

***MIAMI VALLEY REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
MONTGOMERY COUNTY, OHIO***

AUDIT REPORT

FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2008

Charles E. Harris and Associates, Inc.
Certified Public Accountants and Government Consultants



Mary Taylor, CPA
Auditor of State

Board of Directors
Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission
One South Main Street
Dayton, Ohio 45402

We have reviewed the *Report of Independent Accountants* of the Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission, Montgomery County, prepared by Charles E. Harris & Associates, Inc., for the audit period July 1, 2007 through June 30, 2008. Based upon this review, we have accepted these reports in lieu of the audit required by Section 117.11, Revised Code. The Auditor of State did not audit the accompanying financial statements and, accordingly, we are unable to express, and do not express an opinion on them.

Our review was made in reference to the applicable sections of legislative criteria, as reflected by the Ohio Constitution, and the Revised Code, policies, procedures and guidelines of the Auditor of State, regulations and grant requirements. The Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission is responsible for compliance with these laws and regulations.

Mary Taylor

Mary Taylor, CPA
Auditor of State

February 26, 2009

This Page is Intentionally Left Blank.

MIAMI VALLEY REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION

AUDIT REPORT

For the Year Ended June 30, 2008

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>TITLE</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
Report of Independent Accountants	1
Management's Discussion and Analysis	3
Basic Financial Statements:	
Statement of Net Assets	8
Statement of Activities	9
Balance Sheet – Governmental Funds	10
Reconciliation of Total Governmental Fund Balances to Net Assets of Governmental Activities	11
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances – Governmental Funds	12
Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities	13
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements	14
Required Supplementary Information:	
Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance – Budget and Actual – General Fund	24
Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance-Budget and Actual-Grant Fund	25
Supplementary Information:	
Schedule of General Capital Assets	26
Schedule of Fringe Benefit Cost Pool Charges, Rate Base, Final Rate Computation and Current Year's Recovery Comparison	27
Schedule of Indirect Cost Pool Charges, Rate Base, Final Rate Computation and Current Year's Recovery Comparison	28
Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures Federal Transit Administration and Federal Highway Administration FY 2008 Planning Work Elements	29
Officers and Executive Committee	30

MIAMI VALLEY REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION

AUDIT REPORT

For the Year Ended June 30, 2008

TABLE OF CONTENTS-Continued

<u>TITLE</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	31
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Required by <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	32
Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance with <i>OMB Circular A-133</i>	34
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	36
Status of Prior Year's Citations and Recommendations	38

Charles E. Harris & Associates, Inc.
Certified Public Accountants

Rockefeller Building
614 W Superior Ave Ste 1242
Cleveland, OH 44113-1306
Office phone - (216) 575-1630
Fax - (216) 436-2411

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission
Montgomery County
One South Main Street
Dayton, Ohio 45402

To the Members of the Board of Directors:

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities and each major fund of the Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission, Montgomery County, Ohio (MVRPC), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2008, which collectively comprise the MVRPC's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Miami Regional Planning Commission's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities and each major fund of the Miami Regional Planning Commission, as of June 30, 2008, and the respective changes in financial position thereof for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 19, 2008 on our consideration of the Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

Management's Discussion and Analysis and the budgetary comparison on pages 3 through 7 and 24 through 25, respectively, are not a required part of the basic financial statements but are supplementary information required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplementary information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements that collectively comprise the MVRPC's basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards included on page 31 is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*, and is not a required part of the basic financial statements of the MVRPC. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The accompanying schedules included on pages 26-29 have not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

Charles E. Harris & Associates, Inc.

December 19, 2008

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

Management's Discussion and Analysis
June 30, 2008
(Unaudited)

The discussion and analysis of the Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission's (MVRPC) financial performance provides an overall review of the MVRPC's financial activities for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008. The intent of this discussion and analysis is to look at the MVRPC's financial performance as a whole; readers should also review the financial statements and the notes to the basic financial statements to enhance their understanding of the MVRPC's financial performance.

Financial Highlights

Key financial highlights for fiscal year 2008 are as follows:

Overall:

- Total net assets increased \$481,529, which represents a 27 percent increase from fiscal year 2007.
- Total assets of governmental activities increased by \$48,522, primarily due to a reduction in receivables and an increase in cash. Also, capital assets, net of depreciation decreased by \$51,442.
- General revenues accounted for \$506,602 or 13.5 percent of total revenue. Program revenues in the form of charges for services and operating grants were \$3.25 million.
- MVRPC's \$3.3 million in expenses were offset by primarily by program revenues of \$3.25 million and member dues of \$481,072.
- Revenues from a multi-year fringe benefit cost recovery plan for expenses incurred in a prior year are reflected in Grantor Agency revenues.

Using the Basic Financial Statements

This annual report consists of a series of financial statements and notes to those statements. These statements are organized so the reader can understand the Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission as a financial whole, an entire operating entity. The statements then proceed to provide an increasingly detailed look at specific financial activities.

The Statement of Net Assets and Statement of Activities provide information about the activities of the whole MVRPC, presenting both an aggregated view of the MVRPC's finances and a longer-term view of those statements. Fund financial statements provide the next level of detail. For governmental funds, these statements tell how services were financed in the short-term as well as what remains for future spending. The fund financial statements also look at the MVRPC's most significant funds, the General Fund and the Grant Fund.

Reporting the MVRPC as a Whole

Statement of Net Assets and the Statement of Activities

The Statement of Net Assets and the Statement of Activities answers the question. "How did we do financially during 2008?" These statements include all assets and liabilities using the accrual basis of accounting similar to the accounting used by most private-sector companies. This basis of accounting takes into account all of the current year's revenues and expenses regardless of when cash is received or paid.

These two statements report the MVRPC's net assets and changes in those assets. This change in net assets is important because it shows MVRPC's change in financial results for the year ended June 30, 2008.

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

Management's Discussion and Analysis
June 30, 2008
(Unaudited)

In the Statement of Net Assets and the Statement of Activities, MVRPC reports governmental activities. All of MVRPC's activities are considered Governmental activities. They include, but are not limited to, transportation planning, environmental planning, community planning and support services. The MVRPC does not have any business-type activities.

Table 1 provides a summary of the MVRPC's net assets for 2008 and 2007:

TABLE 1
NET ASSETS

	<u>2008</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>Change</u>
<i>ASSETS</i>			
Current Assets	\$ 2,811,977	\$ 2,712,013	\$ 9,964
Capital Assets Being Depreciated (net)	<u>132,112</u>	<u>183,554</u>	<u>(51,442)</u>
Total Assets	<u>\$ 2,944,089</u>	<u>\$ 2,895,567</u>	<u>\$ 48,522</u>
 <i>LIABILITIES</i>			
Current Liabilities	\$ 379,350	\$ 611,770	\$ (232,420)
Long Term Liabilities	<u>277,328</u>	<u>478,915</u>	<u>(201,587)</u>
Total Liabilities	<u>\$ 656,678</u>	<u>\$ 1,090,685</u>	<u>\$ (434,007)</u>
 <i>NET ASSETS</i>			
Investment in Capital Assets, net of related debt	\$ 123,820	\$ 172,426	\$ (48,606)
Unrestricted	<u>2,162,591</u>	<u>1,632,456</u>	<u>530,135</u>
Total Net Assets	<u>\$ 2,286,411</u>	<u>\$ 1,804,882</u>	<u>\$ 481,529</u>

The amount by which the MVRPC's assets exceeded its liabilities is called net assets. As of June 30, 2008, the MVRPC's net assets were \$2.29 million. Total net assets increased by \$482 thousand. MVRPC's long term liabilities decreased by \$202 thousand, primarily due to the early retirement plan. These positive financial changes were expected as part of our plan to implement an early retirement incentive plan liability and expense in a prior year and then receive cost recovery revenue for that plan over a three year period ending in FY2009. Current liabilities decreased by \$232 thousand, primarily due to a reduction in deferred revenue and accounts payable as a result of several project completions.

