




TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE	PAGE
Independent Accountants' Report	1
Management's Discussion and Analysis	3
Statement of Net Assets – June 30, 2012	7
Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Change in Net Assets For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2012	8
Statement of Cash Flows For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2012	9
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements	11
Independent Accountants' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Required by Government Auditing Standards	23
Schedule of Findings	25
Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	32
Officials' Responses	33



INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT

Richard Allen Academy III Community School **Montgomery County** 368 South Patterson Boulevard Dayton, Ohio 45402

To the Governing Board:

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Richard Allen Academy III Community School, Montgomery County, Ohio (the School) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2012, which collectively comprise the School's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the School's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

Except as discussed in the following paragraph, we conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in the Comptroller General of the United States' Government Auditing Standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to reasonably assure whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

The opinion on the School's June 30, 2011 financial statements was qualified due to lack of sufficient audit evidence supporting the School's accounts payable - vendor and accounts payable - IMR. We are unable to apply procedures to determine whether the net assets in the financial statements as of July 1, 2011, were fairly presented in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The School has also included, in accounts payable - IMR in the accompanying statement of net assets, certain payables that, in our opinion, are not true payables of the School. If these payables were removed, total liabilities would be decreased by \$9,365. Additionally, beginning net assets would be increased by \$9,365, for the year then ended.

The School has excluded, from capital assets in the accompanying statement of net assets, certain leasehold improvements. If these leasehold improvements were booked properly, capital assets before accumulated depreciation would be increased by \$16,862. Additionally, net assets and depreciation expense would increase. However, the exact amount of misstatement could not be determined as the School did not have formal useful lives for capital assets or track the capital asset's inception date.

As discussed in Note 13 to the financial statements, the School has suffered recurring losses from operations and has a net asset deficiency. Note 13 describes management's plan regarding these matters. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

> One First National Plaza, 130 W. Second St., Suite 2040, Dayton, Ohio 45402 Phone: 937-285-6677 or 800-443-9274 Fax: 937-285-6688 www.ohioauditor.gov

Richard Allen Academy III Community School Montgomery County Independent Accountants' Report Page 2

In our opinion, except for the effects of matters referred to in the third paragraph and for the effects of not presenting certain leasehold improvements as discussed in the fourth paragraph, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Richard Allen Academy III Community School, as of June 30, 2012, and the changes in its financial position and its cash flows for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated August 29, 2013, on our consideration of the School's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. While we did not opine on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance, that report describes the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*. You should read it in conjunction with this report in assessing the results of our audit.

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require this presentation to include *Management's Discussion and Analysis*, as listed in the table of contents, to supplement the basic financial statements. Although this information is not part of the basic financial statements, the Governmental Accounting Standards Board considers it essential for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any other assurance.

Dave Yost Auditor of State

August 29, 2013

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2012 UNAUDITED

The discussion and analysis of Richard Allen Academy III Community School's (the School) financial performance provides an overall review of the financial activities for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012 the intent of this discussion and analysis is to look at the School's financial performance as a whole; readers should also review the basic financial statements and the notes to the basic financial statements to enhance their understanding of the School's financial performance.

The Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) is an element of the reporting model adopted by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) in their Statement No. 34, *Basic Financial Statements – and Management's Discussion and Analysis – for State and Local Governments*, issued in June 1999. Certain comparative information between the current year and the prior year is required to be presented, and is presented in the MD&A.

Financial Highlights

Key financial highlights for fiscal year 2012 are as follows:

- > Total net assets increased by \$29,074, representing a 14 percent increase over prior year.
- Total assets decreased by \$26,086 which represents a 14.9 percent decrease from prior year primarily due to cash and cash equivalents.

Using this Financial Report

This financial report contains the basic financial statements of the School, as well as the Management's Discussion and Analysis and notes to the basic financial statements. The basic financial statements include a statement of net assets, statement of revenues, expenses and changes in net assets, and a statement of cash flows. As the School reports its operations using enterprise fund accounting, all financial transactions and accounts are reported as one activity.

The financial statements answer the question, "How did we do financially during the fiscal year?" These statements include all assets and liabilities, both financial and capital, and short-term and long-term, using the accrual basis of accounting and the economic resources focus, which is similar to the accounting used by most private-sector companies. This basis of accounting takes into account all revenues and expenses during the year, regardless of when the cash is received or paid.

The statement of net assets reports the School's net assets; however, in evaluating the overall position and financial viability of the School, non-financial information such as the condition of the School building and potential changes in the laws governing charter schools in the State of Ohio will also need to be evaluated.

Table 1 provides a summary of the School's net assets for fiscal year 2012 compared with fiscal year 2011.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2012 UNAUDITED (Continued)

Table1 Net Assets

	2011	2012	Incr/(decr)
Assets:			
Current Assets	\$35,997	\$ 15,772	(\$20,225)
Non-Current Assets	139,055	133,194	(5,861)
Total Assets	175,052	148,966	(26,086)
Liabilities:			
Current Liabilities	286,465	231,305	(55,160)
Non-Current Liabilities	96,409	96,409	
Total Liabilities	382,874	327,714	(55,160)
Net Assets:			
Invested in Capital Assets, Net of Related Debt	139,055	133,194	(5,861)
Unrestricted	(346,877)	(311,942)	34,935
Total Net Assets	(\$207,822)	(\$178,748)	\$29,074

Total assets of the School decreased by \$26,086 or 14.9 percent, primarily due to decrease in cash and cash equivalents. The net book value of the School's capital assets for the current fiscal year was \$5,861 less than the amount reported for the previous year due to current year depreciation.

As noted in Table 1 above, total net assets of the School increased by \$29,074 during fiscal year 2012 resulting in an ending net assets deficit of \$178,748 at June 30, 2012.

Table 2 shows the changes in net assets for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012, as well as revenue and expense comparisons to fiscal year 2011.

Table 2
Change in Net Assets

Change in Net Assets					
	2011	2012	Incr/(decr)		
Operating Revenues:					
State Foundation	\$1,021,456	\$1,117,773	\$96,317		
Other Operating Revenues	31,602	32,890	1,288		
Non-operating Revenues:					
Federal & State Grants	330,154	310,590	(19,564)		
Total Revenues	1,383,212	1,461,253	78,041		
Operating Expenses:		_			
Purchased Services	1,258,821	1,374,034	115,213		
Sponsorship Fees	17,680	22,394	4,714		
Audit Fees	44,055	13,667	(30,388)		
Legal Services		8,997	8,997		
Depreciation	6,268	5,861	(407)		
Board Meeting Expenses	3,115	4,739	1,624		
Other		2,487	2,487		
Total Expenses	1,329,939	1,432,179	102,240		
Change in Net Assets	53,273	29,074	(24,199)		
Beginning Net Assets	(261,095)	(207,822)	53,273		
Ending Net Assets	(\$ 207,822)	(\$ 178,748)	\$29,074		

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2012 UNAUDITED (Continued)

Total revenues for the School increased by \$78,041 or 5.6 percent; this was offset by an increase in total expenses of \$102,240 or 7.7 percent over those reported from the prior fiscal year.

Capital Assets

At June 30, 2012, capital assets of the School consisted of \$255,817 of leasehold improvements, equipment, and vehicles which were offset by \$122,623 in accumulated depreciation resulting in net capital assets of \$133,194. Current year depreciation expense was \$5,861.

Please see note 5 to the basic financial statements for more detailed information on the School's capital assets.

Debt

At June 30, 2012, the debt obligations of the School consisted of promissory notes payable totaling \$96,409 used to provide operating and capital funding for the school in its start-up phase.

Additional details and information on the School's debt obligations can be found in note 10 to the basic financial statements.

