REGULAR AUDIT

FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005 & 2006



TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE	PAGE
Cover Letter	1
Independent Accountants' Report	3
Combined Statement of Cash Receipts, Cash Disbursements, and Changes in Fund Cash Balances - All Governmental Fund Types - For the Year Ended December 31, 2006	5
Combined Statement of Cash Receipts, Cash Disbursements, and Changes in Fund Cash Balances - All Governmental Fund Types - For the Year Ended December 31, 2005	6
Notes to the Financial Statements	7
Independent Accountants' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Required by <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	15
Schedule of Findings	
Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	25

This page intentionally left blank.



Mary Taylor, CPA Auditor of State

Van Buren Township Putnam County 400 North Street P.O. Box 291 Leipsic, Ohio 45856-0291

To the Board of Trustees:

As you are aware, the Auditor of State's Office (AOS) must modify the *Independent Accountants' Report* we provide on your financial statements due to an interpretation from the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA). While AOS does not legally require your government to prepare financial statements pursuant to Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP), the AICPA interpretation requires auditors to formally acknowledge that you did not prepare your financial statements in accordance with GAAP. Our Report includes an adverse opinion relating to GAAP presentation and measurement requirements, but does not imply the amounts the statements present are misstated under the non-GAAP basis you follow. The AOS report also includes an opinion on the financial statements you prepared using the cash basis and financial statement format the AOS permits.

Mary Jaylor

Mary Taylor, CPA Auditor of State

November 13, 2007

This page intentionally left blank.



Mary Taylor, CPA Auditor of State

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT

Van Buren Township Putnam County 400 North Street P.O. Box 291 Leipsic, Ohio 45856-0291

To the Board of Trustees:

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of Van Buren Township, Putnam County, (the Township) as of and for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Township's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to reasonably assure whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. The Township processes its financial transactions with the Auditor of State's Uniform Accounting Network (UAN). *Government Auditing Standards* considers this service to impair the independence of the Auditor of State to audit the Township because the Auditor of State designed, developed, implemented, and as requested, operates UAN. However, *Government Auditing Standards* permits the Auditor of State to audit and opine on this entity, because Ohio Revised Code § 117.101 requires the Auditor of State to audit Ohio governments. We believe our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described more fully in Note 1, the Township has prepared these financial statements using accounting practices the Auditor of State prescribes or permits. These practices differ from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). Although we cannot reasonably determine the effects on the financial statements of the variances between these regulatory accounting practices and GAAP, we presume they are material.

One Government Center / Room 1420 / Toledo, OH 43604-2246 Telephone: (419) 245-2811 (800) 443-9276 Fax: (419) 245-2484 www.auditor.state.oh.us Van Buren Township Putnam County Independent Accountants' Report Page 2

Instead of the combined funds the accompanying financial statements present, GAAP require presenting entity wide statements and also presenting the Township's larger (i.e. major) funds separately. While the Township does not follow GAAP, generally accepted auditing standards requires us to include the following paragraph if the statements do not substantially conform to GAAP presentation requirements. The Auditor of State permits, but does not require townships to reformat their statements. The Township has elected not to follow GAAP statement formatting requirements. The following paragraph does not imply the amounts reported are materially misstated under the accounting basis the Auditor of State permits. Our opinion on the fair presentation of the amounts reported pursuant to its non-GAAP basis is in the second following paragraph.

In our opinion, because of the effects of the matter discussed in the preceding two paragraphs, the financial statements referred to above for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, do not present fairly, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the financial position of the Township as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, or its changes in financial position for the years then ended.

Also, in our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the combined fund cash balances of Van Buren Township, Putnam County, as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and its combined cash receipts and disbursements for the years then ended on the accounting basis Note 1 describes.

The Township has not presented Management's Discussion and Analysis, which accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America has determined is necessary to supplement, although not required to be part of, the financial statements.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated November 13, 2007, on our consideration of the Township's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. While we did not opine on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance, that report describes the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance, and the results of that testing. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*. You should read it in conjunction with this report in assessing the results of our audit.

Mary Jaylo

Mary Taylor, CPA Auditor of State

November 13, 2007

COMBINED STATEMENT OF CASH RECEIPTS, CASH DISBURSEMENTS, AND CHANGES IN FUND CASH BALANCES ALL GOVERNMENTAL FUND TYPES FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

	Governmental Fund Types		
	General	Special Revenue	Totals (Memorandum Only)
Cash Receipts:			
Property and Other Local Taxes	\$100,457	\$40,522	\$140,979
Charges for Services		7,399	7,399
Licenss, Permits, and Fees	925	5,525	6,450
Intergovernmental	63,661	105,129	168,790
Payment in Lieu of Taxes	9,585		9,585
Earnings on Investments	7,269	2,244	9,513
Miscellaneous	25,247	6,351	31,598
Total Cash Receipts	207,144	167,170	374,314
Cash Disbursements:			
Current:			
General Government	127,784		127,784
Public Safety		20,084	20,084
Public Works		73,163	73,163
Health	29,664	10,989	40,653
Capital Outlay	20,100	1,000	21,100
Total Cash Disbursements	177,548	105,236	282,784
Total Cash Receipts Over Cash Disbursements	29,596	61,934	91,530
Fund Cash Balances, January 1	150,828	98,786	249,614
Fund Cash Balances, December 31	\$180,424	\$160,720	\$341,144