Of the total net asset amount, approximately \$124 thousand was invested in capital assets, net of debt related to those assets. The remaining balance of \$2.16 million was unrestricted and available for future use as directed by the MVRPC Board.

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

Management's Discussion and Analysis
June 30, 2008
(Unaudited)

Table 2 shows the changes in net assets for fiscal year 2008 compared to 2007.

TABLE 2
CHANGE IN NET ASSETS

	<u>2008</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>Change</u>
Revenues			
<u>Program Revenues:</u>			
Operating Grants	\$ 3,254,483	\$ 2,969,935	\$ 284,548
<u>General Revenues:</u>			
Membership Dues	481,072	477,588	3,484
Miscellaneous	25,530	-	25,530
Total Revenues	<hr/> 3,761,085 <hr/>	<hr/> 3,447,523 <hr/>	<hr/> 313,562 <hr/>
Program Expenses			
General Government	92,185	345,392	(253,207)
Transportation Planning	3,118,773	2,999,060	119,713
Environmental Planning	66,420	69,386	(2,966)
Regional Planning	2,178	122,163	(119,985)
Total Expenses	<hr/> 3,279,556 <hr/>	<hr/> 3,536,001 <hr/>	<hr/> (256,445) <hr/>
Increase in Net Assets	<hr/> \$ 481,529 <hr/>	<hr/> \$ (88,478) <hr/>	<hr/> \$ 570,007 <hr/>

Operating grants revenue increased by \$285 thousand from 2007, primarily due to completion of several projects.

MVRPC is extremely dependent upon intergovernmental revenues (federal grants) provided by the federal government through the State of Ohio; approximately 87 percent of the MVRPC's total revenue was received from intergovernmental sources during fiscal year 2008. MVRPC has been able to maintain a stable financial position through careful management of expenses. However, MVRPC is vulnerable to changes in federal and state grant programs.

The Statement of Activities shows the cost of program services and the charges for services and grants offsetting those services.

Reporting the MVRPC's Most Significant Funds

The MVRPC's activities are reported in governmental funds, which focus on how money flows into and out of those funds and the balances left at year-end available for spending in future periods. These funds are reported using an accounting method called modified accrual, which measures cash and all other financial assets that can readily be converted to cash within one year.

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

Management's Discussion and Analysis June 30, 2008 (Unaudited)

The governmental fund statements provide a detailed short-term view of the MVRPC's general government operations and the basic services it provides. Governmental fund information helps you determine whether there are more or fewer financial resources that can be spent in the near future to finance MVRPC programs. The relationship (or differences) between activities (reported in the Statement of Net Assets and the Statement of Activities) and governmental funds is reconciled in the financial statements.

Fund financial reports provide detailed information about the General Fund. The MVRPC uses two funds to account for a multitude of financial transactions. Both of these funds are considered significant.

The Governmental fund (short term) General Fund had total revenue of \$0.9 million and other financing sources of \$1.5 million. Expenditures totaled \$2.1 million. Fund balance increased by \$331 thousand in 2008 to \$2.4 million.

The Governmental Grant Fund provides the detail of all federal grants received by MVRPC. The Grant Fund had total revenues of \$2.8 million. This was intergovernmental revenues from federal grants, primarily from the U. S. Department of Transportation. The use of these funds had local net matching requirements of \$106 thousand. This was provided by the General Fund as operating transfers-in.

General Fund Budgeting Highlights

The MVRPC's budget is prepared on the modified accrual method. The most significant budgeted funds are the General Fund and the Grant Fund.

During the course of fiscal year 2008, the MVRPC amended its budget. The primary budget variance was unspent contract expenses and resulting revenue that will carryover to the next year. Governmental statements show early retirement incentive plan (ERIP) expenses over a 3 year period, while statement of activities show a prior year fully accrued accounting for the total ERIP expenses and liabilities.

Capital Assets

At the end of fiscal year 2008, the MVRPC had \$132 thousand net invested in furniture, equipment, and leasehold improvements in governmental activities.

Table 3 shows fiscal year 2008 balances compared to 2007:

TABLE 3
Capital Assets at June 30

	<u>2008</u>	<u>2007</u>
Furniture	\$ 69,153	\$ 69,153
Equipment	309,476	348,955
Leasehold Improvements	68,556	68,556
Less: Accumulated Depreciation	<u>(315,073)</u>	<u>(303,110)</u>
Net Capital Assets	<u>\$ 132,112</u>	<u>\$183,554</u>

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

Management's Discussion and Analysis
June 30, 2008
(Unaudited)

Overall capital assets decreased approximately \$51 thousand from fiscal year 2007.

For the Future

The Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission continues to rely primarily on federal grants to finance its planning activities. The majority of these federal grants are provided by the U.S. Department of Transportation through the State of Ohio. These grants are authorized by the U.S. Congress through the Transportation Equity Act for the Twenty-first Century.

On August 10, 2005 the President signed the Transportation Reauthorization Act entitled "Save, Accountable, Flexible and Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users" (SAFETEA-LU). This Act which runs through September 30, 2009, provides increased funding for transportation systems.

The Act is the vehicle by which federal funds are provided to Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPO). MVRPC is the MPO for this region. MVRPC's analysis of the Act project a small increase in funding for our basic transportation planning grant in subsequent years.

Contacting the MVRPC's Financial Management

This financial report is designed to provide our members, citizens and taxpayers, with a general overview of the MVRPC's finances and to show the MVRPC's accountability for the money it receives. If you have questions about this report or need additional financial information contact the Director of Finance and Administration's Office at Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission, One South Main St. Suite 260, Dayton, Ohio 45402 or call (937) 223-6323.

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

Statement of Net Assets As of June 30, 2008

ASSETS

Cash	\$	2,096,240
Accounts Receivable		39,004
Grants Receivable		661,142
Prepaid Expenses		15,591
Capital Assets Being Depreciated (net)		132,112
Total Assets		<u>2,944,089</u>

LIABILITIES

Accounts Payable		126,406
Accrued Personnel Costs		73,817
Deferred Revenues		180,127
Long Term Liabilities		
Due within one year		164,743
Due in more than one year		112,585
Total Liabilities		<u>657,678</u>

NET ASSETS

Investment in Capital Assets, net of related debt		123,820
Unrestricted		2,162,591
Total Net Assets	\$	<u>2,286,411</u>

See Accompanying Notes to the Basic Financial Statements

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

**Statement of Activities
For the Year Ended June 30, 2008**

<u>Governmental Activities</u>	<u>Expenses</u>	<u>Program Revenues Operating Grants</u>	<u>Net (Expenses) Revenues and Change in Net Assets Governmental Activities</u>
General Government	\$ 92,185	\$ 15,442	\$ (76,743)
Transportation Planning	3,118,773	3,028,083	(90,690)
Environmental Planning	66,420	70,905	4,485
Regional Planning	<u>2,178</u>	<u>140,053</u>	<u>137,875</u>
Total Governmental Activities	<u>\$ 3,279,556</u>	<u>\$ 3,254,483</u>	<u>(25,073)</u>
General Revenues:			
Membership Dues			481,072
Miscellaneous			<u>25,530</u>
Total General Revenues			<u>506,602</u>
Changes in Net Assets			481,529
Net Assets, July 1			<u>1,804,882</u>
Net Assets, June 30			<u>\$ 2,286,411</u>

