



Mary Taylor, CPA
Auditor of State

**MIAMI CONSERVANCY DISTRICT
MONTGOMERY COUNTY**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE	PAGE
Cover Letter	1
Independent Accountants' Report.....	3
Combined Statement of Cash Receipts, Cash Disbursements, and Changes in Fund Cash Balances - For the Year ended December 31, 2008	5
Notes to the Financial Statements – December 31, 2008	7
Federal Awards Expenditures Schedule – For the Year Ended December 31, 2008	13
Notes to the Federal Awards Expenditures Schedule	14
Independent Accountants' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Required by <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	15
Independent Accountants' Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Federal Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133.....	17
Schedule of Findings.....	19
Schedule of Prior Audit Findings.....	20

This page intentionally left blank.



Mary Taylor, CPA
Auditor of State

Miami Conservancy District
Montgomery County
38 East Monument Avenue
Dayton, Ohio 45402-1265

To the Board of Directors:

As you are aware, the Auditor of State's Office (AOS) must modify the *Independent Accountants' Report* we provide on your financial statements due to an interpretation from the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA). While AOS does not legally require your government to prepare financial statements pursuant to Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP), the AICPA interpretation requires auditors to formally acknowledge that you did not prepare your financial statements in accordance with GAAP. Our Report includes an adverse opinion relating to GAAP presentation and measurement requirements, but does not imply the amounts the statements present are misstated under the non-GAAP basis you follow. The AOS report also includes an opinion on the financial statements you prepared using the cash basis and financial statement format the AOS permits.

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Mary Taylor".

Mary Taylor, CPA
Auditor of State

May 18, 2009

This page intentionally left blank.



Mary Taylor, CPA

Auditor of State

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT

Miami Conservancy District
Montgomery County
38 East Monument Avenue
Dayton, Ohio 45402-1265

To the Board of Directors:

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Miami Conservancy District, Montgomery County, (the District) as of and for the year ended December 31, 2008. These financial statements are the responsibility of the District's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to reasonably assure whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described more fully in Note 1, the District has prepared these financial statements using accounting practices the Auditor of State prescribes or permits. These practices differ from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). Although we cannot reasonably determine the effects on the financial statements of the variances between these regulatory accounting practices and GAAP, we presume they are material.

Instead of the combined funds the accompanying financial statements present, GAAP require presenting entity wide statements and also presenting the District's larger (i.e. major) funds separately. While the District does not follow GAAP, generally accepted auditing standards requires us to include the following paragraph if the statements do not substantially conform to GAAP presentation requirements. The Auditor of State permits, but does not require Districts to reformat their statements. The District has elected not to follow GAAP statement formatting requirements. The following paragraph does not imply the amounts reported are materially misstated under the accounting basis the Auditor of State permits. Our opinion on the fair presentation of the amounts reported pursuant to its non-GAAP basis is in the second following paragraph.

In our opinion, because of the effects of the matter discussed in the preceding two paragraphs, the financial statements referred to above for the year ended December 31, 2008 do not present fairly, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the financial position of the District as of December 31, 2008, or its changes in financial position for the year then ended.

Also, in our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the combined cash balances and reserves for encumbrances of the Miami Conservancy District, Montgomery County, as of December 31, 2008, and its combined cash receipts and disbursements for the year then ended on the accounting basis Note 1 describes.

The District has not presented Management's Discussion and Analysis, which accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America has determined is necessary to supplement, although not required to be part of, the financial statements.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated May 18, 2009, on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. While we did not opine on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance, that report describes the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance, and the results of that testing. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*. You should read it in conjunction with this report in assessing the results of our audit.

We conducted our audit to opine on the District's financial statement. The Federal Awards Expenditures Schedule is required by U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*, and is not a required part of the financial statements. We subjected the Federal Awards Expenditures Schedule to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements. In our opinion, this information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statement taken as a whole.