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

COMBINED STATEMENT OF CASH RECEIPTS, CASH DISBURSEMENTS, AND CHANGES IN FUND CASH BALANCES ALL GOVERNMENTAL FUND TYPES FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005

	Governmental Fund Types		_	
	General	Special Revenue	Capital Projects	Totals (Memorandum Only)
Cash Receipts:				
Property and Other Local Taxes	\$108,695	\$41,191		\$149,886
Charges for Services		7,399		7,399
Licenses, Permits, and Fees	500	4,850		5,350
Integovernmental	41,018	96,053	\$19,000	156,071
Payment in lieu of taxes	9,622			9,622
Earnings on Investments	4,928	2,140		7,068
Miscellaneous	53	2,050		2,103
Total Cash Receipts	164,816	153,683	19,000	337,499
Cash Disbursements:				
Current:				
General Government	130,278			130,278
Public Safety		22,382		22,382
Public Works		194,563	19,000	213,563
Health	27,058	9,747		36,805
Capital Outlay	10,803	321		11,124
Total Cash Disbursements	168,139	227,013	\$19,000	414,152
Total Cash Disbursements Over Cash Receipts	(3,323)	(73,330)		(76,653)
Fund Cash Balances, January 1	154,151	172,116		326,267
Fund Cash Balances, December 31	\$150,828	\$98,786		\$249,614

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2006 AND 2005

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A. Description of the Entity

The constitution and laws of the State of Ohio establish the rights and privileges of Van Buren Township, Putnam County, (the Township) as a body corporate and politic. A publicly-elected three-member Board of Trustees directs the Township. The Township provides road and bridge maintenance, cemetery maintenance, and fire protection. The Township contracts with the Village of Leipsic to provide fire services.

The Township's management believes these financial statements present all activities for which the Township is financially accountable.

B. Accounting Basis

These financial statements follow the accounting basis the Auditor of State prescribes or permits. This basis is similar to the cash receipts and disbursements accounting basis. The Township recognizes receipts when received in cash rather than when earned, and recognizes disbursements when paid rather than when a liability is incurred. Budgetary presentations report budgetary expenditures when a commitment is made (i.e., when an encumbrance is approved).

These statements include adequate disclosure of material matters, as the Auditor of State prescribes or permits.

C. Fund Accounting

The Township uses fund accounting to segregate cash and investments that are restricted as to use. The Township classifies its funds into the following types:

1. General Fund

The General Fund reports all financial resources except those required to be accounted for in another fund.

2. Special Revenue Funds

These funds account for proceeds from specific sources (other than from private-purpose trusts or for capital projects) that are restricted to expenditure for specific purposes. The Township had the following significant Special Revenue Funds:

<u>Road and Bridge Fund</u> - This fund receives property tax money for constructing, maintaining, and repairing Township roads and bridges.

<u>Gasoline Tax Fund</u> - This fund receives gasoline tax money to pay for constructing, maintaining, and repairing Township roads.

3. Capital Project Fund

This fund accounts for receipts restricted to acquiring or constructing major capital projects. The Township had the following significant capital project fund:

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2006 AND 2005 (Continued)

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES – (CONTINUED)

<u>Issue II Fund</u> - The Township received a grant from the State of Ohio for road repair.

D. Budgetary Process

The Ohio Revised Code requires that each fund be budgeted annually.

1. Appropriations

Budgetary expenditures (that is, disbursements and encumbrances) may not exceed appropriations at the fund, function or object level of control, and appropriations may not exceed estimated resources. The Board of Trustees must annually approve appropriation measures and subsequent amendments. The County Budget Commission must also approve the annual appropriation measure. Appropriations lapse at year end.

2. Estimated Resources

Estimated resources include estimates of cash to be received (budgeted receipts) plus cash as of January 1. The County Budget Commission must also approve estimated resources.

3. Encumbrances

The Ohio Revised Code requires the Township to reserve (encumber) appropriations when individual commitments are made. Encumbrances outstanding at year end are canceled, and reappropriated in the subsequent year.

A summary of 2006 and 2005 budgetary activity appears in Note 3.

E. Property, Plant, and Equipment

The Township records disbursements for acquisitions of property, plant, and equipment when paid. The accompanying financial statements do not report these items as assets.

F. Accumulated Leave

In certain circumstances, such as upon leaving employment, employees are entitled to cash payments for unused leave. The financial statements do not include a liability for unpaid leave.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2006 AND 2005 (Continued)

2. EQUITY IN POOLED CASH

The Township maintains a cash pool all funds use. The Ohio Revised Code prescribes allowable deposits and investments. The carrying amount of cash at December 31 was as follows:

	2006	2005
Demand deposits	\$341,144	\$249,614

Deposits are insured by the Federal Depository Insurance Corporation or collateralized by securities specifically pledged by the financial institution to the Township.

