



INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Central Joint Fire District Wood County 13179 Mermill Road Portage, Ohio 43451-9749

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Board of Trustees and the management of Central Joint Fire District, Wood County, Ohio (the District), agreed, solely to assist the Board in evaluating receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Management is responsible for recording transactions; and management and the Board are responsible for complying with the compliance requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

Cash

- 1. We tested the mathematical accuracy of the December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
- 2. We agreed the January 1, 2011 beginning fund balances recorded in the Cash Journal to the December 31, 2010 balances from documentation in the prior year Agreed-Upon Procedures working papers. We found no exceptions.
- 3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the December 31, 2012 and 2011 fund cash balances reported in the Cash Journal. The amounts agreed.
- 4. We confirmed the December 31, 2012 bank account balance with the District's financial institution. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the December 31, 2012 bank reconciliation without exception.
- 5. We selected five reconciling debits (such as outstanding checks) haphazardly from the December 31, 2012 bank reconciliation:
 - a. We traced each debit to the subsequent January and February bank statements. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We traced the amounts and dates to the check register, to determine the debits were dated prior to December 31. We noted no exceptions.

Property Taxes, Intergovernmental and Other Confirmable Cash Receipts

- 1. We selected a property tax receipt from one *Statement of Semiannual Apportionment of Taxes* (the Statement) for 2012 and one from 2011.
 - a. We traced the gross receipts from the *Statement* to the amount recorded in the Receipts Journal. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We determined whether the receipt was allocated to the proper fund as required by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.05-.06 and 5705.10. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We determined whether the receipt was recorded in the proper year. The receipt was recorded in the proper year.
- 2. We scanned the Receipts Journal to determine whether it included two real estate tax receipts for 2012 and 2011. We noted the Receipts Journal included the proper number of tax receipts for each year.
- 3. We selected all receipts from the State Distribution Transaction Lists (DTL) from 2012 and five from 2011. We also selected all receipts from the Wood County Payments to Entities spreadsheet from 2012 and all from 2011.
 - a. We compared the amount from the above reports to the amount recorded in the Receipts Journal. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper fund. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.
- 4. We confirmed the amounts paid from Plain Township for fire protection services to the District during 2012 and 2011 with the fire contract. We found no exceptions.
 - a. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper fund. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Charges for Services

- 1. The District provides fire protection services and bills those receiving services outside of the district subsequent to providing the service. The District does not have an accounts receivable system. Without accounts receivable information, the District lacks reliable information on overdue or otherwise unpaid amounts, documentation on noncash adjustments and approval of noncash adjustments, and information upon which to judge whether the District should write off or follow up on uncollectible amounts. We recommend the District implement an accounts receivable and billing system.
- 2. We tested all billable runs, and agreed the name and service date to the related amounts billed, and the amount posted to the Receipts Journal, from the year ended December 31, 2011. There were no billable runs in 2012. We noted no exceptions.

Debt

1. From the prior agreed-upon procedures documentation, we noted the following loan outstanding as of December 31, 2010. This amount agreed to the Districts January 1, 2011 balances on the summary we used in step 3.

Issue	Principal outstanding as of December 31, 2010:
Bank Loan – Fire Truck	\$61,469.00

- 2. We inquired of management, and scanned the Receipts Journal and Appropriations Ledger for evidence of debt issued during 2012 or 2011 or debt payment activity during 2012 or 2011. All debt noted agreed to the summary we used in step 3.
- 3. We obtained a summary of bank loan activity for 2012 and 2011 and agreed principal and interest payments from the related debt amortization schedule to general fund payments reported in the Appropriations Ledger. We also compared the date the debt service payments were due to the date the District made the payments. We found no exceptions.
- 4. We agreed the amount of debt proceeds from the debt documents to amounts recorded in the General fund per the Receipts Journal. The amounts agreed.
- 5. For new debt issued during 2012, we inspected the debt legislation, noting the District must use the proceeds to purchase a truck. We scanned the Appropriations Ledger and noted the District purchased a truck in January of 2012. The debt was paid off by December 31, 2012.

