#### **AUDIT REPORT**

FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2007



# Mary Taylor, CPA Auditor of State

Board of Directors Mansfield Metropolitan Housing Authority 88 West 3rd Street Mansfield, Ohio 44902

We have reviewed the *Independent Auditor's Report* of the Mansfield Metropolitan Housing Authority, Richland County, prepared by James G. Zupka, CPA, Inc., for the audit period July 1, 2006 through June 30, 2007. Based upon this review, we have accepted these reports in lieu of the audit required by Section 117.11, Revised Code. The Auditor of State did not audit the accompanying financial statements and, accordingly, we are unable to express, and do not express an opinion on them.

Our review was made in reference to the applicable sections of legislative criteria, as reflected by the Ohio Constitution, and the Revised Code, policies, procedures and guidelines of the Auditor of State, regulations and grant requirements. The Mansfield Metropolitan Housing Authority is responsible for compliance with these laws and regulations.

Mary Taylor, CPA Auditor of State

Mary Saylor

January 22, 2008



## MANSFIELD METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY AUDIT REPORT FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2007

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	<u>PAGE</u>
Independent Auditor's Report	1-2
Management's Discussion and Analysis	3-9
Basic Financial Statements:	
Statement of Net Assets	10
Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets	11
Statement of Cash Flows - Proprietary Fund Type - Enterprise Fund	12
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements	13-22
Supplemental Data:	
Combining Balance Sheet - (FDS Schedule Format)	23
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Equity by Program - (FDS Schedule Format)	24
Schedule of Federal Awards Expenditures	25
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	26-27
Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and Internal Control over Compliance in Accordance with <i>OMB Circular A-133</i>	28-29
Schedule of Findings and Ouestioned Costs	30



#### JAMES G. ZUPKA, C.P.A., INC.

Certified Public Accountants 5240 East 98th Street Garfield Hts., Ohio 44125

Member American Institute of Certified Public Accountants

(216) 475 - 6136

Ohio Society of Certified Public Accountants

#### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Board of Directors Mansfield Metropolitan Housing Authority Mansfield, Ohio Regional Inspector General of Audit Department of Housing and Urban Development

We have audited the accompanying basic financial statements of Mansfield Metropolitan Housing Authority as of June 30, 2007, and for the year then ended, as listed in the foregoing table of contents. These basic financial statements are the responsibility of the Mansfield Metropolitan Housing Authority's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these basic financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the basic financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the basic financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, based on our audit the basic financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Mansfield Metropolitan Housing Authority as of June 30, 2007, and the results of its operations and the cash flows for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued a report dated December 12, 2007, on our consideration of Mansfield Metropolitan Housing Authority's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grants. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be read in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

The Management's Discussion and Analysis is not a required part of the basic financial statements but is supplementary information required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the supplementary information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion thereon.

Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements of the Mansfield Metropolitan Housing Authority taken as a whole. The supplementary Financial Data Schedule is presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the financial statements of the Mansfield Metropolitan Housing Authority. The accompanying Schedule of Federal Awards Expenditures is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by U. S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Government and Non-Profit Organizations* and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly presented in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

James G. Zupka, CPA, Inc. Certified Public Accountants

December 12, 2007

#### Management's Discussion and Analysis June 30, 2007 Unaudited

The Mansfield Metropolitan Housing Authority's (the "Authority") Management's Discussion and Analysis is designed to **a**) assist the reader in focusing on significant financial issues, **b**) provide an overview of the Authority's financial activity, **c**) identify changes in the Authority's financial position (its ability to address the next and subsequent fiscal year challenges), and **d**) identify individual fund issues or concerns.

Since the Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) is designed to focus on the current year activities, resulting changes and currently known facts, please read it in conjunction with the Authority's financial statements, which begin on page 10.

#### **Financial Highlights**

- During fiscal year 2007, the Authority's net assets decreased by \$62,855, or 4.04 percent. Since the Authority engages only in business-type activities, the decrease is all in the category of business-type net assets. Net assets were \$1,554,440 and \$1,491,585 for fiscal year 2006 and fiscal year 2007, respectively.
- The Authority's revenue decreased by \$31,998, or less than 1 percent during fiscal year 2007, and was \$7,545,098 and \$7,513,100 for fiscal year 2006 and fiscal year 2007 respectively.
- The total expenses of the Authority increased by \$403,401, or 5.19 percent. Total expenses were \$7,369,817 and \$7,773,218 for fiscal year 2006 and fiscal year 2007 respectively.

#### **Using This Annual Report**

This report includes three major sections, the Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A), the Basic Financial Statements, and Other Required Supplementary Information.

#### MD&A

Management's Discussion and Analysis - pages 3-9

#### **Basic Financial Statements**

Authority-Wide Financial Statements - pages 10-12 Fund Financial Statement - pages 23-24 Notes to the Basic Financial Statements - pages 13-22

#### **Other Required Supplementary Information**

Required Supplementary Information - none

The primary focus of the Authority's financial statement is on the Authority as a whole (Authority-wide).

#### Management's Discussion and Analysis June 30, 2007 Unaudited

#### **Authority-Wide Financial Statements**

The Authority-wide financial statements on pages 10 through 12 are designed to be corporate-like in that all business type activities are consolidated into columns, which add to a total for the entire Authority.

The statements include a Statement of Net Assets, which is similar to a balance sheet. The Statement of Net Assets reports all financial and capital resources for the Authority. The statement is presented in the format where assets minus liabilities equal Net Assets, formerly known as equity. Assets and liabilities are presented in order of liquidity and are classified as "current" (convertible into cash within one year) and "non-current".

The focus on the Statement of Net Assets (the "Unrestricted Net Assets") is designed to represent the net available liquid (non-capital) assets, net of liabilities, for the entire Authority. Net assets, formerly equity, are reported in three broad categories:

- <u>Net Assets, Invested in Capital Assets, Net of Related Debt</u> This component of net assets consists of all capital assets, reduced by the outstanding balances of any bonds, mortgages, notes, or other borrowings that are attributable to the acquisition, construction, or improvement of those assets.
- <u>Restricted Net Assets</u> This component of net assets consists of restricted assets when constraints are placed on the asset by creditors (such as debt covenants), grantors, contributors, laws, regulations, etc.
- <u>Unrestricted Net Assets</u> Consists of net assets that do not meet the definition of "Net Assets Invested in Capital Assets, Net of Related Debt", or "Restricted Net Assets".