Contacting the School

This financial report is designed to provide a general overview of the finances of the Richard Allen Academy III Community School and to show the School's accountability for the monies it receives to all vested and interested parties, as well as meeting the annual reporting requirements of the State of Ohio. Any questions about the information contained within this report or requests for additional financial information should be directed to: Richard Allen Academy III Community School, 368 South Patterson Blvd, Dayton, OH 45402.

This page intentionally left blank.

STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS JUNE 30, 2012

Assets: Current Assets:	
Cash and Equivalents	\$4,646
Accounts Receivable - IMR	\$9,365
Intergovernmental Receivable	1,761
Total Current Assets	15,772
Non-Current Assets:	
Capital Assets, Net of Accumulated Depreciation	133,194
Total Non-Current Assets	133,194
Total Assets	148,966
Liabilities:	
Current Liabilities	
Accounts Payable - Vendor	38,188
Accounts Payable - IMR	50,844
Intergovernmental Payable	1,906
Payroll Requirement Due	140,367
Total Current Liabilities	231,305
Non-current Liabilities:	
Notes Payable	96,409
Total Non-current Liabilities	96,409
Total Liabilities	327,714
Net Assets	
Invested in Capital Assets, Net of Related Debt	133,194
Unrestricted	(311,942)
Total Net Assets	(\$178,748)

See accompanying notes to the basic financial statements.

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES AND CHANGES IN NET ASSETS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2012

Operating Revenues:	
State Foundation	\$1,117,773
Other Operating Revenues	32,890
Total Operating Revenues	1,150,663
Operating Expenses:	
Purchased Services	1,374,034
Sponsorship Fees	22,394
Audit Fees	13,667
Legal Services	8,997
Depreciation	5,861
Board Meeting Expenses	4,739
Other	2,487
Total Operating Expenses	1,432,179
Operating Loss	(281,516)
Non-Operating Revenues:	
Federal and State Grants	310,590
Total Non-Operating Revenues	310,590
Change in Net Assets	29,074
Net Assets at Beginning of Year	(207,822)
Net Assets at End of Year	(\$178,748)
See accompanying notes to the basic financial statements.	

STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2012

Cash Flow from Operating Activities:	
Cash Received from State Foundation	\$1,119,680
Cash Received from Other Operating Activities	32,890
Cash Payment for Goods and Services	(1,485,145)
Net Cash Used in Operating Activities	(332,575)
Cash Flow from Non-Capital Financing Activities:	
Cash Received from Federal and State Grants	319,974
Net Cash Provided by Non-Capital Financing Activities	319,974
Net decrease in Cash and Cash Equivalents	(12,601)
Cash and Cash Equivalents, Beginning of Year	17,247
Cash and Cash Equivalents, End of Year	\$4,646
Reconciliation of Operating Loss to Net Cash Used for Operating Activities:	
Operating Loss	(\$281,516)
Adjustment to Reconcile Operating Loss to Net Cash Used in Operating Activities:	
Depreciation	5,861
Changes in Assets and Liabilities:	
Increase in Intergovernmental Receivable	(1,761)
Decrease in Intergovernmental Payable	(25,176)
Decrease in Accounts Payable	(29,983)
Total Adjustments	(51,059)
Net Cash Used in Operating Activities	(\$332,575)

This page intentionally left blank.

NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2012

1. DESCRIPTION OF THE SCHOOL AND REPORTING ENTITY

Richard Allen Academy III Community School (the School) is a state nonprofit corporation established pursuant to Ohio Rev. Code Chapters 3314 and 1702 to maintain and provide a school exclusively for any educational, literary, scientific and related teaching service. The School, which is part of the State's education program, is independent of any school district. The School may sue and be sued, acquire facilities as needed, and contract for any services necessary for the operation of the School.

The School contracts with the Institute of Management and Resources, Inc. (IMR) for a variety of services including management consulting, Ohio Department of Education consulting, Education Management Information System (EMIS) monitoring and consulting, technology and operational support, teacher training, supervision of certified and non-certified personnel and assistance in grant applications. In addition all employees of the School are IMR employees and are subsequently contracted to the School. (See note 9 for additional detail on the contractual relationship between IMR and the School).

The School entered into a Sponsor Contract with Kids Count of Dayton, Inc. on December 15, 2006. The contract was renewed on May 25, 2011 for an additional term ending June 30, 2012.

The School operates under a self-appointing five-member Board (the Board). The School's Code of Regulations specifies that vacancies that arise on the Board be filled by the appointment of a successor trustee by a majority vote of the then existing trustees. The Board is responsible for carrying out the provisions of the contract with the Sponsor which includes but is not limited to, state mandated provisions regarding student population, curriculum, academic goals, performance standards, admission standards, and qualifications of teachers.

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The financial statements of the School have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) as applied to governmental nonprofit organizations. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the accepted standard-setting body for establishing governmental accounting and financial reporting principles. The School also applies Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) statements and interpretations issued on or before November 30, 1989, provided they do not conflict with or contradict GASB pronouncements. The School has elected not to apply FASB statements and interpretations issued after November 30, 1989. The more significant of the School's accounting policies are described below.

A. Basis of Presentation

Enterprise accounting is used to account for operations that are financed and operated in a manner similar to private business enterprises where the intent is that the costs (expenses, including depreciation) of providing goods or services to the general public on a continuing basis be financed or recovered primarily through user charges or where it has been decided that periodic determination of revenues earned, expenses incurred, and/or net income is appropriate for capital maintenance, public policy, management control, accountability or other purposes.

NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2012 (Continued)

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

B. Measurement Focus and Basis of Accounting

The accounting and financial reporting treatment applied to a fund is determined by its measurement focus. Enterprise accounting uses a flow of economic resources measurement focus. With this measurement focus, all assets and all liabilities are included on the statement of net assets. The difference between total assets and liabilities is defined as net assets. Net assets are segregated into three categories: 1) net assets invested in capital assets, net of related debt 2) restricted net assets and 3) unrestricted net assets. The statement of revenues, expenses and changes in net assets present increases (i.e. revenues) and decreases (i.e. expenses) in net total assets.

Basis of accounting refers to when revenues and expenses are recognized in the accounts and reported in the financial statements. Basis of accounting relates to the timing of the measurements made.

The accrual basis of accounting is utilized for reporting purposes. Revenues are recognized when they are earned, and expenses are recognized when they are incurred.

C. Budgetary Process

Unlike other public schools located in the state of Ohio, community schools are not required to follow budgetary provisions set forth in Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705, unless specifically provided in the Schools contract with its Sponsor. The contract between the School and its Sponsor does prescribe an annual budget requirement in addition to preparing a five-year forecast which is to be updated on a semi-annual basis.

D. Cash

All monies received by the School are maintained in a demand deposit account.

E. Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results may differ from these estimates.

F. Capital Assets and Depreciation

Capital assets are capitalized at cost (or estimated historical cost) and updated for additions and retirements during the year. Donated capital assets are recorded at their fair market value as of the date received. The School maintains a capitalization threshold of \$1,000. The School does not have any infrastructure. Improvements are capitalized. The costs of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the asset or materially extend the asset's life are expensed.

Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over estimated useful lives of five years for leasehold improvements and vehicles, and five to seven years for equipment. Improvements to capital assets are depreciated over the remaining useful lives of the related capital assets.

NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2012 (Continued)

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

G. Intergovernmental Revenues

The School currently participates in the State Foundation Program and the State Parity Aid Program, which is poverty aid funding. These programs are recognized as operating revenues in the accounting period in which they are earned, essentially the same as the fiscal year. Federal and state grants and entitlements are recognized as non-operating revenues in the accounting period in which all eligibility requirements of the grants have been met.

