### GRANVILLE PUBLIC LIBRARY LICKING COUNTY

### AGREED UPON PROCEDURES

## FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010-2009



Dave Yost • Auditor of State



# Dave Yost · Auditor of State

#### INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Granville Public Library Licking County 217 East Broadway Boulevard Granville, Ohio 43023

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Board of Trustees and the management of the Granville Public Library, Licking County, Ohio (the Library) agreed, solely to assist the Board in evaluating receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Management is responsible for recording transactions; and management and the Board are responsible for complying with the compliance requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

#### **Cash and Investments**

- 1. We tested the mathematical accuracy of the December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
- 2. We agreed the January 1, 2009 beginning fund balances recorded in the Monthly Financial Reports to the December 31, 2008 balances in the prior year audited statements. We found no exceptions.
- 3. We attempted to agree the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the December 31, 2010 and 2009 fund cash balances reported in the Monthly Financial Report. There was an exception noted in which the fund cash balances in the Monthly Financial Report exceeded the totals per the bank reconciliations in the amount of \$142 for 2010. The reconciled amount agreed to the Monthly Financial Report as of December 31, 2009.
- 4. We observed the year-end bank balances on the financial institution's website. The balances agreed. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the December 31, 2010 bank reconciliation without exception.
- 5. We selected five reconciling debits (such as outstanding checks) haphazardly from the December 31, 2010 bank reconciliation:
  - a. We traced each debit to the subsequent January and February bank statements. We found no exceptions.
  - b. We traced the amounts and dates written to the check register, to determine the debit were dated prior to December 31. We noted no exceptions.

#### Cash and Investments (Continued)

- 6. We tested investments held at December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 to determine that they:
  - a. Were of a type authorized by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 135.13, 135.14 or 135.144. We found no exceptions.
  - b. Mature within the prescribed time limits noted in Ohio Rev. Code Section 135.13 or 135.14. We noted no exceptions.

#### State Library and Local Government Support Receipts

We selected two State Library and Local Government Support (LLGS) receipts from the County Distribution Transaction Lists from 2010 and two from 2009.

- a. We compared the amount from the County Distribution Transaction Lists to the amount recorded in the Receipt Ledger. The amounts agreed.
- b. We determined whether these receipts were posted to the General Fund. We found no exceptions.
- c. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.
- d. We scanned the Receipt Ledger to determine whether it included one LLGS receipt per month for 2010 and 2009. We found no exceptions.

#### Debt

- 1. The prior audit report disclosed no debt outstanding as of December 31, 2008.
- 2. We inquired of management, and scanned the Receipt Ledger and Check Register for evidence of debt issued during 2010 or 2009 or debt payment activity during 2010 or 2009. We noted no new debt issuances, nor any debt payment activity during 2010 or 2009.

#### Payroll Cash Disbursements

- 1. We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2010 and one payroll check for five employees from 2009 from the Payroll Record Report and:
  - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorder in the Payroll Record Report to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively or statutorily-approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
  - b. We recomputed gross and net pay and agree it to the amount recorded in the payroll register. We found no exceptions.
  - c. We determined whether the fund and account code(s) to which the check was posted were reasonable based on the employees' duties as documented in the Payroll Record Report. We also determined whether the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.
  - 2. For any new employees selected in step 1 we determined whether the following information in the employees' personnel files was consistent with the information used to compute gross and net pay related to this check:
    - a. Name
    - b. Authorized salary or pay rate
    - c. Department(s) and fund(s) to which the check should be charged
    - d. Retirement system participation and payroll withholding
    - e. Federal, State & Local income tax withholding authorization and withholding.
    - f. Any other deduction authorizations (deferred compensation, etc.)

#### **Payroll Cash Disbursements (Continued)**

We found no exceptions related to steps a. – f. above.

3. We scanned the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2010 to determine whether remittances were timely paid, and if the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld, plus the employer's share where applicable, during the final withholding period of 2010. We noted the following:

Withholding (plus employer share, where applicable)	Date Due	Date Paid	Amount Due	Amount Paid
Federal income	January 31,	December 31,	\$3,004	\$3,004
taxes & Medicare	2011	2010		
State income	January 15,	December 31,	\$851	\$851
taxes	2011	2010		
Local income tax	January 31,	December 31,	\$606	\$606
	2011	2010		
	January 30,	December 31,	\$8,113	\$8,113
<b>OPERS</b> retirement	2011	2010		

- 4. We haphazardly selected and recomputed one termination payment (unused vacation, etc.) using the following information, and agreed the computation to the amount paid as recorded in the Payroll Record Report:
  - a. Accumulated leave records
  - b. The employee's pay rate in effect as of the termination date
  - c. The Library's payout policy.

The amount paid was consistent with the information recorded in a. through c. above.

#### Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements

- 1. From the Expenditures Ledger, we re-footed checks recorded as General Fund *salaries*, and checks recorded as *purchased and contractual services* in the General fund for 2010. We found no exceptions.
- 2. We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Expenditures Ledger for the year ended December 31, 2010 and ten from the year ended 2009 and determined whether:
  - a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
  - b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agree to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Appropriation Ledger and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
  - c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.

#### **Compliance – Budgetary**

1. We compared total appropriations required by Ohio Admin. Code Section 117-8-02, to the amounts recorded in the Expenditures Ledger for 2010 and 2009 for the following funds: General Fund. The amounts on the appropriation resolutions agreed to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status Report.

Granville Public Library Licking County Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures Page 4

#### Compliance – Budgetary (Continued)

 Ohio Admin. Code Section 117-8-02 prohibits spending in excess of budgeted amounts. We compared total expenditures to total appropriations for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 for the General fund, as recorded in the Appropriation Status Report. We found no funds for which expenditures exceeded appropriations.

#### **Compliance – Contracts & Expenditures**

 We inquired of management and scanned the Expenditure Ledger for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 for procurements requiring competitive bidding to construct, demolish, alter, repair, or reconstruct a library or make any improvements or repairs, the cost of which exceeded \$25,000, except in cases of urgent necessity or for the security and protection of library property (Ohio Rev. Code Section 3375.41). We identified no purchases subject to the aforementioned bidding requirements.

We did not receive a response from Officials to the exceptions reported above.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Library's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, those charged with governance, and others within the Library, and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

are Yost

Dave Yost Auditor of State

August 3, 2011



# Dave Yost • Auditor of State

#### **GRANVILLE PUBLIC LIBRARY**

LICKING COUNTY

**CLERK'S CERTIFICATION** 

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbett

**CLERK OF THE BUREAU** 

CERTIFIED OCTOBER 18, 2011

> 88 East Broad Street, Fifth Floor, Columbus, Ohio 43215-3506 Phone: 614-466-4514 or 800-282-0370 Fax: 614-466-4490 www.auditor.state.oh.us