See Accompanying Notes to the Basic Financial Statements

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

**Governmental Funds Balance Sheet
As of June 30, 2008**

	<u>General Fund</u>	<u>Grant Fund</u>	<u>Total Governmental Funds</u>
ASSETS			
Cash	\$ 1,916,341	\$ 179,899	\$ 2,096,240
Accounts Receivable	39,004	-	39,004
Grants Receivable	-	661,142	661,142
Due From Special Revenue Fund	661,142	-	661,142
Prepaid Expenses	<u>15,591</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>15,591</u>
Total Assets and Other Debits	<u><u>\$ 2,632,078</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 841,041</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 3,473,119</u></u>
LIABILITIES			
Accounts Payable	\$ 126,406	\$ -	\$ 126,406
Accrued Wages & Benefits	73,817	-	73,817
Due to General Fund	-	661,142	661,142
Unearned Revenues	<u>228</u>	<u>179,899</u>	<u>180,127</u>
Total Liabilities	<u>200,451</u>	<u>841,041</u>	<u>1,041,492</u>
FUND BALANCE			
Unreserved - Designated For:			
Future Year's Operation - Members Dues	240,536	-	240,536
Unreserved/Undesignated	<u>2,191,091</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>2,191,091</u>
Total Fund Balance	<u>2,431,627</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>2,431,627</u>
Total Liabilities and Fund Balances	<u><u>\$ 2,632,078</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 841,041</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 3,473,119</u></u>

See Accompanying Notes to the Basic Financial Statements

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

RECONCILIATION OF TOTAL GOVERNMENTAL FUND BALANCES TO
NET ASSETS OF GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES

June 30, 2008

Total Governmental Fund Balances	\$ 2,431,627
<i>Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net assets are different because:</i>	
Capital Assets used in governmental activities (net)	132,112
<i>The following liabilities are not due and payable in the current period and therefore are not reported in the Governmental funds:</i>	
Compensated absences	(129,573)
Capital lease	(8,292)
Early retirement incentive program	(139,463)
Net Assets of Governmental Activities	<u>\$ 2,286,411</u>

See Accompanying Notes to the Basic Financial Statements

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

**Governmental Funds Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and
Changes in Fund Balances**

For the Year Ended June 30, 2008

	General Fund	Special Revenue Fund	Total Governmental Funds
Revenues:			
Grantor Agency	\$ 405,180	\$ 2,521,085	\$ 2,926,265
Other	40,972	312,776	353,748
Membership Dues	481,072	-	481,072
Total Revenues	<u>927,224</u>	<u>2,833,861</u>	<u>3,761,085</u>
Expenditures:			
Personnel	1,389,519	1,377,153	2,766,672
Contractual	364,158	463,274	827,432
Other	318,079	344,639	662,718
Indirect Costs	45,227	754,798	800,025
Capital Outlays	14,662	-	14,662
Total Expenditures	<u>2,131,645</u>	<u>2,939,864</u>	<u>5,071,509</u>
Excess of Expenditures Over Revenues	<u>(1,204,421)</u>	<u>(106,003)</u>	<u>(1,310,424)</u>
Other Financing Sources (Uses):			
Transfers-In	-	106,003	106,003
Transfers-Out	(106,003)	-	(106,003)
Cost Allocation Plan Recoveries	1,641,808	-	1,641,808
Total Other Financing Sources	<u>1,535,805</u>	<u>106,003</u>	<u>1,641,808</u>
Change in Fund Balances	331,384	-	331,384
Fund Balance, July 1	<u>2,100,243</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>2,100,243</u>
Fund Balance, June 30	<u>\$ 2,431,627</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 2,431,627</u>

See Accompanying Notes to the Basic Financial Statements

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund
Balance of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities

For the Year Ended June 30, 2008

Net Change in fund balances - total governmental funds \$ 331,384

*Amounts reported for governmental activities in the
statement of activities are different because:*

Government funds report capital outlays as expenditures.
However, in the statement of activities, the cost of
those assets are allocated over their estimated useful
lives as depreciation expense. This is the amount by
which depreciation exceed capital outlays
expense in the current period. (50,385)

Loss on the disposition of Capital Assets (1,057)

Some expenses reported in the statement of activities
do not require the use of current financial resources and
therefore are not reported as expenditures in
governmental funds.

Compensated Absenses (12,202)

Capital lease payable 2,836

Long term portion of early retirement incentive program 210,953

Changes in net assets of total governmental activities \$ 481,529

See Accompanying Notes to the Basic Financial Statements

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements
June 30, 2008

NOTE 1 ORGANIZATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Organization

The Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission (MVRPC) was created in 1964 by authority granted under the Ohio Revised Code. MVRPC is a regional planning agency composed of representatives from 54 political subdivisions, 15 other governmental agencies, and 15 non-governmental entities in Montgomery, Greene, Miami, Darke, Preble, and Warren Counties in Ohio. MVRPC monitors and performs planning activities affecting present and future transportation, environmental, social, economic, physical and governmental characteristics of the region.

By an agreement between MVRPC and the State of Ohio, the Transportation Coordinating Committee (TCC) of the Montgomery-Greene County Transportation and Development Planning Program was merged with MVRPC on July 1, 1982. By this same agreement, MVRPC was designated by the State as a Metropolitan Planning Organization, with responsibility for implementing a coordinated, continuing, comprehensive transportation planning process for Montgomery and Greene Counties. This agreement was modified on September 23, 1992 to include Miami County and on July 1, 2003 to include the cities of Franklin and Carlisle in Warren County.

On June 27, 1984, MVRPC adopted a strategic plan that prescribed the future direction the Commission would pursue, functionally and organizationally. On October 24, 1984, amendments to the Constitution and Bylaws were approved that allowed many of the strategic plan's recommendations to be implemented. The primary changes included a new mission statement, expansion of the Commission to include up to 25% non-governmental members, and the creation of a Board of Directors.

From September 2002 through February, 2004, MVRPC conducted a multi-phase Visioning and Strategic Planning Process with the goal of creating a more streamlined, efficient and responsive organization. On September 24, 2003, the new MVRPC Strategic Plan was adopted. Work then began on developing a structure more conducive to implementing the goals of the Strategic Plan. This effort resulted in the creation and adoption of a substantially revised Constitution and Bylaws on February 25, 2004, with implementation to begin on March 24, 2004. Key changes include:

Board of Directors

- The Commission and the Transportation Committee are merged into a newly created Board of Directors. County Engineers within the MPO Boundary now are Board members.
- All policy responsibility is vested with the merged body.
- The current weighted voting structure of the Transportation Committee is retained.
- Weighted voting can only be used by the Board of Directors.
- It cannot occur at the initial meeting when the request is made unless $\frac{3}{4}$ of the members present approve.
- Otherwise, it will occur at the next scheduled meeting.
- Only governmental members located within the MPO Boundary (Greene, Miami and Montgomery counties) can vote on transportation issues.
- ODOT representatives and urban transit operators may be members of the Board and vote on transportation issues.
- Each county's assessment is calculated based on 25% of the total county population; all other governmental members continue to pay a per capita assessment.
- Bylaws can be amended by a majority vote and the process for amending bylaws can be changed by a $\frac{2}{3}$ majority vote. (Neither can be subject to weighted voting.)

Executive Committee

- The previous Board of Directors is now called the Executive Committee.
- The Executive Committee is responsible for handling "routine and emergency" matters.
- Counties (the Commissioners) appoint 4 members, one from each member county.
- Cities and villages appoint 7 members, one of which is the largest city; one member is chosen from each of the MPO counties; and no more than 4 members may be chosen from any one county. These members are chosen annually by caucus of member cities and villages.
- Townships appoint 3 members from counties located within the MPO boundary. No more than one member from the same county may be chosen. These members are selected annually by caucus of member townships
- Non-governmental members appoint 3 members, also chosen annually by caucus.

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements
June 30, 2008

NOTE 2 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The financial statements of MVRPC have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) as applied to governmental units. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the accepted standard-setting body for establishing governmental accounting and financial reporting principles. MVRPC also applies Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) statements and interpretations issued on or before November 30, 1989, provided they do not conflict with or contradict GASB pronouncements. The more significant of MVRPC accounting policies are described below.

Basis of Presentation

MVRPC basic financial statements consist of government-wide statements, including a statement of net assets and a statement of activities, and fund financial statements, which provide a more detailed level of financial information.