Mary Taylor, CPA
Auditor of State

May 18, 2009

**MIAMI CONSERVANCY DISTRICT
MONTGOMERY COUNTY**

**COMBINED STATEMENT OF CASH RECEIPTS, CASH DISBURSEMENTS, AND
CHANGES IN FUND CASH BALANCES
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2008**

	<u>Governmental Fund Types</u>				Totals (Memorandum Only)
	<u>General</u>	<u>Special Revenue</u>	<u>Debt Service</u>	<u>Capital Projects</u>	
Cash Receipts:					
Assessments	\$4,459,690	\$1,161,900		\$1,589,760	\$7,211,350
Investment Income	273,211	165,184		91,669	530,064
Fees and Charges	125,382				125,382
Intergovernmental	658,038	1,110,391			1,768,429
Reimbursements and Miscellaneous	35,654	7,107		3,482	46,243
Total Cash Receipts	<u>5,551,975</u>	<u>2,444,582</u>		<u>1,684,911</u>	<u>9,681,468</u>
Cash Disbursements:					
Operating	4,381,591	2,948,426		21,638	7,351,655
Equipment and Machinery	224,270	19,918			244,188
Land Acquisition	638,947				638,947
Dam Safety				1,187,654	1,187,654
Debt Service:					
Principal			\$202,394		202,394
Interest			190,585		190,585
Total Cash Disbursements	<u>5,244,808</u>	<u>2,968,344</u>	<u>392,979</u>	<u>1,209,292</u>	<u>9,815,423</u>
Total Cash Receipts Over/(Under) Cash Disbursements	<u>307,167</u>	<u>(523,762)</u>	<u>(392,979)</u>	<u>475,619</u>	<u>(133,955)</u>
Other Financing Receipts/(Disbursements):					
Sale of Equipment	64,480				64,480
Repayment of Advance	310,010	(310,010)			
Transfers In			392,979		392,979
Transfers Out				(392,979)	(392,979)
Total Other Financing Receipts/(Disbursements)	<u>374,490</u>	<u>(310,010)</u>	<u>392,979</u>	<u>(392,979)</u>	<u>64,480</u>
Excess of Cash Receipts and Other Financing Receipts Over/(Under) Cash Disbursements and Other Financing Disbursements	<u>681,657</u>	<u>(833,772)</u>		<u>82,640</u>	<u>(69,475)</u>
Cash Balance, January 1	<u>8,098,777</u>	<u>7,348,768</u>		<u>5,532,822</u>	<u>20,980,367</u>
Cash Balance, December 31	<u>\$8,780,434</u>	<u>\$6,514,996</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$5,615,462</u>	<u>\$20,910,892</u>
Reserves for Encumbrances	<u>\$92,752</u>	<u>\$342,594</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$819,122</u>	<u>\$1,254,468</u>

The notes to the financial statement are an integral part of this statement.

This page intentionally left blank.

**MIAMI CONSERVANCY DISTRICT
MONTGOMERY COUNTY**

**NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2008**

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A. Description of the Entity

The Miami Conservancy District, Montgomery County, (the District) is a body corporate and politic established to exercise the rights and privileges conveyed to it by the constitution and laws of the State of Ohio. The District is directed by a three member board of Directors appointed by a Court comprised of a judge of the Court of Common Pleas from each of the counties included in the District. The District provides flood protection and conservation of valuable water resources along the Great Miami River watershed, impacting all or portions of Butler, Clark, Greene, Hamilton, Miami, Montgomery, Preble, Shelby, and Warren Counties.

The District's management believes these financial statements present all activities for which the District is financially accountable.

B. Accounting Basis

These financial statements follow the basis of accounting prescribed or permitted by the Auditor of State, which is similar to the cash receipts and disbursements basis of accounting. Receipts are recognized when received in cash rather than when earned, and disbursements are recognized when paid rather than when a liability is incurred.

These statements include adequate disclosure of material matters, as prescribed or permitted by the Auditor of State.

C. Fund Accounting

The District uses fund accounting to segregate cash and investments that are restricted as to use. The District classifies its funds into the following types:

1. General Fund

Flood Protection Fund – This fund is the general operating fund. It accounts for all financial resources except those required to be accounted for in another fund.

2. Special Revenue Funds

These funds account for proceeds from specific sources (other than from capital projects) that are restricted to expenditure for specific purposes. The District had the following significant Special Revenue Funds:

River Corridor Improvement - This fund accounts for resources for the construction and maintenance of bikeways, dams, bridges, and walkways.

Aquifer Preservation - This fund accounts for resources used to preserve groundwater.

Water Conservation - This fund accounts for resources to establish and administer an innovative water quality trading program, to collect baseline water quality and best management practice performance data, and to support community-based watershed organizations.

**MIAMI CONSERVACNY DISTRICT
MONTGOMERY COUNTY**

**NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2008
(Continued)**

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

3. Debt Service Fund

Dam Safety Debt Service Fund - This fund accounts for resources reserved for the payment of note indebtedness.

4. Capital Project Funds

These funds account for receipts that are restricted for the acquisition or construction of major capital projects. The District had the following significant Capital Project Funds:

Dam Safety and Rehabilitation – This fund collects receipts that are restricted for the dam safety and repair.