The Authority-wide financial statements also include a Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Fund Net Assets, which is similar to an Income Statement. This statement includes operating revenues, such as rental income, operating expenses, such as administrative, utilities, maintenance, and depreciation, and non-operating revenues and expenses, such as grant revenue, investment income, and interest expense.

The focus of the Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Fund Net Assets is the "Change in Net Assets", which is similar to net income or loss.

Finally, a Statement of Cash Flows on page 12 is included, which discloses net cash provided by, or used for operating activities, non-capital financing activities, and from capital and related financing activities.

#### Management's Discussion and Analysis June 30, 2007 Unaudited

#### **Fund Financial Statements**

The Authority is accounted for as an enterprise fund. Enterprise funds utilize the full accrual basis of accounting. The enterprise method of accounting is similar to accounting utilized by the private sector accounting.

Many of the programs maintained by the Authority are required by the Department of Housing and Urban Development. Others are segregated to enhance accountability and control.

#### The Authority's Programs

Housing Choice Voucher Program Under the Housing Choice Voucher Program, the Authority administers contracts with independent landlords that own the property. The Authority subsidizes the family's rent through a Housing Assistance Payment made to the landlord. The program is administered under an Annual Contributions Contract (ACC) with HUD. HUD provides Annual Contributions Funding to enable the Authority to structure a lease that sets the participants' rent at 30 percent of adjusted household income.

New Construction Section 8 Program Under the New Construction Project Based Program, the Authority serves as Contractor Administrator for two (2) projects: Smiley Gardens and Morchester Villa. The family's rent is subsidized through a Housing Assistance Payment made between the project owner and the family. HUD provides Annual Contributions Funding to the Authority to pay the owner. The participants' rent is set at 30 percent of adjusted household income.

Other Non-Major Programs In addition to the programs above, the Authority also maintains the following non-major programs. Non-major funds are defined as funds that have assets, liabilities, revenues, or expenses of at least 5 percent or more of the Authority's total assets, liabilities, revenues, or expenses:

• Local/State Activities - represents non-HUD resources developed from a variety of activities.

#### Management's Discussion and Analysis June 30, 2007 Unaudited

#### **Authority-Wide Statements**

The following table reflects the condensed Statement of Net Assets compared to prior year. The Authority is engaged only in Business-Type Activities.

**Table 1 - Statement of Net Assets** 

	FY 2007	FY 2006
Assets	<u> </u>	
Current and Other Assets	\$ 999,881	\$1,228,612
Capital Assets	1,002,782	601,282
Total Assets	2,002,663	1,829,894
Liabilities		
Current Liabilities	69,712	60,337
Noncurrent Liabilities	441,366	215,117
Total Liabilities	511,078	275,454
Net Assets		
Invested in Capital Assets	678,386	601,282
Unrestricted	813,199	953,158
<b>Total Net Assets</b>	<u>\$ 1,491,585</u>	\$ 1,554,440

For more detailed information, see page 10 for the Statement of Net Assets.

#### **Major Factors Affecting the Statement of Net Assets**

Current assets decreased by \$228,731 or 18.62 percent while liabilities were increased by \$235,624 or 85.54 percent. Current assets (primarily cash and investments) were used to extinguish liabilities. Cash was also used towards the remodeling cost for the 88 West 3<sup>rd</sup> Street office, two employees retiring received final benefit pay, and changes to HUD funding were causes for the decreases. The Authority also took out a loan towards paying for some of the remodeling cost which increased liabilities.

The Capital Assets increased in 2007 the net result of \$401,500 due to additions or purchases, disposition of assets and current year's depreciation. For more detail see "Capital Assets and Debt Administration" below.

Table 2 presents details on the change in Unrestricted Net Assets.

#### Management's Discussion and Analysis June 30, 2007 Unaudited

Table 2 -	Change	of Uni	estricted	Net A	Assets
	Change		Conticuo		

Unrestricted Net Assets at June 30, 2006		\$ 953,158
Results of Operations	\$ (260,118)	
Adjustments: Depreciation (1)	59,352	
HUD Settlement of Reserves (2)	197,263	
Adjusted Results from Operations		(3,503)
Capital Expenditures		(604,213)
Debt Proceeds, Net of Payments		324,396
Proceeds from Sale of Assets		143,361
<b>Unrestricted Net Assets at June 30, 2007</b>		\$ 813,199

- (1) Depreciation is treated as an expense and reduces the results of operations but does not have an impact on unrestricted net assets.
- (2) HUD Settlement of Reserves for the period ending December 31, 2004.

While the result of operations is a significant measure of the Authority's activities, the analysis of the changes in unrestricted net assets provides a clearer change in financial wellbeing.

The following schedule reflects the condensed Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets and compares the revenues and expenses for the current and previous fiscal year.

Table 3 - Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets

	FY 2007	FY 2006
Revenues		
HUD PHA Operating Grants	\$7,018,702	\$7,105,791
Investment Income	18,836	9,220
Other Revenues - Service Income	452,355	419,550
Other Revenues - Fraud Recovery	23,207	10,537
<b>Total Revenues</b>	7,513,100	7,545,098
<u>Expenses</u>		
Administrative	1,160,551	1,188,209
Maintenance	25,804	18,243
General	37,792	26,364
Housing Assistance Payments	6,489,719	6,094,398
Depreciation	59,352	42,603
Total Expenses	7,773,218	7,369,817
Net Increase/Decrease	(260,118)	175,281
Prior Period Adjustment	197,263	(125,337)
Change in Net Assets	\$ (62,855)	\$ 49,944

### Management's Discussion and Analysis June 30, 2007 Unaudited

#### Major Factors Affecting the Statement of Revenue, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets

HUD PHA grants decrease was caused by the changes in HUD funding in the different calendar years. Housing Assistance Payments on the other hand increased due to maintaining a high leasing schedule and the increase in payment standards in August 2006.

