



Dave Yost • Auditor of State



## Dave Yost · Auditor of State

### INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Perry Township Shelby County 850 N Knoop Johnston Rd. Sidney, Ohio 45365

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Board of Trustees and the management of Perry Township (the Township) agreed, solely to assist the Board in evaluating receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Management is responsible for recording transactions; and management and the Board are responsible for complying with the compliance requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

#### Cash

- 1. We tested the mathematical accuracy of the December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
- 2. We agreed the January 1, 2011 beginning fund balances recorded in the Fund Ledger Report to the December 31, 2010 balances in the documentation in the prior year Agreed-Upon Procedures working papers. We found no exceptions.
- 3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the December 31, 2012 and 2011 fund cash balances reported in the Fund Status Reports. The amounts agreed.
- 4. We confirmed the December 31, 2012 bank account balance with the Township's financial institution. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the December 31, 2012 bank reconciliation without exception.
- 5. We selected five reconciling debits (such as outstanding checks) haphazardly from the December 31, 2012 bank reconciliation:
  - a. We traced each debit to the subsequent January statement. We found no exceptions.
  - b. We traced the amounts and dates to the check register, to determine the debits were dated prior to December 31. We noted no exceptions.

#### Property Taxes, Intergovernmental and Other Confirmable Cash Receipts

- 1. We selected a property tax receipt from one *Statement of Semiannual Apportionment of Taxes* (the Statement) for 2012 and one from 2011:
  - a. We traced the gross receipts from the *Statement* to the amount recorded in the Receipt Register Report. The amounts agreed.
  - b. We determined whether the receipt was allocated to the proper funds as required by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.05-.06 and 5705.10. We found no exceptions.
  - c. We determined whether the receipt was recorded in the proper year. The receipt was recorded in the proper year.
- 2. We scanned the Receipt Register Report to determine whether it included two real estate tax receipts for 2012 and 2011. We noted the Receipts Register Report included the proper number of tax receipts for each year.
- 3. We tested all four receipts from the State Distribution Transaction Lists (DTL) from 2012 and all four from 2011. We also selected five receipts from the County Auditor's Appropriation History Report from 2012 and five from 2011.
  - a. We compared the amount from the above reports to the amount recorded in the Receipt Register Report. The amounts agreed.
  - b. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper funds. We found no exceptions.
  - c. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

#### **Over-The-Counter Cash Receipts**

We haphazardly selected 10 over-the-counter cash receipts from the year ended December 31, 2012 and 10 over-the-counter cash receipts from the year ended 2011 recorded in the duplicate cash receipts book and determined whether the:

- a. Receipt amount agreed to the amount recorded in the Receipt Register Report. The amounts agreed.
- b. Amount charged complied with rates in force during the period. We found no exceptions.
- c. Receipt was posted to the proper fund, and was recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions

#### Debt

- 1. The prior agreed-upon procedures documentation disclosed no debt outstanding as of December 31, 2010.
- 2. We inquired of management and scanned the Receipt Register Report and Payment Register Detail Report for evidence of debt issued during 2012 or 2011 or debt payment activity during 2012 or 2011. We noted no new debt issuances, nor any debt payment activity during 2012 or 2011.

#### Payroll Cash Disbursements

- 1. We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2012 and one payroll check for five employees from 2011 from the Employee Detail Adjustment Report and:
  - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Employee Detail Adjustment Report to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively or statutorily-approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.

#### **Payroll Cash Disbursements (Continued)**

- b. We determined whether the fund and account code(s) to which the check was posted were reasonable based on the employees' duties as documented in the minute record and as required by statute. We also determined whether the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions except for Trustees' salaries not being properly allocated among funds based on the activity summary sheets or payroll certification sheets maintained for each pay. See non-compliance issue in #3 below.
- 2. We scanned the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2012 to determine whether remittances were timely paid, and if the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld, plus the employer's share where applicable, during the final withholding period of 2012. We noted the following:

| Withholding                     |                  |                   |            |            |
|---------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------|------------|
| (plus employer share, where     |                  |                   | Amount     | Amount     |
| applicable)                     | Date Due         | Date Paid         | Due        | Paid       |
| Federal income taxes & Medicare | January 31, 2013 | December 28, 2012 | \$1,565.05 | \$1,565.05 |
| State income taxes              | January 15, 2013 | December 27, 2012 | \$3 25.71  | \$ 325.71  |
| School District tax             | January 31, 2013 | December 27, 2012 | \$ 139.97  | \$ 139.97  |
| OPERS retirement                | January 30, 2013 | December 27, 2012 | \$3,128.76 | \$3,128.76 |

3. For the pay periods ended September 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011, we compared documentation and the re-computation supporting the allocation of the Boards' salaries to the General and Gasoline Tax Fund per the Employee Detail Adjustment Report. We noted that two trustee's quarterly salaries paid out on September 30, 2012 were fully charged to the Gasoline Tax fund and the other trustee was fully charged to the General fund. We then recomputed the fund allocation to the total salaries for 2012, which has resulted in the following noncompliance issue.

