



Dave Yost • Auditor of State





# Dave Yost • Auditor of State

## INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

York Township  
Medina County  
6609 Norwalk Road  
Medina, OH 44256

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Board of Trustees and the management of York Township, Medina County, Ohio, (the Township) agreed, solely to assist the Board in evaluating receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Management is responsible for recording transactions; and management and the Board are responsible for complying with the compliance requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' Government Auditing Standards. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

### Cash and Investments

1. We tested the mathematical accuracy of the December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
2. We agreed the January 1, 2011 beginning fund balances recorded in the Cash Summary By Fund Report to the December 31, 2010 balances in the documentation in the prior year Agreed-Upon Procedures working papers. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the January 1, 2012 beginning fund balances recorded in the Cash Summary By Fund Report to the December 31, 2011 balances in the Cash Summary By Fund Report. We found no exceptions.
3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the December 31, 2012 and 2011 fund cash balances reported in the Cash Summary By Fund Reports. The amounts agreed.
4. We confirmed the December 31, 2012 Certificates of Deposit with the Township's financial institution. We found no exceptions. We observed the year-end bank balances on the financial institutions' website. The balances agreed. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the December 31, 2012 bank reconciliation without exception.
5. We selected five reconciling debits (such as outstanding checks) haphazardly from the December 31, 2012 bank reconciliation:
  - a. We traced each debit to the subsequent bank statement. We found no exceptions.
  - b. We traced the amounts and dates to the check register, to determine the debits were dated prior to December 31. We noted no exceptions.

### Cash and Investments (Continued)

6. We tested investments held at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 to determine that they:
  - a. Were of a type authorized by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 135.13, 135.14 or 135.144. We found no exceptions.
  - b. Mature within the prescribed time limits noted in Ohio Rev. Code Section 135.13 or 135.14. We noted no exceptions.

### Property Taxes, Intergovernmental and Other Confirmable Cash Receipts

1. We selected a property tax receipt from one *Statement of Semiannual Apportionment of Taxes* (the Statement) for 2012 and one from 2011:
  - a. We traced the gross receipts from the *Statement* to the amount recorded in the Receipt Register Report. We also traced the advances noted on the Statement to the Receipt Register Report. The amounts agreed.
  - b. We determined whether the receipt was allocated to the proper funds as required by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.05-.06 and 5705.10. We found no exceptions.
  - c. We determined whether the receipt was recorded in the proper year. The receipt was recorded in the proper year.
2. We scanned the Receipt Register Report to determine whether it included two real estate tax receipts plus seven advances for 2012 and six for 2011. We noted the Receipts Register Report included the proper number of tax receipts for each year.
3. We selected five receipts from the State Distribution Transaction Lists (DTL) from 2012 and five from 2011. We also selected five receipts from the County Auditor's DTLs from 2012 and five from 2011.
  - a. We compared the amount from the above reports to the amount recorded in the Receipt Register Report. The amounts agreed.
  - b. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper funds. We found no exceptions.
  - c. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

### Debt

1. From the prior agreed-upon procedures documentation, we noted the following note outstanding as of December 31, 2010. This amount agreed to the Townships January 1, 2011 balances on the summary we used in step 3.

<u>Issue</u>	<u>Principal outstanding as of December 31, 2010:</u>
First Merit Promissory Note	\$68,468.53

2. We inquired of management, and scanned the Receipt Register Report and Payment Register Detail Report for evidence of debt issued during 2012 or 2011 or debt payment activity during 2012 or 2011. All debt activity agreed to the summary we used in step 3.

**Debt (Continued)**

3. We obtained a summary of note debt activity for 2012 and 2011 and agreed principal and interest payments from the related debt amortization schedule to the General Fund and Special Levy – Fire Fund payments reported in the Payment Register Detail Report. We also compared the date the debt service payments were due to the date the Township made the payments. We found no exceptions.

**Payroll Cash Disbursements**

1. We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2012 and one payroll check for five employees from 2011 from the Payroll Register Detail Report and:
  - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Payroll Register Detail Report to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively or statutorily-approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
  - b. We determined whether the fund and account codes to which the check was posted were reasonable based on the employees' duties as documented in the minute record and in the UAN employee information. We also determined whether the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.
2. We scanned the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2012 to determine whether remittances were timely paid, and if the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld, plus the employer's share where applicable, during the final withholding period of 2012. We noted the following:

<b>Withholding (plus employer share, where applicable)</b>	<b><u>Date Due</u></b>	<b><u>Date Paid</u></b>	<b><u>Amount Due</u></b>	<b><u>Amount Paid</u></b>
Federal income taxes & Medicare	January 31, 2013	December 27, 2012	\$1,123.78	\$1,123.78
State income taxes	January 15, 2013	December 27, 2012	227.28	227.28
OPERS retirement	January 30, 2013	December 27, 2012	2,819.85	2,819.85

3. For the pay periods ended September 30, 2012 and November 23, 2011, we recomputed the allocation of the Boards' salaries to the General Fund and Road and Bridge Fund, per the Payment Register Detail Report. We found no exceptions.
4. For the pay periods described in the preceding step, we traced the trustees' salary for time or services performed to supporting certifications the Revised Code requires. Ohio Rev. Code Section 505.24(C) provides that each trustee shall certify the percentage of time spent working on matters to be paid from the township General Fund and from other township funds in such proportions as the kinds of services performed. Auditor of State Bulletin 2011-007 indicates each township trustee that is compensated from funds other than the General Fund must complete the certification prior to receiving his/her pay for that pay period. We noted the Trustees' were paid monthly but the certifications were completed annually at year end. Each township trustee that is compensated from funds other than the General Fund should complete the certification detailing the percentage of time that the he/she spent during the pay period providing services related to each fund to be charged prior to receiving his/her pay for that pay period.

### Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements

1. We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Payment Register Detail Report for the year ended December 31, 2012 and ten from the year ended December 31, 2011 and determined whether:
  - a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
  - b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Payment Register Detail Report and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
  - c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.
  - d. The fiscal officer certified disbursements requiring certification or issued a *Then and Now Certificate*, as required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(D). We found no exceptions.

### Compliance – Budgetary

1. We compared the total estimated receipts from the Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources, required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.36(A)(1), to the amounts recorded in the Revenue Status Report for the General Fund, Road and Bridge Fund, and Special Levy - Fire Fund for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011. The Revenue Status Report recorded budgeted (i.e. certified) resources for the General Fund, Road and Bridge Fund, and Special Levy - Fire Fund of \$165,772, \$223,800, and \$325,300, respectively, for 2012. However, the final *Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources* reflected \$167,072, \$226,650, and \$303,200 for the General Fund, Road and Bridge Fund, and Special Levy - Fire Fund, respectively. The Revenue Status Report recorded budgeted (i.e. certified) resources for the General Fund, Road and Bridge Fund, and Special Levy – Fire Fund of \$186,206, \$224,235, and \$296,216, respectively, for 2011. However, the final *Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources* reflected \$186,023, \$228,137, and \$305,126 for the General Fund, Road and Bridge Fund, and Special Levy - Fire Fund, respectively. The fiscal officer should periodically compare amounts recorded in the Revenue Status Report to amounts recorded on the *Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources* to assure they agree. If the amounts do not agree, the Trustees may be using inaccurate information for budgeting and monitoring purposes.
2. We scanned the appropriation measures adopted for 2012 and 2011 to determine whether, for the General Fund, Road and Bridge Fund, and Special Levy - Fire Fund, the Trustees appropriated separately for “each office, department, and division, and within each, the amount appropriated for personal services,” as is required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.38(C). We found no exceptions.
3. We compared total appropriations required by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.38 and 5705.40, to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status Report for 2012 and 2011 for the following funds: General Fund, Road and Bridge Fund, and Special Levy - Fire Fund. The amounts on the appropriation resolutions agreed to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status report.
4. Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.36(A)(5) and 5705.39 prohibits appropriations from exceeding the certified resources. We compared total appropriations to total certified resources for the General Fund, Road and Bridge Fund, and Special Levy - Fire Fund for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011. We noted no funds for which appropriations exceeded certified resources.

### **Compliance – Budgetary (Continued)**

5. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(B) prohibits expenditures (disbursements plus certified commitments) from exceeding appropriations. We compared total expenditures to total appropriations for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 for the General Fund, Road and Bridge Fund and Special Levy – Fire Fund, as recorded in the Appropriation Status Report. We noted no funds for which expenditures exceeded appropriations.
6. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.09 requires establishing separate funds to segregate externally-restricted resources. We scanned the Receipt Register Report for evidence of new restricted receipts requiring a new fund during December 31, 2012 and 2011. We also inquired of management regarding whether the Township received new restricted receipts. The Township used the Special Revenue Miscellaneous Fund during 2011 and 2012 to segregate certain donation receipts with restrictions to purchase only medical equipment and disbursements in 2011 and Federal Emergency Management Agency receipts and disbursements in 2012 in compliance with Section 5705.09 and 2 CFR Part 176.210.
7. We scanned the 2012 and 2011 Revenue Status Reports and Appropriation Status Reports for evidence of interfund transfers which Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.14 - .16 restrict. We found no evidence of transfers these Sections prohibit, or for which Section 5705.16 would require approval by the Tax Commissioner and Court of Common Pleas.
8. We inquired of management and scanned the Appropriation Status Reports to determine whether the Township elected to establish reserve accounts permitted by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.13. We noted the Township did not establish these reserves.

### **Compliance – Contracts & Expenditures**

We inquired of management and scanned the Payment Register Detail Report for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 to determine if the township proceeded by force account (i.e. used its own employees) to maintain or repair roads (cost of project \$15,000-\$45,000) or to construct or reconstruct township roads (cost of project \$5,000-\$15,000/per mile) for which Ohio Rev. Code Section 5575.01 requires the county engineer to complete a force account project assessment form (i.e., cost estimate). We identified no projects requiring the county engineer to complete a force account cost estimate.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Township's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, those charged with governance, and others within the Township, and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.



**Dave Yost**  
Auditor of State

April 25, 2013

**This page intentionally left blank.**



# Dave Yost • Auditor of State

**YORK TOWNSHIP**

**MEDINA COUNTY**

## **CLERK'S CERTIFICATION**

**This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.**

*Susan Babbitt*

**CLERK OF THE BUREAU**

**CERTIFIED  
MAY 21, 2013**