The large net decrease in operations is caused in part by the current year increase in Housing Assistance Payments of \$395,321. The Authority had a surplus of operating funds for the Section 8 programs but a loss on the board operations. The loss on the boards was primarily due to the new office building remodeling project cost.

Administrative expenses had a decrease due to additional staffing changes.

Most other expenses increased moderately due to inflation.

#### **Capital Assets**

As of June 30, 2007, the Authority had \$1,002,782 in capital assets reflected in the following schedule, which represents a net increase (addition, deductions, and depreciation).

**Table 4 - Capital Assets at Year-End (Net of Depreciation)** 

	ar mar (ritt or 2 oprotium)	
	FY 2007	FY 2006
Land	\$ 30,000	\$ 86,000
Buildings and Improvements	1,043,137	649,086
Furniture and Equipment	179,074	304,849
Vehicles	49,165	49,165
Accumulated Depreciation	(298,594)	(487,818)
Total	\$ 1,002,782	\$ 601,282

The following reconciliation summarizes the change in capital assets, which is presented in detail on page 17 of the notes.

#### Management's Discussion and Analysis June 30, 2007 Unaudited

<b>Table 5 - Change in Capital Assets</b>	Table :	5 -	Change	in	Capital	<b>Assets</b>
---	---------	-----	--------	----	---------	---------------

Business-Type
<u>Activities</u>
\$ 601,282
604,213
(143,361)
(59,352)
<u>\$1,002,782</u>

The year's major additions are:

A new projector for \$700 and remodeling of Office Building located at 88 W. 3<sup>rd</sup> Street for \$603,513.

#### **Debt Outstanding**

As of June 30, 2006, the Authority had \$324,396 in debt (mortgage) outstanding as compared to \$0 last year, a \$324,396 increase. More information on the mortgage is contained in Note 6 to the financial statements.

#### **Economic Factors**

Significant economic factors affecting the Authority are as follows:

- Federal funding of the Department of Housing and Urban Development
- Local labor supply and demand, which can affect salary and wage rates
- Local inflationary, recession, and employment trends, which can affect resident incomes and therefore the amount of housing assistance
- Inflationary pressure on utility rates, supplies, and other costs.

#### **Financial Contact**

The individual to be contacted regarding this report is Marsha K. Inscho, Finance Manager for the Mansfield Metropolitan Housing Authority, at (419) 526-1622. Specific requests may be submitted to the Authority at P.O. Box 1029, Mansfield, Ohio 44901.

### MANSFIELD METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS PROPRIETARY FUNDS

#### FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2007

<u>ASSETS</u>	Totals
Current Assets Cash and Cash Equivalents Receivables - Net of Allowance Deferred Charges and Other Assets	\$ 953,042 32,412 14,427
Total Current Assets	999,881
Noncurrent Assets Capital Assets: Nondepreciable Capital Assets Depreciable Capital Assets, Net Total Noncurrent Assets	30,000 <u>972,782</u> <u>1,002,782</u>
TOTAL ASSETS	<u>\$ 2,002,663</u>
LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS  Current Liabilities  Accounts Payable  Current Portion of Long-Term Debt  Accounts Payable - HUD  Accrued Compensated Absences  Total Current Liabilities	\$ 24,044 11,725 3,554 30,389 69,712
Noncurrent Liabilities Long-Term Debt, Net of Current Portion Accrued Compensated Absences Total Noncurrent Liabilities Total Liabilities	312,671 128,695 441,366 511,078
Net Assets Invested in Capital Assets Unrestricted Net Assets Total Net Assets	678,386 813,199 1,491,585
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS	<u>\$ 2,002,663</u>

See accompanying notes to the basic financial statements.

#### MANSFIELD METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES AND CHANGES IN FUND NET ASSETS PROPRIETARY FUNDS

#### FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2007

	Totals
Operating Revenue	
Program Operating Grants/Subsidies	\$ 7,018,702
Other Income - Service Income	452,355
Other Income - Fraud Recovery	23,207
Total Operating Revenue	7,494,264
Operating Expenses	
Administrative	1,160,551
Maintenance	25,804
General	25,396
Housing Assistance Payments	6,489,719
Depreciation	59,352
Total Operating Expenses	7,760,822
Operating Income (Loss)	(266,558)
Nonoperating Revenues (Expenses)	
Interest and Investment Revenue	18,836
Interest Expense	(12,396)
Total Nonoperating Revenues (Expenses)	6,440
Change in Net Assets	(260,118)
Prior Period Adjustments	197,263
Total Net Assets, Beginning Balance	1,554,440
<b>Total Net Assets, Ending</b>	\$ 1,491,585

See accompanying notes to the basic financial statements.

# MANSFIELD METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS PROPRIETARY FUND TYPE ENTERPRISE FUND

#### FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2007

	_	Totals
Cash Flows from Operating Activities		
Cash Received from HUD	\$	7,215,965
Cash Received from Clients		454,848
Cash Payments for Housing Assistance Payments		(6,489,719)
Cash Payments for Administrative		(1,168,477)
Cash Payments for Other Operating Expenses	_	(69,583)
Net Cash (Used) by Operating Activities		(56,966)
Cash Flows from Capital and Related Financing Activities		
Acquisition of Capital Assets		(604,213)
Proceeds from Debt		350,000
Debt Principal		(25,604)
Interest Expense		(12,396)
Cash Received - Building Sale	_	143,361
Net Cash Provided by Capital and Other Related Financing Activities	_	(148,852)
Cash Flows from Investing Activities		
Investment Income		18,836
Net Cash Provided by Investing Activities		18,836
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents		(186,982)
Cash and Cash Equivalents, Beginning		1,140,024
Cash and Cash Equivalents, Beginning  Cash and Cash Equivalents, Ending	\$	953,042
Call and Call Life and Life an	<u> </u>	700,0.2
Reconciliation of Operating Loss to		
Net Cash Used by Operating Activities		
Net Operating Income (Loss)	\$	(266,558)
Adjustments to Reconcile Operating Loss to		
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities:		
Depreciation		59,352
(Increase) Decrease in:		
Receivables - Net of Allowance		38,873
Deferred Charges and Other Assets		2,876
HUD Revenue - Prior Periods		197,263
Increase (Decrease) in:		
Accounts Payable		8,427
HUD Payables		(18,383)
Compensated Absences		(19,229)
Deferred Credits Other Liabilities	_	(59,587)
Net Cash Used by Operating Activities	\$	(56,966)

See accompanying notes to the basic financial statements.

#### NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### **Organization and Reporting Entity**

The Mansfield Metropolitan Housing Authority (the Authority) was created under the Ohio Revised Code Section 3735.27 to engage in the acquisition, development, leasing, and administration of a low-rent housing program. An Annual Contributions Contract (ACC) was signed by the Authority and the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) under the provisions of the United States Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. 1437) Section 1.1. The Authority was also created in accordance with state law to eliminate housing conditions which are detrimental to the public peace, health, safety, morals, or welfare by purchasing, acquiring, constructing, maintaining, operating, improving, extending, and repairing housing facilities.

The nucleus of the financial reporting entity as defined by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 14 is the "primary government". A fundamental characteristic of a primary government is that it is a fiscally independent entity. In evaluating how to define the financial reporting entity, management has considered all potential component units. A component unit is a legally separate entity for which the primary government is financially accountable. The criteria of financial accountability is the ability of the primary government to impose its will upon the potential component unit. These criteria were considered in determining the reporting entity. The Authority has no component units that are presented in the financial statements.

#### **Basis of Presentation**

The financial statements of the Authority have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) as applied to government units. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the accepted standard-setting body for establishing governmental accounting and financial reporting principles.

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 20, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Proprietary Funds and Other Governmental Entities That Use Proprietary Fund Accounting, the Authority has elected to apply the provisions of Statements and Interpretations of the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued after November 30, 1989 that do not conflict with GASB pronouncements. The Authority will continue applying all applicable pronouncements issued by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board.

The Authority's basic financial statements consist of a statement of net assets, a statement of revenues, expenses, and changes in net assets, and a statement of cash flows.

#### NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

#### **Basis of Presentation** (Continued)

The Authority uses a single enterprise fund to maintain its financial records on an accrual basis. A fund is defined as a fiscal and accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts.

Enterprise fund reporting focuses on the determination of the change in net assets, financial position, and cash flows. An enterprise fund may be used to account for any activity for which a fee is charged to external users for goods and services.

#### Measurement Focus and Basis of Accounting

The enterprise fund is accounted for on a flow of economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. All assets and all liabilities associated with the operation of the Authority are included on the statement of net assets. The statement of changes in net assets presents increases (i.e., revenues) and decreases (i.e., expenses) in net total assets. The statement of cash flows provides information about how the Authority finances and meets the cash flow needs of its enterprise activity.

Proprietary funds distinguish operating revenues and expenses from nonoperating items. Operating revenues and expenses generally result from providing services and producing and delivering goods in connection with a proprietary fund's principal ongoing operations. The principal operating revenues of the Authority's enterprise fund are charges to tenants for rent and operating subsidies from HUD. Operating expenses for the enterprise fund include the costs of facility maintenance, housing assistance payments, administrative expenses, and depreciation on capital assets. All revenues and expenses not meeting this definition are reported as nonoperating revenues and expenses.

#### **Use of Estimates**

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### **Cash and Cash Equivalents**

The Authority considers all highly liquid investments (including restricted assets) with a maturity of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents. Effective July 1, 2003, the Authority made a change in the presentation of its cash flow statement. The Authority is now presenting cash and cash equivalents (including certificates of deposit) in the cash flow statement.

#### NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

#### **Capital Assets**

The Authority capitalizes all assets with a cost of \$500 and above. Capital assets are recorded at cost. Costs that materially add to the productive capacity or extend the life of an asset are capitalized while maintenance and repair costs are expensed as incurred. Depreciation is computed on the straight line method based on the following estimated useful lives:

Buildings and Improvements	20 to 30 years
Equipment	5 to 7 years
Autos	5 years
Computers	3 years

#### **Capitalization of Interest**

The Authority's policy is not to capitalize interest related to the construction or purchase of capital assets.

#### **Investments**

Investments are stated at fair value. Cost based measures of fair value were applied to nonnegotiable certificates of deposit and money market investments.

#### **Compensated Absences**

The Authority accounts for compensated absences in accordance with GASB Statement No. 16. Sick leave and other compensated absences with similar characteristics are accrued as a liability based on the sick leave accumulated at the balance sheet date by those employees who currently are eligible to receive termination payments. To calculate the liability, these accumulations are reduced to the maximum amount allowed as a termination payment. All employees who meet the termination policy of the Authority for years of service are included in the calculation of the compensated absences accrual amount.

Vacation leave and other compensated absences with similar characteristics are accrued as a liability as the benefits are earned by the employees if both of the following conditions are met: (1) the employees' rights to receive compensation are attributable to services already rendered and are not contingent on a specific event that is outside the control of the employer and employee; and (2) it is probable that the employer will compensate the employees for the benefits through paid time off or some other means, such as cash payments at termination or retirement.

In the proprietary fund, the compensated absences are expensed when earned with the amount reported as a liability. Information regarding compensated absences is detailed in Note 5.

#### NOTE 2: **DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS**

#### **Deposits**

At fiscal year end, the carrying amount of the Authority's deposits was \$953,042, and the bank balance was \$993,449. Based on criteria described in GASB Statement No. 40, *Deposits and Investments Risk Disclosures*, as of June 30, 2007, \$100,000 of the Authority's bank balance was covered by Federal Depository Insurance and \$893,449 was uninsured and collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution's trust department in the Authority's name.

Custodial credit risk is the risk that, in the event of bank failure, the Authority's deposits may not be returned. The Authority's policy is to place deposits with major local banks approved by the Authority's Board. All deposits are collateralized with eligible securities in amounts equal to at least 105% of the carrying value of the deposits. Such collateral, as permitted by Chapter 135 of the ORC, is held in financial institution pools at Federal Reserve Banks, or at member banks of the federal reserve system, in the name of the respective depository bank and pledged as a pool of collateral against all of the public deposits it holds or as specific collateral held at the Federal Reserve bank in the name of the Authority.

#### **Investments**

The Authority has a formal investment policy; however, the Authority held no investments at June 30, 2007.