Government-Wide Financial Statements

The statement of net assets and the statement of activities display information about MVRPC as a whole. These statements include the financial activities of the primary government. The statement of net assets presents the financial condition of the governmental activities of MVRPC at year-end. The government-wide statement of activities presents a comparison between direct expenses and program revenues for each program or function of MVRPC governmental activities. Direct expenses are those that are specifically associated with a service, program, or department and therefore clearly identifiable to a particular function. Program revenues include charges paid by the recipient of the goods or services offered by the program and grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular program. Revenues that are not classified as program revenues are presented as general revenues of MVRPC, with certain limited exceptions. The comparison of direct expenses with program revenues identifies the extent to which each governmental function is self-financing or draws from the general revenues of MVRPC.

Fund Financial Statements

During the year, MVRPC segregated transactions related to certain organizational functions or activities in separate funds in order to aid financial management and to demonstrate legal compliance. Fund financial statements are designed to present financial information of MVRPC at this more detailed level. The focus of governmental fund financial statements is on major funds rather than reporting funds by type. Each major fund is presented in a separate column. Nonmajor funds are aggregated and presented in a single column.

Fund Accounting

MVRPC uses funds to maintain its financial records during the fiscal year. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid management by segregating transactions related to certain organizational functions or activities. A fund is defined as a fiscal and accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. The various funds of MVRPC are grouped into the governmental category.

Governmental Funds

Governmental funds focus on the sources, uses, and balances of current financial resources. Expendable assets are assigned to the various governmental funds according to the purposes for which they may or must be used. Current liabilities are assigned to the fund from which they will be paid. The difference between governmental fund assets and liabilities is reported as fund balance. The General Fund and the Grant Fund are the only major funds of MVRPC:

General Fund – The General Fund is used to account for all financial resources, except those required to be accounted for in another fund. The general fund is available to MVRPC for any purpose provided it is expended or transferred according to the general laws of Ohio.

Grant Fund – The Grant Fund is used to account for grant and contract revenue that is legally restricted to expenditures for specified purposes.

MVRPC has no other funds within the Organization.

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements
June 30, 2008

NOTE 2 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES – (Continued)

Measurement Focus

Government-Wide Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statements are prepared using the economic resources measurement focus. All assets and all liabilities associated with the operation of MVRPC are included on the Statement of Net Assets.

Fund Financial Statements

All governmental funds are accounted for using a flow of current financial resources measurement focus. With this measurement focus, only current assets and current liabilities generally are included on the balance sheet. The statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances reports on the sources (i.e., revenues and other financing sources) and uses (i.e., expenditures and other financing uses) of current financial resources. This approach differs from the manner in which the governmental activities of the government-wide financial statements are prepared. Governmental fund financial statements therefore include a reconciliation with brief explanations to better identify the relationship between the government-wide statements and the statements for governmental funds.

Basis of Accounting

Basis of accounting determines when transactions are recorded in the financial records and reported on the financial statements. Government-wide financial statements are prepared using the accrual basis of accounting. Governmental funds use the modified accrual basis of accounting. Differences in the accrual and modified accrual basis of accounting arise in the recognition of revenue, the recording of deferred revenue and in the presentation of expenses versus expenditures.

Revenue resulting from exchange transactions, in which each party gives and receives essentially equal value, is recorded on the accrual basis when the exchange takes place. On a modified accrual basis, revenue is recorded in the fiscal year in which the resources are measurable and become available. "Measurable" means the amount of the transaction can be determined, and "available" means collectible within the current fiscal year or soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current fiscal year. For MVRPC, available means expected to be received within ninety days of fiscal year end.

Nonexchange transactions, in which MVRPC receives value without directly giving equal value in return, include grants, entitlements, and donations. Revenue from grants, entitlements, and donations is recognized in the fiscal year in which all eligibility requirements have been satisfied. Eligibility requirements include timing requirements, which specify the year when the resources are required to be used or the fiscal year when use is first permitted; matching requirements, in which MVRPC must provide local resources to be used for a specified purpose; and expenditure requirements, in which the resources are provided to MVRPC on a reimbursement basis. On a modified accrual basis, revenue from nonexchange transactions must also be available before it can be recognized.

Under the modified accrual basis, the following revenue sources are considered to be both measurable and available at fiscal year end: grants and investment earnings.

Deferred revenue arises when assets are recognized before revenue recognition criteria have been satisfied. Grants and entitlements received before the eligibility requirements are met are also recorded as deferred revenue. On governmental fund financial statements, receivables that will not be collected within the available period have also been reported as deferred revenue.

On the accrual basis of accounting, expenses are recognized at the time they are incurred.

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements
June 30, 2008

NOTE 2 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES – (Continued)

Revenues

General fund revenues are determined by contractual agreements with member political subdivisions represented by MVRPC. Member jurisdictions of MVRPC pay an annual membership fee based on the latest official census or federal revenue sharing population estimates. For 2008 the assessment was as follows:

Member Type

Within the MPO planning area	
- Counties - 25% of Total population	\$ 0.46/capita
- Municipalities and Townships	\$ 0.46/capita
Outside the MPO planning area	
- Counties – 25% of Total population	\$ 0.25/capita
- Municipalities and Townships	\$ 0.25/capita
Quasi and Non-governmental bodies	\$ 1,000/annual

The total revenue generated from member fees was \$481,072.

Grant Fund

Grant revenue is recognized when compliance with the various grant requirements is achieved. Generally this occurs at the time expenditures are made and the grant matching requirements are met. Grant revenues received before the revenue recognition criteria have been met are reported as deferred revenues, a liability account. When the revenue recognition criteria have been met, grant revenues not yet received are reported as grants receivable, if the amounts have been billed to grantor agencies, or as earned not billed, if amounts are unbilled.

Carry-over Grants and Contracts

Several grants continued after June 30, 2008. The amounts available for completing grant objectives for these grant programs are summarized below by funding type. MVRPC's required match for these carry over funds is approximately \$100,000.

<u>Type</u>	<u>Amount</u>
Federal Grants	\$ 1,083,544
Other Grants and Contracts	93,142

As discussed in note 4, fringe benefit expenditures are recovered by applying a provisional rate to all programs.

Indirect Costs

MVRPC uses an indirect cost rate to recover administrative expenditures. The 2008 indirect costs were billed at a provisional, of 56% of direct labor dollars, including fringe benefits.

Unreserved/Designated Fund Balance

The amount designated for Future Year's Operation represents 50% of the current membership dues. This amount is designated because membership period is based upon the calendar year and 100% of the dues revenue is recognized during the current fiscal year.

Budgets

Budgets for the general and grant fund are prepared annually on a modified accrual method by the staff and approved by the Commission. Budgets are reviewed on an ongoing basis and amendments are proposed as necessary. The amendments are approved by the Executive Committee.

Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements
June 30, 2008

NOTE 3 LEASE COMMITMENTS

MVRPC entered into a noncancellable operating lease agreement for office space effective April 1, 2004 through December 31, 2014 and one office equipment lease. The future minimum lease commitments as of June 30, 2008 are as follows:

<u>Fiscal Year Ended</u>	<u>Office Space</u>	<u>Equipment</u>
2009	\$136,421	\$2,616
2010	133,709	2,616
2011	133,709	1,962
2012	138,871	-
2013 – 2015	360,085	-

Total rental expense for the year ended June 30, 2008, was \$148,303.

NOTE 4 COST ALLOCATION PLAN

A cost allocation plan is prepared annually by MVRPC. The plan, which includes fringe benefit and indirect costs, is used for the purpose of determining allocation rates and is prepared in accordance with the provisions of Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-87 and the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services' Circular OASC-10. The plan is submitted to the over-site grantor agency, the Federal Highway Administration through the Ohio Department of Transportation, for approval and authorization of negotiated allocation rates, which are used for billing purposes during the fiscal year. The Ohio Department of Transportation has agreed to let MVRPC adjust its provisional rates to the actual experienced rates prior to final billing. These adjusted provisional rates are subject to audit at the end of each fiscal year, when actual rates are determined and submitted to the over-site agency for approval. If the actual rates are less than the adjusted provisional rates, MVRPC must refund any over-billed amounts to the various grantor agencies. Conversely, MVRPC may recover under-billed amounts when unapplied funds remain from the various grantor agencies. Adjustments as a result of a change in the rates are recognized for financial reporting purposes when determined.