H. Operating and Non-Operating Revenues and Expenses

Operating revenues are those revenues that are generated directly by the School's primary mission. For the School, operating revenues include foundation payments and parity aid received from the State of Ohio. Operating expenses are necessary costs incurred to support the School's primary mission, including depreciation.

Non-operating revenues and expenses are those that are not generated directly by the School's primary mission. Various state and federal grants comprise the non-operating revenues of the School.

I. Accrued Liabilities Payable

The School has recognized certain liabilities on its statement of net assets relating to expenses, which are due but unpaid as of June 30, 2012, including:

Payroll Requirement Due – salary reimbursements owed to IMR from prior fiscal years.

Intergovernmental Payable – amounts owed to other governmental entities, but were not paid until the subsequent fiscal year.

J. Federal Tax Exemption Status

The School is a non-profit organization that has been determined by the Internal Revenue Service to be exempt from federal income taxes as a tax-exempt organization under Section 501 (c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code. Management is not aware of any course of action or series of events that have occurred that might adversely affect the School's tax-exempt status.

K. Net Assets

Net assets represent the difference between assets and liabilities. Net assets invested in capital assets, net of related debt consist of capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation, reduced by the outstanding balances of any borrowing used for the acquisition, construction or improvement of those assets. Net assets are reported as restricted when there are limitations imposed on their use either through enabling legislation adopted by the School or through external restrictions imposed by creditors, grantors or laws or regulations of other governments. The School applies restricted resources first when an expense is incurred for purposes for which both restricted and unrestricted net assets are available. At June 30, 2012, the School had no restricted net assets.

NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2012 (Continued)

3. **DEPOSITS**

Custodial credit risk for deposits is the risk that in the event of bank failure, the School will not be able to recover deposits or collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. At fiscal year end, the School had a bank balance of \$4,646. The entire bank balance was covered by federal depository insurance and therefore not considered to be subject to custodial credit risk.

4. RECEIVABLES

All receivables are considered collectible in full due to the stable condition of State Programs and the fiscal year guarantee of federal funds. Intergovernmental receivables are amounts owed to the School for excess deductions for SERS.

5. CAPITAL ASSETS

A summary of the School's capital assets at June 30, 2012, follows:

	Beginning			Ending
Capital Assets	Balance	Additions	Deletions	Balance
Leasehold Improvements	\$206,174			\$206,174
Equipment	48,143			48,143
Vehicles	1,500			1,500
Total	255,817			255,817
Less: accumulated depreciation	(116,762)	(\$5,861)		(122,623
Capital Assets, net	\$139,055	(\$5,861)		\$133,194

6. RISK MANAGEMENT

A. Property and Liability

The School is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft or damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees; and natural disasters. During fiscal year 2012, the School contracted with the WRM America and Cincinnati Speciality Underwriters for business personal property, director and officer liability and general liability insurance. Director and officer liability coverage is set at \$1,000,000, total loss. General liability coverage provides \$1,000,000 per occurrence and \$2,000,000 in the aggregate with no deductible. The WRM America also provide umbrella liability coverage of \$4,000,000 per occurrence, as well as, in the aggregate.

There has been no significant reduction in coverage in relation to the prior fiscal year. Settled claims have not exceeded commercial coverage in any of the last three fiscal years.

B. Employee Insurance Benefits

As part of the management agreement with the IMR (see note 9), insurance benefits are paid by the Institute.

NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2012 (Continued)

7. DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLANS

A. School Employees Retirement System

Plan Description - The School contributes to the School Employees Retirement System (SERS), a cost-sharing, multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan. SERS provides retirement, disability, survivor benefits, annual cost-of-living adjustments, and death benefits to plan members and beneficiaries. Authority to establish and amend benefits is provided by Chapter 3309 of the Ohio Revised Code. SERS issues a publicly available, stand-alone financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information. That report may be obtained by writing to the School Employees Retirement System, 300 East Broad Street, Suite 100, Columbus, Ohio 43215-3746. It is also posted on the SERS' Ohio website, www.ohsers.org, under "Employers/Audit Resources".

Funding Policy - Plan members are required to contribute 10 percent of their annual covered salary and the School is required to contribute at an actuarially determined rate. The current School rate is 14 percent of annual covered payroll. A portion of the School's contribution is used to fund pension obligations with the remainder being used to fund health care benefits. For fiscal year 2012, 12.65 percent and 0.05 percent of annual covered salary was the portion used to fund pension obligations and death benefits, respectively. The contribution requirements of plan members and employers are established and may be amended by the SERS' Retirement Board up to a statutory maximum amount of 10 percent for plan members and 14 percent for employers. Chapter 3309 of the Ohio Revised Code provides statutory authority for member and employer contributions. The School's required contributions for pension obligations and death benefits to SERS for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2012, 2011, and 2010 were \$7,341, \$7,641, and \$1,364, respectively; 100 percent has been contributed for fiscal year 2012 and 100 percent for fiscal years 2011 and 2010.

B. State Teachers Retirement System of Ohio

Plan Description - The School participates in the State Teachers Retirement System of Ohio (STRS Ohio), a cost-sharing, multiple-employer public employee retirement plan. STRS Ohio provides retirement and disability benefits to members and death and survivor benefits to beneficiaries. STRS Ohio issues a stand-alone financial report that may be obtained by writing to STRS Ohio, 275 E. Broad St., Columbus, OH 43215-3771, by calling (888) 227-7877, or by visiting the STRS Ohio website at www.strsoh.org, under "Publications".

New members have a choice of three retirement plans, a Defined Benefit (DB) Plan, a Defined Contribution (DC) Plan and a Combined Plan. The DB plan offers an annual retirement allowance based on final average salary times a percentage that varies based on years of service, or an allowance based on a member's lifetime contributions and earned interest matched by STRS Ohio funds divided by an actuarially determined annuity factor. The DC Plan allows members to place all their member contributions and employer contributions equal to 10.5 percent of earned compensation into an investment account. Investment decisions are made by the member. A member is eligible to receive a retirement benefit at age 50 and termination of employment. The member may elect to receive a lifetime monthly annuity or a lump sum withdrawal. The Combined Plan offers features of both the DC Plan and the DB Plan. In the Combined Plan, member contributions are invested by the member, and employer contributions are used to fund the defined benefit payment at a reduced level from the regular DB Plan. The DB portion of the Combined Plan payment is payable to a member on or after age 60; the DC portion of the account may be taken as a lump sum or converted to a lifetime monthly annuity at age 50. Benefits are established by Chapter 3307 of the Ohio Revised Code.

NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2012 (Continued)

7. DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLANS (Continued)

A DB or Combined Plan member with five or more years credited service who becomes disabled may qualify for a disability benefit. Eligible spouses and dependents of these active members who die before retirement may qualify for survivor benefits. Members in the DC Plan who become disabled are entitled only to their account balance. If a member of the DC Plan dies before retirement benefits begin, the member's designated beneficiary is entitled to receive the member's account balance.

Funding Policy - For fiscal year 2012, plan members were required to contribute 10 percent of their annual covered salaries. The School was required to contribute 14 percent; 13 percent was the portion used to fund pension obligations. Contribution rates are established by the State Teachers Retirement Board, upon recommendations of its consulting actuary, not to exceed statutory maximum rates of 10 percent for members and 14 percent for employers. Chapter 3307 of the Ohio Revised Code provides statutory authority for member and employer contributions.

The School's required contributions for pension obligations to STRS Ohio for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2012, 2011, and 2010 were \$121,535, \$106,685, and \$119,563, respectively; 100 percent has been contributed for fiscal year 2012 and 100 percent for fiscal years 2011 and 2010.

8. POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS

A. School Employees Retirement System

Plan Description - The School participates in two cost-sharing, multiple employer postemployment benefit plans administered by the School Employees Retirement System (SERS) for non-certificated retirees and their beneficiaries, a Health Care Plan and a Medicare Part B Plan. The Health Care Plan includes hospitalization and physicians' fees through several types of plans including HMO's, PPO's, Medicare Advantage, and traditional indemnity plans. A prescription drug program is also available to those who elect health coverage. SERS employs two third-party administrators and a pharmacy benefit manager to manage the selfinsurance and prescription drug plans, respectively. The Medicare Part B Plan reimburses Medicare Part B premiums paid by eligible retirees and beneficiaries as set forth in Section 3309.69 of the Ohio Revised Code. Qualified benefit recipients who pay Medicare Part B premiums may apply for and receive a monthly reimbursement from SERS. reimbursement amount is limited by statute to the lesser of the January 1, 1999 Medicare Part B premium or the current premium. The Medicare Part B premium for calendar year 2011 (latest information available) was \$96.40 for most participants, but could be as high as \$369.10 per month depending on their income and the SERS' reimbursement to retirees was \$45.50. Benefit provisions and the obligations to contribute are established by the System based on authority granted by State statute. The financial reports of both Plans are included in the SERS Comprehensive Annual Financial Report which is available by contacting SERS at 300 East Broad St., Suite 100, Columbus, Ohio 43215-3746. It is also posted on the SERS' Ohio website, www.ohsers.org, under "Employers/Audit Resources".

NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2012 (Continued)

8. POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (Continued)

Funding Policy - State statute permits SERS to fund the health care benefits through employer contributions. Each year, after the allocation for statutorily required benefits, the Retirement Board allocates the remainder of the employer contribution of 14 percent of covered payroll to the Health Care Fund. The Health Care Fund was established and is administered in accordance with Internal Revenue Code Section 105(e). For 2012, 0.55 percent of covered payroll was allocated to health care. An additional health care surcharge on employers is collected for employees earning less than an actuarially determined minimum compensation amount, pro-rated according to service credit earned. Statutes provide that no employer shall pay a health care surcharge greater than 2.0 percent of that employer's SERS-covered payroll; nor may SERS collect in aggregate more than 1.5 percent of the statewide SERS-covered payroll for the health care surcharge. For fiscal year 2012, the actuarially determined amount was \$35,800.

Active members do not contribute to the postemployment benefit plans. The Retirement Board establishes the rules for the premiums paid by the retirees for health care coverage for themselves and their dependents or for their surviving beneficiaries. Premiums vary depending on the plan selected, qualified years of service, Medicare eligibility and retirement status.

The School's contributions for health care (including surcharge) for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2012, 2011 and 2010 were \$318, \$925, and \$444, respectively; 100 percent has been contributed for fiscal year 2012 and 100 percent for fiscal years 2011 and 2010.

The Retirement Board, acting with advice of the actuary, allocates a portion of the employer contribution to the Medicare B Fund. For fiscal year 2012, this actuarially required allocation was 0.75 percent of covered payroll. The School's contributions for Medicare Part B for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2012, 2011, and 2010 were \$434, \$492, and \$80, respectively; 100 percent has been contributed for fiscal year 2012 and 100 percent for fiscal years 2011 and 2010.

B. State Teachers Retirement System of Ohio

Plan Description - The School contributes to the cost sharing, multiple employer defined benefit Health Plan (the "Plan") administered by the State Teachers Retirement System of Ohio (STRS Ohio) for eligible retirees who participated in the defined benefit or combined pension plans offered by STRS Ohio. Benefits include hospitalization, physicians' fees, prescription drugs and reimbursement of monthly Medicare Part B premiums. The Plan is included in the report of STRS Ohio which may be obtained by visiting www.strsoh.org, under "Publications" or by calling (888) 227-7877.

Funding Policy - Ohio law authorizes STRS Ohio to offer the Plan and gives the Retirement Board authority over how much, if any, of the health care costs will be absorbed by STRS Ohio. Active employee members do not contribute to the Plan. All benefit recipients pay a monthly premium. Under Ohio law, funding for post-employment health care may be deducted from employer contributions. For 2012, STRS Ohio allocated employer contributions equal to 1 percent of covered payroll to the Health Care Stabilization Fund. The School's contributions for health care for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2012, 2011 and 2010 were \$9,349, \$8,207 and \$9,197, respectively; 100 percent has been contributed for fiscal year 2012 and 100 percent for fiscal years 2011 and 2010.

NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2012 (Continued)

9. MANAGEMENT COMPANY AGREEMENTS

On September 1, 2006, the School entered into an agreement with the Institute of Management and Resources, Inc. (IMR), a non-profit corporation, to provide management services. The agreement with IMR is through June 30, 2015.

The Management Agreement provides that IMR will perform functions reasonably required to manage the operation of the School; ensure students receive services which are in accordance with applicable educational standards; make every effort to ensure the School complies with the requirements of any applicable statute, ordinance, law, rule, regulation or order of any governmental or regulatory body having jurisdiction; acquire all necessary licenses and permits; maintain all student and financial records required by federal, state and local laws and regulations, as well as, protecting the confidentiality of those records; act as the School's agent in making deposits and disbursements promptly; provide for all expenses of operating the School, including lease payments for the school building, equipment and operating supplies needed in the operation of the School, from its management fee. IMR is responsible for hiring qualified teachers and all other employees which are subsequently contracted to operate the School. For fiscal year 2012, the expense related to contractual employees totaled \$840,706; \$718,843 for payroll and \$121,863 for benefits, respectively.

IMR receives a monthly management fee of 10 percent of total revenues of the School from all sources after deductions of STRS, SERS and audit adjustments. IMR receives another 87 percent of operating revenues for any expenses it incurs on behalf of the School in order to provide district-wide services. These expenses include but are not limited to district-wide management services provided by IMR employees in the area of instruction, transportation, financial and general business management and development as well as district-wide purchase of text books supplies.

The table below shows the management company expenses for fiscal year 2012.

Direct:	
Salary and Wages	\$718,843
Benefits	61,360
Food Service	78,152
Health Insurance	60,503
Property and Causality Insurance	9,881
Professional and Legal Services	7,051
Postage	120
Purchased Services	331,187
Rent	82,722
Repairs and Maintenance	33,779
Supplies	3,318
Telephone/Networking	3,746
Utility	50,051
Indirect:	
Overhead	240,902
Total Expenses	\$1,681,615

The administration expenses incurred by IMR are allocated to the four different Richard Allen Schools under its control. These expenses are allocated to the individual school based on the student enrollment at each school to the total enrollment of all the schools.

NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2012 (Continued)

10. NOTES PAYABLE

The following is a summary of the note activity for the School at June 30, 2012:

	Beginning Balance	Increase	Decrease	Ending Balance
Demand Promissory Note – ICSMR	\$84,909			\$84,909
Demand Promissory Note – West Park Academy	11,500			11,500
Total	\$96,409			\$96,409

On June 30, 2004, the school's management company, the Institute of Charter School Management and Resources, Inc. (ICSMR), provided the school with a demand promissory note of \$104,653 to address cash flow issues arising during initial start-up phase of the School. The note stipulates that no interest will accrue if it is paid in full by June 30, 2009. A portion of the note may be called at any time, upon written notice to the School. ICSMR determined no interest will accrue on this loan in 2012.

