



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

DISTRICT PUBLIC LIBRARY MEIGS COUNTY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

TITLE			

Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures......1

This page intentionally left blank.



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

District Public Library Meigs County 216 West Main Street Pomeroy, Ohio 45769

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Board of Trustees and the management of the District Public Library, Meigs County, Ohio (the Library), agreed, solely to assist the Board in evaluating receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Management is responsible for recording transactions; and management and the Board are responsible for complying with the compliance requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' Government Auditing Standards. sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose. The Library processes its financial transactions with the Auditor of State's Uniform Accounting Network (UAN). Government Auditing Standards considers this service to impair the independence of the Auditor of State to provide attest services to the Library because the Auditor of State designed, developed, implemented. and as requested, operates UAN. However, Government Auditing Standards permits the Auditor of State to perform this engagement, because Ohio Revised Code § 117.101 requires the Auditor of State to provide UAN services, and Ohio Revised Code § 117.11(A) mandates the Auditor of State to perform attest services for Ohio governments.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

Cash and Investments

- 1. We tested the mathematical accuracy of the December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
- 2. We agreed the January 1, 2010 beginning fund balances recorded in the Fund Ledger Report to the December 31, 2009 balances in the prior year audited statements. We found no exceptions.
- 3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the December 31, 2011 and 2010 fund cash balances reported in the Fund Status Reports. The amounts agreed.
- 4. We confirmed the December 31, 2011 bank account balances with the Library's financial institutions. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the December 31, 2011 bank reconciliation without exception.

District Public Library Meigs County Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures Page 2

Cash and Investments (Continued)

- 5. We selected five reconciling debits (such as outstanding checks) haphazardly from the December 31, 2011 bank reconciliation:
 - a. We traced each debit to the subsequent January bank statement. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We traced the amounts and dates to the check register, to determine the debits were dated prior to December 31. We noted no exceptions.
- 6. We tested investments held at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 to determine that they:
 - a. Were of a type authorized by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 135.13, 135.14 or 135.144. We found no exceptions.
 - b. Mature within the prescribed time limits noted in Ohio Rev. Code Section 135.13 or 135.14. We noted no exceptions.

State Library and Local Government Support Receipts

We selected two State Library and Local Government Support (LLGS) receipts from the County Expenditure History by Vendor from 2011 and two from 2010.

- a. We compared the amount from the County Expenditure History by Vendor Report to the amount recorded in the Receipt Detail Report for 2011 and the Receipt Register Report for 2010. The amounts agreed.
- b. We determined whether these receipts were posted to the General Fund. We found no exceptions.
- c. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.
- d. We scanned the Receipt Detail Report for 2011 and the Receipt Register Report for 2010 to determine whether it included one LLGS receipt per month for 2011 and 2010. We found no exceptions.

Intergovernmental and Other Confirmable Cash Receipts

- 1. We selected five receipts from the State Distribution Transaction Lists (DTL) from 2011 and five from 2010. We also selected the only receipt from the County Auditor's DTLs from 2011 and the only one from 2010.
 - a. We compared the amount from the above reports to the amount recorded in the Receipt Detail Report for 2011 and the Receipt Register Report for 2010. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper fund(s). We found no exceptions.
 - c. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Debt

- 1. The prior audit documentation disclosed no debt outstanding as of December 31, 2009.
- 2. We inquired of management, and scanned the Receipt Detail Report and Warrant Register Detail report for 2011 and the Receipt Register Report and Payment Register Detail Report for 2010 for evidence of debt issued during 2011 or 2010 or debt payment activity during 2011 or 2010. We noted no new debt issuances or any debt payment activity during 2011 or 2010.

Payroll Cash Disbursements

- 1. We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2011 and one payroll check for five employees from 2010 from the Payroll Register and:
 - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Payroll Register to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively or statutorily approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
 - b. We determined whether the fund and account codes to which the check was posted were reasonable based on the employees' duties as documented in the employees' personnel files. We also determined whether the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.
 - 2. For any new employees selected in step 1 we determined whether the following information in the employees' personnel files was consistent with the information used to compute gross and net pay related to this check:
 - a. Name.
 - b. Authorized salary or pay rate.
 - c. Departments and funds to which the check should be charged.
 - d. Retirement system participation and payroll withholding.
 - e. Federal, State & Local income tax withholding authorization and withholding.
 - f. Any other deduction authorizations (deferred compensation, etc.)