Capital Improvement - This fund collects receipts that are restricted for acquisition or construction of major capital projects.

D. Cash and Investments

The District's accounting basis includes investments as assets. This basis does not record disbursements for investment purchases or receipts for investment sales. This basis records gains or losses at the time of sale as receipts or disbursements, respectively.

Money market mutual funds are recorded at share values the mutual funds report.

E. Budgetary Process

The Ohio Revised Code requires that each fund be budgeted annually.

1. Appropriations

Budgetary expenditures (that is, disbursements and encumbrances) may not exceed appropriations at the fund level of control, and appropriations may not exceed estimated resources. The Appropriation Authority includes current year appropriations plus encumbrances carried over from the prior year (if any). The Board must annually approve appropriation measures and subsequent amendments. Unencumbered appropriations lapse at year end.

2. Estimated Resources

Estimated resources include estimates of cash to be received (budgeted receipts) plus unencumbered cash as of January 1.

3. Encumbrances

The Ohio Revised Code requires the District to reserve (encumber) appropriations when commitments are made. Encumbrances outstanding at year end are carried over, and need not be reappropriated.

A summary of 2008 budgetary activity appears in Note 3.

**MIAMI CONSERVACNY DISTRICT
MONTGOMERY COUNTY**

**NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2008
(Continued)**

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

F. Property, Plant, and Equipment

The District records disbursements for acquisitions of property, plant, and equipment when paid. The accompanying financial statements do not report these items as assets.

G. Accumulated Leave

In certain circumstances, such as upon leaving employment, employees are entitled to cash payments for unused leave. Unpaid leave is not reflected as a liability under the District's basis of accounting.

2. CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The carrying amount of cash and investments at December 31 was as follows:

	2008
Demand deposits	\$10,358,793
Total deposits	10,358,793
Money Market	10,552,099
Total investments	10,552,099
Total deposits and investments	\$20,910,892

A. Deposits

Deposits are insured by the Federal Depository Insurance Corporation; or collateralized by the financial institution's public entity deposit pool.

B. Investments

Investments in mutual funds are not evidenced by securities that exist in physical or book-entry form. The District's financial institution transfers securities to the District's agent to collateralize repurchase agreements. The Securities are not in the District's name.

3. BUDGETARY ACTIVITY

Budgetary activity for the year ending December 31, 2008 follows:

2008 Budgeted vs. Actual Receipts			
Fund Type	Budgeted Receipts	Actual Receipts	Variance
General	\$ 5,870,010	\$ 5,926,465	\$56,455
Special Revenue	2,431,499	2,444,582	13,083
Debt Service	392,979	392,979	
Capital Projects	1,678,482	1,684,911	6,429
Total	\$10,372,970	\$10,448,937	\$75,967

**MIAMI CONSERVACNY DISTRICT
MONTGOMERY COUNTY**

**NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2008
(Continued)**

3. BUDGETARY ACTIVITY (Continued)

2008 Budgeted vs. Actual Budgetary Basis Expenditures			
Fund Type	Appropriation Authority	Budgetary Expenditures	Variance
General	\$ 5,717,897	\$ 5,337,560	\$380,337
Special Revenue	3,833,043	3,620,948	212,095
Debt Service	392,979	392,979	
Capital Projects	2,504,479	2,421,393	83,086
Total	<u>\$12,448,398</u>	<u>\$11,772,880</u>	<u>\$675,518</u>

4. DEBT

Debt outstanding at December 31, 2008 was as follows:

	<u>Principal</u>	<u>Interest Rate</u>
Ohio Water Development Authority Loan - 2002	\$4,036,322	4.55%

During 2002, the District obtained a loan from OWDA in the amount of \$5,124,704 (includes capitalized interest and fees) to pay off the 2000 note from Bank One for a lower interest rate. The notes are uncollateralized.

Amortization of the above debt, including interest, is scheduled as follows:

<u>Year ending December 31:</u>	<u>OWDA Loan 2002</u>
2009	\$ 392,979
2010	392,979
2011	392,979
2012	392,979
2013	392,979
2014 - 2018	1,964,894
2019 - 2022	1,571,915
Total	<u>\$5,501,704</u>

5. RETIREMENT SYSTEMS

The District's full-time employees belong to the Ohio Public Employees Retirement System (OPERS). OPERS is a cost-sharing, multiple-employer plan. The Ohio Revised Code prescribes the Plan's retirement benefits, including postretirement healthcare and survivor and disability benefits to participants.