#### **Interest Rate Risk**

As a means of limiting its exposure to fair market value losses arising from rising interest rates and according to state law, the Authority's investment policy limits investment portfolio maturities to two years or less. The Authority's investment portfolio is structured so that securities mature to meet cash requirements for ongoing operations. The stated intent of the policy is to avoid the need to sell securities prior to maturity.

#### **Credit Risk**

The credit risk of the Authority's investments is identified in the table below. The Authority has no investment policy that would further limit its investment choices.

#### **Custodial Credit Risk**

For an investment, custodial credit risk is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the counterparty, the Authority will not be able to recover the value of its investments or collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. The Authority has no investment policy dealing with investment custodial risk beyond the requirement in State Statute that prohibits payment for investments prior to the delivery of the securities representing such investments to the Treasurer or qualified trustee.

#### NOTE 2: **DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS** (Continued)

#### **Concentration of Credit Risk**

The Authority places no limit on the amount that may be invested to any one issuer. Deposits at June 30, 2007 were as follows:

		Investment	Maturities
	Fair Value	< 1 Year	1-2 Years
Carrying Amount of Deposits	\$ 953,042	\$ 953,042	\$ 0
Totals	\$ 953,042	\$ 953,042	\$ 0

#### NOTE 3: CAPITAL ASSETS

A summary of capital assets at June 30, 2007 by class is as follows:

	06/30/06	Additions	Disposals	06/30/07
<b>Capital Assets Not Being Depreciated</b>				
Land	\$ 86,000	<u>\$</u> 0	\$ (56,000)	\$ 30,000
Total Capital Assets Not Being Depreciated	86,000	0	(56,000)	30,000
Capital Assets Being Depreciated				
Buildings and Improvements	649,086	603,513	(209,462)	1,043,137
Vehicles	49,165	0	0	49,165
Furniture, Equipment, and Machinery -	204.940	700	(106 475)	170.074
Administrative	304,849	700	(126,475)	179,074
Subtotal Capital Assets	1 002 100	604 212	(225 027)	1 271 276
Being Depreciated	1,003,100	604,213	(335,937)	1,271,376
Accumulated Depreciation: Buildings	(277,912)	(49,207)	122,101	(205,018)
Furniture, Equipment and Machinery	(209,906)	(10,145)	126,475	(93,576)
Total Depreciation	$\frac{(487,818)}{(487,818)}$	(59,352)	248,576	(298,594)
Depreciable Assets, Net	515,282	544,861	(87,361)	972,782
Total Capital Assets, Net	\$ 601,282	\$ 544,861	\$ (143,361)	\$ 1,002,782

#### NOTE 4: **DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN**

#### **Ohio Public Employees Retirement System**

The Authority participates in the Ohio Public Employees Retirement System (OPERS). OPERS administers three separate pension plans. The traditional plan is a cost-sharing, multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan. The member-directed plan is a defined contribution plan in which the member invests both member and employee contributions (employer contributions vest over five years at 20 percent per year). Under the member directed plan, members accumulate retirement assets equal to the value of the member and vested employer contributions plus any investment earnings. The combined plan is a cost-sharing, multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan that has elements of both a defined benefit and a defined contribution plan. Under the combined plan, employer contributions are invested by the retirement system to provide a formula retirement benefit similar to the traditional plan benefit. Member contributions, whose investment is self-directed by the member, accumulate retirement assets in a manner similar to the member directed plan.

#### NOTE 4: **DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN** (Continued)

#### Ohio Public Employees Retirement System (Continued)

OPERS provides retirement, disability, survivor and death benefits, and annual cost of living adjustments to members of both the traditional and combined plans. Members of the member-directed plan do not qualify for ancillary benefits. Authority to establish and amend benefits is provided by Chapter 145 of the Ohio Revised Code. OPERS issues a stand-alone financial report that may be obtained by writing to OPERS, 277 E. Town Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215-4642 or by calling (614) 222-6705 or 1-800-222-7377.

Effective January 1, 2006, the members of all three plans were required to contribute 9.0 percent of their annual covered salaries; the percent of contributions increased to 9.5 percent effective January 1, 2007. The Authority's contribution rate for pension benefits was 9.2 percent of covered payroll through December 31, 2006; effective January 1, 2007, the percent decreased to 8.85 percent of covered payroll. The Ohio Revised Code provides statutory authority for member and employer contributions.

The Authority's required contributions for pension obligations to the traditional and combined plans for the years ended June 30, 2007, 2006 and 2005 were \$55,655, \$66,960, and \$67,045 respectively; 100 percent has been contributed for 2007, 2006, and 2005.

#### NOTE 5: **POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS**

#### **Ohio Public Employees Retirement System**

The Ohio Public Employees Retirement System (OPERS) provides post-retirement health care coverage to age and service retirees with ten or more years of qualifying Ohio service credit. Health care coverage for disability recipients and primary survivor recipients is available with both the Traditional and the Combined plan; however, health care benefits are not statutorily guaranteed. Members of the Member-Directed Plan do not qualify for postretirement health care coverage. The health care coverage provided by the retirement system is considered an Other Postemployment Benefit as described in GASB Statement No. 12. A portion of each employer's contribution to OPERS is set aside for the funding of postretirement health care based on authority granted by State statute. The 2006 local government employer contribution rate was 13.70 percent of covered payroll; 4.50 percent of covered payroll was the portion that was used to fund health care. Effective January 1, 2007, the Authority's contribution rate was 13.85 percent of covered payroll; 5.00 percent of covered payroll was the portion that was used to fund healthcare.

#### NOTE 5: **POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS** (Continued)

#### **Ohio Public Employees Retirement System (Continued)**

Benefits are advance-funded using the entry age normal actuarial cost method. Significant actuarial assumptions, based on OPERS' latest actuarial review performed as of December 31, 2005, include a rate of return on investments of 6.50 percent, an annual increase in active employee total payroll of 4.00 percent compounded annually (assuming no change in the number of active employees) and an additional increase in total payroll of between .50 percent and 6.30 percent based on additional annual pay increases. Health care costs were assumed to increase at the projected wage inflation rate plus an additional factor raging from .50 percent to 6.00 percent for the next 9 years. In subsequent years (10 and beyond), health care costs were assumed to increase at 4 percent (the projected inflation rate).

