



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

**AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY
MEIGS COUNTY**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE _____ **PAGE**

Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures..... 1

This page intentionally left blank.



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Meigs County Agricultural Society
Meigs County
42455 Woods Road
Coolville, Ohio 45723

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Board of Directors and the management of Meigs County Agricultural Society, Meigs County, Ohio (the Society), agreed, solely to assist the Board in evaluating receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended November 30, 2012 and 2011 and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Management is responsible for recording transactions; and management and the Board are responsible for complying with the compliance requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

Cash and Investments

1. We tested the mathematical accuracy of the November 30, 2012 and November 30, 2011 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
2. We agreed the December 1, 2011 beginning fund balances recorded to the November 30, 2011 balances in the prior year documentation in the prior year Agreed-Upon Procedures working papers. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the December 1, 2012 beginning fund balances recorded to the November 30, 2012 balances. We found no exceptions.
3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the November 30, 2012 and 2011 fund cash balance reported in the Register reports. The amounts agreed.
4. We confirmed the November 30, 2012 bank account balances with the Society's financial institutions. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the November 30, 2012 bank reconciliation without exception.
5. We selected five reconciling debits (such as outstanding checks) haphazardly from the November 30, 2012 bank reconciliation:
 - a. We traced each debit to the subsequent December and January bank statements. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We traced the amounts and dates to the check register, to determine the debits were dated prior to November 30. We noted no exceptions.

743 East State Street, Athens Mall Suite B, Athens, Ohio 45701-2157
Phone: 740-594-3300 or 800-441-1389 Fax: 740-594-2110

www.ohioauditor.gov

6. We tested investments held at November 30, 2012 and November 30, 2011 to determine that they:
 - a. Were of a type authorized by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 135.13, 135.14 or 135.144. We found no exceptions.
 - b. Mature within the prescribed time limits noted in Ohio Rev. Code Section 135.13 or 135.14. We noted no exceptions.

Intergovernmental and Other Confirmable Cash Receipts

1. We selected all the receipts from the Distribution Transaction Detail Report (State DTL) for 2012 and 2011.
 - a. We compared the amount from the above report to the amount recorded in the Receipt Ledger. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper account code(s). We found no exceptions.
 - c. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Admission Receipts

We haphazardly selected one day of admission cash receipts from the year ended November 30 2012 and one day of admission/grandstand cash receipts from the year ended November 30, 2011 recorded in the receipts ledger and determined whether the receipt amount agreed to the supporting documentation (ticket recapitulation sheets/cash register tapes, etc). We noted the following:

For August 17, 2012, the amount recorded in the receipts ledger was \$19,042.

- a. The ticket sales recapitulation reported 2,382 tickets sold on that date.
- b. The admission price per ticket was \$8.
- c. Therefore the recapitulation sheet multiplied by the admission price supports admission receipts of \$19,056 for August 17, 2012, which exceeds the amount recorded by \$14.

For August 17, 2011, the amount recorded in the receipts ledger was \$14,075.

- a. The ticket sales recapitulation reported 1,653 adult and child tickets and 51 season tickets sold on that date.
- b. The admission price per ticket was \$8 for the adult and child tickets and \$16 for the season tickets.
- c. Therefore the recapitulation sheet multiplied by the admission price supports admission receipts of \$14,040 for August 17, 2011, which is less than the amount recorded by \$35.

Donations

We haphazardly selected 10 donation cash receipts from the year ended November 30, 2012 and 10 donation cash receipts from the year ended November 30, 2011 recorded in the duplicate cash receipts book and determined whether the:

- a. Receipt amount agreed to the amount recorded in the Receipt Ledger. The amounts agreed.
- b. Amount received was traced to supporting documentation. We found one receipt for \$575 in 2012 and one receipt for \$1,800 in 2011 with no supporting documentation. No other exceptions noted.
- c. Receipt was recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Debt

1. The prior agreed-upon procedures documentation disclosed no debt outstanding as of November 30, 2010.
2. We inquired of management, and scanned the receipt and expenditure records for evidence of loan or credit agreements, and bonded, note, County, or mortgage debt issued during 2012 or 2011 or debt payment activity during 2012 or 2011. We noted no new debt issuances or any debt payment activity during 2012 or 2011.

Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements

1. From the Profit & Loss Detail report, we re-footed checks recorded as disbursements for rent/lease – equipment for 2012. We found no exceptions.
2. We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Reconciliation Detail Report for the year ended November 30, 2012 and ten from the year ended 2011 and determined whether:
 - a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
 - b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Expenditure Ledger and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
 - c. Based on the nature of the expenditure, the account coding is reasonable. We found no exceptions.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Society's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, those charged with governance, and others within the Society, and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.



Dave Yost
Auditor of State

June 12, 2013

This page intentionally left blank.



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

MEIGS COUNTY AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY

MEIGS COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbitt

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

**CERTIFIED
JULY 9, 2013**