The Ohio Revised Code also prescribes contribution rates. For 2008, OPERS members contributed 10% of their gross salaries and the District contributed an amount equaling 14% of participants' gross salaries. The District has paid all contributions required through December 31, 2008.

**MIAMI CONSERVACNY DISTRICT
MONTGOMERY COUNTY**

**NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2008
(Continued)**

6. RISK MANAGEMENT

Risk Pool Membership

The District belongs to the Ohio Government Risk Management Plan (the "Plan"), a non-assessable, unincorporated non-profit association providing a formalized, jointly administered self-insurance risk management program and other administrative services to over 550 Ohio governments ("Members").

Pursuant to Section 2744.081 of the Ohio Revised Code, the Plan is a separate legal entity. The Plan provides property, liability, errors and omissions, law enforcement, automobile, excess liability, crime, surety and bond, inland marine and other coverages, modified for each Member's needs. The Plan pays judgments, settlements and other expenses resulting from covered claims that exceed the Member's deductible.

The Plan issues its own policies and reinsures the Plan with A- VII or better rated carriers, except the Plan retains the lesser of 15% or \$37,500 of casualty losses and the lesser of 10% or \$100,000 of property losses. Individual Members are only responsible for their self-retention (deductible) amounts, which vary from member to member.

Plan members are responsible to notify the Plan of their intent to renew coverage by their renewal date. If a member chooses not to renew with the Plan, they have no other financial obligation to the Plan, but still need to promptly notify the Plan of any potential claims occurring during their membership period. The former member's covered claims, which occurred during their membership period, remain the responsibility of the Plan.

Settlement amounts did not exceed insurance coverage for the past three fiscal years.

The Pool's audited financial statements conform with generally accepted accounting principles, and reported the following assets, liabilities and retained earnings at December 31, 2007 and 2006 (the latest information available):

	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>
Assets	\$11,136,455	\$9,620,148
Liabilities	(4,273,553)	(3,329,620)
Members' Equity	<u>\$ 6,862,902</u>	<u>\$6,290,528</u>

You can read the complete audited financial statements for The Ohio Government Risk Management Plan at the Plan's website, www.ohioplan.org.

This page intentionally left blank.

**MIAMI CONSERVANCY DISTRICT
MONTGOMERY COUNTY**

**FEDERAL AWARDS EXPENDITURES SCHEDULE
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2008**

FEDERAL GRANTOR Pass Through Grantor Program Title	Pass Through Entity Number	Federal Number	Disbursements
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE			
<i>Direct Receipt</i>			
Environmental Quality Incentives Program	NRCS 68-3A75-5-199	10.912	<u>\$326,659</u>
Total United States Department of Agriculture			326,659
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE			
<i>Direct Receipt</i>			
Flood Control Projects Grant	N/A	12.106	<u>652,447</u>
Total United States Department of Defense			652,447
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION			
<i>Passed Through the Ohio Department of Transportation</i>			
Highway Planning and Construction	81042	20.205	<u>38,892</u>
Total United States Department of Transportation			38,892
UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY			
<i>Direct Receipt</i>			
Targeted Watershed Grants	N/A	66.439	<u>223,275</u>
Total United States Environmental Protection Agency			<u>223,275</u>
Total Federal Financial Assistance			<u><u>\$1,241,273</u></u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.

**MIAMI CONSERVANCY DISTRICT
MONTGOMERY COUNTY**

**NOTES TO THE FEDERAL AWARDS EXPENDITURES SCHEDULE
FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2008**

NOTE A - SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accompanying Federal Awards Expenditures Schedule (the Schedule) summarizes activity of the District's federal award programs. The schedule has been prepared on the cash basis of accounting.

NOTE B - MATCHING REQUIREMENTS

Certain Federal programs require that the District contribute non-Federal funds (matching funds) to support the Federally-funded programs. The District has complied with the matching requirements. The expenditure of non-Federal matching funds is not included on the Schedule.



Mary Taylor, CPA

Auditor of State

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS REQUIRED BY *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS*

Miami Conservancy District
Montgomery County
38 East Monument Avenue
Dayton, Ohio 45402-1265

To the Board of Directors:

We have audited the financial statements of the Miami Conservancy District, Montgomery County, (the District) as of and for the year ended December 31, 2008, and have issued our report thereon dated May 18, 2009, wherein we noted the District followed accounting practices the Auditor of State prescribes rather than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our audit procedures for expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not to opine on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we have not opined on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the District's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report financial data reliably in accordance with its applicable accounting basis, such that there is more than a remote likelihood that the District's internal control will not prevent or detect a more-than-inconsequential financial statement misstatement.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies resulting in more than a remote likelihood that the District's internal control will not prevent or detect a material financial statement misstatement.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all internal control deficiencies that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider material weaknesses, as defined above.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of reasonably assuring whether the District's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we tested its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could directly and materially affect the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and accordingly, we do not express an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters we must report under *Government Auditing Standards*.

