



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

**MARION COUNTY AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY
MARION COUNTY**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE	PAGE
Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures.....	1

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Marion County Agricultural Society
Marion County
220 East Fairground Street
Marion, Ohio 43302

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Board of Directors and the management of the Marion County Agricultural Society, Marion County, Ohio, (the Society) agreed, solely to assist the Board in evaluating receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended November 30, 2012 and 2011, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Management is responsible for recording transactions; and management and the Board are responsible for complying with the compliance requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

Cash

1. We tested the mathematical accuracy of the November 30, 2012 and November 30, 2011 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
2. We agreed the December 1, 2010 beginning fund balances recorded to the November 30, 2010 documentation in the prior year Agreed-Upon Procedures working papers. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the December 1, 2011 beginning fund balances recorded to the November 30, 2011 balances. We found no exceptions.
3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the November 30, 2012 and 2011 fund cash balance reported in the Balance Sheet. The amounts agreed.
4. We confirmed the November 30, 2012 bank account balances with the Society's financial institution. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the November 30, 2012 bank reconciliation without exception.
5. We selected five reconciling debits (such as outstanding checks) haphazardly from the November 30, 2012 bank reconciliation:
 - a. We traced each debit to the subsequent December and January bank statements. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We traced the amounts and dates to the check register, to determine the debits were dated prior to November 30. We noted no exceptions.

Intergovernmental and Other Confirmable Cash Receipts

1. We selected all the receipts from the Distribution Transaction Detail Report (State DTL) for 2012 and 2011. We also selected five receipts from the County Auditor's Expenditure History by Vendor Report from 2012 and five from 2011.
 - a. We compared the amounts from the above reports to the amounts recorded in the Receipt Ledger. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper account codes. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Admission/Grandstand Receipts

We haphazardly selected one day of admission/grandstand cash receipts from the year ended November 30, 2012 and one day of admission/grandstand cash receipts from the year ended November 30, 2011 recorded in the receipts ledger and determined whether the receipt amounts agreed to the supporting documentation (ticket recapitulation sheets/cash register tapes, etc).

For July 8, 2011, the amount recorded in the receipts ledger for general admission cash receipts was \$14,096.

- a. The ticket sales recapitulation reported 2,366 tickets sold on that date.
- b. The admission price per ticket was \$6.
- c. Therefore the recapitulation sheet multiplied by the admission price supports admission receipts of \$14,196 for July 8, 2011, which is more than the amount recorded by \$100.

For July 4, 2012, the amount recorded in the receipts ledger for general admission cash receipts was \$17,705.39.

- a. The ticket sales recapitulation reported 2,884 tickets sold on that date. However, 5 of these tickets were traded in for week-long passes which reduces the amount to 2,879.
- b. The admission price per ticket was \$6.
- c. Therefore the recapitulation sheet multiplied by the admission price supports admission receipts of \$17,274 for July 4, 2012, which is less than the amount recorded by \$431.39.

However, because we did not test all general admission and grandstand receipts, our report provides no assurance regarding whether or not other similar errors occurred.

Privilege Fee Receipts

We haphazardly selected 10 privilege fee cash receipts from the year ended November 30, 2012 and 10 privilege fee cash receipts from the year ended November 30, 2011 recorded in the duplicate cash receipts book and determined whether the:

- a. Receipt amount agreed to the amount recorded in the Receipt Ledger. The amounts agreed.
- b. Amount charged complied with rates in force during the period. We found no exceptions.
- c. Receipt was recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Rental Receipts

We haphazardly selected 10 rental cash receipts from the year ended November 30, 2012 and 10 rental cash receipts from the year ended November 30, 2011 recorded in the duplicate cash receipts book and determined whether the:

- a. Receipt amount agreed to the amount recorded in the Receipt Ledger. The amounts agreed.
- b. Amount charged complied with rates in force during the period. We found no exceptions.
- c. Receipt was recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Debt

- 1. From the prior agreed-upon procedures documentation, we noted the following loan outstanding as of November 30, 2010. This amount agreed to the Society's December 1, 2010 balance on the summary we used in step 3.

Issue	Principal outstanding as of November 30, 2010:
2006 John Deere Tractor Loan	\$3,546.34

- 2. We inquired of management, and scanned the receipt and expenditure records for evidence of loan or credit agreements, and bonded, note, County, or mortgage debt issued during 2012 or 2011 or debt payment activity during 2012 or 2011. All debt noted agreed to the summary we used in step 3.
- 3. We obtained a summary of debt service payments (including loan/credit agreements permitted by Ohio Rev. Code Section 1711.13) owed during 2012 and 2011 and agreed these payments from the expenditure ledger to the related debt amortization schedule. We also compared the date the debt service payments were due to the date the Society made the payments. We found no exceptions.
- 4. We inquired of management, scanned the receipt ledger, and scanned the prior agreed upon procedures report and determined that the Society had a loan or credit agreement outstanding from a prior year as permitted by Ohio Rev. Code Section 1711.13(B). We examined the Society's computation supporting that the total net indebtedness from loans and credit did not exceed twenty-five percent of its annual revenues. We found no exceptions.

Payroll Cash Disbursements

- 1. We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2012 and one payroll check for five employees from 2011 from the Payroll Register and:
 - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Payroll Register to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively or statutorily-approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
- 2. We scanned the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended November 30, 2012 to determine whether remittances were timely paid, and that the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld, plus the employer's share where applicable, during the final withholding period of 2012.

Payroll Cash Disbursements (Continued):

We noted the following:

Withholding	Date Due	Date Paid	Amount Withheld	Amount Paid
Federal income taxes, social security, & Medicare	January 31, 2013	January 16, 2013	\$6,274.77	\$6,274.77
State income taxes	January 31, 2013	January 15, 2013	\$750.99	\$750.99
Local income tax	January 31, 2013	January 30, 2013	\$444.31	\$444.31

Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements

1. We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Expenditure Report for the year ended November 30, 2012 and ten from the year ended 2011 and determined whether:
 - a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
 - b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Expenditure Ledger and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
 - c. Based on the nature of the expenditure, the account coding is reasonable except as noted. We noted check #47670 for \$308.14 dated April 4, 2011, and paid from private funds for the purchase of alcohol for sale at non-Society sponsored events at the fairgrounds was improperly coded to Ground Maintenance; this transaction should have been coded to Other Supplies. However, because we did not test all non-payroll cash disbursements, our report provides no assurance regarding whether or not other similar errors occurred.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Society's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, those charged with governance, and others within the Society, and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.



Dave Yost
Auditor of State

August 13, 2013



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY

MARION COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbitt

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

**CERTIFIED
AUGUST 29, 2013**