We found no exceptions related to steps a. – f. above.

3. We scanned the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2011 to determine whether remittances were timely paid, and if the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld, plus the employer's share where applicable, during the final withholding period of 2011. We noted the following:

Withholding (plus employer share, where applicable)	Date Due	Date Paid	Amount Due	Amount Paid
Federal income taxes & Medicare	January 31, 2012	January 17, 2012	\$3,798	\$3,798
State income taxes	January 31, 2012	January 17, 2012	\$871	\$870
Local income tax	January 31, 2012	January 31, 2012	\$272	\$272
OPERS retirement	January 30, 2012	January 25, 2012	\$3,009	\$3,009

District Public Library Meigs County Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures Page 4

Payroll Cash Disbursements (Continued)

- 4. We haphazardly selected and recomputed one termination payment (unused vacation, etc.) using the following information, and agreed the computation to the amount paid as recorded in the Payroll Register:
 - a. Accumulated leave records.
 - b. The employee's pay rate in effect as of the termination date.
 - c. The Library's payout policy.

The amount paid was consistent with the information recorded in a. through c. above.

Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements

We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Warrant Register Detail Report for the year ended December 31, 2011 and ten from the Payment Register Detail Report for the year ended 2010 and determined whether:

- a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
- b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Warrant Register Detail Report for 2011 and the Payment Register Detail Report for 2010 and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
- c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.

Compliance – Budgetary

- 1. We compared total appropriations required by Ohio Admin. Code Section 117-8-02, to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status Report for 2011 and 2010 for the General Fund and Building Fund. The amounts on the appropriation resolutions did not agree to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status report for 2010. The Appropriation Status Report recorded appropriations for the General Fund of \$731,208 and for the Building Fund of \$917,724 for 2010. However, the final approved appropriations for the General Fund were \$710,348 and for the Building Fund were \$924,885. The Treasurer should periodically compare amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status Report to appropriation amounts approved by the Board to assure they agree. If the amounts do not agree, the Trustees may be using inaccurate information for budgeting and monitoring purposes. The amounts on the appropriation resolutions agreed to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status report 2011.
- 2. Ohio Admin. Code Section 117-8-02 prohibits spending in excess of budgeted amounts. We compared total expenditures to total appropriations for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 for the General and Building Fund, as recorded in the Appropriation Status Report. We noted that General Fund expenditures for 2010 exceeded total appropriations by \$20,860, contrary to Ohio Admin. Code Section 117-8-02. The Treasurer should deny payment requests exceeding appropriations. The Treasurer may request the Trustees to approve increased expenditure levels by increasing appropriations if necessary and if resources are available. We noted no funds for which expenditures exceeded appropriations for 2011.

District Public Library Meigs County Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures Page 5

Compliance – Contracts & Expenditures

We inquired of management and scanned the Warrant Register Detail Report for the year ended December 31, 2011 and the Payment Register Detail Report for the year ended December 31, 2010 for procurements requiring competitive bidding to construct, demolish, alter, repair, or reconstruct a library or make any improvements or repairs, the cost of which exceeded \$25,000, except in cases of urgent necessity or for the security and protection of library property (Ohio Rev. Code Section 3375.41).

We identified no purchases subject to the aforementioned bidding requirements.

Officials' Response: Regarding the exceptions noted for budgetary compliance, the Library's management will monitor this more closely in the future.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Library's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, those charged with governance, and others within the Library, and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

are Yost

Dave Yost Auditor of State

March 15, 2012

This page intentionally left blank.



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

MEIGS DISTRICT PUBLIC LIBRARY

MEIGS COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbett

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

CERTIFIED MARCH 29, 2012

> 88 East Broad Street, Fifth Floor, Columbus, Ohio 43215-3506 Phone: 614-466-4514 or 800-282-0370 Fax: 614-466-4490 www.auditor.state.oh.us