Following are summaries of the accounting treatment and rate experience for fringe benefit and indirect cost for 2008:

Fringe Benefits

Fringe benefit costs are recorded in the general fund and allocated to the grant fund in accordance with the approved cost allocation plan, based upon a provisional rate approved by the oversight grantor agency. The 2008 fringe benefit costs were allocated at a provisional rate of 73% of productive direct and indirect labor dollars. The actual fringe benefit cost rate was 76.191%. Per the agreement with ODOT, the provisional rate was adjusted to actual and the adjustment is reflected in the financial statements.

Indirect Costs

Administrative costs are recorded in the general fund as indirect costs and allocated to the grant fund in accordance with the approved cost allocation plan, based upon a provisional rate approved by the over-site grantor agency. The 2008 indirect costs were allocated at a provisional rate of 56% of direct labor dollars, including fringe benefits. The actual indirect cost rate was 54.809%. Per the agreement with ODOT, the provisional rate was adjusted to actual and the adjustment is reflected in the financial statements.

NOTE 5 CONTINGENCIES

The use of direct federal grant funds and state administered federal grant funds is subject to review and audit by the grantor agencies. Such audits could lead to request for reimbursement to the grantor agency for expenditures disallowed under the terms of the grant. Based upon prior experience, management believes that MVRPC will not incur significant losses, if any, on possible grant disallowance.

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements June 30, 2008

NOTE 6 INTERFUND ACTIVITY

As of June 30, 2008 there was an Interfund Receivable of \$661,142 in the General Fund and an Interfund Payable of \$661,142 in the Grant Fund. The due to represents amounts for grants receivable at June 30, 2008 from various Federal and State grants.

During the year ended June 30, 2008 the General Fund transferred \$106,003 to the Grant Fund to provide local matching funds associated with federal grant programs.

NOTE 7 CASH AND INVESTMENTS

Pooled Cash

The Commission's cash balances are held in the Montgomery County Treasury. Cash is held in a demand deposit account that is insured or collateralized by Federal Depository Insurance and by collateral held by a qualified third party trustee.

NOTE 8 DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLANS

All of the MVRPC's full-time employees participate in a cost sharing, multiple employer defined benefit pension plan.

Public Employees Retirement System (OPERS)

All employees of the MVRPC, participate in the Ohio Public Employees Retirement System (OPERS), a cost sharing, multiple employer defined benefit pension plan.

Ohio Public Employees Retirement System (OPERS) administers three separate pension plans as described below:

1. The Traditional Pension Plan —a cost sharing, multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan.
2. The Member-Directed Plan —a defined contribution plan in which the member invests both member and employer contributions (employer contributions vest over five years at 20% per year). Under the Member-Directed Plan, members accumulate retirement assets equal to the value of member and (vested) employer contributions plus any investment earnings.
3. The Combined Plan —a cost sharing, multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan. Under the Combined Plan, OPERS invests employer contributions to provide a formula retirement benefit similar in nature to the Traditional Pension Plan benefit. Member contributions, the investment of which is self-directed by the members, accumulate retirement assets in a manner similar to the Member-Directed Plan.

OPERS provides retirement, disability, survivor and death benefits and annual cost-of living adjustments to members of the Traditional Pension and Combined Plans. Members of the Member-Directed Plan do not qualify for ancillary benefits.

Authority to establish and amend benefits is provided in Chapter 145 of the Ohio Revised Code. OPERS issues a stand-alone financial report. Interested parties may obtain a copy by writing to OPERS, Attention: Finance Director, 277 East Town Street, Columbus, OH 43215-4642, or by calling 614-222-5601 or 800-222-7377.

The Ohio Revised Code provides statutory authority for member and employer contributions. For 2008, member and employer contribution rates were consistent across all three plans. While members in the state and local divisions may participate in all three plans, law enforcement and public safety divisions exist only within the Traditional Pension Plan. The 2008 member and employer contribution rates were 10.0% and 14.00%, respectively.

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements June 30, 2008

NOTE 8 DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLANS – (Continued)

The MVRPC's required contributions for the periods ended June 30, 2008, 2007, and 2006 were \$197,777, \$181,033, and \$189,460, respectively. In 2003, the MVRPC implemented a Fringe Benefit Pickup plan for the entire employee contribution for certain classes of employees. The MVRPC's contributions in 2008 under this plan were \$12,265.

NOTE 9 OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS

Plan Description:

Ohio Public Employees Retirement System (OPERS) administers three separate pension plans: The Traditional Pension Plan—a cost-sharing, multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan; the Member-Directed Plan—a defined contribution plan; and the Combined Plan—a cost sharing, multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan that has elements of both a defined benefit and defined contribution plan.

OPERS maintains a cost-sharing multiple employer defined benefit post-employment healthcare plan, which includes a medical plan, prescription drug program and Medicare Part B premium reimbursement, to qualifying members of both the Traditional Pension and the Combined Plans. Members of the Member-Directed Plan do not qualify for ancillary benefits, including postemployment health care coverage.

In order to qualify for post-employment health care coverage, age-and-service retirees under the Traditional Pension and Combined Plans must have 10 or more years of qualifying Ohio service credit. Health care coverage for disability benefit recipients and qualified survivor benefit recipients is available. The health care coverage provided by OPERS meets the definition of an Other Post Employment Benefit (OPEB) as described in GASB Statement 45.

The Ohio Revised Code permits, but does not mandate, OPERS to provide OPEB benefits to its eligible members and beneficiaries. Authority to establish and amend benefits is provided in Chapter 145 of the Ohio Revised Code.

A portion of each employer's contribution to OPERS is set aside for the funding of post-employment health care. The portion of employer contributions, for all employers, allocated to health care was 7.00% from January 1 through June 30, 2008 and 6.00% from July 1 through December 31, 2007.

The Ohio Revised Code provides the statutory authority requiring public employers to fund post employment health care through their contributions to OPERS.

Summary of Assumptions:

Actuarial Review—The assumptions and calculations below were based on OPERS' latest actuarial review performed as of December 31, 2006.

Funding Method—The individual entry age actuarial cost method of valuation is used in determining the present value of OPEB. The difference between assumed and actual experience (actuarial gains and losses) becomes part of unfunded actuarial accrued liability.

Assets Valuation Method—All investments are carried at market value. For actuarial valuation purposes, a smoothed market approach is used. Under this approach, assets are adjusted to reflect 25% of unrealized market appreciation or depreciation on investment assets annually, not to exceed a 12% corridor.

Investment Return—The investment assumption rate for 2006 was 6.50%.

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements
June 30, 2008

NOTE 9 OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS – (Continued)

Active Employee Total Payroll—An annual increase of 4.00%, compounded annually, is the base portion of the individual pay increase assumption. This assumes no change in the number of active employees. In addition, annual pay increases over and above the 4.00% base increase, were assumed to range from 0.50% to 6.30%.

Health Care—Health care costs were assumed to increase at the projected wage inflation rate plus an additional factor ranging from 0.50% to 5.00% for the next 8 years. In subsequent years, (9 and beyond) health care costs were assumed to increase at 4.00% (the projected wage inflation rate).

OPEB is advance-funded on an actuarially determined basis.

1. The Traditional Pension and Combined Plans had 374,979 active contributing participants as of December 31, 2007. The number of active contributing participants for both plans used in the December 31, 2006, actuarial valuation was 362,130.

2. The rates stated above are the actuarially determined contribution requirements for OPERS. The portion of the Commission's employer contributions that were used to fund post-employment benefits can be approximated by multiplying actual employer 2008 contributions for January 1 through June 30, 2008 by 0.5 for local government employers, and for the period July 1 through December 31, 2007, multiply the actual employer contributions by 0.4332 for local government employers, which is approximately \$92,491.

