



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

**MIAMI CONSERVANCY DISTRICT
MONTGOMERY COUNTY**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE	PAGE
Cover Letter	1
Independent Accountants' Report.....	3
Combined Statement of Cash Receipts, Cash Disbursements, and Changes in Fund Cash Balances.....	5
Notes to the Financial Statements	7
Independent Accountants' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Required by Government Auditing Standards	13

This page intentionally left blank.



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

Miami Conservancy District
Montgomery County
38 East Monument Avenue
Dayton, Ohio 45402

To the Board of Directors:

As you are aware, the Auditor of State's Office (AOS) must modify the *Independent Accountants' Report* we provide on your financial statements due to an interpretation from the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA). While AOS does not legally require your District to prepare financial statements pursuant to Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP), the AICPA interpretation requires auditors to formally acknowledge that you did not prepare your financial statements in accordance with GAAP. Our Report includes an adverse opinion relating to GAAP presentation and measurement requirements, but does not imply the amounts the statements present are misstated under the non-GAAP basis you follow. The AOS report also includes an opinion on the financial statements you prepared using the cash basis and financial statement format the AOS permits.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Dave Yost".

Dave Yost
Auditor of State

May 23, 2012

This page intentionally left blank.



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT

Miami Conservancy District
Montgomery County
38 East Monument Avenue
Dayton, Ohio 45402

To the Board of Directors:

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of Miami Conservancy District, Montgomery County (the District) as of and for the year ended December 31, 2011. These financial statements are the responsibility of the District's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to reasonably assure whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described more fully in Note 1, the District has prepared these financial statements using accounting practices the Auditor of State prescribes or permits. These practices differ from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). Although we cannot reasonably determine the effects on the financial statements of the variances between these regulatory accounting practices and GAAP, we presume they are material.

Instead of the combined funds the accompanying financial statements present, GAAP require presenting entity wide statements and also presenting the District's larger (i.e. major) funds separately. While the District does not follow GAAP, generally accepted auditing standards requires us to include the following paragraph if the statements do not substantially conform to GAAP presentation requirements. The Auditor of State permits, but does not require Districts to reformat their statements. The District has elected not to follow GAAP statement formatting requirements. The following paragraph does not imply the amounts reported are materially misstated under the accounting basis the Auditor of State permits. Our opinion on the fair presentation of the amounts reported pursuant to its non-GAAP basis is in the second following paragraph.

In our opinion, because of the effects of the matter discussed in the preceding two paragraphs, the financial statements referred to above for the year ended December 31, 2011 do not present fairly, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the financial position of the District as of December 31, 2011, or its changes in financial position for the year then ended.

Also, in our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the combined fund cash balances of Miami Conservancy District, Montgomery County, as of December 31, 2011, and its combined cash receipts and disbursements for the year then ended on the accounting basis Note 1 describes.

As described in Note 1, during 2011 the Miami Conservancy District adopted Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 54, *Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions*.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated May 23, 2012, on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. While we did not opine on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance, that report describes the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance, and the results of that testing. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*. You should read it in conjunction with this report in assessing the results of our audit.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Dave Yost". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, looping initial "D".

Dave Yost
Auditor of State

May 23, 2012

**MIAMI CONSERVANCY DISTRICT
MONTGOMERY COUNTY**

**COMBINED STATEMENT OF CASH RECEIPTS, CASH DISBURSEMENTS,
AND CHANGES IN FUND CASH BALANCES
GOVERNMENTAL FUND TYPES
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011**

	Governmental Fund Types			Total (Memorandum Only)
	General	Special Revenue	Debt Service	
Cash Receipts:				
Assessments	\$4,380,042	\$1,150,410		\$7,090,544
Investment Income	8,195	5,029		18,781
Fees and Charges	171,129			171,129
Intergovernmental	14,355	391,800		406,155
Reimbursements and Miscellaneous	7,027	72,255		79,282
Total Cash Receipts	<u>4,580,748</u>	<u>1,619,494</u>		<u>7,765,891</u>
Cash Disbursements:				
Operating	4,334,043	1,413,475		5,761,634
Equipment & Machinery	225,504	7,336		232,840
Land Acquisition	4,914			4,914
Dam Safety				2,636,323
Debt Service:				
Principal			\$4,731,640	4,731,640
Interest			210,252	210,252
Total Cash Disbursements:	<u>4,564,461</u>	<u>1,420,811</u>	<u>4,941,892</u>	<u>13,577,603</u>
Total Receipts Over/(Under) Disbursements	<u>16,287</u>	<u>198,683</u>	<u>(4,941,892)</u>	<u>(1,084,790)</u>
Other Financing Receipts/(Disbursements):				
Sale of Equipment	36,938			36,938
Advances In	20,000			20,000
Advances Out		(20,000)		(20,000)
Transfers In			4,941,892	4,941,892
Transfers In			(4,941,892)	(4,941,892)
Total Other Financing Receipts/(Disbursements)	<u>56,938</u>	<u>(20,000)</u>	<u>4,941,892</u>	<u>36,938</u>
Excess of Cash Receipts and Other Financing Receipts Over/(Under) Cash Disbursements and Other Financing Disbursements	73,225	178,683		(6,026,682)
Fund Cash Balances - January 1	<u>7,837,667</u>	<u>6,286,117</u>		<u>24,561,908</u>
Fund Cash Balances - December 31	<u>7,910,892</u>	<u>6,464,800</u>		<u>18,787,134</u>
Non-spendable				
Restricted		6,464,800		2,488,957
Committed				8,953,757
Assigned	762,418			1,922,485
Unassigned (Deficit)	<u>7,148,474</u>			<u>2,684,903</u>
Fund Cash Balances - December 31	<u>\$7,910,892</u>	<u>\$6,464,800</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$4,411,442</u>

