



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE	PAGE
Cover Letter	1
Independent Accountants' Report	3
Statement of Cash Receipts, Cash Disbursements, and Changes in Fund Cash Balance For the Year Ended December 31, 2009 and 2008	5
Notes to the Financial Statement	7
Independent Accountants' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Required by <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	11
Schedule of Findings	13
Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	16

This page intentionally left blank.



# Dave Yost • Auditor of State

Bellaire Park District Belmont County P.O. Box 9 Bellaire, Ohio 43906

To the Board of Commissioners:

As you are aware, the Auditor of State's Office (AOS) must modify the *Independent Accountants' Report* we provide on your financial statements due to an interpretation from the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA). While AOS does not legally require your government to prepare financial statements pursuant to Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP), the AICPA interpretation requires auditors to formally acknowledge that you did not prepare your financial statement in accordance with GAAP. Our Report includes an adverse opinion relating to GAAP presentation and measurement requirements, but does not imply the amounts the statement presents are misstated under the non-GAAP basis you follow. The AOS report also includes an opinion on the financial statement you prepared using the cash basis and financial statement format the AOS permits.

re Yort

Dave Yost Auditor of State

February 22, 2011

743 East State Street, Athens Mall Suite B, Athens, Ohio 45701-2157 Phone: 740-594-3300 or 800-441-1389 Fax: 740-594-2110 www.auditor.state.oh.us This page intentionally left blank.



## Dave Yost · Auditor of State

## INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT

Bellaire Park District Belmont County P.O. Box 9 Bellaire, Ohio 43906

To the Board of Commissioners:

We have audited the accompanying financial statement of Bellaire Park District, Belmont County, Ohio (the District), as of and for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008. This financial statement is the responsibility of the District's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this financial statement based on our audit.

Except as discussed in the following paragraph, we conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to reasonably assure whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation.

We were unable to obtain sufficient evidential matter supporting the amounts recorded as *Support and Assistance* disbursements for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008. These disbursements represent represent 52% and 59% of the 2009 and 2008 total disbursements, respectively. We were unable to determine the validity of *Support and Assistance* disbursements through alternative procedures.

As described more fully in Note 1, the District has prepared this financial statement using accounting practices the Auditor of State prescribes or permits. These practices differ from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). Although we cannot reasonably determine the effects on the financial statement of the variances between these regulatory accounting practices and GAAP, we presume they are material.

While the District does not follow GAAP, generally accepted auditing standards requires us to include the following paragraph if the statement does not substantially conform to GAAP presentation requirements. The Auditor of State permits, but does not require districts to reformat their statements. The District has elected not to follow GAAP statement formatting requirements. The following paragraph does not imply the amounts reported are materially misstated under the accounting basis the Auditor of State permits. Our opinion on the fair presentation of the amounts reported pursuant to its non-GAAP basis is in the second following paragraph.

Bellaire Park District Belmont County Independent Accountants' Report Page 2

In our opinion, because of the effects of the matter discussed in the preceding two paragraphs, the financial statement referred to above for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 does not present fairly, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the financial position of the District as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, or its changes in financial position for the years then ended.

Also, in our opinion, except for the effects of such adjustments, if any, as might have been determined to be necessary had we been able to examine the evidence regarding *Support and* Assistance disbursements, the financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the cash balance of Bellaire Park District, Belmont County, as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and its cash receipts and disbursements for the years then ended on the accounting basis Note 1 describes.

The District has not presented Management's Discussion and Analysis, which accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America has determined is necessary to supplement, although not required to be part of, the financial statements.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated February 22, 2011, on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. While we did not opine on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance, that report describes the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance, and the results of that testing. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*. You should read it in conjunction with this report in assessing the results of our audit.

Dave Yost Auditor of State

February 22, 2011

## STATEMENT OF CASH RECEIPTS, CASH DISBURSEMENTS, AND CHANGES IN CASH BALANCE FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009 AND 2008

	2009	2008
Cash Receipts:		
Intergovernmental	\$21,384	\$32,805
Earnings on Investments	2	9
Miscellaneous	167	0
Total Cash Receipts	21,553	32,814
Cash Disbursements:		
Conservation/Recreation		
Salaries	3,671	4,667
Fringe Benefits	1,699	
Materials and Supplies	540	566
Utilities	1,646	1,916
Insurance	1,023	1,953
Support & Assistance	14,348	23,234
Equipment	419	
Audit Costs	4,329	
Capital Outlay		7,088
Total Cash Disbursements	27,675	39,424
Total Cash Receipts Over/(Under) Cash Disbursements	(6,122)	(6,610)
Cash Balance, January 1	6,883	13,493
Cash Balance, December 31	\$761	\$6,883
Reserve for Encumbrances, December 31	\$1,151	\$1,678

The notes to the financial statement are an integral part of this statement.

