



Dave Yost • Auditor of State



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Ross Agricultural Society
Ross County
P.O. Box 614
Chillicothe, Ohio 45601

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Board of Directors and the management of Ross County Agricultural Society (the Society) agreed, solely to assist the Board in evaluating receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended November 30, 2014 and 2013, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Management is responsible for recording transactions; and management and the Board are responsible for complying with the compliance requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

Cash

1. We tested the mathematical accuracy of the November 30, 2014 and November 30, 2013 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
2. We agreed the December 1, 2012 beginning fund balances recorded to the November 30, 2012 balances in the prior year audited statements. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the December 1, 2013 beginning fund balances recorded to the November 30, 2013 balances. We found no exceptions.
3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the November 30, 2014 and 2013 fund cash balance reported in the accounting records. The amounts agreed.
4. We confirmed the November 30, 2014 bank account balance with the Society's financial institution. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the November 30, 2014 bank reconciliation without exception.
5. We selected five reconciling debits (such as outstanding checks) haphazardly from the November 30, 2014 bank reconciliation:
 - a. We traced each debit to the subsequent December and January bank statement. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We traced the amounts and dates to the check register, to determine the debits were dated prior to November 30. We noted no exceptions.

Corporate Centre of Blue Ash, 11117 Kenwood Road, Blue Ash, Ohio 45242
Phone: 513-361-8550 or 800-368-7419 Fax: 513-361-8577

www.ohioauditor.gov

Intergovernmental and Other Confirmable Cash Receipts

1. We selected all the receipts from the Distribution Transaction Detail Report (State DTL) for 2014 and 2013. We also selected five receipts from the Ross County Auditor's Record of Payment to the Ross County Ag Society from 2014 and five from 2013.
 - a. We compared the amount from the above reports to the amount recorded in the Receipt Ledger. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper account code(s). We found no exceptions.
 - c. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Admission/Grandstand Receipts

We haphazardly selected one day of admission/grandstand cash receipts from the year ended November 30, 2014 and one day of admission/grandstand cash receipts from the year ended November 30, 2013 recorded in the receipts ledger and determined whether the receipt amount agreed to the supporting documentation ticket recapitulation sheets. The amounts agreed.

Privilege Fee Receipts

We haphazardly selected 10 privilege fee cash receipts from the year ended November 30, 2014 and 10 privilege fee cash receipts from the year ended November 30, 2013 recorded in the duplicate cash receipts book and determined whether the:

- a. Receipt amount agreed to the amount recorded in the Receipt Ledger. The amounts agreed.
- b. Amount charged complied with rates in force during the period. We found no exceptions.
- c. Receipt was recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Rental Receipts

We haphazardly selected 10 rental cash receipts from the year ended November 30, 2014 and 10 rental cash receipts from the year ended November 30, 2013 recorded in the duplicate cash receipts book and determined whether the:

- a. Receipt amount agreed to the amount recorded in the Receipt Ledger. The amounts agreed.
- b. Amount charged complied with rates in force during the period. We found no exceptions.
- c. Receipt was recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Change in Refund Over-The-Counter Cash Receipts

We completed a 100% test over-the-counter cash receipts from the year ended November 30, 2014 and completed a 100% test over-the-counter cash receipts from the year ended November 30, 2013 recorded in the duplicate cash receipts book and determined whether the:

- a. Receipt amount agreed to the amount recorded in the receipt ledger. The amounts agreed.

- b. Amount charged complied with rates in force during the period. We found no exceptions.
- c. Receipt was posted to the proper fund(s), and was recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Other Non-Fair Over-The-Counter Cash Receipts

We haphazardly selected 10 over-the-counter cash receipts from the year ended November 30, 2014 and 10 over-the-counter cash receipts from the year ended November 30, 2013 recorded in the duplicate cash receipts book and determined whether the:

- a. Receipt amount agreed to the amount recorded in the receipt ledger. The amounts agreed.
- b. Amount charged complied with rates in force during the period. We found no exceptions.
- c. Receipt was posted to the proper fund(s), and was recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

ATM Settlements Over-The-Counter Cash Receipts

We haphazardly selected 10 over-the-counter cash receipts from the year ended November 30, 2014 and completed a 100% test over-the-counter cash receipts from the year ended November 30, 2013 recorded in the duplicate cash receipts book and determined whether the:

- a. Receipt amount agreed to the amount recorded in the receipt ledger. The amounts agreed.
- b. Amount charged complied with rates in force during the period. We found no exceptions.
- c. Receipt was posted to the proper fund(s), and was recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Debt

1. The prior agreed-upon procedures documentation, disclosed no debt outstanding as of November 30, 2012.
2. We inquired of management, and scanned the receipt and expenditure records for evidence of loan or credit agreements, and bonded, note, County, or mortgage debt issued during 2014 or 2013 or debt payment activity during 2014 or 2013. All debt noted agreed to the summary we used in step 3. We noted a promissory note with Kingston National Bank was issued during 2014 for \$50,000.
3. We obtained a summary of debt service payments owed during 2014 and 2013. We noted the debt was paid off on November 13, 2104.
4. We agreed the amount of debt proceeds from the debt documents to amounts recorded in the Receipt Ledger. The amounts agreed.
5. For new debt issued during 2014 and 2013, we inspected the debt legislation, noting no restrictions on how the proceeds are used. We scanned the expenditure ledger and noted the Society used these funds for grandstand repairs and upgrades.

Payroll Cash Disbursements

1. We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2014 and one payroll check for five employees from 2013 from the Payroll Register and:
 - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Payroll Register to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively or statutorily-approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
2. For any new employees selected in step 1 we determined whether the following information in the minute record was consistent with the information used to compute gross and net pay related to this check:
 - a. Name
 - b. Authorized salary or pay rate
 - c. Retirement system participation and payroll withholding
 - d. Federal, State & Local income tax withholding authorization and withholding
 - e. Any other deduction authorizations (deferred compensation, etc.)

We found no exceptions related to steps a – e above.

3. We scanned the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended November 30, 2014 to determine whether remittances were timely paid, and that the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld, plus the employer's share where applicable, during the final withholding period of 2014. We noted the following:

Withholding (plus employer share, where applicable)	Date Due	Date Paid	Amount Due	Amount Paid
Federal income taxes, social security, & Medicare (and social security, for employees not enrolled in pension system)	January 31, 2015	January 24, 2015	\$2,478.67	\$2,478.67
State income taxes	January 31, 2015	January 24, 2015	155.93	155.93
Local income tax	January 31, 2015	January 24, 2015	\$35.99	\$35.99

Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements

1. From the Expenditure report, we re-footed checks recorded as disbursements for *equipment and grounds maintenance* for 2014 and 2013. We found no exceptions.
2. We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Expenditure Report for the year ended November 30, 2014 and ten from the year ended 2013 and determined whether:
 - a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
 - b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Expenditure Ledger and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
 - c. Based on the nature of the expenditure, the account coding is reasonable. We found no exceptions.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Society's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, those charged with governance, and others within the Society, and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.



Dave Yost
Auditor of State

Columbus, Ohio

April 6, 2015

This page intentionally left blank.



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

ROSS COUNTY AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY

ROSS COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbitt

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

**CERTIFIED
APRIL 21, 2015**