The notes to the financial statement are an integral part of this statement.

This page intentionally left blank.

**MIAMI CONSERVANCY DISTRICT
MONTGOMERY COUNTY**

**NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2011**

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A. Description of the Entity

The Miami Conservancy District, Montgomery County, (the District) is a body corporate and politic established to exercise the rights and privileges conveyed to it by the constitution and laws of the State of Ohio. The District is directed by a three member Board of Directors appointed by a Court comprised of a judge of the Court of Common Pleas from each of the counties included in the District. The District provides flood protection and conservation of valuable water resources along the Great Miami River watershed, impacting all or portions of Butler, Clark, Greene, Hamilton, Miami, Montgomery, Preble, Shelby, and Warren Counties.

The District's management believes these financial statements present all activities for which the District is financially accountable.

B. Basis of Accounting

These financial statements follow the basis of accounting prescribed or permitted by the Auditor of State, which is similar to the cash receipts and disbursements basis of accounting. Receipts are recognized when received in cash rather than when earned, and disbursements are recognized when paid rather than when a liability is incurred.

These statements include adequate disclosure of material matters, as prescribed or permitted by the Auditor of State.

C. Fund Accounting

The District uses fund accounting to segregate cash and investments that are restricted as to use. The District classifies its funds into the following types:

1. General Fund

Flood Protection Fund – This fund is the general operating fund. It accounts for all financial resources except those required to be accounted for in another fund.

2. Special Revenue Funds

These funds account for proceeds from specific sources (other than from capital projects) that are restricted to expenditure for specific purposes. The District had the following significant Special Revenue Funds:

River Corridor Improvement - This fund accounts for resources for the construction and maintenance of bikeways, dams, bridges, and walkways.

Aquifer Preservation - This fund accounts for resources used to preserve groundwater.

Water Conservation - This fund accounts for resources to establish and administer an innovative water quality trading program, to collect baseline water quality and best management practice performance data, and to support community-based watershed organizations.

3. Debt Service Fund

Dam Safety Debt Service Fund - This fund accounts for resources reserved for the payment of note indebtedness.

**MIAMI CONSERVANCY DISTRICT
MONTGOMERY COUNTY**

**NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2011
(Continued)**

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

4. Capital Project Funds

These funds account for receipts that are restricted for the acquisition or construction of major capital projects. The District had the following significant Capital Project Funds:

Dam Safety Initiative – This fund collects receipts that are restricted for the dam safety and repair.

Capital Improvement - This fund collects receipts that are restricted for acquisition or construction of major capital projects.

D. Cash and Investments

The District's accounting basis includes investments as assets. This basis does not record disbursements for investment purchases or receipts for investment sales. This basis records gains or losses at the time of sale as receipts or disbursements, respectively.

Money Market Mutual Funds are recorded at share values reported by the mutual fund.

E. Budgetary Process

The Ohio Revised Code requires that each fund be budgeted annually.

1. Appropriations

Budgetary expenditures (that is, disbursements and encumbrances) may not exceed appropriations at the fund personnel expenditure and other expenditures level of control, and appropriations may not exceed estimated resources. The Appropriation Authority includes current year appropriations plus encumbrances carried over from the prior year (if any). The Board must annually approve appropriation measures and subsequent amendments. Unencumbered appropriations lapse at year end.

2. Estimated Resources

Estimated resources include estimates of cash to be received (budgeted receipts) plus unencumbered cash as of January 1.

3. Encumbrances

The Ohio Revised Code requires the District to reserve (encumber) appropriations when commitments are made. Encumbrances outstanding at year end are carried over, and need not be re-appropriated.

A summary of 2011 budgetary activity appears in Note 3.

F. Property, Plant, and Equipment

The District records disbursements for acquisitions of property, plant, and equipment when paid. The accompanying financial statements do not report these items as assets.