On June 30, 2004, West Park Academy, Inc. provided the school with a demand promissory note of \$11,500 for capital acquisitions during the initial start-up phase of the School. The note stipulates that no interest will accrue if it is paid in full by June 30, 2009. A portion of the note, or the entire note, may be called at any time, upon written notice to the School. West Park Academy determined no interest will accrue on this loan in 2012.

11. CONTINGENCIES

A. State Funding

The Ohio Department of Education conducts reviews of enrollment data and FTE calculations made by the schools. These reviews are conducted to ensure the schools are reporting accurate student enrollment data to the State, upon which state foundation funding is calculated. As a result of a review after fiscal year-end 2012, the School owed the Ohio Department of Education \$1,906. This amount is reflected as an intergovernmental payable in the basic financial statements.

B. Litigation

On October 18, 2012, The institute of Management and Resources, Inc. ("IMR"), the management company of the School, filed a complaint for declaratory judgment and injunctive relief against the School, as well as the Auditor of State and Attorney General regarding findings for recovery issued by the Auditor in favor of the School.

Institute of Management and Resources, Inc. v. Dave Yost, et al.
Montgomery County, Ohio Court of Common Pleas: Case No. 2012 CV 07494

Both IMR and the School dispute the Auditor's interpretation of the contract and have attempted to resolve the matter amicably with both the Auditor and the Attorney General, who is tasked with enforcing findings for recovery. Not only were the parties unsuccessful in resolving the dispute, the Auditor has put both parties on notice that they intend to issue similar findings against IMR for the 2012 fiscal year. Accordingly, IMR has filed this action pursuant to R.C. 9.24, challenging the Auditor's interpretation of the management contract and enjoining the Attorney General from enforcing the finding. Because the Auditor and Attorney General are acting on behalf of the School statutorily, the School is an indispensable party to the litigation. The outcome of this case will have a material impact on financial statements of the School, the exact amount of which is not known at this time.

NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2012 (Continued)

12. OPERATING LEASES

The School leases its facilities from the Butler Metropolitan Housing Company under a lease agreement which began on May 1, 2003 for fiscal year 2003, and is renewable annually on July 1 as long as the School remains chartered by the Ohio Department of Education to operate a chartered public school. If the School loses their Ohio Department of Education charter, the lease terminates and the premises must be vacated within 30 days. Rental payments for the fiscal year totaled \$82,722.

13. MANAGEMENT'S PLAN REGARDING ACCUMULATED DEFICIT

As shown in the accompanying financial statements, the School had an accumulated net assets deficit of \$178,748 as of June 30, 2012, which is a \$29,074 improvement over the \$207,822 prior year accumulated net assets deficit. This is the direct result of Management's on-going efforts to improve the School's financial condition by increasing student enrollment and containing cost.

14. RELATED PARTIES

The Governing Board, of Richard Allen Academy III Community School served in the same capacity for the Richard Allen Preparatory, Richard Allen Academy, and Richard Allen Academy II Community Schools for the portion of fiscal year 2012, all of which are managed by the Institute of Management and Resources, Inc (IMR). Richard Allen Academy III Community School shares its Chief Executive Officer, Superintendent and the Treasurer/CFO with the other three Richard Allen Schools named above. The School pays IMR a management fee of ten percent of the total revenue of the School from all sources after a deduction of SERS, STRS, and audit adjustments. The School pays IMR another 87 percent for expenses incurred on behalf of the School. See Note 9.

The Institute of Charter School Management Resources, Inc. (ICSMR), a corporation wholly owned by the Chief Executive Officer of the School, provides and bills for consulting services to IMR, the management company for the School. The cost of the services provided to IMR by ICSMR is allocated to the School, as well as the other Richard Allen schools. The School has notes payable with ICSMR. See Note 10 for additional information. The School has notes payable with West Park Academy, a private school managed by the Chief Executive Officer of the School. See note 10 for additional information.

The School's Superintendent is the daughter of the IMR/ICSMR CEO. The CEO's husband is the School's co-founder. In April 2012, the CEO resigned as CEO of IMR, ICSMR, and Richard Allen Academy III Community School.

15. PURCHASED SERVICES

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012, purchased service expenses for services rendered were as follows:

Management Services	\$1,236,819
Certified Retirement	130,884
Non-Certified Retirement	6,331
	\$1,374,034

NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2012 (Continued)

16. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Effective July 1, 2012, the School entered into a two-year Sponsorship contract with the Ohio Department of Education (ODE) in return for three percent (3%) of all funds received by the School from the State of Ohio foundation payments.

On October 18, 2012, The institute of Management and Resources, Inc. ("IMR"), the management company of the School, filed a complaint for declaratory judgment and injunctive relief against the School, as well as the Auditor of State and Attorney General regarding findings for recovery issued by the Auditor in favor of the School.

Institute of Management and Resources, Inc. v. Dave Yost, et al.
Montgomery County, Ohio Court of Common Pleas: Case No. 2012 CV 07494

Both IMR and the School dispute the Auditor's interpretation of the contract and have attempted to resolve the matter amicably with both the Auditor and the Attorney General, who is tasked with enforcing findings for recovery. Not only were the parties unsuccessful in resolving the dispute, the Auditor has put both parties on notice that they intend to issue similar findings against IMR for the 2012 fiscal year. Accordingly, IMR has filed this action pursuant to R.C. 9.24, challenging the Auditor's interpretation of the management contract and enjoining the Attorney General from enforcing the finding. Because the Auditor and Attorney General are acting on behalf of the School statutorily, the School is an indispensable party to the litigation. The outcome of this case will have a material impact on financial statements of the School, the exact amount of which is not known at this time.

This page intentionally left blank.

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS REQUIRED BY GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Richard Allen Academy III Community School Montgomery County 368 South Patterson Boulevard Dayton, Ohio 45402

To the Governing Board

We have audited the financial statements of Richard Allen Academy III Community School, Montgomery County, Ohio (the School) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2012 which collectively comprise the School's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated August 29, 2013, wherein we noted that we were unable to apply procedures to determine whether the net assets in the financial statements as of July 1, 2011 were fairly presented in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and the School has excluded, from capital assets in the accompanying statement of net assets, certain leasehold improvements. We also noted that the School has an accumulated deficit of \$178,748 as of June 30, 2012. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the School's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our audit procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of opining on the effectiveness of the School's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we have not opined on the effectiveness of the School's internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. Therefore, we cannot assure that we have identified all deficiencies, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. However, as described in the accompanying schedule of findings we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting, that we consider to be material weaknesses and another deficiency we consider to be a significant deficiency.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, when performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and timely correct misstatements. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and timely corrected. We consider findings 2012-001, 2012-002 and 2012-006 described in the accompanying schedule of findings to be material weaknesses.

Richard Allen Academy III Community School Montgomery County Independent Accountants' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and On Compliance and Other Matters Required by Government Auditing Standards Page 2

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting (Continued)

A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. We consider finding 2012-003 described in the accompanying schedule of findings costs to be a significant deficiency.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of reasonably assuring whether the School's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we tested its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could directly and materially affect the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and accordingly, we do not express an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed instances of noncompliance or other matters we must report under *Government Auditing Standards* which are described in the accompanying schedule of findings as items 2012-002 through 2012-006.

We also noted certain matters not requiring inclusion in this report that we reported to the School's management in a separate letter dated August 29, 2013.

The School's responses to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. We did not audit the School's responses and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

We intend this report solely for the information and use of management, the Board of Directors, the School sponsor, and others within the School. We intend it for no one other than these specified parties.