**Ohio Rev. Code Section 505.24(C)** sets forth the method by which township trustees' compensation should be allocated. This section is amplified by Ohio Attorney General (OAG) Opinion 2004-036.

**Ohio Rev. Code Section 505.24(C)** states that by unanimous vote, a board of township trustees may adopt a method of compensation consisting of an annual salary to be paid in equal monthly payments. The amount of the annual salary approved by the board shall be no more than the maximum amount that could be received annually by a trustee if the trustee were paid on a per diem basis as specified in this division, and shall be paid from the township general fund or from other township funds in such proportions as the board may specify by resolution. Each trustee shall certify the percentage of time spent working on matters to be paid from the township general fund and from other township funds in such proportions as the kinds of services performed.

Auditor of State Bulletin 2011-007 further clarified that township trustees paid by the annual salary method that compensate from funds other than the general fund, must certify the percentage of the time spent working on matters that are to be paid from funds other than the general fund. Each township trustee that is compensated from funds other than the general fund must complete the certification prior to receiving his/her pay for that pay period. The certification must be done individually, but is not required to be notarized. The certification is not required to be a time log. Rather, all that is required is a statement detailing the percentage of time that the trustee/fiscal officer spent during that pay period providing services related to each fund to be charged. If 100% of the compensation of the township trustee/fiscal officer is to be paid from the general fund, no certification is required.

#### **Payroll Cash Disbursements (Continued)**

Per review of the township's payroll documentation, we noted that the township trustees did complete Activity Summary Sheets for all months of 2011 and Payroll Certification Sheets for all months of 2012; however, the allocation of their time per the payroll certifications did not match up to the allocation of funds for each paycheck. The Fiscal Officer was paying the trustees at 100 percent out of either the General Fund or Gasoline Tax Fund each pay period instead of properly splitting each Trustee's salary in accordance with the payroll certification sheet.

For fiscal year 2011, the Township charged the General Fund and Gasoline Tax Fund for \$8,490 and \$16,980, respectively. According to Trustees' Activity Summary Sheets, \$6,983 and \$18,487 should have been charged to the General Fund and Gasoline Tax Fund, respectively. As the General Fund was charged in excess of the amount on the Trustees' Activity Summary Sheets, therefore no adjustment was necessary for 2011.

For fiscal year 2012, the Township charged the General Fund and Gasoline Tax Fund for \$8,490 and \$16,980, respectively. According to Trustees' Payroll Certification Sheets, \$15,155 and \$10,315 should have been charged to the General Fund and Gasoline Tax Fund, respectively. As the Gasoline Tax Fund was charged in excess of \$6,665 for the amounts on the Trustees' Payroll Certification Sheets, the Township records have been adjusted as stated below:

| Fun | d Type | Ending Fund<br>Balance at<br>12/31/12 | Adjustment<br>Increase/(Decrease) | Ending Fund<br>Balance after<br>Adjustment |
|-----|--------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| Ge  | eneral | \$293,019                             | (\$6,665)                         | \$286,354                                  |
| Ga  | is Tax | \$151,183                             | \$6,665                           | \$157,848                                  |

The township's failure to comply with the requirements set out above could result in findings for adjustment in subsequent audits too. The Township Board of Trustees should follow the procedures outlined in statute above, along with AOS Bulletin 2011-007 in regards to charging Trustee salaries to any fund other than the general fund. The Fiscal Officer should then post the payroll expenditures in accordance with the split between funds as documented on the quarterly payroll certification sheets.

4. For the pay periods described in the preceding step, we traced Board time or services performed to time or activity sheets. We found no exceptions.

#### Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements

- 1. We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Payment Register Detail Report for the year ended December 31, 2012 and ten from the year ended 2011 and determined whether:
  - a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
  - b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Payment Register Detail Report and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
  - c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.
  - d. The fiscal officer certified disbursements requiring certification or issued a *Then and Now Certificate*, as required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(D). We found no exceptions.