**MIAMI CONSERVANCY DISTRICT
MONTGOMERY COUNTY**

**NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2011
(Continued)**

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

G. Accumulated Leave

In certain circumstances, such as upon leaving employment, employees are entitled to cash payments for unused leave. Unpaid leave is not reflected as a liability under the District's basis of accounting.

H. Fund Balance Classifications

Fund balance is divided into five classifications based primarily on the extent to which the District must observe constraints imposed upon the use of its governmental-fund resources. The classifications are based upon the implementation of GASB Statement 54, *Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions* for the year ended December 31, 2011 as follows:

Fund balance is reported as non-spendable when amounts cannot be spent because they are either (a) not in spendable form or (b) legally or contractually required to be maintained intact.

Fund balance is reported as restricted when constraints placed on the use of resources are either: (a) externally imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments; or (b) imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.

Fund balance is reported as committed when the Board of Directors enacts legislation requiring specific revenues to be used for a specific purpose. The Board of Directors can modify or rescind that legislation at any time through additional legislation.

Fund balance is reported as assigned when a revenue source is not previously restricted or committed but the Treasurer determines, in the Treasurer's professional opinion, that the assigning of the revenue is desired or made at the direction of the Board of Directors.

Fund balance is reported as unassigned when resources have not been assigned to other funds and have not been restricted, committed, or assigned to specific purposes within the general fund.

The District applies restricted resources first when expenditures are incurred for purposes for which either restricted or unrestricted (committed, assigned, and unassigned) amounts are available. Similarly, within unrestricted fund balance, committed amounts are reduced first followed by assigned, and then unassigned amounts when expenditures are incurred for purposes for which amounts in any of the unrestricted fund balance classifications could be used.

2. CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The carrying amount of cash and investments at December 31 was as follows:

	2011
Demand deposits	<u>\$ 8,180,149</u>
Total deposits	<u>8,180,149</u>
Money Market	<u>10,606,985</u>
Total investments	<u>10,606,985</u>
Total deposits and investments	<u><u>\$18,787,134</u></u>

**MIAMI CONSERVANCY DISTRICT
MONTGOMERY COUNTY**

**NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2011
(Continued)**

2. CASH AND INVESTMENTS (Continued)

A. Deposits

Deposits are insured by the Federal Depository Insurance Corporation or collateralized by the financial institution's public entity deposit pool.

B. Investments

Investments in money market mutual funds are not evidenced by securities that exist in physical or book-entry form.

3. BUDGETARY ACTIVITY

Budgetary activity for the year ending December 31, 2011 follows:

2011 Budgeted vs. Actual Receipts			
Fund Type	Budgeted Receipts	Actual Receipts	Variance
General	\$4,528,000	\$4,637,686	\$109,686
Special Revenue	1,600,925	1,619,494	18,569
Debt Service	4,941,892	4,941,892	
Capital Projects	1,555,000	1,565,649	10,649
Total	\$12,625,817	\$12,764,721	\$138,904

2011 Budgeted vs. Actual Budgetary Basis Expenditures			
Fund Type	Appropriation Authority	Budgetary Expenditures	Variance
General	\$ 5,054,101	\$ 4,648,353	\$405,748
Special Revenue	1,768,475	1,604,047	164,428
Debt Service	4,941,892	4,941,892	
Capital Projects	9,363,322	9,265,109	98,213
Total	\$21,127,790	\$20,459,401	\$668,389

4. DEBT

Debt outstanding at December 31, 2011:

	Principal	Interest Rate
Ohio Water Development Authority Loan - 2002	\$3,371,525	4.55%

During 2002, the District obtained a loan from OWDA in the amount of \$5,124,704 (includes capitalized interest and fees) to pay off the 2000 note from Bank One for a lower interest rate. The notes are uncollateralized.

**MIAMI CONSERVANCY DISTRICT
MONTGOMERY COUNTY**

**NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2011
(Continued)**

4. DEBT (Continued)

Amortization of the above debt, including interest, is scheduled as follows:

<u>Year ending December 31:</u>	<u>OWDA Loan 2002</u>
2012	\$ 392,979
2013	392,979
2014	392,979
2015	392,979
2016	392,979
2017 – 2021	1,964,894
2022	392,979
Total	<u>\$4,322,768</u>

5. RETIREMENT SYSTEM

The District's employees belong to the Ohio Public Employees Retirement System (OPERS). OPERS is a cost-sharing, multiple-employer plan. The Ohio Revised Code prescribes the Plan's retirement benefits, including postretirement healthcare and survivor and disability benefits to participants.

The Ohio Revised Code also prescribes contribution rates. For 2011, OPERS members contributed 10% of their gross salaries and the District contributed an amount equaling 14% of participants' gross salaries. The District has paid all contributions required through December 31, 2011.