3. BUDGETARY ACTIVITY

Budgetary activity for the years ending December 31, 2006 and 2005 follows:

2006 Budgeted vs. Actual Receipts				
Budgeted Actual				
Fund Type	Receipts	Receipts	Variance	
General	\$143,651	\$207,144	\$63,493	
Special Revenue	155,661	167,170	11,509	
Total	\$299,312	\$374,314	\$75,002	

2006 Budgeted vs. Actual Budgetary Basis Expenditures				
Appropriation Budgetary				
Fund Type	Authority	Expenditures	Variance	
General	\$294,479	\$177,548	\$116,931	
Special Revenue	254,447	105,236	149,211	
Total	\$548,926	\$282,784	\$266,142	

2005 Budgeted vs. Actual Receipts			
	Budgeted	Actual	
Fund Type	Receipts	Receipts	Variance
General	\$14,756	\$164,816	\$150,060
Special Revenue	152,905	153,683	778
Capital Projects	17,000	19,000	2,000
Total	\$184,661	\$337,499	\$152,838

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2006 AND 2005 (Continued)

3. BUDGETARY ACTIVITY – (CONTINUED)

2005 Budgeted vs. Actual Budgetary Basis Expenditures			
	Appropriation	Budgetary	
Fund Type	Authority	Expenditures	Variance
General	\$302,907	\$168,139	\$134,768
Special Revenue	325,021	227,013	98,008
Capital Projects	17,000	19,000	(2,000)
Total	\$644,928	\$414,152	\$230,776

4. PROPERTY TAX

Real property taxes become a lien on January 1 preceding the October 1 date for which the Trustees adopted tax rates. The State Board of Tax Equalization adjusts these rates for inflation. Property taxes are also reduced for applicable homestead and rollback deductions. The financial statements include homestead and rollback amounts the State pays as Intergovernmental Receipts. Payments are due to the County by December 31. If the property owner elects to pay semiannually, the first half is due December 31. The second half payment is due the following June 20.

Public utilities are also taxed on personal and real property located within the Township.

Tangible personal property tax is assessed by the property owners, who must file a list of such property to the County by each April 30.

The County is responsible for assessing property, and for billing, collecting, and distributing all property taxes on behalf of the Township.

5. RETIREMENT SYSTEM

The Township's officials and employees belong to the Ohio Public Employees Retirement System (OPERS). OPERS is a cost-sharing, multiple-employer plan. The Ohio Revised Code prescribes the plan's benefits, which include postretirement healthcare and survivor and disability benefits.

The Ohio Revised Code also prescribes contribution rates. For 2006 and 2005, OPERS members contributed 9 and 8.5%, respectively, of their gross salaries and the Township contributed an amount equaling 13.7 and 13.55%, respectively, of participants' gross salaries. The Township has paid all contributions required through December 31, 2006.

6. RISK MANAGEMENT

Risk Pool Membership

The Township is exposed to various risks of property and casualty losses, and injuries to employees.

The Township insures against injuries to employees through the Ohio Bureau of Worker's Compensation.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2006 AND 2005 (Continued)

6. RISK MANAGEMENT – (CONTINUED)

The Township belongs to the Ohio Township Association Risk Management Authority (OTARMA), a risk-sharing pool available to Ohio townships. OTARMA provides property and casualty coverage for its members. OTARMA is a member of the American Public Entity Excess Pool (APEEP). Member governments pay annual contributions to fund OTARMA. OTARMA pays judgments, settlements and other expenses resulting from covered claims that exceed the members' deductibles.

Casualty Coverage

For an occurrence prior to January 1, 2006, OTARMA retains casualty risks up to \$250,000 per occurrence, including claim adjustment expenses. OTARMA pays a percentage of its contributions to APEEP. APEEP reinsures claims exceeding \$250,000, up to \$1,750,000 per claim and \$10,000,000 in the aggregate per year. For an occurrence on or subsequent to January 1, 2006, the Pool retains casualty risk up to \$350,000 per occurrence, including loss adjustment expenses. Claims exceeding \$350,000 are reinsured with APEEP in an amount not to exceed \$2,650,000 for each claim and \$10,000,000 in the aggregate per year. Governments can elect up to \$10,000,000 in additional coverage with the General Reinsurance Corporation, through contracts with OTARMA.

If losses exhaust OTARMA's retained earnings, APEEP provides *excess of funds available* coverage up to \$5,000,000 per year, subject to a per-claim limit of \$2,000,000 (for claims prior to January 1, 2006) or \$3,000,000 (for claims on or after January 1, 2006) as noted above.

Property Coverage

Through 2004, OTARMA retained property risks, including automobile physical damage, up to \$100,000 on any specific loss in any one occurrence. The Travelers Indemnity Company reinsured losses exceeding \$100,000 up to \$500 million per occurrence.