3. The amount of \$12.0 billion represents the actuarial value of OPERS' net assets available for OPEB at December 31, 2006.

4. Based on the actuarial cost method used, the Actuarial Valuation as of December 31, 2006, reported the actuarially accrued liability and the unfunded actuarially accrued liability for OPEB at \$30.7 billion and \$18.7 billion, respectively.

OPERS Board of Trustees Implements its Health Care Preservation Plan.

The Health Care Preservation Plan (HCPP) adopted by the OPERS Board of Trustees on September 9, 2004, was effective January 1, 2007. Member and employer contribution rates increased as of January 1, 2006, January 1, 2007 and January 1, 2008, which allowed additional funds to be allocated to the health care plan.

Funding Policy

The Ohio Revised Code provides the statutory authority requiring public employers to fund post retirement health care through their contributions to OPERS. A portion of each employer's contribution to OPERS is set aside for the funding of post retirement health care benefits. The portion of the MVRPC's employer contributions that were used to fund post-employment benefits was \$64,970.

Employer contribution rates are expressed as a percentage of the covered payroll of active members. Local government employer units contributed 14.0% in 2008 of covered payroll. The Ohio Revised Code currently limits the employer contribution to a rate not to exceed 14.0% of covered payroll for state and local employer units. Active members do not make contributions to the OPEB Plan.

OPERS' Post Employment Health Care plan was established under, and is administrated in accordance with, Internal Revenue Code 401(h). Each year, the OPERS Retirement Board determines the portion of the employer contribution rate that will be set aside for funding of post employment health care benefits. The employer contribution allocated to the health care plan from January 1 through June 30, 2008 and July 1 through December 31, 2007 was 7.0% and 6.0% of covered payroll, respectively. The OPERS Retirement Board is also authorized to establish rules for the payment of a portion of the health care benefits provided, by the retiree or their surviving beneficiaries. Payment amounts vary depending on the number of covered dependents and the coverage selected.

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements
June 30, 2008

NOTE 10 CAPITAL ASSETS

	<u>Balances at 6/30/07</u>	<u>Additions</u>	<u>Deletions</u>	<u>Balances at 6/30/08</u>
<u>Capital Assets</u>				
Furniture and Fixtures	\$69,153	-	-	\$69,153
Equipment	348,955	\$14,662	\$(54,142)	309,476
Leasehold Improvements	68,556	-	-	68,556
Total Capital Assets	486,664	\$ 14,662	(54,142)	447,185
<u>Accumulated Depreciation</u>				
Furniture and Fixtures	31,831	9,879	-	41,710
Equipment	250,712	41,457	(53,085)	239,084
Leasehold Improvements	20,567	13,711	-	34,278
Total Accumulated Depreciation	303,110	65,048	(53,085)	315,073
Total Capital Assets, net	\$183,554	\$(50,385)	\$(1,057)	\$132,112

* - Depreciation expense was charged to the governmental functions as follows:

General Government	\$ <u>65,048</u>
--------------------	------------------

NOTE 11 SHORT AND LONG TERM OBLIGATIONS

The following is a summary of long-term obligations for the year ended June 30, 2008:

	<u>Outstanding 06/30/2007</u>	<u>Increases</u>	<u>Decreases</u>	<u>Outstanding 06/30/2008</u>	<u>Amount Due Within One Year</u>
Compensated absences	\$117,371	\$148,478	\$136,276	\$129,573	\$22,299
Early retirement Incentive Plan	350,416	-	210,953	139,463	139,463
Capital Lease	11,128	-	2,836	8,292	2,981
Total Long Term Obligations	\$478,915	\$148,478	\$350,066	\$277,328	\$164,743

Obligations will be paid from the fund from which the employees' salaries are paid.

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements
June 30, 2008

NOTE 12 PROPERTY AND INSURANCE

The Commission is exposed to various risk of loss related to torts, theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets, errors and omissions, injuries to employees, and natural disasters. During fiscal year 2008, the Commission contracted with The Hartford Insurance Company and National Union Fire Insurance Company for the following insurance coverage:

Business personal property	\$ 770,000
Computer equipment	200,000
Comprehensive general liability	4,000,000
Valuable papers	250,000
Business auto coverage	2,000,000
Public officials & employee liability	1,000,000

Settled claims have not exceeded this commercial coverage in any of the past three years, and there has been no significant reduction in coverage from the past fiscal year.

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

**Governmental Funds Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and
Changes in Fund Balances
Budget and Actual-General Fund
For the Year Ended June 30, 2008**

	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)
Revenues:				
Grantor Agency	\$ 235,000	\$ 211,820	\$ 405,180	\$ 193,360
Other	23,720	114,686	40,972	(73,714)
Membership Dues	482,754	485,288	481,072	(4,216)
Total Revenues	<u>741,474</u>	<u>811,794</u>	<u>927,224</u>	<u>115,430</u>
Expenditures:				
Personnel	\$ 1,472,699	\$ 1,388,187	1,389,519	(1,332)
Contractual	263,815	304,023	364,158	(60,135)
Other	455,291	448,208	318,079	130,129
Indirect Costs	56,459	67,310	45,227	22,083
Capital Outlays	40,000	40,000	14,662	25,338
Total Expenditures	<u>2,288,264</u>	<u>2,247,728</u>	<u>2,131,645</u>	<u>116,083</u>
Excess of Expenditures Over Revenues	<u>(1,546,790)</u>	<u>(1,435,934)</u>	<u>(1,204,421)</u>	<u>231,513</u>
Other Financing Sources (Uses):				
Transfers-Out	(237,322)	(237,850)	(106,003)	131,847
Cost Allocation Plan Recoveries	1,784,112	1,680,079	1,641,808	(38,271)
Total Other Financing Sources	<u>1,546,790</u>	<u>1,442,229</u>	<u>1,535,805</u>	<u>93,576</u>
Change in Fund Balances	-	6,295	331,384	325,089
Fund Balance, July 1	<u>2,100,243</u>	<u>2,100,243</u>	<u>2,100,243</u>	-
Fund Balance, June 30	<u>\$ 2,100,243</u>	<u>\$ 2,106,538</u>	<u>\$ 2,431,627</u>	<u>\$ 325,089</u>

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

**Governmental Funds Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and
Changes in Fund Balances
Budget and Actual-Grant Fund
For the Year Ended June 30, 2008**

	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)
Revenues:				
Grantor Agency	\$ 3,342,530	\$ 2,823,959	\$ 2,521,085	\$ (302,874)
Other	232,835	444,030	312,776	(131,254)
Total Revenues	<u>3,575,365</u>	<u>3,267,989</u>	<u>2,833,861</u>	<u>(434,128)</u>
Expenditures:				
Personnel	1,526,875	1,401,605	1,377,153	24,452
Contractual	1,072,069	915,281	463,274	452,007
Other	376,207	404,048	344,639	59,409
Indirect Costs	837,537	784,905	754,798	30,107
Total Expenditures	<u>3,812,688</u>	<u>3,505,839</u>	<u>2,939,864</u>	<u>565,975</u>
Excess of Expenditures Over Revenues	<u>(237,323)</u>	<u>(237,850)</u>	<u>(106,003)</u>	<u>131,847</u>
Other Financing Sources (Uses):				
Transfers-In	237,323	237,850	106,003	(131,847)
Total Other Financing Sources	<u>237,323</u>	<u>237,850</u>	<u>106,003</u>	<u>(131,847)</u>
Change in Fund Balances	-	-	-	-
Fund Balance, July 1	-	-	-	-
Fund Balance, June 30	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

