64,971
Ohio Infant Mortality Reduction Initiative Grant	1210011DM06	93.994	161,084
	1210011DM07		<u>105,311</u>
Total Ohio Infant Mortality Reduction Initiative Grant			266,395
Public Health Infrastructure Grant	1210012BI06	93.283	131,844
	1210012BI07		<u>56,345</u>
Total Public Health Infrastructure Grant			188,189
U.S. Department of Environmental Protection Agency			
(Passed through Ohio Department of Health)			
State Indoor Radon Grant	1210012BA06	66.032	<u>13,318</u>
Total United States Department of Environmental Protection Agency			13,318
U.S. Department of Public Safety			
(Passed through Ohio Department of Transportation)			
Safe Communities Grant	SC-2006-12-00-00-00536	20.600	30,320
	SC-2007-12-00-00-00613-00		<u>420</u>
Total United States Department of Public Safety			30,740
Total Federal Awards Expenditures			<u><u>\$ 650,055</u></u>

See accompanying notes to the Schedule of Federal Awards Expenditures

**CLARK COUNTY COMBINED HEALTH DISTRICT
CLARK COUNTY**

**NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF FEDERAL AWARDS EXPENDITURES
DECEMBER 31, 2006**

NOTE A - SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accompanying Schedule of Federal Awards Expenditures (the Schedule) is a summary of the District's federal award programs. The Schedule has been prepared on the cash basis of accounting.

The information on this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of State, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*.

NOTE B – MATCHING REQUIREMENTS

Certain federal programs require that the District contribute non-federal funds (matching funds) to support the federally funded programs. The District has complied with the matching requirements. The expenditure of non-federal matching funds is not included on the Schedule.

Perry & Associates
Certified Public Accountants, A.C.

PARKERSBURG
1035 Murdoch Avenue
Parkersburg, WV 26101
(304) 422-2203

MARIETTA
428 Second Street
Marietta, OH 45750
(740) 373-0056

**INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER
FINANCIAL REPORTING ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS
REQUIRED BY GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS**

June 29, 2007

Clark County Combined Health District
Clark County
529 East Home Road
Springfield, OH 45503

To the Members of the Board:

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of the **Clark County Combined Health District** (the District) as of and for the year ended December 31, 2006, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated June 29, 2007, wherein we noted the District uses a comprehensive accounting basis other than generally accepted accounting principles. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our audit procedures for expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not to opine on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we have not opined on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. However, as discussed below, we identified one deficiency in internal control over financial reporting we consider a significant deficiency.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the District's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report financial data reliably in accordance with its applicable accounting basis, such that there is more than a remote likelihood that the District's internal control will not prevent or detect a more-than-inconsequential financial statement misstatement.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting (Continued)

We consider the following deficiency described in the accompanying schedule of findings to be a significant deficiency in internal control over financial reporting: 2006-001.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies resulting in more than a remote likelihood that the District's internal control will not prevent or detect a material financial statement misstatement.

Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in the internal control that might be significant deficiencies and accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all significant deficiencies that are also material weaknesses. We believe the significant deficiency described above is not a material weakness.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of reasonably assuring whether the District's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we tested its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could directly and materially affect the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that we must report under *Government Auditing Standards*.

In a separate letter to the District's management dated June 29, 2007, we reported one matter related to noncompliance we deemed immaterial.

We intend this report solely for the information and use of management, the Board of Health, federal awarding agencies, and pass-through entities. It is not intended for anyone other than these specified parties.

Respectfully Submitted,

Perry and Associates
Certified Public Accountants, A.C.

Perry & Associates
Certified Public Accountants, A.C.

PARKERSBURG
1035 Murdoch Avenue
Parkersburg, WV 26101
(304) 422-2203

MARIETTA
428 Second Street
Marietta, OH 45750
(740) 373-0056

**INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS
APPLICABLE TO EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL
OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133**

June 29, 2007

Clark County Combined Health District
Clark County
529 East Home Road
Springfield, OH 45503

To the Board of Health:

We have audited the compliance of the **Clark County Combined Health District** (the District), with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133, Compliance Supplement, that apply to its major federal program for the year ended December 31, 2006. The Summary of Auditor's Results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings identifies the District's major federal program. The District's management is responsible for complying with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to its major federal program. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the District's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to reasonably assure whether noncompliance occurred with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could directly and materially affect a major federal program. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the District's compliance with those requirements and performing other procedures we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the District's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, the Clark County Combined Health District, complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that apply to its major federal program for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Clark County Combined Health District
Clark County
Independent Accountants' Report on Compliance
with Requirements Applicable to Major Federal
Programs and Internal Control Over Compliance
in Accordance with OMB A-133
Required by *Government Auditing Standards*
Page 2

Internal Control Over Compliance

The District's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the District's internal control over compliance with requirements that could directly and materially affect a major federal program to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133.

Our consideration of the internal control over compliance would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that noncompliance with applicable requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants caused by error or fraud that would be material in relation to a major federal program being audited may occur and not be timely detected by employees when performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over compliance and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

We intend this report solely for the information and use of management, the Board of Health, federal awarding agencies, and pass-through entities. It is not intended for anyone other than these specified parties.

Respectfully Submitted,

Perry and Associates
Certified Public Accountants, A.C.

**CLARK COUNTY COMBINED HEALTH DISTRICT
CLARK COUNTY**

**SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS
OMB CIRCULAR A -133 § .505
DECEMBER 31, 2006**

1. SUMMARY OF AUDITOR'S RESULTS
--

<i>(d)(1)(i)</i>	Type of Financial Statement Opinion	Unqualified
<i>(d)(1)(ii)</i>	Were there any material control weakness conditions reported at the financial statement level (GAGAS)?	No
<i>(d)(1)(ii)</i>	Were there any other reportable control weakness conditions reported at the financial statement level (GAGAS)?	Yes
<i>(d)(1)(iii)</i>	Was there any reported material noncompliance at the financial statement level (GAGAS)?	No
<i>(d)(1)(iv)</i>	Were there any material internal control weakness conditions reported for major federal programs?	No
<i>(d)(1)(iv)</i>	Were there any other reportable internal control weakness conditions reported for major federal programs?	No
<i>(d)(1)(v)</i>	Type of Major Programs' Compliance Opinion	Unqualified
<i>(d)(1)(vi)</i>	Are there any reportable findings under § .510?	No
<i>(d)(1)(vii)</i>	Major Programs (list):	Maternal and Child Health Services CFDA # 93.994
<i>(d)(1)(viii)</i>	Dollar Threshold: Type A\B Programs	Type A: > \$ 300,000 Type B: all others
<i>(d)(1)(ix)</i>	Low Risk Auditee?	Yes

**CLARK COUNTY COMBINED HEALTH DISTRICT
CLARK COUNTY**

**SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS
DECEMBER 31, 2006 and 2005
Continued**

**2. FINDINGS RELATED TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
REQUIRED TO BE REPORTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GAGAS**

FINDING NUMBER 2006-001

Significant Deficiency

Financial Statement Presentation

Financial statements prepared by the District did not present correct fund classifications. Beginning fund balances had to be restated to correct fund classifications and additional audit adjustments were required to correct errors.

We recommend the District take steps to ensure financial statements reflect proper fund classifications. If necessary, the District should consider using outside resources for guidance.

Management's Response – We did not receive a response from officials to this finding.

3. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR FEDERAL AWARDS

None.



Mary Taylor, CPA
Auditor of State

COMBINED HEALTH DISTRICT

CLARK COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbitt

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

**CERTIFIED
NOVEMBER 8, 2007**