This page intentionally left blank.

#### NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT DECEMBER 31, 2009 AND 2008

## 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

## A. Description of the Entity

The constitution and laws of the State of Ohio establish the rights and privileges of the Bellaire Park District, Belmont County (the District), as a body corporate and politic. The Probate Judge of Belmont County appoints a three-member Board of Commissioners to govern the District. The Commissioners are authorized to acquire, develop, protect, maintain, and improve park lands and facilities. The District also works with the Village of Bellaire, Bellaire Local School District, local sporting organizations and its residents to help recreational and park activities under their control and jurisdiction.

The District participates in the Public Entities Pool of Ohio (PEP) public entity risk pool. Note 5 to the financial statement provides additional information for this entity.

The District's management believes this financial statement presents all activities for which the District is financially accountable.

## B. Accounting Basis

This financial statement follows the accounting basis the Auditor of State prescribes or permits. This basis is similar to the cash receipts and disbursements accounting basis. The District recognizes receipts when received in cash rather than when earned, and recognizes disbursements when paid rather than when a liability is incurred. Budgetary presentations report budgetary expenditures when a commitment is made (i.e., when an encumbrance is approved).

This statement includes adequate disclosure of material matters, as the Auditor of State prescribes or permits.

## C. Budgetary Process

Under HB 262, Districts that do not levy property taxes must follow most of the ORC 5705 budget requirements, but need not seek approval of a county budget commission for any budgetary actions. The Ohio Revised Code requires the Board of Commissioners to budget annually.

#### 1. Appropriations

Budgetary expenditures (that is, disbursements and encumbrances) may not exceed appropriations at the fund, function or object level of control, and appropriations may not exceed estimated resources. The District Board must annually approve appropriation measures and subsequent amendments. Appropriations lapse at year end.

#### 2. Estimated Resources

Estimated resources include estimates of cash to be received (budgeted receipts) plus cash as of January 1.

#### NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT DECEMBER 31, 2009 AND 2008 (Continued)

## 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

## C. Budgetary Process (Continued)

## 3. Encumbrances

The Ohio Revised Code requires the District to reserve (encumber) appropriations when individual commitments are made. The District did not use the encumbrance method of accounting during 2009 and 2008. Management has included audit adjustments in the accompanying budgetary presentation for material items that should have been encumbered.

A summary of 2009 and 2008 budgetary activity appears in Note 3.

## D. Property, Plant, and Equipment

The District records disbursements for acquisitions of property, plant, and equipment when paid. The accompanying financial statement does not report these items as assets.

## 2. Equity in Cash

The Ohio Revised Code prescribes allowable deposits. The carrying amount of cash at December 31 was as follows:

	2009	2008
Demand deposits	\$761	\$6,883

**Deposits:** Deposits are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

## 3. Budgetary Activity

Budgetary activity for the years ending 2009 and 2008 follows:

2009 Budgeted vs. Actual Receipts		
Budgeted	Actual	
Receipts	Receipts	Variance
\$31,883	\$21,553	(\$10,330)
2009 Budgeted vs. Actual Budgetary Basis Expenditures		
Appropriation	Budgetary	
Authority	Expenditures	Variance
\$31,415	\$28,826	\$2,589
2008 Budgeted vs. Actual Receipts		
	U Contraction of the second se	eceipts
2008 Bud Budgeted	dgeted vs. Actual R Actual	eceipts
	U Contraction of the second se	eceipts Variance
Budgeted	Actual	<u> </u>
Budgeted Receipts	Actual Receipts	Variance
Budgeted Receipts	Actual Receipts \$32,814	Variance (\$76)
Budgeted Receipts \$32,890	Actual Receipts \$32,814	Variance (\$76)
Budgeted Receipts \$32,890 2008 Budgeted vs. /	Actual Receipts \$32,814 Actual Budgetary B	Variance (\$76)

#### NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT DECEMBER 31, 2009 AND 2008 (Continued)

#### 3. Budgetary Activity (Continued)

Contrary to Ohio law, the District failed to obtain the treasurer's prior certification before incurring obligations.