All investments are carried at market. For actuarial valuation purposes, a smoothed market approach is used. Assets are adjusted to reflect 25 percent of unrealized market appreciation or depreciation on investment assets annually, not to exceed a 12 percent corridor.

At December 31, 2006, the number of active contributing participants in the Traditional and Combined Plans totaled 369,214. The number of active contributing participants for both plans used in the December 31, 2005 actuarial valuation was 358,804. Actual employer contributions for 2007 which were used to fund postemployment benefits were \$29,287. The actual contribution and the actuarially required contribution amounts are the same. OPERS' net assets available for payment of benefits at December 31, 2005, (the latest information available) were \$11.1 billion. The actuarially accrued liability and the unfunded actuarial accrued liability were \$31.3 billion and \$20.2 billion, respectively.

On September 9, 2004, the OPERS Retirement Board adopted a Health Care Preservation Plan (HCPP) with an effective date of January 1, 2007. The HCPP restructures OPERS' health care coverage to improve the financial solvency of the fund in response to skyrocketing health care costs. Member and employer contribution rates increased as of January 1, 2006, and January 1, 2007, which will allow additional funds to be allocated to the health care plan.

#### NOTE 6: LONG-TERM DEBT

	Balance at 6/30/06	A	Additions	Б	eletions		Balance t 6/30/07	 ie Within Ine Year
Richland Bank Note,						-		 
8/10/2006	\$ 0	\$	350,000	\$	25,604	\$	324,396	\$ 11,725
Compensated Absences	178,313		10,675		29,907		159,084	 30,389
Total	\$ 178,313	\$	360,675	\$	55,511	\$	483,480	\$ 42,114

Long-term debt for Low-Rent Public Housing includes a 20 year note payable to Richland Bank. The proceeds of \$350,000 will be used for the 88 West Third Street building project. This note is secured by the Third Street Building and bears interest at 5.25 percent. The note and agreement matures as follows:

	<u>Principal</u>	Interest	 Total
2007-2008	\$ 11,725	\$ 16,752	\$ 28,477
2008-2009	12,356	16,121	28,477
2009-2010	13,020	15,457	28,477
2010-2011	13,721	14,756	28,477
2011-2012	14,459	14,018	28,477
2012-2017	84,828	57,555	142,383
2017-2023	110,228	32,155	142,383
2023-Thereafter	64,059	4,251	68,310
Total	\$ 324,396	\$ 171,065	\$ 495,461

#### NOTE 7: **COMPENSATED ABSENCES**

Full time, permanent employees are granted vacation and sick leave benefits in varying amounts to specified maximums depending on tenure with the Authority. Vacation days may not be carried over into the next calendar year. Generally, upon termination after one year of service, employees are entitled to be paid all accrued vacation.

The following schedule details earned annual leave based on length of service:

1-8 years		12 days
9-14 years	18 days	
15-19 years	24 days	
20 years and over	30 days	

Sick leave accrues to full time, permanent employees to specified maximums. Sick leave may be cumulative without limit. However, employees with 8 years or more of service, upon termination of employment, may receive a percentage of their accumulated sick leave at one-third of the first 240 days, one-fourth of unused sick leave in excess of 240 hours but less than 960 hours, plus 15 percent of unused sick leave in excess of 960 hours. Employees, upon retirement, may receive 50 percent of their accumulated sick leave hours.

#### NOTE 7: **COMPENSATED ABSENCES** (Continued)

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 16, Accounting for Compensated Absences, vacation and compensatory time are accrued as liabilities when an employee's right to receive compensation is attributable to services already rendered and it is probable that the employee will be compensated through paid time off or some other means, such as cash payments at termination or retirement. Leave time that has been earned but is unavailable for use as paid time off as some other form of compensation because an employee has not met the minimum service requirement is accrued to the extent that it is considered to be probable that the conditions for compensation will be met in the future.

The Authority's estimated liability for compensated absences at June 30, 2007 is \$159,084.

#### NOTE 8: NOTE TO SCHEDULE OF FEDERAL AWARDS EXPENDITURES

The accompanying Schedule of Federal Awards Expenditures is a summary of the activity of the Authority's federal awards programs. The schedule has been prepared on the accrual basis of accounting.

#### NOTE 9: **INSURANCE COVERAGE**

The Authority is covered for property damage, general liability, automobile liability, lead based paint liability, and other crime liabilities through various insurance companies. Deductibles and coverage limits are summarized below:

		Coverage
	<u>Deductible</u>	<u>Limits</u>
Property	\$ 500	\$ 2,092,000
General Liability	0	\$1,000,000/2,000,000
Automobile	250/500	\$ 1,000,000
Employee Dishonesty	500	\$ 50,000
Lead Based Paint Liability	5,000	\$1,000,000/5,000,000
Public Officials	2,500	\$ 2,000,000

Additionally, Workers' Compensation insurance is maintained through the State of Ohio Bureau of Workers' Compensation, in which rates are calculated retrospectively. The Authority is also fully insured through a premium payment plan with Medical Mutual for employee health care benefits. Settled claims have not exceeded the Authority's insurance in any of the past three years.

#### NOTE 10: **CONTINGENCIES**

The Authority has received several federal and state grants for specific purposes which are subject to review and audit by the grantor agencies. Such audits could lead to requests for reimbursements to grantor agencies for expenditures disallowed under the terms of the grant. Based upon prior experience, management believes such disallowances, if any, will be immaterial.

#### NOTE 11: CONSTRUCTION AND OTHER COMMITMENTS

The Authority had no material operating lease commitments or material capital or construction commitments at June 30, 2007.

#### NOTE 12: **PRIOR PERIOD ADJUSTMENTS**

In the period ending June 30, 2007 the Authority received a HUD settlement of \$197,263 which related to the period ending December 31, 2004.

