



Dave Yost • Auditor of State





# Dave Yost • Auditor of State

## INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Wood County Park District  
Wood County  
18729 Mercer Road  
Bowling Green, Ohio 43402-9688

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Board of Commissioners and the management of Wood County Park District, Wood County, Ohio (the District) agreed, solely to assist the Board in evaluating receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Management is responsible for recording transactions; and management and the Board are responsible for complying with the compliance requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

### Cash

1. Wood County is custodian for the District's deposits and therefore the County's deposit and investment pool holds the District's assets. We compared the District's fund balances reported on its Statement of Cash Position for Month Ending December 31, 2013 to the balances reported in Wood County's accounting records. The amounts agreed.
2. We agreed the January 1, 2012 beginning fund balances recorded in the Statement of Cash Position for Month Ending December 31, 2012 to the December 31, 2011 balances in the prior year audited statements. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the January 1, 2013 beginning fund balances recorded in the Statement of Cash Position For Month Ending December 31, 2013 to the December 31, 2012 balances in the Statement of Cash Position For Month Ending December 31, 2012. We found no exceptions.

### Property Taxes and Intergovernmental Receipts

1. We selected a property tax receipt from one *Statement of Semiannual Apportionment of Taxes* (the Statement) for 2013 and one from 2012:
  - a. We traced the gross receipts from the *Statement* to the amount recorded in the Detail Revenue Transactions Report. The amounts did not agree. The District recorded property tax receipts at net rather than gross. As a result, an understatement of revenues and expenditures in the amount of \$16,502 and \$13,281 were noted on the 2013 February *Statement* and the 2012 August *Statement*. We recommend the District record property tax receipts at gross and record the related fees as offsetting expenditures.

- b. We determined whether the receipt was allocated to the proper fund as required by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.05-.06 and 5705.10. We found no exceptions.
  - c. We determined whether the receipt was recorded in the proper year. The receipt was recorded in the proper year.
2. We scanned the Detail Revenue Transactions Report to determine whether it included two real estate tax receipts for 2013 and 2012. We noted the Detail Revenue Transactions Report included the proper number of tax receipts for each year.
  3. We selected all receipts from the State Distribution Transaction Lists (DTL) from 2013 and all from 2012. We also selected all receipts from the Wood County Auditor's DTLs from 2013 and all from 2012.
    - a. We compared the amount from the above reports to the amount recorded in the Detail Revenue Transactions Report. The amounts agreed.
    - b. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper fund. We found no exceptions.
    - c. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

**Debt**

1. The prior audit documentation disclosed no debt outstanding as of December 31, 2011.
2. We inquired of management, and scanned the Detail Revenue Transactions Report and Detail Expense Transactions Report for evidence of debt issued during 2013 or 2012 or debt payment activity during 2013 or 2012. We noted no new debt issuances, nor any debt payment activity during 2013 or 2012.

**Payroll Cash Disbursements**

1. We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2013 and one payroll check for five employees from 2012 from the Detail Check Register Reports and:
  - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Detail Check Register Reports to supporting documentation (timecard, or legislatively approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
  - b. We determined whether the fund and account code to which the check was posted were reasonable based on the employees' duties as documented in the employees' personnel files. We also determined whether the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.
2. We scanned the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2013 to determine whether remittances were timely charged by the fiscal agent (Wood County), and if the amounts charged agreed to the amounts withheld, plus the employer's share where applicable, during the final withholding period of 2013. We noted the following:

<b>Withholding (plus employer share, where applicable)</b>	<b>Date Due</b>	<b>Date Paid</b>	<b>Amount Due</b>	<b>Amount Paid</b>
Federal income taxes and Medicare	January 31, 2014	December 27, 2013	\$3,142.22	\$3,142.22
State income taxes	January 15, 2014	December 27, 2013	588.66	588.66
Bowling Green City income tax	January 31, 2014	January 9, 2014	844.11	844.11
OPERS retirement	January 30, 2014	January 17, 2014	12,302.52	12,302.52
OP and F retirement	January 31, 2014	January 17, 2014	3,795.24	3,795.24

### Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements

We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Detail Expense Transactions Report for the year ended December 31, 2013 and ten from the year ended December 31, 2012 and determined whether:

- a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
- b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Detail Expense Transactions Report and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
- c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.
- d. The fiscal officer certified disbursements requiring certification or issued a *Then and Now Certificate*, as required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(D). We found no exceptions.

### Compliance – Budgetary

1. We compared the total estimated receipts from the *Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources*, required by Ohio Rev. Code 5705.36(A)(1), to the amounts recorded in the MTD/YTD Revenue Report for Month 12 – Wood County for the General Fund for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012. The amounts agreed.
2. We scanned the appropriation measures adopted for 2013 and 2012 to determine whether, for the General Fund, the Commissioners appropriated separately for “each office, department, and division, and within each, the amount appropriated for personal services,” as is required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.38(C). We found no exceptions.
3. We compared total appropriations required by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.38 and 5705.40, to the amounts recorded in the Wood County Budget Report for 2013 and 2012 for the General Fund. The amounts on the appropriation resolutions agreed to the amounts recorded in the Wood County Budget Report.
4. Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.36(A)(5) and 5705.39 prohibits appropriations from exceeding the certified resources. We compared total appropriations to total certified resources for the General Fund for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012. We noted appropriations did not exceed certified resources for the General Fund.
5. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(B) prohibits expenditures (disbursements plus certified commitments) from exceeding appropriations. We compared total expenditures to total appropriations for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 for the General Fund, as recorded in the Wood County Budget Report. We noted expenditures did not exceed appropriations for the General Fund.
6. We inquired of management and scanned the Detail Expense Transactions Report to determine whether the District elected to establish reserve accounts permitted by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.13. We noted the District did not establish these reserves.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the District's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, those charged with governance, and others within the District, and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Dave Yost". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, looping "D" and "Y".

**Dave Yost**  
Auditor of State

Columbus, Ohio

May 13, 2014



# Dave Yost • Auditor of State

**WOOD COUNTY PARK DISTRICT**

**WOOD COUNTY**

**CLERK'S CERTIFICATION**

**This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.**

*Susan Babbitt*

**CLERK OF THE BUREAU**

**CERTIFIED  
MAY 29, 2014**