#### 4. Retirement Systems

The District's one employee belongs to the Ohio Public Employees Retirement System (OPERS). OPERS is a cost-sharing, multiple-employer plan. The Ohio Revised Code prescribes this plan's benefits, which include postretirement healthcare and survivor and disability benefits.

The Ohio Revised Code also prescribes contribution rates. For 2009 and 2008, OPERS members contributed 10% and 10%, respectively, of their gross salaries and the District contributed an amount equaling 14% and 14%, respectively, of participants' gross salaries. The District paid the first six months of 2009 OPERS liability, in the amount of \$600 on April 29, 2010 and the second six months liability, in the amount of \$312 was in arrears.

#### 5. Risk Management

The District is exposed to various risks of property and casualty losses, and injuries to employees.

The District insures against injuries to employees through the Ohio Bureau of Worker's Compensation.

The District belongs to the Public Entities Pool of Ohio (PEP), a risk-sharing pool available to Ohio local governments. PEP provides property and casualty coverage for its members. American Risk Pooling Consultants, Inc. (ARPCO), a division of York Insurance Services Group, Inc. (York), functions as the administrator of PEP and provides underwriting, claims, loss control, risk management, and reinsurance services for PEP. PEP is a member of the American Public Entity Excess Pool (APEEP), which is also administered by ARPCO. Member governments pay annual contributions to fund PEP. PEP pays judgments, settlements and other expenses resulting from covered claims that exceed the members' deductibles.

#### Casualty and Property Coverage

APEEP provides PEP with an excess risk-sharing program. Under this arrangement, PEP retains insured risks up to an amount specified in the contracts. At December 31, 2009, PEP retained \$350,000 for casualty claims and \$150,000 for property claims.

The aforementioned casualty and property reinsurance agreement does not discharge PEP's primary liability for claims payments on covered losses. Claims exceeding coverage limits are the obligation of the respective government.

#### **Financial Position**

PEP's financial statements (audited by other accountants) conform with generally accepted accounting principles, and reported the following assets, liabilities and retained earnings at December 31, 2009 and 2008:

#### NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT DECEMBER 31, 2009 AND 2008 (Continued)

## 5. Risk Management (Continued)

	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>
Assets	\$36,374,898	\$35,769,535
Liabilities	<u>(15,256,862)</u>	<u>(15,310,206)</u>
Net Assets	<u>\$21,118,036</u>	<u>\$20,459,329</u>

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, the liabilities above include approximately \$14.1 million and \$13.7 million of estimated incurred claims payable. The assets above also include approximately \$13.7 million and \$12.9 million of unpaid claims to be billed to approximately 447 member governments in the future, as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. These amounts will be included in future contributions from members when the related claims are due for payment. At December 31, 2009, the District's share of these unpaid claims collectible in future years is approximately \$2,060.

Based on discussions with PEP, the expected rates PEP charges to compute member contributions, which are used to pay claims as they become due, are not expected to change significantly from those used to determine the historical contributions detailed below. By contract, the annual liability of each member is limited to the amount of financial contributions required to be made to PEP for each year of membership.

Contributions to PEP	
2008	\$1,553
2009	\$623

After one year of membership, a member may withdraw on each anniversary of the date joining PEP, if the member notifies PEP in writing 60 prior to the anniversary date. Upon withdrawal, members are eligible for a full or partial refund of their capital contributions, minus the subsequent year's contribution. Withdrawing members have no other future obligation to PEP. Also upon withdrawal, payments for all casualty claims and claim expenses become the sole responsibility of the withdrawing member, regardless of whether a claim occurred or was reported prior to the withdrawal.



## Dave Yost · Auditor of State

## INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS REQUIRED BY *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS*

Bellaire Park District Belmont County P.O. Box 9 Bellaire, Ohio 43906

To the District Board of Trustees:

We have audited the financial statement of the Bellaire Park District, Belmont County, Ohio (the District), as of and for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, and have issued our report thereon dated February 22, 2011 wherein we noted the District prepared its financial statement using accounting practices the Auditor of State prescribes or permits rather than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We also were not able to obtain sufficient evidential matter supporting the amounts recorded as *Support and Assistance* disbursements for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008. Except for *Support and Assistance* disbursements, we conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*.

## Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our audit procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of opining on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we have not opined on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. Therefore, we cannot assure that we have identified all deficiencies, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. However, as described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider a material weakness and another deficiency we consider to be a significant deficiency.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, when performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect and timely correct misstatements. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the District's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and timely corrected. We consider finding 2009-001 to be a material weakness.

A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. We consider finding 2009-003 described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings to be a significant deficiency.

<sup>743</sup> East State Street, Athens Mall Suite B, Athens, Ohio 45701-2157 Phone: 740-594-3300 or 800-441-1389 Fax: 740-594-2110 www.auditor.state.oh.us

Bellaire Park District Belmont County Independent Accountants' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Required by *Government Auditing Standards* Page 2

#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of reasonably assuring whether the District's financial statement is free of material misstatement, we tested its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could directly and materially affect the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and accordingly, we do not express an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed an instance of noncompliance or other matter we must report under *Government Auditing Standards* which is described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings as item 2009-002.

We also noted certain matters not requiring inclusion in this report that we reported to the District's management in a separate letter dated February 22, 2011.

We intend this report solely for the information and use of management and the District Board of Commissioners. We intend it for no one other than these specified parties.

ive York

Dave Yost Auditor of State

February 22, 2011

#### SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS DECEMBER 31, 2009 AND 2008

## FINDINGS RELATED TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS REQUIRED TO BE REPORTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GAGAS

#### FINDING NUMBER 2009-001

#### **Noncompliance Citation and Material Weakness**

Ohio Rev. Code Section 149.351(A) provides that all records are the property of the public office concerned and shall not be removed, destroyed, mutilated, transferred, or otherwise damage or disposed of, in whole or in part, except as provided by law or under the rules adopted by the records commission provided under Section 149.38 to 149.42 of the Revised Code. Such records shall be delivered by outgoing officials and employees to their successors and shall not be otherwise removed, transferred, or destroyed unlawfully.

The District provided support and assistance payments to local organizations and eligible low-income individuals. These payments were approved in total amount by the Commissioners at the board meetings. According to management, these monies were used by the local organizations to help defray operating costs and, at times, given to eligible low-income participants who could not afford the fees to participate in local sporting activities.

The District did not maintain detailed logs to support how the money was distributed. The accounting records were maintained at a total distribution level, which we could trace to the monthly bank statements and board action. The detailed logs to support how the money was distributed by the local organizations and the names of low-income participants to whom help was provided were not maintained for audit. Therefore, adequate documentation to support the disbursement was not always consistently included in the voucher package. As a result, we were unable to obtain sufficient evidential matter supporting the amounts recorded as *Support and Assistance* disbursements for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008. Additionally, we were unable to satisfy ourselves of existence and completeness over *Support and Assistance* disbursements through alternative procedures.

We recommend the District develop procedures to develop detailed accounting records for any monies used for the disbursement account Support and Assistance. The Board should pass a Resolution for every distribution of monies to local organizations and the Resolution should note the local organization's use for the money. If the District intends some monies are to be used to help some eligible low-income individuals defray costs to play or participate in sporting activities, then the name of the participant, amount given and purpose for the allocation should be adequately documented and given to the Board for their approval. The District may consider distributing monies to local organizations and allowing the local organizations to handle and document the allocating of monies to low-income participants.

#### FINDING NUMBER 2009-002

#### Noncompliance Citation

Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(D)(1) states that no subdivision shall make any contract or give any order involving the expenditure of money unless there is attached thereto a certificate of the fiscal officer of the subdivision. The fiscal officer must certify that the amount required to meet such a commitment has been lawfully appropriated and is in the treasury or in the process of collection to the credit of an appropriate fund free from any previous encumbrances. Every such contract made without such a certificate shall be void and no warrant shall be issued in payment of any amount due thereon.

## SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS DECEMBER 31, 2009 AND 2008 (Continued)

## FINDINGS RELATED TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS REQUIRED TO BE REPORTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GAGAS (Continued)

#### FINDING NUMBER 2009-002 (Continued)

#### Noncompliance Citation - Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(D)(1) (Continued)

There are several exceptions to the standard requirement stated above that a fiscal officer's certificate must be obtained prior to a subdivision or taxing authority entering into a contract or order involving the expenditure of money. The main exceptions are: "then and now" certificates, blanket certificates, and super blanket certificates, which are provided for in sections 5705.41(D)(1) and 5705.41(D)(3), respectively, of the Ohio Revised Code.