Dave Yost Auditor of State

August 29, 2013

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS JUNE 30, 2012

2. FINDINGS RELATED TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS REQUIRED TO BE REPORTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GAGAS

FINDING NUMBER 2012-001

MATERIAL WEAKNESS

At June 30, 2010, the School reported \$10,623 in accounts receivable balance due from its management company, Institute of Management & Resources (IMR). This balance consisted of June 30, 2010 reconciling items for various revenues deposited by IMR in its bank account instead of the School's bank account during fiscal year 2010. During 2011, the School receipted \$1,258 of this receivable amount for school lunch receipts. However, there was no evidence that IMR actually repaid the remaining receivable amount of \$9,365 to the School during fiscal years 2011 and 2012 or netted the receivables against the payables due to the management company during either year. Additionally, the School did not report any receivable due from the management company at June 30, 2012 on the original financial statements provided to the Auditor of State on December 4, 2012. In accordance with the foregoing facts, and pursuant to Ohio Revised Code Section 117.28, a Finding for Recovery was issued in June 30, 2011 audit report which remains unpaid to date.

On August 12, 2013, the School agreed to present the accounts receivable on June 30, 2012 statement of net assets by increasing the corresponding accounts payable to IMR. As noted above, there is no indication that the receivables were netted against payables during 2011. The School overstated June 30, 2012 accounts payable - IMR by \$9,365 and understated beginning net assets by the same amount. The understatement due to the above noted error is material to the beginning and ending net assets on financial statements and the audit opinion has been appropriately modified to disclose the exception.

Failure to provide adequate supporting documentation for all financial statement line items could result in financial statement opinion modifications. Procedures should be implemented to verify the overall financial integrity of the information presented in the financial statements.

Official's Response: See pages 33 and 34.

FINDING NUMBER 2012-002

NONCOMPLIANCE AND MATERIAL WEAKNESS

Ohio Revised Code Section 3314.03 (B)(5) and AOS Bulletin 2000-005 require that the management of each community school be responsible for the design and implementation of an internal control process that provides reasonable assurance of the integrity of its financial reporting, the safeguarding of assets, and the efficiency and effectiveness of its operations, and its compliance/with applicable laws, regulations and contracts.

The School utilized Sage accounting system for recording its financial transactions. The system was designed to capture information on an accrual basis of accounting. Based on review of the general ledger from the system these accounts were not accurately updated or corrected in a timely basis throughout the year. In addition, numerous correcting entries were posted in the general ledger.

FINDING NUMBER 2012-002 (Continued)

The School's Finance Report for 2012 lacked sufficient underlying support and contained the errors noted below:

Statement of Net Assets:

- Accounts Payable IMR line item was qualified on during fiscal year 2011 audit. The Accounts Payable – IMR amount from fiscal year 2011 was used as the beginning balance to compute the fiscal year 2012 Accounts Payable – IMR amount. The accounts payable – IMR amount is not material for the fiscal year 2012 and does not warrant a modification to the audit opinion.
- Intergovernmental Receivables were overstated by \$35,648 due to the School reporting STRS receivables. The financial statements were adjusted for this error.
- Intergovernmental Payables were understated by \$4,946 due to the School not recording a payable related to STRS. The financial statements were not adjusted for this error.

Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets:

 Purchased services expenditure was understated by \$30,702 due to the above noted misstatements to intergovernmental receivable and intergovernmental payable. The expenditures were adjusted for \$35,648 understatement, leaving an uncorrected overstatement amount \$4,946 to the purchased services line item.

In addition to the items above, there are other doubts regarding the Accounts Payable to the management company. The First Addendum to the management company agreement between the School and the Institute of Management and Resources, Inc. (IMR) effective July 1, 2009 required to the School to pay "eighty-seven percent (87%) of the remaining 90%" of revenue of the School from all sources to the IMR for school expense fees incurred on behalf of the School for district wide operational services, i.e., transportation, supplies, textbook, rents, utilities, etc. At the inception of the first addendum, the School transferred its various accounts payable related to district wide operational services to the IMR and has been reporting the payable as due to the management company instead of specific vendor on its financial statements. Given the age of these liabilities, it would be expected that the outside vendors would have either pursued legal action to obtain payment or written the amounts off as uncollectible and stopped the pursuit of payment. Additionally, the School historically has had a low cash balance, including just \$4,646 at June 30, 2012. Based on IMR's interpretation of the management contract and the new management company contract as discussed in finding number 2012-004, nearly all of the School's revenue was turned over to IMR for payment of the School's expenses over the last two years. If this practice continues, the School may never have the funds to pay these liabilities even if they are legitimate obligations.

In addition due to lack of detailed support, the trials did not present detailed activity to arrive at the financial statement amounts.

Procedures should be developed and implemented to provide for integrity of the financial records. Additionally, the amounts in the financial statements, notes to the financial statements, and MD&A should be supported by appropriate documentation. Failure to establish these procedures could result in inaccurate financial reporting of the School's activities.

FINDING NUMBER 2012-002 (Continued)

The School should also consider utilizing software like one offered by MDECA for accounting. Such software is specifically designed for Schools and utilizes the Ohio Department of Education recommended USAS to better capture accounting information. This software will not capture information on accrual basis of accounting. However, it will allow the School to segregate its activity in funds and help the School properly track its federal expenditures. Finally, MDECA will provide data integrity that the current software did not provide.

Official's Response: See pages 33 and 34.

FINDING NUMBER 2012-003

NONCOMPLIANCE AND SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCY

Ohio Revised Code Section 3314.024 requires community school management companies that receive more than 20 percent of the annual gross revenues of the school to provide a detailed accounting including the nature and cost of the services it provides to the community school. This information shall be included in the footnotes to the financial statements of the school, and be subject to audit during the course of the regular financial audit of the community school. Auditor of State Bulletin 2004-009 provides guidance for management company footnote disclosure. The Bulletin also allows the school to obtain an agreed upon procedures report on this particular note disclosure from an independent auditor.

The School's agreed upon procedures (AUP) report obtained from an independent accountant noted various exceptions pertaining to Richard Allen Academy III Community School that resulted in the management company footnote and/or the federal awards receipts and expenditures schedule being revised. The following exceptions were noted:

- The management company incorrectly reported retirement expenditures in the amount of \$123,949
 that were made by the School as management company expenditures. A correction was made to
 remove the expenditures from the footnote.
- Various receipts and expenditure adjustments in amount of \$15,117 were either corrected on the applicable schedules in the AUP report or did not materially impact the schedules.
- Various expenditures totaling \$15,805 were not properly allocated between schools, improperly included in expenditures, or not allowable and have been corrected on the applicable schedules.

No audit adjustments were required in the accompanying financial statements; however, the errors noted in this report are significant enough to warrant attention of those charged with governance.

Policies and procedures should be established and implemented to verify that the management company properly and accurately reports all expenditures made on behalf of the School in accordance with the Auditor of State Bulleting 2004-009. Failure to do so could result in opinion modifications in the future.

Official's Response: See pages 33 and 34.

FINDING NUMBER 2012-004

NONCOMPLIANCE

State ex rel. McClure v. Hagerman, 155 Ohio St. 320 (1951), provides that expenditures made by a governmental unit should serve a public purpose. Typically the determination of what constitutes a "proper public purpose" rests with the judgment of the governmental entity, unless such determination is arbitrary or unreasonable. Even if a purchase is reasonable, **Ohio Attorney General Opinion 82-006** indicates that it must be memorialized by a duly enacted ordinance or resolution and *may have a prospective effect only*.