Schedule of General Capital Assets

June 30, 2008

Capital Assets	
Furniture and Fixtures	\$ 69,153
Equipment	309,476
Leasehold Improvements	<u>68,556</u>
Total Capital Assets	447,185
Less: Accumulated Depreciation	<u>(315,073)</u>
Total Capital Assets, net	<u>\$ 132,112</u>
Investment in Capital Assets	
General Fund	\$ 371,295
Special Revenue Funds	<u>67,598</u>
Total Investment in Capital Assets	438,893
Less: Accumulated Depreciation	<u>(315,073)</u>
Total Investment in Capital Assets, net	<u>\$ 123,820</u>

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

**Schedule of Fringe Benefit Cost Pool Charges, Rate Base, Final
Rate Computation and Current Year's Recovery Comparison**

For the Year Ended June 30, 2008

Fringe Benefit Cost Pool Charges:

Public Employees Retirement System Contributions	\$ 197,777
Health Insurance Premiums	213,294
Life Insurance Premiums	2,136
Workers' Compensation Premiums	(44,808)
Unemployment Insurance	2,693
F.I.C.A. (Medicare) Expenses	18,607
Sick Leave Pay	60,465
Holiday Pay	54,485
Vacation, Personal and Other Leave	101,437
OPERS-ERIP	210,954
Tuition	1,850
Employee parking	22,893
Total Fringe Benefit Cost Pool Charges	<u>\$ 841,783</u>

Fringe Benefit Cost Rate Base:

Salaries	<u><u>\$ 1,104,833</u></u>
----------	----------------------------

Final Fringe Benefit Cost Rate Computation:

Total Fringe Benefit Cost Pool Charges	<u>\$ 841,783</u>
Divided By: Total Fringe Benefit Cost Rate Base	1,104,833
Equals - Final Fringe Benefit Cost Rate	<u><u>76.191%</u></u>

Current Year's Cost Recovery Comparison:

Fringe Benefit Costs Recovered @ provisional rate of 73%	\$ 806,528
Fringe Benefits Under Recovered using Provisional Rate	(35,255)
Fringe Benefit Costs Recovered @ final rate of 76.191%	841,783
Total Fringe Benefit Cost Pool Charges	<u>841,783</u>
Final Over (Under) Recovered Costs	<u><u>\$ -</u></u>

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

**Schedule of Indirect Cost Pool Charges, Rate Base, Final Rate
Computation and Current Year's Recovery Comparison**

For the Year Ended June 30, 2008

Indirect Cost Pool Charges:

Salaries	\$ 276,414
Allocated Fringe Benefits (76.191%)	210,603
Contractual Services	69,068
Communication and Supplies	20,194
Rents and Rentals	140,930
Utilities	20,487
Travel	935
Maintenance and Repairs	9,779
Other Costs	30
Allowance for Depreciation	51,547
Total Indirect Costs	<u>\$ 799,987</u>

Indirect Cost Rate Base:

Direct Salaries	\$ 828,419
Allocated Fringe Benefits 76.191%	631,181
Total Indirect Cost Rate Base	<u>\$ 1,459,600</u>

Final Indirect Cost Rate Computation:

Total Indirect Cost Pool Charges	\$ 799,987
Divided By: Total Indirect Cost Rate Base	\$ 1,459,600
Equals - Final Indirect Cost Rate	<u>54.809%</u>

Current Year's Cost Recovery Comparison:

Indirect Cost Recovered @ Provisional Rates 73% / 56%

Direct Salaries	\$ 828,419
Direct FB @ provisional rate 73%	604,746
Provision rate base	<u>\$ 1,433,165</u>
Recovery using Provision rate base	<u>\$ 845,567</u>
Over recovered @ provisional basis	<u>\$ 45,580</u>

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission
Schedule of Costs for Federal Highway Administration and Ohio Department of Transportation by Work Element
Year Ended June 30, 2008

Work Element	Project Description	Personnel	Fringe Benefits	Contractual	Other	Indirect costs	Total
601	Air Qlty/Access Control	\$ 23,455	\$ 17,871	\$ -	\$ 238	\$ 22,650	\$ 64,214
	Fed. & St. Legislation & Regs.	3,382	2,577	-	-	3,266	9,225
	Safety Study FY 2007	2,460	1,875	-	-	2,376	6,711
	Safety Study FY08	15,265	11,631	-	417	14,741	42,054
	Transit & Human Services Trans	38,057	28,996	-	420	36,751	104,225
	601 Total	82,620	62,949	-	1,076	79,784	226,429
602	Assist. In MISEIJS-IMS Stu	8,302	6,326	-	3,324	8,017	25,969
	TIP Project Management	37,674	28,704	-	634	36,381	103,392
	TIP SFY 08-11 & Amendments	38,761	29,533	-	135	37,431	105,860
	TIP SFY08-11 & Amendments	7,577	5,773	-	-	7,317	20,667
	602 Total	92,314	70,335	-	4,093	89,146	255,888
605	GIS Support	102,115	77,802	-	1,128	98,610	279,655
	Regional GIS	10,329	7,870	-	2,713	9,975	30,887
	Transportation Databases	43,354	33,032	12,063	6,589	41,866	136,905
	605 Total	155,798	118,704	12,063	10,431	150,451	447,446
610	Landuse - STP	2,257	1,719	-	15	2,179	6,170
	LRP Supplemental Research	99,010	75,437	-	5,786	95,612	275,844
	LRP Update	114,288	87,077	-	15,738	110,366	327,470
	610 Total	215,555	164,233	-	21,539	208,157	609,484
625	Public Involvement & Media Rel	32,301	24,611	3,559	10,753	31,193	102,417
	Public Service	14,117	10,756	-	246	13,633	38,752
	625 Total	46,419	35,367	3,559	10,998	44,826	141,168
665.01	Renaissance Project	958	730	43,944	-	925	46,557
665.06	Western Montg Co Trans Plan	3,156	2,405	50,356	138	3,048	59,104
665.07	Austin Rd EA - Admin	4,838	3,686	-	-	4,672	13,197
665.08	Dayton CBD St Admin	2,843	2,166	-	-	2,746	7,755
	Dayton CBD St - Consultants	-	-	24,071	-	-	24,071
665.1	Senior Trans Serv GDRTA	-	-	5,418	(457)	11,919	16,880
	Senior Trans Serv Mot Co 07	12,303	9,374	14,674	(11,343)	-	25,008
665.11	Senior Trans Serv Mot Co 08	6,542	4,984	16,148	61	-	27,735
665.12	Senior Trans Serv GDRTA	-	-	3,850	12,117	6,317	22,285
665.13	Hoke Road Safety (GHSA)	-	-	10,650	-	-	10,650
665.14	Senior Trans Svc - GDAHA FY08	-	-	1,838	-	-	1,838
	665 Total	30,641	23,345	170,949	517	29,627	255,079
667.1	Rideshare	-	-	4,500	35,228	-	39,728
	Rideshare - FY08	22,149	16,875	17,978	163,715	21,389	242,106
	Vanpool Admin.	1,076	819	20,620	6	1,039	23,560
	Vanpool Admin. - FY08	656	500	-	-	634	1,790
	WPAFB Project Support	-	-	-	608	-	608
667.2	Air Quality Awareness Program	-	-	12,500	13,666	-	26,166
	AQ Awareness Prog. - FY08	24,943	19,004	7,298	59,517	24,087	134,849
	Enhanced AQ Forecasting	-	-	27,009	-	-	27,009
667.3	Alternative Transportation	15,060	11,474	1,575	13,799	14,543	56,450
	Comp Regional Bikeway Plan	19,655	14,975	199,020	3,258	18,980	255,888
	Regional Coop. Effort - FY08	32,945	25,101	-	606	31,814	90,466
	Regional Cooperative Effort	470	358	-	91	454	1,372
	667 Total	116,953	89,107	290,500	290,494	112,939	899,993
674	Preble Cty. HSTP	-	-	25,000	-	-	25,000
	Public Transit HSTP	9,659	7,359	263,044	5,668	9,328	295,058
674.12	Public Transit Admin - FTA	3,288	2,505	-	-	3,175	8,968
	674 Total	12,947	9,864	288,044	5,668	12,503	329,026
695	Transportation Prog. Adm FY 08	24,637	18,771	-	308	23,791	67,507
	Transportation Program Admin.	5,902	4,497	-	-	5,700	16,099
	695 Total	30,539	23,268	-	308	29,491	83,607
697	Trans Annual Report	2,917	2,222	15,843	173	2,817	23,972
	Totals	\$ 786,703	\$ 599,394	\$ 780,958	\$ 345,297	\$ 759,741	\$ 3,272,092