#### **Compliance – Budgetary**

- 1. We compared the total estimated receipts from the Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources, required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.36(A)(1), to the amounts recorded in the Revenue Status Report for the General, Gasoline Tax, and Cemetery funds for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011. The amounts agreed.
- 2. We scanned the appropriation measures adopted for 2012 and 2011 to determine whether, for the General, Motor Vehicle License Tax, and Road and Bridge funds, the Trustees appropriated separately for "each office, department, and division, and within each, the amount appropriated for personal services," as is required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.38(C). We found no exceptions.
- 3. We compared total appropriations required by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.38 and 5705.40, to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status Report for 2012 and 2011 for the following funds: General, Gasoline Tax, and Road and Bridge. The amounts on the appropriation resolutions agreed to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status report.
- 4. Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.36(A)(5) and 5705.39 prohibits appropriations from exceeding the certified resources. We compared total appropriations to total certified resources for the General, Motor Vehicle License Tax, and Cemetery funds for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011. We noted no funds for which appropriations exceeded certified resources.
- 5. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(B) prohibits expenditures (disbursements plus certified commitments) from exceeding appropriations. We compared total expenditures to total appropriations for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 for the General, Motor Vehicle License Tax, and Cemetery fund, as recorded in the Appropriation Status Report. We noted no funds for which expenditures exceeded appropriations.
- 6. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.09 requires establishing separate funds to segregate externally-restricted resources. We scanned the Receipt Register Report for evidence of new restricted receipts requiring a new fund during December 31, 2012 and 2011. We also inquired of management regarding whether the Township received new restricted receipts. We noted no evidence of new restricted receipts for which Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.09 would require the Township to establish a new fund.
- 7. We scanned the 2012 and 2011 Revenue Status Reports and Appropriation Status Reports for evidence of interfund transfers which Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.14 -- .16 restrict. We found no evidence of transfers these Sections prohibit, or for which Section 5705.16 would require approval by the Tax Commissioner and Court of Common Pleas.
- 8. We inquired of management and scanned the Appropriation Status Reports to determine whether the Township elected to establish reserve accounts permitted by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.13. We noted the Township did not establish these reserves.

#### **Compliance – Contracts & Expenditures**

- 1. We inquired of management and scanned the Payment Register Detail report for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 for procurements requiring competitive bidding under the following statutes:
  - a. Materials, machinery and tools used in constructing, maintaining and repairing roads and culverts, where costs exceeded \$25,000 (\$50,000 effective September 29, 2011) (Ohio Rev. Code Section 5549.21)
  - b. Construction and erection of a memorial building or monument costs exceeding \$25,000 (\$50,000 effective September 29, 2011) (Ohio Rev. Code Section 511.12)

#### **Compliance – Contracts & Expenditures (Continued)**

- c. Equipment for fire protection and communication costs exceeding \$50,000 (Ohio Rev. Code Sections 505.37 to 505.42)
- d. Street lighting systems or improvement costs exceeding \$25,000 (\$50,000 effective September 29, 2011) (Ohio Rev. Code Section 515.01 & 515.07)
- e. Building modification costs exceeding \$25,000 (\$50,000 effective September 29, 2011) to achieve energy savings (Ohio Rev. Code Section 505.264)
- f. Private sewage collection tile costs exceeding \$25,000 (\$50,000 effective September 29, 2011) (Ohio Rev. Code Sections 521.02 to 521.05)
- g. Fire apparatus, mechanical resuscitators, other fire equipment, appliances, materials, fire hydrants, buildings, or fire-alarm communications equipment or service costs exceeding \$50,000 (Ohio Rev. Code Section 505.37(A))
- h. Maintenance and repair of roads exceeding \$45,000 (Ohio Rev. Code Section 5575.01)
- i. Construction or reconstruction of a township road exceeding \$15,000/per mile (Ohio Rev. Code Section 5575.01)

We identified a new Township Hall construction project in 2012 exceeding \$50,000 subject to Ohio Rev. Code Section 511.12. For this project, we noted that the Board advertised the project in a local newspaper, and selected the lowest responsive and responsible bid of \$159,800.

2. We inquired of management and scanned the Payment Register Detail Report for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 to determine if the township proceeded by force account (i.e. used its own employees) to maintain or repair roads (cost of project \$15,000-\$45,000) or to construct or reconstruct township roads (cost of project \$5,000-\$15,000/per mile) for which Ohio Rev. Code Section 5575.01 requires the county engineer to complete a force account project assessment form (i.e., cost estimate). We identified no projects requiring the county engineer to complete a force account cost estimate.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Township's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, those charged with governance, and others within the Township, and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

thre Yort

Dave Yost Auditor of State

April 8, 2013



# Dave Yost • Auditor of State

PERRY TOWNSHIP

SHELBY COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbett

**CLERK OF THE BUREAU** 

CERTIFIED MAY 23, 2013

> 88 East Broad Street, Fourth Floor, Columbus, Ohio 43215-3506 Phone: 614-466-4514 or 800-282-0370 Fax: 614-466-4490 www.ohioauditor.gov