In response to the finding for recoveries stemming from the special audit conducted by the Auditor of State of Ohio, the Academy retroactively amended its management company contract during the January 21, 2012 meeting. Section Three of the revised contract in part states that the Academy shall pay to IMR a management fee of ten percent (10%) of the total revenues of the Academy from all sources after deduction of STRS, SERS and audit adjustments. The Academy will pay to IMR eighty-seven percent (87%) of total revenues of the Academy from all sources after deduction of STRS, SERS and audit adjustments for School Expense Fees incurred on behalf of the Academy for school-wide operational services, i.e. transportation, supplies, textbooks, rents, utilities, etc. This Addendum shall be of full force and effect retroactive to July 1, 2008. The addendum replaced **First Addendum to the Management Agreement** between Institute of Management and Resources, Inc. (IMR) and the School which stated, in part, that the School shall pay to IMR a management fee of 10% of the total revenues of the School from all sources after deduction of STRS, SERS, and audit adjustments. The School will pay to the IMR 87% of the remaining 90% for School Expense Fee incurred on behalf of the School for District wide operational services, i.e., transportation, supplies, textbooks, rents, utilities, etc.

Per Ohio Attorney General Opinion 82-006 retroactive approval of the management company contract is not legal and the First Addendum to the Management Agreement would be binding up to January 21, 2012. The Second Addendum was effective after this date.

Between July 1, 2011 and January 21, 2012, the School paid \$711,112 to IMR for management fee and school related expenses. Other than the IMR contract, there was no support, such as billing statements or support for amounts paid and transferred to IMR for this period during fiscal year 2012. Fiscal year 2012 revenues up to January 21, 2012, amount to \$707,527. 10% or \$70,753 of these revenues were due to the IMR for management fee. 87% of the remaining \$636,744 amounting to \$553,993 was due to IMR for "School Expense Fees." Based on the management agreement, total payment to IMR for management company and school expense fees should have been \$624,746. The management company was overpaid \$86,366.

From January 22, 2012 to June 30, 2012, the School paid \$548,967 to IMR for management fees and school related expenses. There were no billing statements or support for amounts paid and transferred to IMR during this period. The School received \$583,699 in "total revenues" between January 21, 2012 and June 30, 2012. 10% or \$58,370 of these revenues were due to the IMR for management fee. 87% or \$507,818 of these revenues were also due to IMR for "School Expense Fees." Based on the management agreement, total payment to IMR for management company and school expense fees should have been \$566,188. The management company was underpaid \$17,221.

In accordance with the forgoing facts, and pursuant to **Ohio Revised Code Section 117.28**, a finding for recovery for public money illegally expended in the amount of \$69,145 is hereby issued against the Institute of Management and Resources, Inc., and in favor of Richard Allen Academy III Community School.

FINDING NUMBER 2012-004 (Continued)

Under Ohio law, any public official who either authorizes an illegal expenditure of public funds or supervises the accounts of a public office from which such illegal expenditure was made is strictly liable for the amount of the expenditure. Seward v. National Surety Corp., 120 Ohio St 47 (1929); 1980 Op. Atty Gen. No. 80-074: Ohio Rev. Code Section 9.39; State, ex.rel. Village of Linndale v. Masten, 18 Ohio St. 3d 228 (1985). Public officials controlling public funds or property are liable for the loss incurred should such funds or property be fraudulently obtained by another, converted, misappropriated, lost or stolen. Public officials will be liable if and to the extent that recovery or restitution is not obtained from the persons who unlawfully obtained such funds or property, 1980 Op. Atty Gen. No. 80-074.

Felix O'Aku, Treasurer, authorized bank transfers resulting in the improper payment. Accordingly, a Finding for Recovery is hereby jointly and severally issued against Felix O'Aku and his bonding company the Ohio Casualty Insurance Company in the amount of \$69,145 and in favor of the Richard Allen Academy III Community School.

The School should review its management company contract and verify that payments made to the management company are in accordance with the board ratified contract. Failure to do so could result in future potential overpayments and findings for recoveries. Also, any payments made to the management company should be adequately supported.

Official's Response: See pages 33 and 34.

FINDING NUMBER 2012-005

NONCOMPLIANCE

Ohio Rev. Code Section 2921.42 (A), in part, states that no public official shall knowingly do any of the following:

- (1) Authorize, or employ the authority or influence of the public official's office to secure authorization of any public contract in which the public official, a member of the public official's family, or any of the public official's business associates has an interest;
- (4) Have an interest in the profits or benefits of a public contract entered into by or for the use of the political subdivision or governmental agency or instrumentality with which the public official is connected:

On September 1, 2006 the School entered into a management contract with Institute of Management and Resources, Inc. (IMR). The School's Founder and Director, Jeanette Harris; her husband and Emeritus Board member Rev. Earl Harris and her daughter and Schools Superintendent Michelle Prear Ferell, now Michelle Thomas, were listed as original directors of IMR per articles of incorporation filed with the Secretary of State. The Management Company Contract was modified on July 1, 2009 and again on January 21, 2012. The original contract and amendments were signed by Jeanette Harris on behalf of the management company. During this time Jeanette Harris was also serving as the Director of the School. In April 2012, Jeanette Harris resigned from her positions at the management company and the School. At the same time Rev. Earl Harris resigned from the School's Board. Michelle Thomas took over the role of president at the management company and CEO of the Schools after Jeanette Harris' departure.

FINDING NUMBER 2012-005 (Continued)

The School also employed the Management Company's CFO, Felix O'Aku as its Treasurer. The School electronically transferred \$1,260,079 to its management company during fiscal year 2012. All electronic transfers were initiated by Treasurer, Felix O'Aku. The management company was overpaid \$69,145 during the fiscal year and a finding for recovery has been issued against the management company and in favor of the School.

The School has shared its administration with the management company and there have been instances where the Management Company has benefited at the expense of the School. The arrangement between the management company and the School was also in violation of **Ohio Rev. Code Section 2921.42** as the management at the School had an interest in the affairs of IMR. The School should take appropriate steps to verify that its management is independent of the management company and policies and procedures are in place to detect and appropriately address any conflict of interest. Failure to do so could result in the School entering into contracts that might not be in the best interest of the School or the students who attend it.

A referral has been made to the Ohio Ethics Commission.

Official's Response: See pages 33 and 34.

FINDING NUMBER 2012-006

NONCOMPLIANCE/ MATERIAL WEAKNESS

The School's **Fixed Asset policy** required the following:

- 1. Establishes a capital asset accounting system that will contain sufficient data to permit the preparation of fiscal yearend financial statements in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.
- 2. An asset is a capital asset if it has a useful life of one year or more and the cost of the asset is greater than \$1,000.
- 3. Assets will be depreciated using straight-line depreciation. Estimated life for capital assets shall follow ASBO (Association of School Business Officials) guidelines.
- 4. The following information shall be maintained for all capital assets:
 - A. description
 - B. asset classification
 - C. purchase price
 - D. vendor
 - E. date purchased or leased
 - F. accumulated depreciation
 - G. method of disposal

The School did not provide the Association of School Business Officials guidelines for capital asset estimated lives as referred to in the Policy. The capital asset useful lives noted in the School's note disclosure was not in agreement with the useful lives that were actually utilized to calculate depreciation. In addition, the capital asset listing did not contain the vendor or the date purchased/leased. The capital asset listing did not include \$5,446 in leasehold improvements related to 2004 audit adjustments. To date, no depreciation has been taken on this leasehold improvement. Additionally, the Capital Asset reported by the School did not include \$16,862 in leasehold improvements that were included on the Fixed Asset Listing With Asset Description report but not on the Depreciation report that has been provided to auditors.

FINDING NUMBER 2012-006 (Continued)

The unreported capital assets are material to the financial statements. However, due to lack of purchase date for the capital assets and inconsistencies in the estimated useful lives of assets, we are unable to calculate the accumulated depreciation and depreciation expense over these assets and are unable to present audit adjustments that are required to correctly present the financial statements. Due to our inability to correct the misstatements related to capital assets, we are unable to provide any opinion on them.