#### MANSFIELD METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY SUPPLEMENTAL FINANCIAL DATA SCHEDULE STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS BY PROGRAM AS OF JUNE 30, 2007

Lina	Τ	N/C S/R	Housing		
Line			Housing		
Item	A (D ) (	Section 8	Choice	G /T. 1	TD 4 1
No.	Account Description	Programs	Vouchers	State/Local	Total
ASSE	nt Assets:				
	Cash - Unrestricted	\$0	\$92,382	\$29,681	\$122,063
	Total Cash	\$0 \$0	\$92,382	\$29,681	\$122,063
100	1 Otal Casii	\$0	\$92,362	\$29,081	\$122,003
122	Accounts Receivable - HUD Other Projects	\$20,433	\$0	\$0	\$20,433
	Accounts Receivable - Miscellaneous	\$20,433	\$8,726	\$0	\$8,726
	Allowance for Doubtful Accounts - Other	\$0	\$0,720	\$0	\$0,720
	Fraud Recovery	\$0	\$46,874	\$0	\$46,874
	Allowance for Doubtful Accounts - Fraud	\$0	(\$46,874)	\$0 \$0	(\$46,874)
	Accrued Interest Receivable	\$0	\$0	\$3,253	\$3,253
	Total Receivables, net of allowances for doubtful accounts	\$20,433	\$8,726	\$3,253	\$32,412
120	Total Receivables, het of allowances for doubtful accounts	\$20,433	\$6,720	\$3,233	\$32,412
131	Investments - Unrestricted	\$0	\$42,267	\$788,712	\$830,979
	Prepaid Expenses and Other Assets	\$0	\$14,427	\$0	\$14,427
	Interprogram Due From	\$0	\$32,128	\$0	\$32,128
	Total Current Assets	\$20,433	\$189,930	\$821,646	\$1,032,009
130	TOTAL CULTURE HISSORS	Ψ20,733	Ψ107,730	Ψ021,040	Ψ1,002,009
Noncu	rrent Assets				
	Land	\$0	\$0	\$30,000	\$30,000
	Buildings	\$0	\$0	\$295,000	\$295,000
	Furniture, Equipment & Machinery - Administration	\$0	\$157,511	\$70,728	\$228,239
	Leasehold Improvements	\$0	\$0	\$748,137	\$748,137
	Accumulated Depreciation	\$0	(\$152,744)	(\$145,850)	(\$298,594)
	Total Fixed Assets, Net of Accumulated Depreciation	\$0	\$4,767	\$998,015	\$1,002,782
		7.0	+ 1,1 = 1	+,,,,,,,,	+-,00-,00
180	Total Non-Current Assets	\$0	\$4,767	\$998,015	\$1,002,782
		·			
190	Total Assets	\$20,433	\$194,697	\$1,819,661	\$2,034,791
LIABI	LITIES				
312	Accounts Payable <= 90 Days	\$0	\$24,044	\$0	\$24,044
	Accrued Compensated Absences - Current Portion	\$16,089	\$14,300	\$0	\$30,389
331	Accounts Payable - HUD PHA Programs	\$3,554	\$0	\$0	\$3,554
	Current Portion of Long-term Debt - Capital Projects/Mortgage Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$11,725	\$11,725
	Interprogram Due To	\$0	\$0	\$32,128	\$32,128
310	Total Current Liabilities	\$19,643	\$38,344	\$43,853	\$101,840
	Long-term Debt, Net of Current - Capital Projects/Mortgage Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$312,671	\$312,671
	Accrued Compensated Absences - Non Current	\$0	\$128,695	\$0	\$128,695
350	Total Noncurrent Liabilities	\$0	\$128,695	\$312,671	\$441,366
300	Total Liabilities	\$19,643	\$167,039	\$356,524	\$543,206
	ASSETS				
508	Total Contributed Capital	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>500</b>		**	<b></b>	0.553 -11	ф <b>сп</b> о о о о
	Invested in Capital Assets, Net of Related Debt	\$0	\$4,767	\$673,619	\$678,386
511	Total Reserved Fund Balance	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
F11 1	D. C. LAY CA.	4.0	Φ.0	φ.	Φ.0
	Restricted Net Assets	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Unrestricted Net Assets	\$790	\$22,891	\$789,518	\$813,199
513	Total Equity/Net Assets	\$790	\$27,658	\$1,463,137	\$1,491,585
600	Tatal I inhilition and Equitor Nick Associa	\$20.422	0104 607	¢1 010 cc1	¢2.024.701
600	Total Liabilities and Equity/Net Assets	\$20,433	\$194,697	\$1,819,661	\$2,034,791

#### MANSFIELD METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY SUPPLEMENTAL FINANCIAL DATA SCHEDULE STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS BY PROGRAM AS OF JUNE 30, 2007

Line		N/C S/R	Housing		1
Item	Account Description	Section 8	Choice		
No.		Programs	Vouchers	State/Local	Total
REVE	NUES	8			
	Total Tenant Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
		, -	, -		, -
706	HUD PHA Operating Grants	\$496,939	\$6,521,763	\$0	\$7,018,702
	Investment Income - Unrestricted	\$13	\$1,176	\$17,647	\$18,836
714	Fraud Recovery	\$0	\$23,207	\$0	\$23,207
	Other Revenue	\$0	\$202	\$452,153	\$452,355
700	Total Revenue	\$496,952	\$6,546,348	\$469,800	\$7,513,100
EXPE	NSES				
911	Administrative Salaries	\$20,723	\$372,329	\$264,452	\$657,504
912	Auditing Fees	\$2,000	\$5,156	\$1,750	\$8,906
914	Compensated Absences	(\$861)	(\$18,095)	\$0	(\$18,956)
915	Employee Benefit Contributions - Administrative	\$7,776	\$163,494	\$114,596	\$285,866
916	Other Operating - Administrative	\$6,023	\$98,828	\$122,380	\$227,231
942	Ordinary Maintenance and Operations - Materials and Other	\$771	\$16,218	\$8,815	\$25,804
961	Insurance Premiums	\$1,153	\$24,243	\$0	\$25,396
	Interest Expense	\$0	\$0	\$12,396	\$12,396
969	Total Operating Expenses	\$37,585	\$662,173	\$524,389	\$1,224,147
970	Excess Operating Revenue over Operating Expenses	\$459,367	\$5,884,175	(\$54,589)	\$6,288,953
	Housing Assistance Payments	\$459,664	\$6,030,055	\$0	\$6,489,719
	Depreciation Expense	\$0	\$10,145	\$49,207	\$59,352
900	Total Expenses	\$497,249	\$6,702,373	\$573,596	\$7,773,218
1010	Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Excess (Deficiency) of Operating Revenue Over (Under)	(\$297)	(\$156,025)	(\$103,796)	(\$260,118)
	Debt Principal Payments - Enterprise Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Beginning Equity	(\$13,059)	\$566	\$1,566,933	\$1,554,440
	Prior Period Adjustments, Equity Transfers and Correction of	\$14,146	\$183,117	\$0	\$197,263
	Unit Months Available	1,512	20,520	0	22,032
	Number of Unit Months Leased	1,512	18,375	0	19,887
	Administrative Fee Equity	\$0	\$1,491	\$0	\$1,491
1118	Housing Assistance Payments Equity	\$0	\$26,167	\$0	\$26,167