Beginning in 2005, Travelers reinsures specific losses exceeding \$250,000 up to \$600 million per occurrence. APEEP reinsures members for specific losses exceeding \$100,000 up to \$250,000 per occurrence, subject to an annual aggregate loss payment. Travelers provides aggregate stop-loss coverage based upon the combined members' total insurable values. If the stop loss is reached by payment of losses between \$100,000 and \$250,000, Travelers will reinsure specific losses exceeding \$100,000 up to their \$600 million per occurrence limit. The aggregate stop-loss limit for 2006 was \$1,901,127.

The aforementioned casualty and property reinsurance agreements do not discharge OTARMA's primary liability for claims payments on covered losses. Claims exceeding coverage limits are the obligation of the respective government.

Property and casualty settlements did not exceed insurance coverage for the past three fiscal years.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2006 AND 2005 (Continued)

6. RISK MANAGEMENT – (CONTINUED)

Financial Position

OTARMA's financial statements (audited by other accountants) conform with generally accepted accounting principles, and reported the following assets, liabilities and retained earnings at December 31, 2006 and 2005.

Casualty Coverage	2006	<u>2005</u>
Assets	\$32,031,312	\$30,485,638
Liabilities	<u>(11,443,952)</u>	<u>(12,344,576)</u>
Retained earnings	<u>\$20,587,360</u>	<u>\$18,141,062</u>

Property Coverage	2006	<u>2005</u>
Assets	\$10,010,963	\$9,177,796
Liabilities	<u>(676,709)</u>	<u>(1,406,031)</u>
Retained earnings	<u>\$9,334,254</u>	<u>\$7,771,765</u>

At December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, casualty coverage liabilities noted above include approximately \$10.8 million and \$11.6 million of estimated incurred claims payable. The Casualty Coverage assets and retained earnings above also include approximately \$10.8 million and \$11.6 million of unpaid claims to be billed to approximately 958 member governments in the future, as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. These amounts will be included in future contributions from members when the related claims are due for payment. The Township's share of these unpaid claims collectible in future years is approximately \$16,000. This payable includes the subsequent year's contribution due if the Township terminates participation, as described in the last paragraph below.

Based on discussions with OTARMA, the expected rates OTARMA charges to compute member contributions, which are used to pay claims as they become due, are not expected to change significantly from those used to determine the historical contributions detailed below. By contract, the annual liability of each member is limited to the amount of financial contributions required to be made to OTARMA for each year of membership.

Contributions to OTARMA	
2004	\$8,282
2005	\$8,198
2006	\$7,718

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2006 AND 2005 (Continued)

6. RISK MANAGEMENT – (CONTINUED)

After completing one year of membership, members may withdraw on each anniversary of the date they joined OTARMA provided they give written notice to OTARMA 60 days in advance of the anniversary date. Upon withdrawal, members are eligible for a full or partial refund of their capital contributions, minus the subsequent year's budgetary contribution. Withdrawing members have no other future obligation to the pool. Also upon withdrawal, payments for all casualty claims and claim expenses become the sole responsibility of the withdrawing member, regardless of whether a claim occurred or was reported prior to the withdrawal.

This page intentionally left blank.



Mary Taylor, CPA Auditor of State

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS REQUIRED BY *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS*

Van Buren Township Putnam County 400 North Street P.O. Box 291 Leipsic, Ohio 45856-0291

To the Township Board of Trustees:

We have audited the financial statements of Van Buren Township, Putnam County, (the Township) as of and for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, and have issued our report thereon dated November 13, 2007, wherein we noted the Township followed accounting practices the Auditor of State prescribes rather than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We also noted the Township uses the Auditor of State's Uniform Accounting Network (UAN) to process its financial transactions. *Government Auditing Standards* considers this service to impair the Auditor of State's independence to audit the Township. However, *Government Auditing Standards* permits the Auditor of State to audit and opine on this entity, because Ohio Revised Code § 117.101 requires the Auditor of State to provide UAN services, and Ohio Revised Code §§ 117.11(B) and 115.56 mandate the Auditor of State to audit Ohio governments. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Township's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our audit procedures for expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not to opine on the effectiveness of the Township's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we have not opined on the effectiveness of the Township's internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. However as discussed below, we identified a certain deficiency in internal control over financial reporting that we consider a significant deficiency.

Van Buren Township Putnam County Independent Accountants' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Required by *Government Auditing Standards* Page 2

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the Township's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report financial data reliably in accordance with its applicable accounting basis, such that there is more than a remote likelihood that the Township's internal control will not prevent or detect a more-than-inconsequential financial statement misstatement.

We consider the following deficiency described in the accompanying schedule of findings to be a significant deficiency in internal control over financial reporting: 2006-004.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies resulting in more than a remote likelihood that the Township's internal control will not prevent or detect a material financial statement misstatement.

Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all internal control deficiencies that might be significant deficiencies and accordingly would not necessarily disclose all significant deficiencies that are also material weaknesses. However, we believe the significant deficiency described above is also a material weakness.

We also noted certain internal control matters that we reported to the Township's management in a separate letter dated November 13, 2007.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of reasonably assuring whether the Township's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we tested its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could directly and materially affect the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and accordingly, we do not express an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed instances of noncompliance or other matters that we must report under *Government Auditing Standards* which are described in the accompanying schedule of findings as item 2006-001 through 2006-003.

We also noted certain noncompliance not requiring inclusion in this report that we reported to the Township's management in a separate letter dated November 13, 2007.

The Township's responses to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings. We did not audit the Township's responses and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

Van Buren Township Putnam County Independent Accountants' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Required by *Government Auditing Standards* Page 3

We intend this report solely for the information and use of the audit committee, management, and the Township Board of Trustees. We intend it for no one other than these specified parties.

Mary Jaylor

Mary Taylor, CPA Auditor of State

November 13, 2007

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS DECEMBER 31, 2006 AND 2005

FINDINGS RELATED TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS REQUIRED TO BE REPORTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GAGAS

FINDING NUMBER 2006-001

Finding for Recovery

We are proposing the finding for the following reason:

Ohio Rev. Code Section 505.60 (A) states that the board of township trustees of any township may procure and pay all or any part of the cost of insurance policies that may provide benefits for hospitalization, surgical care, major medical care, disability, dental care, eye care, medical care, hearing aids, prescription drugs, or sickness and accident insurance, or a combination of any of the foregoing types of insurance for township officers and employees. The board of township trustees of any township may negotiate and contract for the purchase of a policy of long-term care insurance for township officers and employees pursuant to <u>section 124.841 [124.84.1] of the Revised Code</u>.

(B) If the board procures any insurance policies under this section, the board shall provide uniform coverage under these policies for township officers and full-time township employees and their immediate dependents, and may provide coverage under these policies for part-time township employees and their immediate dependents, from the funds or budgets from which the officers or employees are compensated for services, such policies to be issued by an insurance company duly authorized to do business in this state. Any township officer or employee may refuse to accept the insurance coverage without affecting the availability of such insurance coverage to other township officers and employees ...

(C) If any township officer or employee is denied coverage under a health care plan procured under division (B) of this section or if any township officer or employee elects not to participate in the township's health care plan, the township may reimburse the officer or employee for each out-of-pocket premium that the officer or employee incurs for insurance policies described in division (A) of this section that the officer or employee otherwise obtains, but not to exceed an amount equal to the average premium paid by the township for its officers and employees under policies it procures under division (B) of this section.

This section allows reimbursement for the out of pocket costs incurred by an officer or employee that are associated with obtaining coverage for policies described in section (A). It does not, however, extend such reimbursement to family policies described in section (B).

Attorney General Opinion 2005-038 determined that township officer's and employees could be reimbursed for out-of-pocket premium expenses attributable to an officer/employee that are obtained through a spouse's employer. However, the reimbursement through a spouse's plan is limited to the "out-of-pocket premium that the officer or employee incurs", because the policies described in 505.60(A) do not include family coverage. Therefore, the township should not reimburse for premium costs attributable to the spouse or dependents. There is no authority, statutory or otherwise, for reimbursement of the premium costs attributable to an officer/employee's spouse or dependents.

FINDING NUMBER 2006-001 (Continued)

The Township mistakenly believed that it could reimburse its officers/employees for the out of pocket premium associated with family coverage. As stated above, a township may only reimburse an officer/employee for the premium attributable to that officer/employee. All such warrants for reimbursement for out-of-pocket premiums were signed by the Trustees and the Fiscal Officer.

The Township reimbursed L. Duane Tooman, Township Trustee for the total out-of-pocket premium for family health care coverage obtained through his wife's employer. This reimbursement should have only been for the out-of-premium related to L. Duane Tooman. The warrant was signed by William Schroeder, Township Fiscal Officer, Dennis Schroeder, Township Trustee, and Michael Rader, Township Trustee.

Out-of Pocket Premuim (rate per month)	2006	2005	Total
Family Rate	\$136.88	\$125.04	
Single Rate	(45.74)	(41.78)	
	91.14	83.26	
Pays in Year	12	12	
Annual Difference	1,093.68	999.12	
Reimbursement	1,880.12	1,625.52	
Overpayment	\$786.44	\$626.40	\$1,412.84

Under Ohio law, any public official who either authorizes an illegal expenditure of public funds or supervises the accounts of a public office from which such illegal expenditure is made is strictly liable for the amount of the expenditure. <u>Seward v. National Surety Corp.</u>, 120 Ohio St. 47 (1929); 1980 Op. Atty Gen. No. 80-074: Ohio Rev. Code Section 9.39; State, ex. Rel. Village of Linndale v. Masten, 18 Ohio St. 3d 228 (1985). Public officials controlling public funds or property are secondarily liable for the loss incurred should such funds or property be fraudulently obtained by another, converted, misappropriated, lost or stolen. Public officials will be liable if and to the extent that recovery or restitution is not obtained from the persons who unlawfully obtained such funds or property, 1980 OP. Atty Gen. No. 80-074.