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

Officers and Executive Committee as of June 30, 2008

MVRPC Officers:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Organization</u>	<u>Title</u>
Robert Shook, Chair	Concord Twp.	Trustee
Donald Patterson, Jr., First Vice-Chair	Kettering	Mayor
Ralph Harper, Second Vice-Chair	Greene County	Commissioner

Executive Committee Members:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Organization</u>	<u>Title</u>
Michael Beamish	Troy	Mayor
Pat Campbell	Bellbrook	Council Member
Richard Church	Miamisburg	Mayor
Dan Foley	Montgomery County	Commissioner
Arthur Haddad	Troy Chamber of Commerce	Chairman
Rap Hankins	Trotwood	Council Member
Terry Haworth	Darke County	Commissioner
Jerome Hirt, Sr.	Bethel Twp.	Trustee
Matt Joseph	Dayton	Council Member
John Faulkner	Xenia Twp.	Trustee
Phyllis Howard	Beavercreek	Council Member
Deborah Preston	Miami Township	Trustee
Phil Parker	Dayton Area Chamber of Commerce	President and CEO
Charlie Shoemaker	Five Rivers MetroParks	Executive Director
Jan Vargo	Huber Heights	Council Member
David Wesler	Preble County	Commissioner
B. Ronald Widener	Miami County	Commissioner

**Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards
Year Ended June 30, 2008**

<u>Pass-Through Grantor/ Program Title</u>	<u>Grant Number or Description</u>	<u>Federal CFDA Number</u>	<u>Expenditures</u>
<u>U. S. Environmental Protection Agency</u>			
Pass-Through, Ohio Environmental Protection Agency			
Water Quality Management Planning	604(b)	66.454	\$ 41,191
Total Environmental Protection Agency			<u>\$ 41,191</u>
<u>U. S. Department of Transportation</u>			
Pass-Through, Ohio Department of Transportation			
Highway Planning and Construction	Consolidated Planning FY 2007	20.205	\$ 166,567
	Consolidated Planning FY 2008	20.205	1,090,091
	Rideshare FY 2007	20.205	63,288
	Rideshare FY 2008	20.205	243,896
	Alternative Trans & Air Qlt Aware FY 2007	20.205	39,956
	Alternative Trans & Air Qlt Aware FY 2008	20.205	177,510
	Additional Air Qlty Enhancement	20.205	21,607
	Supplemental Planning	20.205	490,579
	Hoke Road Safety Study	20.205	10,650
	Dayton Renaissance Plan	20.205	17,301
	Western Montg Co/ Landuse Transp. Plans	20.205	65,274
	Austin Road	20.205	13,102
	Dayton CBD Study - Consultants	20.205	19,257
	Dayton CBD Study - Administration	20.205	<u>7,755</u>
Total Highway Planning and Construction			\$ 2,426,833
Public Transit Human Services Trans. Plan	Job Access and Reverse Commute	20.516	32,338
	New Freedom	20.521	<u>20,723</u>
Total Public Transit Human Services Trans. Plan			<u>\$ 53,061</u>
Total Department of Transportation			<u>\$ 2,479,894</u>
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards			<u><u>\$ 2,521,085</u></u>

Note: This Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards was prepared using the accrual basis of accounting.

Rockefeller Building
614 W Superior Ave Ste 1242
Cleveland, OH 44113-1306
Office phone - (216) 575-1630
Fax - (216) 436-2411

Charles E. Harris & Associates, Inc.
Certified Public Accountants

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON
COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL
STATEMENTS REQUIRED BY *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS*

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission
Montgomery County
One South Main Street
Dayton, Ohio 45402

To the Members of the Board of Directors:

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities and each major fund of the Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission, Montgomery County, Ohio (MVRPC) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2008, which collectively comprise the MVRPC's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents, and have issued our report thereon dated December 19, 2008. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the MVRPC's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the MVRPC's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the MVRPC's internal control over financial reporting.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the MVRPC's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report financial data reliably in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the MVRPC's financial statements that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the MVRPC's internal control.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the financial statements will not be prevented or detected by the MVRPC's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the MVRPC's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, grant agreements and other matters, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the audit committee, management, the Board of Directors, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Charles E. Harris and Associates, Inc.

December 19, 2008

REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO
EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE
IN ACCORDANCE WITH *OMB CIRCULAR A-133*

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission
Montgomery County
One South Main Street
Dayton, Ohio 45402

To the Members of the Board of Directors:

Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission (MVRPC) with the types of compliance requirements described in *U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that are applicable to its major federal program for the year ended June 30, 2008. The MVRPC's major federal program is identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to its major federal program is the responsibility of the MVRPC's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the MVRPC's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and *OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and *OMB Circular A-133* require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the MVRPC's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the MVRPC's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, the MVRPC complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to its major federal program for the year ended June 30, 2008.

Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of the MVRPC is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the MVRPC's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the MVRPC's internal control over compliance.

A control deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, when performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect noncompliance with a federal program compliance requirement on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or a combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the MVRPC's ability to administer a federal program such that there is more than a remote likelihood that the MVRPC's internal control will not prevent or detect more-than-inconsequential noncompliance with a federal program compliance requirement.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that the MVRPC's internal control will not prevent or detect material noncompliance with a federal program's compliance requirements.

Our consideration of the internal control over compliance was for limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

This report is intended for the information and use of the audit committee, management, the Board of Directors, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Charles E. Harris & Associates, Inc.
December 19, 2008

**SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS
OMB CIRCULAR A-133 SECTION .505**

**MIAMI VALLEY REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
MONTGOMERY COUNTY**

June 30, 2008

1. SUMMARY OF AUDITOR'S RESULTS

(d)(1)(i)	<i>Type of Financial Statement Opinion</i>	Unqualified
(d)(1)(ii)	<i>Were there any material control weaknesses reported at the financial statement level (GAGAS)?</i>	No
(d)(1)(ii)	<i>Were there any other significant control deficiencies reported at the financial statement level (GAGAS)?</i>	No
(d)(1)(iii)	<i>Was there any reported material non-compliance at the financial statement level (GAGAS)?</i>	No
(d)(1)(iv)	<i>Were there any material internal control weaknesses reported for major federal programs?</i>	No
(d)(1)(iv)	<i>Were there any other significant control deficiencies reported for major federal programs?</i>	No
(d)(1)(v)	<i>Type of Major Programs' Compliance Opinion</i>	Unqualified
(d)(1)(vi)	<i>Are there any reportable findings under Section .510</i>	No
(d)(1)(vii)	<i>Major Programs:</i>	CFDA #20.205 Highway Planning and Construction
(d)(1)(viii)	<i>Dollar Threshold: Type A\B Programs</i>	\$300,000
(d)(1)(ix)	<i>Low Risk Auditee?</i>	Yes

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS - (continued)
OMB CIRCULAR A-133 SECTION .505

MIAMI VALLEY REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
MONTGOMERY COUNTY
June 30, 2008

**2. FINDINGS RELATED TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
REQUIRED TO BE REPORTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GAGAS**

None.

3. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR FEDERAL AWARDS

None.

STATUS OF PRIOR AUDIT'S CITATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The prior audit report, for the year ending June 30, 2007, reported no material citations or recommendations.



Mary Taylor, CPA
Auditor of State

MIAMI VALLEY REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION

MONTGOMERY COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbitt

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

**CERTIFIED
MARCH 10, 2009**