6. RISK MANAGEMENT

Risk Pool Membership

Prior to 2009, the District belonged to the Ohio Government Risk Management Plan (the "Plan"), a non-assessable, unincorporated non-profit association providing a formalized, jointly administered self-insurance risk management program and other administrative services to Ohio governments ("Members"). The Plan was legally separate from its member governments.

On January 1, 2009, through an internal reorganization, the Plan created three separate non-profit corporations including:

- Ohio Plan Risk Management, Inc. (OPRM) - formerly known as the Ohio Risk Management Plan;
- Ohio Plan Healthcare Consortium, Inc. (OPHC) - formerly known as the Ohio Healthcare Consortium; and
- Ohio Plan, Inc. - mirrors the oversight function previously performed by the Board of Directors. The Board of Trustees consists of eleven (11) members that include appointed and elected officials from member organizations.

**MIAMI CONSERVANCY DISTRICT
MONTGOMERY COUNTY**

**NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2011
(Continued)**

6. RISK MANAGEMENT (Continued)

Pursuant to Section 2744.081 of the Ohio Revised Code, the plan provides property, liability, errors and omissions, law enforcement, automobile, excess liability, crime, surety and bond, inland marine and other coverages to its members sold through fourteen appointed independent agents in the State of Ohio. These coverage programs, referred to as Ohio Plan Risk management (“OPRM”), are developed specific to each member’s risk management needs and the related premiums for coverage are determined through the application of uniform underwriting criteria addressing the member’s exposure to loss, except OPRM retains 40% (17.5% through October 31, 2010 and 15% through October 31, 2009) of the premium and losses on the first \$250,000 casualty treaty and 10% of the first \$1,000,000 property treaty. Members are only responsible for their self-retention (deductible) amounts, which vary from member to member. OPRM had 761 and 725 members as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 respectively. The District participates in this coverage.

The Plan formed the Ohio Plan Healthcare Consortium (“OPHC”), as authorized by Section 9.833 of the Ohio Revised Code. The OPHC was established to provide cost effective employee benefit programs for Ohio political sub-divisions and is a self-funded, group purchasing consortium that offers medical, dental, vision and prescription drug coverage as well as life insurance for its members. The OPHC is sold through seventeen appointed independent agents in the State of Ohio. Coverage programs are developed specific to each member’s healthcare needs and the related premiums for coverage are determined through the application of uniform underwriting criteria. Variable plan options are available to members. These plans vary primarily by deductibles, coinsurance levels, office visit co-pays and out-of pocket maximums. OPHC had 65 and 60 members as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 respectively. The District does not participate in this coverage.

Plan members are responsible to notify the Plan of their intent to renew coverage by their renewal date. If a member chooses not to renew with the Plan, they have no other financial obligation to the Plan, but still need to promptly notify the Plan of any potential claims occurring during their membership period. The former member’s covered claims, which occurred during their membership period, remain the responsibility of the Plan.

Settlement amounts did not exceed insurance coverage for the past three fiscal years.

The Pool’s audited financial statements conform with generally accepted accounting principles, and reported the following assets, liabilities and retained earnings at December 31, 2010 and 2009 (the latest information available), and include amounts for both OPRM and OPHC:

	2010		2009	
	OPRM	OPHC	OPRM	OPHC
Assets	\$12,036,541	\$1,355,131	\$11,176,186	\$1,358,802
Liabilities	(4,845,056)	(1,055,096)	(4,852,485)	(1,253,617)
Members’ Equity	\$ 7,191,485	\$ 300,035	\$ 6,323,701	\$ 105,185

You can read the complete audited financial statements for OPRM and OPHC at the Plan’s website, www.ohioplan.org.



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS REQUIRED BY GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Miami Conservancy District
Montgomery County
38 East Monument Avenue
Dayton, Ohio 45402

To the Board of Directors:

We have audited the financial statements of Miami Conservancy District, Montgomery County, (the District) as of and for the year ended December 31, 2011, and have issued our report thereon dated May 23, 2012, wherein we noted the District prepared its financial statements using accounting practices the Auditor of State prescribes or permits rather than accounting principles general accepted in the United States of America. We also noted that the District adopted provisions of Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 54, *Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions*. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our audit procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of opining on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we have not opined on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, when performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and timely correct misstatements. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or combination of internal control deficiencies resulting in more than a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the District's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and timely corrected.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider material weaknesses, as defined above.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of reasonably assuring whether the District's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we tested its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could directly and materially affect the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and accordingly, we do not express an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters we must report under *Government Auditing Standards*.

We intend this report solely for the information and use of management, the audit committee, the Board of Directors, and others within the District. We intend it for no one other than these specified parties.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Dave Yost". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, looping "D" and "Y".

Dave Yost
Auditor of State

May 23, 2012



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

MIAMI CONSERVANCY DISTRICT

MONTGOMERY COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbitt

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

**CERTIFIED
JUNE 26, 2012**