In accordance with the forgoing facts, and pursuant to Ohio Revised Code § 117.28, a Finding for Recovery for public money illegally expended is hereby issued against L. Duane Tooman, Township Trustee, Ohio Township Association Risk Management Authority, his bonding company, William Schroeder, Fiscal Officer, Ohio Township Association Risk Management Authority, his bonding company, Dennis Schroeder, Township Trustee, Ohio Township Association Risk Management Authority, his bonding company, hichael Rader, Township Trustee, and Ohio Township Association Risk Management Authority, his bonding company, jointly and the severally in the amount of \$1,412.84, and in favor of Van Buren Township's General Fund.

William Schroeder, Dennis Schroeder, and Michael Rader shall be secondarily liable for such illegal expenditures to the extent that recovery or restitution is not obtained from L. Duane Tooman, Township Trustee.

FINDING NUMBER 2006-001 (Continued)

Official's Response

In response to the violation of reimbursing employees and elected officials for the family portion of health insurance, the Trustees of Van Buren Township, Putnam County, Ohio strongly disagreed with this violation. Van Buren Township offers family coverage for health insurance for the elected officers and employees. Three individuals have outside health insurance with the township reimbursing for their out of pocket health insurance premium cost. This action saves the township approximately \$40,000 annually in health insurance premiums.

FINDING NUMBER 2006-002

Finding for Recovery

We are proposing the finding for the following reason:

Ohio Rev. Code Section 505.60(A) states that the board of township trustees of any township may procure and pay all or any part of the cost of insurance policies that may provide benefits for hospitalization, surgical care, major medical care, disability, dental care, eye care, medical care, hearing aids, prescription drugs, or sickness and accident insurance, or a combination of any of the foregoing types of insurance for township officers and employees. The board of township trustees of any township may negotiate and contract for the purchase of a policy of long-term care insurance for township officers and employees pursuant to <u>section 124.841 [124.84.1] of the Revised Code</u>.

(B) If the board procures any insurance policies under this section, the board shall provide uniform coverage under these policies for township officers and full-time township employees and their immediate dependents, and may provide coverage under these policies for part-time township employees and their immediate dependents, from the funds or budgets from which the officers or employees are compensated for services, such policies to be issued by an insurance company duly authorized to do business in this state. Any township officer or employee may refuse to accept the insurance coverage without affecting the availability of such insurance coverage to other township officers and employees ...

(C) If any township officer or employee is denied coverage under a health care plan procured under division (B) of this section or if any township officer or employee elects not to participate in the township's health care plan, the township may reimburse the officer or employee for each out-of-pocket premium that the officer or employee incurs for insurance policies described in division (A) of this section that the officer or employee otherwise obtains, but not to exceed an amount equal to the average premium paid by the township for its officers and employees under policies it procures under division (B) of this section.

This section allows reimbursement for the out of pocket costs incurred by an officer or employee that are associated with obtaining coverage for policies described in section (A). It does not, however, extend such reimbursement to family policies described in section (B).

FINDING NUMBER 2006-002 (Continued)

Attorney General Opinion 2005-038 determined that township officer's and employees could be reimbursed for out-of-pocket premium expenses attributable to an officer/employee that are obtained through a spouse's employer. However, the reimbursement through a spouse's plan is limited to the "out-of-pocket premium that the officer or employee incurs", because the policies described in 505.60(A) do not include family coverage. Therefore, the township should not reimburse for premium costs attributable to the spouse or dependents. There is no authority, statutory or otherwise, for reimbursement of the premium costs attributable to an officer/employee's spouse or dependents.

The Township mistakenly believed that it could reimburse its officers/employees for the out of pocket premium associated with family coverage. As stated above, a township may only reimburse an officer/employee for the premium attributable to that officer/employee. All such warrants for reimbursement for out-of-pocket premiums were signed by the Trustees and the Fiscal Officer.

The Township reimbursed Billy Ranes, Township employee for the total out-of-pocket premium for family health care coverage obtained through his wife's employer. This reimbursement should have only been for the out-of-premium related to Billy Ranes. This warrant was signed by William Schroeder, Township

Fiscal Officer, Dennis Schroeder, Township Trustee, L. Duane Tooman, Township Trustee, and Michael Rader, Township Trustee.