We intend this report solely for the information and use of the audit committee, management, Board of Directors, federal awarding agencies, and pass-through entities. It is not intended for anyone other than these specified parties.

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Mary Taylor".

Mary Taylor, CPA
Auditor of State

May 18, 2009



Mary Taylor, CPA

Auditor of State

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133

Miami Conservancy District
Montgomery County
38 East Monument Avenue
Dayton, Ohio 45402-1265

To the Board of Directors:

Compliance

We have audited the compliance of Miami Conservancy District, Montgomery County (the District) with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) *Circular A-133, Compliance Supplement* that apply to each of its major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2008. The summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings identifies the District's major federal programs. The District's management is responsible for complying with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to each major federal program. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the District's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to reasonably assure whether noncompliance occurred with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could directly and materially affect a major federal program. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the District's compliance with those requirements and performing other procedures we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the District's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, the Miami Conservancy District complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that apply to each of its major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Internal Control Over Compliance

The District's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the District's internal control over compliance with requirements that could directly and materially affect a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over compliance.

One First National Plaza / 130 W. Second St. / Suite 2040 / Dayton, OH 45402
Telephone: (937) 285-6677 (800) 443-9274 Fax: (937) 285-6688
www.auditor.state.oh.us

**Internal Control Over Compliance
(Continued)**

A *control deficiency* in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, when performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect noncompliance with a federal program compliance requirement on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the District's ability to administer a federal program such that there is more than a remote likelihood that the District's internal control will not prevent or detect more-than-inconsequential noncompliance with a federal program compliance requirement.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that the District's internal control will not prevent or detect material noncompliance with a federal program's compliance requirements.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

We intend this report solely for the information and use of the audit committee, management, Board of Directors, federal awarding agencies, and pass-through entities. It is not intended for anyone other than these specified parties.



Mary Taylor, CPA
Auditor of State

May 18, 2009

**MIAMI CONSERVANCY DISTRICT
MONTGOMERY COUNTY**

**SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS
OMB CIRCULAR A -133 § .505
DECEMBER 31, 2008**

1. SUMMARY OF AUDITOR'S RESULTS

(d)(1)(i)	Type of Financial Statement Opinion	Adverse under GAAP, Unqualified under the Regulatory Basis
(d)(1)(ii)	Were there any material control weaknesses reported at the financial statement level (GAGAS)?	No
(d)(1)(ii)	Were there any other significant deficiencies in internal control reported at the financial statement level (GAGAS)?	No
(d)(1)(iii)	Was there any reported material noncompliance at the financial statement level (GAGAS)?	No
(d)(1)(iv)	Were there any material internal control weaknesses reported for major federal programs?	No
(d)(1)(iv)	Were there any other significant deficiencies in internal control reported for major federal programs?	No
(d)(1)(v)	Type of Major Programs' Compliance Opinion	Unqualified
(d)(1)(vi)	Are there any reportable findings under § .510?	No
(d)(1)(vii)	Major Programs (list):	Environmental Quality Incentives Program, CFDA # 10.912; Flood Control Projects Grant, CFDA # 12.106
(d)(1)(viii)	Dollar Threshold: Type A/B Programs	Type A: > \$ 300,000 Type B: all others
(d)(1)(ix)	Low Risk Auditee?	No

**2. FINDINGS RELATED TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
REQUIRED TO BE REPORTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GAGAS**

None

3. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR FEDERAL AWARDS

None

**MIAMI CONSERVANCY DISTRICT
MONTGOMERY COUNTY**

**SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS
OMB CIRCULAR A -133 § .315 (b)
DECEMBER 31, 2008**

Finding Number	Finding Summary	Fully Corrected?	Not Corrected, Partially Corrected; Significantly Different Corrective Action Taken; or Finding No Longer Valid; <i>Explain</i>
2007-001	Revised Code § 5705.41(D), failure to properly certify availability of funds prior to expenditure.	Yes	



Mary Taylor, CPA
Auditor of State

MIAMI CONSERVANCY DISTRICT

MONTGOMERY COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbitt

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

**CERTIFIED
JUNE 11, 2009**