



Mary Taylor, CPA
Auditor of State



Mary Taylor, CPA

Auditor of State

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Tipp City Public Library
Miami County
11 East Main Street
Tipp City, Ohio 45371

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Board of Trustees and the management of the Tipp City Public Library (the Library) agreed, solely to assist the Board in evaluating receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Management is responsible for recording transactions; and management and the Board are responsible for complying with the compliance requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

Cash and Investments

1. We tested the mathematical accuracy of the December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
2. We agreed the January 1, 2008 beginning fund balances recorded in the 2008 Financial Statements to the December 31, 2007 balances in the prior year audited statements. We found no exceptions.
3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the December 31, 2009 and 2008 fund cash balances reported in the Treasurer's Report. We noted that in both 2009 and 2008, the book value of the cash was \$849 lower than the amount of cash shown by the financial institutions. The Library should review this difference and make adjustments to correct this variance.
4. We confirmed the December 31, 2009 bank account balances with the Library's financial institutions. We found no exceptions.
5. We selected all deposits in transit haphazardly from the December 31, 2009 bank reconciliation:
 - a. We traced each deposit to the credit appearing in the subsequent January bank statement. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We agreed these deposits' amounts to the Transaction Detail by Account report. Each deposit in transit was recorded as a December receipt for the same amount recorded in the reconciliation.
6. We tested interbank account transfers occurring in December of 2008 to determine if they were properly recorded in the accounting records and on each bank statement. We found no exceptions.

One First National Plaza / 130 W. Second St. / Suite 2040 / Dayton, OH 45402

Telephone: (937) 285-6677 (800) 443-9274 Fax: (937) 285-6688

www.auditor.state.oh.us

Cash and Investments (Continued)

7. We tested investments held at December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 to determine that they:
 - a. Were of a type authorized by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 135.13, 135.14 or 135.144. We found no exceptions.
 - b. Mature within the prescribed time limits noted in Ohio Rev. Code Section 135.13 or 135.14. We noted no exceptions.

State Library and Local Government Support Receipts

1. We selected two State Library and Local Government Support (LLGS) receipts from the Miami County Vendor Expense Report from 2009 and two from 2008.
 - a. We compared the amount from the Miami County Vendor Expense Report to the amount recorded in the Transaction Detail by Account report. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We determined whether these receipts were posted to the General Fund. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.
 - d. We scanned the Transaction Detail by Account report to determine whether it included one LLGS receipt per month for 2009 and 2008. We found no exceptions.

Debt

We inquired of management, and scanned the Check Register and General Ledger reports for evidence of bonded or note debt issued during 2009 or 2008 or outstanding as of December 31, 2009 or 2008. We noted no debt issuances or any debt payment activity during 2009 or 2008.

Payroll Cash Disbursements

1. We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2009 and one payroll check for five employees from 2008 from the Payroll Register and determined whether the following information in the employees' personnel files was consistent with the information used to compute gross and net pay related to this check:
 - a. Name
 - b. Authorized salary or pay rate
 - c. Department(s) and fund(s) to which the check should be charged.
 - d. Retirement system participation and payroll withholding.
 - e. Federal, State & Local income tax withholding authorization and withholding.
 - f. Any other deduction authorizations (deferred compensation, etc.)

We found no exceptions related to steps a. – f. above.

2. We tested the checks we selected in step 1, as follows:
 - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary amount used in computing gross pay to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively or statutorily-approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
 - b. We recomputed gross and net pay and agreed it to the amount recorded in the payroll register. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We determined whether the fund and account code(s) to which the check was posted was reasonable based on the employees' duties as documented in the employees' personnel files. We also determined whether the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Payroll Cash Disbursements (Continued)

3. We scanned the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2009 to determine whether remittances were timely paid, and that the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld during the final withholding period during 2009. We noted the following:

<u>Withholding</u>	<u>Date Due</u>	<u>Date Paid</u>	<u>Amount Withheld</u>	<u>Amount Paid</u>
Federal income taxes	January 31, 2010	January 15, 2010	\$1,798.56	\$1,798.56
State income taxes	January 15, 2010	January 13, 2010	\$358.26	\$ 358.26
Local income tax	January 31, 2010	January 13, 2010	\$267.97	\$ 267.97
OPERS retirement (withholding plus employee share)	January 30, 2010	January 11, 2010	\$2,204.20 (Employee) \$3,085.89 (Employer)	\$5,290.09

4. We haphazardly selected and recomputed one termination payment (unused vacation, etc.) using the following information, and agreed the computation to the amount paid as recorded in the Payroll Register:
 - a. Accumulated leave records
 - b. The employee's pay rate in effect as of the termination date
 - c. The Library's payout policy.

The amount paid was consistent with the information recorded in a. through c. above.

Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements

1. For the Transaction Detail by Account report, we re-footed checks recorded as General Fund *salaries*, and checks recorded as *purchased and books* in the Special Revenue fund for 2009. We found no exceptions.
2. We agreed total disbursements (non-payroll and payroll) from the Transaction Detail by Account report for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 to the total disbursements recorded on the Treasurer's Report. We found no exceptions.
3. We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Check Detail report for the year ended December 31, 2009 and ten from the year ended 2008 and determined whether:
 - a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
 - b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Check Detail report and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
 - c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.

Compliance – Budgetary

1. We compared total appropriations required by Ohio Admin. Code Section 117-8-02, to the amounts recorded in the Treasurer's Report for 2009 and 2008 for the following funds: General Fund and the Building Fund. The amounts on the appropriation resolutions agreed to the amounts recorded in the Treasurer's Report.

Compliance – Budgetary (Continued)

2. Ohio Admin. Code Section 117-8-02 prohibits spending in excess of budgeted amounts. We compared total expenditures to total appropriations for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 for the General and Building funds, as recorded in the Treasurer's. We noted that General Fund expenditures for 2008 exceeded total appropriations by \$41 and \$53 in the Communications and Professional Services lines respectively, contrary to Ohio Admin. Code Section 117-8-02. The Fiscal Officer should deny payment requests exceeding appropriations. The Treasurer may request the Trustees to approve increased expenditure levels by increasing appropriations if necessary and if resources are available.

Compliance – Contracts & Expenditures

1. We inquired of management and scanned the Transaction Detail by Account report for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 for procurements requiring competitive bidding to construct, demolish, alter, repair, or reconstruct a library or make any improvements or repairs, the cost of which exceeded \$25,000, except in cases of urgent necessity or for the security and protection of library property (Ohio Rev. Code Section 3375.41).

We identified no purchases subject to the aforementioned bidding requirements.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Library's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management and those charged with governance and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.



Mary Taylor, CPA
Auditor of State

March 8, 2010



Mary Taylor, CPA
Auditor of State

TIPP CITY PUBLIC LIBRARY

MIAMI COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbitt

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

CERTIFIED
MARCH 30, 2010