Out-of Pocket Premium									
(rate per month)	2006		2006		2005		2005		Total
Family Rate	\$	97.64	\$	102.68	\$	84.18	\$	97.64	
Single Rate		(37.86)		(39.80)		(32.64)		(37.86)	
		59.78		62.88		51.54		59.78	
Months per Year		4		8		4		8	
Annual Difference		239.12		503.04		206.16		478.24	
Reimbursement		390.56		821.44		336.72		781.12	
Overpayment	\$	151.44	\$	318.40	\$	130.56	\$	302.88	\$ 903.28

Under Ohio law, any public official who either authorizes an illegal expenditure of public funds or supervises the accounts of a public office from which such illegal expenditure is made is strictly liable for the amount of the expenditure. <u>Seward v. National Surety Corp.</u>, 120 Ohio St. 47 (1929); 1980 Op. Atty Gen. No. 80-074: Ohio Rev. Code Section 9.39; State, ex. Rel. Village of Linndale v. Masten, 18 Ohio St. 3d 228 (1985). Public officials controlling public funds or property are secondarily liable for the loss incurred should such funds or property be fraudulently obtained by another, converted, misappropriated, lost or stolen. Public officials will be liable if and to the extent that recovery or restitution is not obtained from the persons who unlawfully obtained such funds or property, 1980 OP. Atty Gen. No. 80-074.

In accordance with the forgoing facts, and pursuant to Ohio Revised Code § 117.28, a Finding for Recovery for public money illegally expended is hereby issued against Billy Ranes, Township employee, William Schroeder, Fiscal Officer, Ohio, Township Association Risk Management Authority, his bonding company, Dennis Schroeder, Township Trustee, Ohio Township Association Risk Management Authority, his bonding company, L. Duane Tooman, Township Trustee, Ohio Township Association Risk Management Authority, his bonding company, Michael Rader, Township Trustee and Ohio Township Association Risk Management Authority, his bonding company, Michael Rader, Township Trustee and Ohio Township Association Risk Management Authority, his bonding company, jointly and severally in the amount of \$903.28, and in favor of Van Buren Township's General Fund.

FINDING NUMBER 2006-002 (Continued)

William Schroeder, Dennis Schroeder, L. Duane Tooman, and Michael Rader shall be secondarily liable for such illegal expenditures to the extent that recovery or restitution is not obtained from Billy Ranes, Township employee.

Official's Response

In response to the violation of reimbursing employees and elected officials for the family portion of health insurance, the Trustees of Van Buren Township, Putnam County, Ohio strongly disagreed with this violation. Van Buren Township offers family coverage for health insurance for the elected officers and employees. Three individuals have outside health insurance with the township reimbursing for their out of pocket health insurance premium cost. This action saves the township approximately \$40,000 annually in health insurance premiums.

FINDING NUMBER 2006-003

Finding for Recovery

We are proposing the finding for the following reason:

Ohio Rev. Code Section 505.60(A) states that the board of township trustees of any township may procure and pay all or any part of the cost of insurance policies that may provide benefits for hospitalization, surgical care, major medical care, disability, dental care, eye care, medical care, hearing aids, prescription drugs, or sickness and accident insurance, or a combination of any of the foregoing types of insurance for township officers and employees. The board of township trustees of any township may negotiate and contract for the purchase of a policy of long-term care insurance for township officers and employees pursuant to <u>section 124.841 [124.84.1] of the Revised Code</u>.

(B) If the board procures any insurance policies under this section, the board shall provide uniform coverage under these policies for township officers and full-time township employees and their immediate dependents, and may provide coverage under these policies for part-time township employees and their immediate dependents, from the funds or budgets from which the officers or employees are compensated for services, such policies to be issued by an insurance company duly authorized to do business in this state. Any township officer or employee may refuse to accept the insurance coverage without affecting the availability of such insurance coverage to other township officers and employees ...

(C) If any township officer or employee is denied coverage under a health care plan procured under division (B) of this section or if any township officer or employee elects not to participate in the township's health care plan, the township may reimburse the officer or employee for each out-of-pocket premium that the officer or employee incurs for insurance policies described in division (A) of this section that the officer or employee otherwise obtains, but not to exceed an amount equal to the average premium paid by the township for its officers and employees under policies it procures under division (B) of this section.

This section allows reimbursement for the out of pocket costs incurred by an officer or employee that are associated with obtaining coverage for policies described in section (A). It does not, however, extend such reimbursement to family policies described in section (B).

FINDING NUMBER 2006-003 (Continued)

Attorney General Opinion 2005-038 determined that township officer's and employees could be reimbursed for out-of-pocket premium expenses attributable to an officer/employee that are obtained through a spouse's employer. However, the reimbursement through a spouse's plan is limited to the "out-of-pocket premium that the officer or employee incurs", because the policies described in 505.60(A) do not include family coverage. Therefore, the township should not reimburse for premium costs attributable to the spouse or dependents. There is no authority, statutory or otherwise, for reimbursement of the premium costs attributable to an officer/employee's spouse or dependents.

The Township mistakenly believed that it could reimburse its officers/employees for the out of pocket premium associated with family coverage. As stated above, a township may only reimburse an officer/employee for the premium attributable to that officer/employee. All such warrants for reimbursement for out-of-pocket premiums were signed by the Trustees and the Fiscal Officer.