"Then and Now" Certificate – If the fiscal officer can certify that both at the time that the contract or order was made ("then"), and at the time that the fiscal officer is completing the certification ("now"), that sufficient funds were available or in the process of collection, to the credit of a proper fund, properly appropriated and free from any previous encumbrance, the District can authorize the drawing of a warrant for the payment of the amount due. The District has thirty days from receipt of the "then and now" certificate to approve payment by ordinance or resolution.

Amounts of less than \$3,000 may be paid by the fiscal officer without a resolution or ordinance upon completion of the "then and now" certificate, provided that the expenditure is otherwise lawful. This does not eliminate any otherwise applicable requirement for approval of expenditures by the District.

**Blanket Certificate** - Fiscal officers may prepare "blanket" certificates for a certain sum of money not exceeding an amount established by resolution or ordinance adopted by a majority of the members of the legislative authority against any specific line item account and cannot extend beyond the end of the fiscal year. The blanket certificate may, but need not, be limited to a specific vendor. Only one blanket certificate may be outstanding at one particular time for any one particular line item appropriation. Blanket certificates cannot be issued unless there has been an amount approved by the legislative authority for the blanket.

**Super Blanket Certificate** – The District may also make expenditures and contracts for any amount from a specific line item appropriation account in a specified fund upon certification of the fiscal officer for most professional services, fuel, oil, food items, and any other specific recurring and reasonably predictable operating expense. This certification is not to extend beyond the current year. More than one super blanket certificate may be outstanding at a particular time for any line item appropriation.

The District did not properly certify the availability of funds prior to purchase commitment for 100 percent of the expenditures tested in 2009 and 2008, and there was no evidence that the District followed the aforementioned exceptions. Failure to properly certify the availability of funds can result in overspending funds and negative cash fund balances.

Unless the exceptions noted above are used, prior certification is not only required by statute, but is a key control in the disbursement process to assure that purchase commitments receive prior approval. To improve controls over disbursements and to help reduce the possibility of the District's funds exceeding budgetary spending limitations, we recommend that the District Fiscal Officer certify that the funds are or will be available prior to an obligation being incurred by the District. When prior certification is not possible, "then and now" certification should be used.

## SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS DECEMBER 31, 2009 AND 2008 (Continued)

#### FINDINGS RELATED TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS REQUIRED TO BE REPORTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GAGAS (Continued)

#### FINDING NUMBER 2009-002 (Continued)

#### Noncompliance Citation - Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(D)(1) (Continued)

We recommend the District certify purchases to which Section 5705.41(D) applies. The fiscal officer should sign the certification at the time the District incurs a commitment, and only when the requirements of Section 5705.41(D) are satisfied. We also recommend that the Board establish a threshold for "blanket" certificates.

## FINDING NUMBER 2009-003

#### Significant Deficiency

The District implemented a procedure to allow the use of debit card during the audit period. The Treasurer and board members had the authorization to use the debit card. The card was to be utilized in situations where obligations needed paid in a expedient manner or emergency purchases.

The District did not develop any policies regarding the use of the debit cards. It was noted during expenditure review, the District did not consistently maintain invoices during instances where the debit card was used to pay for an obligation. The vendor's name appears on the bank statement, but the District did not consistently maintain the original invoice that was generated during the obligation. Also, withdraws were made with the debit card and adequate documentation was not maintained to support the withdraw from the bank account.

We recommend the District board develop policies for the use of a debit card. The Treasurer should have limited ability to use a debit card, due to limited segregation of duties. Also, board members should be limited in their use of the debit card. The Board could consider adopting a maximum dollar amount for which a debit card transaction could take place.

## SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS DECEMBER 31, 2009 AND 2008

Finding Number	Finding Summary	Fully Corrected?	Not Corrected, Partially Corrected; Significantly Different Corrective Action Taken; or Finding No Longer Valid; <i>Explain</i>
2007-001	Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41D for not properly encumbering.	No	Repeated as Finding 2009- 002.
2007-002	Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41B for expenditures exceeding appropriations.	Yes	Finding no longer valid.



# Dave Yost • Auditor of State

**BELLAIRE PARK DISTRICT** 

**BELMONT COUNTY** 

**CLERK'S CERTIFICATION** 

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbett

**CLERK OF THE BUREAU** 

CERTIFIED MARCH 8, 2011

> 88 East Broad Street, Fifth Floor, Columbus, Ohio 43215-3506 Phone: 614-466-4514 or 800-282-0370 Fax: 614-466-4490 www.auditor.state.oh.us