



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

**BARNESVILLE HUTTON MEMORIAL LIBRARY
BELMONT COUNTY**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE	PAGE
--------------	-------------

Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures	1
--	---

This page intentionally left blank.



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Barnesville Hutton Memorial Library
Belmont County
308 East Main Street
Barnesville, Ohio 43713

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Board of Trustees and the management of the Barnesville Hutton Memorial Library, Belmont County, Ohio (the Library), agreed, solely to assist the Board in evaluating receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Management is responsible for recording transactions; and management and the Board are responsible for complying with the compliance requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

Cash and Investments

1. We tested the mathematical accuracy of the December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
2. We agreed the January 1, 2011 beginning fund balances recorded in the Year-To-Date Fund Report to the December 31, 2010 balances in the documentation in the prior year Agreed-Upon Procedures working papers. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the January 1, 2012 beginning fund balances recorded in the Year-To-Date Fund Report to the December 31, 2011 balances in the Year-To-Date Fund Report. We found no exceptions.
3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the December 31, 2012 and 2011 fund cash balances reported in the Year-To-Date Fund Report. The amounts agreed.
4. We confirmed the December 31, 2012 bank account balances with the Library's financial institutions. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the December 31, 2012 bank reconciliation without exception.

Cash and Investments (Continued)

5. We selected five outstanding checks haphazardly from the December 31, 2012 bank reconciliation:
 - a. We traced each outstanding check to the subsequent January bank statement. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We traced the amounts and dates to the check register, to determine the outstanding checks were dated prior to December 31. We noted no exceptions.
6. We tested interbank account transfers occurring in December of 2012 and 2011 to determine if they were properly recorded in the accounting records and on each bank statement or reconciliation. We found no exceptions.
7. We tested investments held at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 to determine that they:
 - a. Were of a type authorized by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 135.13, 135.14 or 135.144. We found no exceptions.
 - b. Mature within the prescribed time limits noted in Ohio Rev. Code Section 135.13 or 135.14. We noted no exceptions.

State Library and Local Government Support Receipts

We selected two State Library and Local Government Support (LLGS) receipts from the County Auditor's Cross Reference Report by Vendor Number from 2012 and two from 2011.

- a. We compared the amount from the County Auditor's Cross Reference Report by Vendor Number to the amount recorded in the Revenue Balancing Summary Report. The amounts agreed.
- b. We determined whether these receipts were posted to the General Fund. We found no exceptions.
- c. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.
- d. We scanned the Revenue Balancing Summary Report to determine whether it included one LLGS receipt per month for 2012 and 2011. We found no exceptions.

Intergovernmental Receipts

We selected the only receipt from the State Distribution Transaction List (DTL) from 2011.

- a. We compared the amount from the above report to the amount recorded in the Revenue Balancing Summary Report. The amount agreed.
- b. We determined whether this receipt was allocated to the proper fund. We found no exceptions.
- c. We determined whether the receipt was recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Debt

1. The prior agreed-upon procedures documentation disclosed no debt outstanding as of December 31, 2010.

Debt (Continued)

2. We inquired of management, and scanned the Revenue Balancing Summary Report and Expense Balancing Summary Report for evidence of debt issued during 2012 or 2011 or debt payment activity during 2012 or 2011. We noted no new debt issuances, nor any debt payment activity during 2012 or 2011.

Payroll Cash Disbursements

1. We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2012 and one payroll check for five employees from 2011 from the Detail Work Register and:
 - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Detail Work Register to timesheets and legislatively-approved rate or salary. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We determined whether the fund and account code to which the check was posted was reasonable based on the employees' duties as documented in the minute record. We also determined whether the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.
2. For any new employees selected in step 1 we determined whether the following information in the employees' personnel files and minute record was consistent with the information used to compute gross and net pay related to this check:
 - a. Name.
 - b. Authorized salary or pay rate.
 - c. Department and fund to which the check should be charged.
 - d. Retirement system participation and payroll withholding.
 - e. Federal, State & Local income tax withholding authorization and withholding.
 - f. Any other deduction authorizations (deferred compensation, etc.).

We found no exceptions related to steps a. – f. above.

3. We scanned the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2012 to determine whether remittances were timely paid, and if the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld, plus the employer's share where applicable, during the final withholding period of 2012. We noted the following:

Withholding (plus employer share, where applicable)	Date Due	Date Paid	Amount Due	Amount Paid
Federal income taxes & Medicare	1/31/13	1/9/13	\$1,470.44	\$1,470.44
State income taxes	1/15/13	1/9/13	287.46	287.46
Local income tax	1/31/13	1/16/13	2,357.04	2,357.04
OPERS retirement	1/30/13	1/9/13	6,631.56	6,631.56

Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements

We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Expense Balancing Summary Report for the year ended December 31, 2012 and ten from the year ended 2011 and determined whether:

- a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
- b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Expense Balancing Summary Report and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
- c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.

Compliance – Budgetary

1. We compared total appropriations required by Ohio Admin. Code Section 117-8-02, to the amounts recorded in the Expense Budget Journal for the following funds: General and Hutton Funds. The amounts on the appropriation resolutions agreed to the amounts recorded in the Expense Budget Journal for 2012 and 2011.
2. Ohio Admin. Code Section 117-8-02 prohibits spending in excess of budgeted amounts. We compared total expenditures to total appropriations for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 for the General and Hutton Funds, as recorded in the Expense Budget Journal and Year-to-Date Fund Report. We noted no funds for which expenditures exceeded appropriations.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Library's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, those charged with governance, and others within the Library, and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.



Dave Yost
Auditor of State

April 26, 2013



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

BARNESVILLE HUTTON MEMORIAL LIBRARY

BELMONT COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbitt

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

**CERTIFIED
MAY 16, 2013**