The Township reimbursed David Schroeder, Township employee for the total out-of-pocket premium for family health care coverage obtained through his employer. This reimbursement should have only been for the out-of-premium related to David Schroeder. The warrant was signed by William Schroeder, Township Fiscal Officer, Dennis Schroeder, Township Trustee, L. Duane Tooman, Township Trustee, and Michael Rader, Township Trustee.

Out-of Pocket Premium					
(rate per month)	2006	2006	2005	2005	Total
Family Rate	\$ 97.61	\$ 102.68	\$ 84.18	\$ 97.64	
Single Rate	 (37.86)	(39.80)	(32.64)	(37.86)	
	59.75	62.88	51.54	59.78	
Months per Year	 4	8	4	8	
Overpayment	\$ 239.00	\$ 503.04	\$ 206.16	\$ 478.24	\$1,426.44

Under Ohio law, any public official who either authorizes an illegal expenditure of public funds or supervises the accounts of a public office from which such illegal expenditure is made is strictly liable for the amount of the expenditure. <u>Seward v. National Surety Corp.</u>, 120 Ohio St. 47 (1929); 1980 Op. Atty Gen. No. 80-074: Ohio Rev. Code Section 9.39; State, ex. Rel. Village of Linndale v. Masten, 18 Ohio St. 3d 228 (1985). Public officials controlling public funds or property are secondarily liable for the loss incurred should such funds or property be fraudulently obtained by another, converted, misappropriated, lost or stolen. Public officials will be liable if and to the extent that recovery or restitution is not obtained from the persons who unlawfully obtained such funds or property, 1980 OP. Atty Gen. No. 80-074.

In accordance with the forgoing facts, and pursuant to Ohio Revised Code § 117.28, a Finding for Recovery for public money illegally expended is hereby issued against David Schroeder, Township employee, William Schroeder, Fiscal Officer, Ohio, Township Association Risk Management Authority, his bonding company, Dennis Schroeder, Township Trustee, Ohio Township Association Risk Management Authority, his bonding company, L. Duane Tooman, Township Trustee, Ohio Township Association Risk Management Authority, his bonding company, Michael Rader, Township Trustee and Ohio Township Association Risk Management Authority, his bonding company, Michael Rader, Township Trustee and Ohio Township Association Risk Management Authority, his bonding company jointly and severally in the amount of \$1,426.44, and in favor of Van Buren Township's General Fund.

FINDING NUMBER 2006-003 (Continued)

William Schroeder, Dennis Schroeder, L. Duane Tooman, and Michael Rader shall be secondarily liable for such illegal expenditures to the extent that recovery or restitution is not obtained from David Schroeder, Township employee

Official's Response

In response to the violation of reimbursing employees and elected officials for the family portion of health insurance, the Trustees of Van Buren Township, Putnam County, Ohio strongly disagreed with this violation. Van Buren Township offers family coverage for health insurance for the elected officers and employees. Three individuals have outside health insurance with the township reimbursing for their out of pocket health insurance premium cost. This action saves the township approximately \$40,000 annually in health insurance premiums.

FINDING NUMBER 2006-004

Material Weakness

Financial Reporting

Inaccurate posting of transactions impedes the ability of the Trustees to accurately assess the financial status of the Township. We noted examples such as the following during our audit:

- On-behalf monies of \$2,000 in 2005 for an Issue II project were not posted to the financial statements.
- On-behalf monies for road work in the amount of \$17,000 were posted to the Public Safety expenditure line item instead of Public Works.
- Township Road Allowance for \$7,399 in 2006 was posted to miscellaneous revenue instead of charges for services
- Payments in lieu of taxes in the amounts of \$9,622 in 2006 and \$9,585 in 2005 were posted as tax revenue instead of payment in lieu of taxes.
- Personal property phase out monies in the amount of \$14,861 in 2006 were posted as taxes instead of intergovernmental.

As a result, the Township's financial statements did not correctly reflect the financial activity of the Township. The accompanying financial statements have been adjusted so these transactions reflect their intended use.

We recommend that the Fiscal Officer post all transactions in accordance with the guidance established by the Ohio Township Handbook issued by the Auditor of State. Further, the Township should adopt policies and procedures including a final review of the financial statements by the Fiscal Officer and Trustees to ensure that errors and omissions are detected and corrected.

Official's Response

We did not receive a response from Officials to this finding.

SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS DECEMBER 31, 2006

Finding Number	Finding Summary	Fully Corrected?	Not Corrected, Partially Corrected; Significantly Different Corrective Action Taken; or Finding No Longer Valid; <i>Explain</i>
2004-001	Ohio Revised Code § 5705.41 (B) Expenditures exceeding appropriations	No	Partially corrected. Repeated in the management letter.





VAN BUREN TOWNSHIP

PUTNAM COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbett

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

CERTIFIED JANUARY 3, 2008

> 88 E. Broad St. / Fourth Floor / Columbus, OH 43215-3506 Telephone: (614) 466-4514 (800) 282-0370 Fax: (614) 466-4490 www.auditor.state.oh.us