#### MANSFIELD METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY SCHEDULE OF FEDERAL AWARDS EXPENDITURES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2007

Federal Grantor/ Pass Through Grantor/ Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Funds Expended
From U.S. Department of HUD Direct Programs		
Section 8 Programs		
Section 8 Voucher Programs: Housing Assistance Payments: Housing Choice - Vouchers Subtotal Section 8 Voucher Program	14.871	\$ 6,521,763 6,521,763
Section 8 Project Based Programs: Project Based - New Construction Subtotal Section 8 Project Based Programs  Total Section 8 Programs	14.182	496,939 496,939 7,018,702
Total U.S. Department of HUD		7,018,702
TOTAL ALL PROGRAMS		<u>\$ 7,018,702</u>

#### JAMES G. ZUPKA, C.P.A., INC.

Certified Public Accountants 5240 East 98<sup>th</sup> Street Garfield Hts., Ohio 44125

Member American Institute of Certified Public Accountants

(216) 475 - 6136

Ohio Society of Certified Public Accountants

#### REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Board of Directors Mansfield Metropolitan Housing Authority Mansfield, Ohio Regional Inspector General of Audit Department of Housing and Urban Development

We have audited the financial statements of the business-type activities of the Mansfield Metropolitan Housing Authority, Ohio, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2007, which collectively comprise the Mansfield Metropolitan Housing Authority, Ohio's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated December 12, 2007. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

#### **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit, we considered Mansfield Metropolitan Housing Authority, Ohio's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Mansfield Metropolitan Housing Authority, Ohio's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Mansfield Metropolitan Housing Authority, Ohio's internal control over financial reporting.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the Mansfield Metropolitan Housing Authority, Ohio's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report financial data reliably in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the Mansfield Metropolitan Housing Authority, Ohio's financial statements that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the Mansfield Metropolitan Housing Authority Ohio's internal control.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the financial statements will not be prevented or detected by the Mansfield Metropolitan Housing Authority, Ohio's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Mansfield Metropolitan Housing Authority, Ohio's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the audit committee, management, Board of Directors, and Federal Awarding Agencies and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

James G. Zupka, CPA, Inc. Certified Public Accountants

December 12, 2007

#### JAMES G. ZUPKA, C.P.A., INC.

Certified Public Accountants 5240 East 98th Street Garfield Hts., Ohio 44125

Member American Institute of Certified Public Accountants

(216) 475 - 6136

Ohio Society of Certified Public Accountants

### REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133

Board of Directors Mansfield Metropolitan Housing Authority Mansfield, Ohio Regional Inspector General of Audit Department of Housing and Urban Development

#### **Compliance**

We have audited the compliance of the Mansfield Metropolitan Housing Authority, Ohio, with the types of compliance requirements described in the *U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133* that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2007. Mansfield Metropolitan Housing Authority, Ohio's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants, applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of the Mansfield Metropolitan Housing Authority, Ohio's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Mansfield Metropolitan Housing Authority compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the Mansfield Metropolitan Housing Authority, Ohio's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on Mansfield Metropolitan Housing Authority, Ohio's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, Mansfield Metropolitan Housing Authority, Ohio, complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2007.

#### **Internal Control Over Compliance**

The management of the Mansfield Metropolitan Housing Authority, Ohio, is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered Mansfield Metropolitan Housing Authority, Ohio's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Mansfield Metropolitan Housing Authority's control over compliance.

A *control deficiency* in an entity's internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to administer a federal program such that there is more than a remote likelihood that noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented or detected by the Mansfield Metropolitan Housing Authority, Ohio's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Board of Directors, management, Auditor of State, and Federal awarding agencies and is not intended to be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

James G. Zupka, CPA, Inc. Certified Public Accountants

December 12, 2007

#### MANSFIELD METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS OMB CIRCULAR A-133 & .505

**JUNE 30, 2007** 

1.	<b>SUMMARY</b>	OF AUDITOR	'S RESULTS

2007(i)	Type of Financial Statement Opinion	Unqualified
2007(ii)	Were there any material control weakness conditions reported at the financial statement level (GAGAS)?	No
2007(ii)	Were there any other significant deficiencies in internal control reported at the financial statements level (GAGAS)?	No
2007(iii)	Was there any reported material noncompliance at the financial statement level (GAGAS)?	No
2007(iv)	Were there any material internal control weakness conditions reported for major federal programs?	No
2007(iv)	Were there any other reportable internal control weakness conditions reported for major federal programs?	No
2007(v)	Type of Major Programs' Compliance Opinion	Unqualified
2007(vi)	Are there any reportable findings under .510?	No
2007(vii)	Major Programs (list): Section 8 Housing Choice - Vouchers - #14.871 Section 8 - New Construction Program - #14.182	
2007(viii)	Dollar Threshold: Type A\B Programs	Type A: >\$300,000 Type B: < all others
2007(ix)	Low Risk Auditee?	Yes

### 2. FINDINGS RELATED TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS REQUIRED TO BE REPORTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GAGAS

None.

#### 3. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR FEDERAL AWARDS

None.



# Mary Taylor, CPA Auditor of State

#### MANSFIELD METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY

#### **RICHLAND COUNTY**

#### **CLERK'S CERTIFICATION**

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

**CLERK OF THE BUREAU** 

Susan Babbitt

**CERTIFIED FEBRUARY 5, 2008**