Payroll Cash Disbursements

- We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2012 and one payroll check for five employees from 2011 from the Payroll Book and:
 - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Payroll Book to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively or statutorily-approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
 - b. We recomputed gross and net pay and agreed it to the amount recorded in the Payroll Book. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We determined whether the fund and account code to which the check was posted were reasonable based on the employees' duties as documented in the employees' personnel files and/or minute record. We also determined whether the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.
- 2. We scanned the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2012 to determine whether remittances were timely paid, and if the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld, plus the employer's share where applicable, during the final withholding period of 2012. We noted the following:

Withholding (plus employer share, where applicable)	Date Due	Date Paid	Amount Due	Amount Paid
Federal income taxes and Medicare	January 31, 2013	January 17, 2013	\$1,710.32	\$1,710.32
State income taxes	January 31, 2013	January 17, 2013	16.71	16.71
School district tax	January 31, 2013	January 17, 2013	12.54	12.54
OPERS retirement	January 30, 2013	January 17, 2013	189.60	189.60

Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements

- 1. From the Appropriations Ledger, we re-footed checks recorded as General Fund disbursements for building maintenance, for 2012. We found no exceptions.
- 2. We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Appropriations Ledger for the year ended December 31, 2012 and ten from the year ended December 31, 2011 and determined whether:
 - a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
 - b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Appropriations Ledger and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
 - c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.
 - d. The fiscal officer certified disbursements requiring certification or issued a *Then and Now Certificate*, as required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(D). We found seven instances where disbursements requiring certification were not certified and one instance where the certification date was after the vendor invoice date, and there was also no evidence that a *Then and Now Certificate* was issued. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(D) requires certifying at the time of a commitment, which should be on or before the invoice date, unless a *Then and Now Certificate* is used. We recommend the fiscal officer certify disbursements requiring certification or issue a *Then and Now Certificate*. Because we did not test all disbursements requiring certification, our report provides no assurance whether or not additional similar errors occurred.

Compliance - Budgetary

1. We compared the total estimated receipts from the *Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources*, required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.36(A)(1), to the amounts recorded in the Receipts Journal for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011. The amounts on the *Certificate* are not recorded in the accounting system. The fiscal officer should record the amounts on the *Certificate* in the accounting system and periodically compare amounts recorded in the Receipts Journal to amounts recorded on the *Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources* to assure they agree. If the amounts do not agree, the Trustees may be using inaccurate information for budgeting and monitoring purposes.

- 2. We scanned the appropriation measures adopted for 2012 and 2011 to determine whether the Trustees appropriated separately for "each office, department, and division, and within each, the amount appropriated for personal services," as is required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.38(C). We found no exceptions.
- 3. We compared total appropriations required by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.38 and 5705.40, to the amounts recorded in the Appropriations Ledger for 2012 and 2011. The amounts on the appropriation resolutions agreed to the amounts recorded in the Appropriations Ledger report, except for the General fund in 2012. The Appropriations Ledger recorded General fund appropriations of \$309,300 for 2012. However, the appropriation resolution reflected \$296,800, and there were no authorized amendments. The fiscal officer should request Board approval of any appropriation amendments and should periodically compare amounts recorded in the Appropriations Ledger to amounts authorized by the Board. If the amounts do not agree, the Trustees may be using inaccurate information for budgeting and monitoring purposes.
- 4. Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.36(A)(5) and 5705.39 prohibits appropriations from exceeding the certified resources. We compared total appropriations to total certified resources for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011. We noted no funds for which appropriations exceeded certified resources.
- 5. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(B) prohibits expenditures (disbursements plus certified commitments) from exceeding appropriations. We compared total expenditures to total appropriations for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 as recorded in the Appropriations Ledger. We noted no funds for which expenditures exceeded appropriations.
- 6. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.09 requires establishing separate funds to segregate externally-restricted resources. We scanned the Receipts Journal for evidence of new restricted receipts requiring a new fund during December 31, 2012 and 2011. We also inquired of management regarding whether the District received new restricted receipts. We noted no evidence of new restricted receipts for which Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.09 would require the District to establish a new fund.
- 7. We scanned the 2012 and 2011 Receipts Journals and Appropriations Ledgers for evidence of interfund transfers which Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.14 .16 restrict. We found no evidence of transfers these Sections prohibit, or for which Section 5705.16 would require approval by the Tax Commissioner and Court of Common Pleas.
- 8. We inquired of management and scanned the Appropriations Ledgers to determine whether the District elected to establish reserve accounts permitted by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.13. We noted the District did not establish these reserves.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the District's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, those charged with governance, and others within the District, and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Dave Yost Auditor of State

August 5, 2013



CENTRAL JOINT FIRE DISTRICT

WOOD COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

Susan Babbitt

CERTIFIED SEPTEMBER 3, 2013