The School should appropriately track and report capital assets in accordance with the approved capital asset policy as stated in the Governing Authority Manual. Failure to do so could result in fraud, theft, errors or omissions related to capital assets going unnoticed.

Official's Response: See pages 33 and 34.

SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS JUNE 30, 2012

Finding Number	Finding Summary	Fully Corrected?	Not Corrected, Partially Corrected; Significantly Different Corrective Action Taken; or Finding No Longer Valid; Explain
2011-001	Ohio Rev. Code Section 3314.02(E)(2) – Governing Board members serving on Board for more than two schools.	Yes	
2011-002	Finding for Recovery in amount of \$142,997 against Institute of Management and Resources for overpayment of management fees.	No	Finding has not been repaid.
2011-003	Finding for Recovery in amount of \$9,365 against Institute of Management and Resources for unpaid receivables. Financial statement opinion was also modified.	No	Finding has not been repaid. Significant deficiency repeated as finding 2012-001.
2011-004	Ohio Rev. Code Section 3314.03 (B)(5) and AOS Bulletin 2000-005 – Financial statement misstatements	No	Repeated as Findings Number 2012-002
2011-005	Ohio Rev. Code Section 3314.024 and AOS Bulletin 2004-009 – Management Company Footnote	No	Repeated as Finding Number 2012-003

RICHARD ALLEN ACADEMY III COMMUNITY SCHOOL OFFICIALS' RESPONSES TO AUDIT REPORT FINDINGS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2012

Finding 2012-001

The School's position that receivables were netted against payables (decreased receivable and decreased payables) remains unchanged from the 2011 audit. In 2012, the Auditors and the School agreed to reverse the original entry with which the receivable were netted against the payables as a condition of resolving the 2011 finding for recovery (2011-003) and the resulting opinion qualification. The agreed upon reversal (increase receivable and increase payables) was made as an adjusting entry in the 2012 audit, the finding for recovery was resolved, but qualification was removed for only the receivable. It appears now that the Auditors seem to be accepting only one side of a double-sided entry and rejecting the other; specifically, they are accepting the increase in receivable and rejecting the increase in payable. The School is open to any suggestions from the Auditors as to what would be required for the other side of the entry to be deemed acceptable.

Auditor of State's Conclusion: The Academy did not provide and sufficient documentary evidence to support that receivables were netted against the payables. The School should have increased opening equity instead of accounts payable.

Finding 2012-002

The School has taken the following steps to address the issues raised in Finding 2012-002: (1) the School has hired a Treasurer who reports directly to the Governing Board, as opposed to the management company. This will provide a greater degree of independence and internal control; (2) the Treasurer will be responsible for the compilation of financial statements independent from the management company, which should help eliminate the errors reported previously; (3) the Treasurer has years of demonstrable experience in capturing and providing accounting information in the form and preferred by the Ohio Department of Education.

Finding 2012-003

The School and management company have entered into a new management agreement effective October 1, 2013. That agreement requires the management company to report all expenditures made on behalf of the School to the Governing Board on a regular basis.

Finding 2009-004

The School disputes the Auditor of State's interpretation of the management agreement fee language in effect from July 1, 2011 through January 21, 2012. Accordingly, both IMR and the School have formally contested the related findings or recovery in accordance with ORC 9.24. That case, *Institute of Management and Resources, Inc. v. Dave Yost, et al.*, Case No. 2013 CV 000682, is currently pending in the Franklin County Court of Common Pleas before Judge Guy L. Reece II.

In addition, the Governing Board has implemented a procedure whereby, in order for IMR to receive more than the contractual management fee, they must submit a formal request specifying the amount of funds needed, along with the proposed use of those funds, which must be formally approved by the Governing Board at a properly convened meeting of the same.

Finding 2012-005

At the time both the School and management company were founded, community school officials were specifically exempted from the provisions of ORC 2921.42 via ORC 3314.03. The amendment to that law did not take effect until July 1, 2006. Since that time, Jeanette Harris has resigned as CEO of IMR and CEO of the Richard Allen Schools. Reverend Harris was an emeritus member of the Governing Board, which was an honorary title bestowed upon him by the voting Governing Board members in recognition of his contributions to the Schools as a founder thereof. Although the emeritus Board position was ceremonial and non-voting, Reverend Harris resigned from the same in 2011 order to avoid any appearance of impropriety.

RICHARD ALLEN ACADEMY III COMMUNITY SCHOOL OFFICIALS' RESPONSES TO AUDIT REPORT FINDINGS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2012 (Continued)

With regard to Dr. Michelle Thomas, she is the CEO of the management company and is employed by the same. By and through the management agreement between the IMR and the School and pursuant to formal Board action, Dr. Harris serves as Superintendent of the Richard Allen Schools and principal of Richard Allen Academy and Richard Allen Academy II. However, Dr. Thomas receives no additional compensation for serving as the chief executive for the management company beyond the compensation received for serving in her administrative capacities at the Schools. In addition, Dr. Thomas is not, and has never been, CEO of the Richard Allen Schools. This is a clear misstatement by the Auditors.

Mr. Felix O'Aku does serve as CFO for IMR. Mr. O'Aku also served as fiscal officer of the School. The fact of the matter is that it is a customary business practice in the state of Ohio for charter school management companies and sponsorship organizations to provide fiscal and treasury services to the schools that they oversee. The noncompliance finding does not allege that Mr. O'Aku serving as fiscal officer for the School while being employed by IMR is in violation of state law because no such prohibition exists. However, as of July 1, 2013, Mr. O'Aku has been replaced as fiscal officer for the School in order to avoid any appearance of impropriety.

And as previously discussed, Finding 2012-004 was a refund to IMR for a payment made by IMR to the School in an attempt to resolve various findings for recovery. Because the Attorney General refused to accept IMR's repayment of \$2,237 on behalf of the various Board members, Jeanette Harris and Steven Johnson, Mrs. Harris personally submitted repayment to the School in the same amount in order to resolve the related findings. Accordingly, IMR was issued a refund for that \$2,237 payment.

Auditor of State's Conclusion: Michelle Thomas served as the Superintendent at the School and CEO of the Management Company. Felix O'Aku served as the Treasurer of Schools and CFO of Management Company. Due to close relationship between the School and the Management Company, the Auditor of State believes there is a conflict of interest and this issue has been referred to the Ohio Ethics Commission.

Finding 2012-006

The capital assets reduction, specifically the \$16,862 reduction in leasehold improvements, was the result of an audit adjustment recommended by the Auditors during the FY10 audit. That audit adjusting entry and the related supporting reconciliation, along with the accompanying email, were provided to the Auditors in advance of the release of this audit report and show the original fixed asset amount submitted by the School, which the Auditors then adjusted to the amounts reported in the subsequent audit years FY11, FY12, and FY13.

Auditor of State's Conclusion: The School maintains two sets of capital asset records. Auditors were provided only with the Depreciation Report in Fy 2010 which did not include the \$16,862 in leasehold improvements. The 2010 audit adjustment was made to tie the audited financial statements to the School's underlying capital asset records, i.e. Depreciation Report. During the 2012 audit, the auditors were provided with two different fixed asset reports and they did not agree with each other. Due to lack of formal useful lives and inception date for the leasehold improvement, the auditors were unable to propose an audit adjustment or calculate accumulated depreciation and depreciation expense on the unreported asset.



RICHARD ALLEN ACADEMY III COMMUNITY SCHOOL

MONTGOMERY COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

Susan Babbitt

CERTIFIED NOVEMBER 6, 2013