

**SPRIGG TOWNSHIP
ADAMS COUNTY
Agreed-Upon Procedures
For the Years Ended December 31, 2013 and 2012**

Perry & Associates
Certified Public Accountants, A.C.



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

Board of Trustees
Sprigg Township
3106 Cabin Creek Road
Manchester, Ohio 45144

We have reviewed the *Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures* of Sprigg Township, Adams County, prepared by Perry & Associates, Certified Public Accountants, A.C., for the period January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2013. Based upon this review, we have accepted this report in lieu of the audit required by Section 117.11, Revised Code.

Our review was made in reference to the applicable sections of legislative criteria, as reflected by the Ohio Constitution, and the Revised Code, policies, procedures and guidelines of the Auditor of State, regulations and grant requirements. Sprigg Township is responsible for compliance with these laws and regulations.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Dave Yost".

Dave Yost
Auditor of State

January 28, 2015

This page intentionally left blank.

**SPRIGG TOWNSHIP
ADAMS COUNTY**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE	PAGE
Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures	1

This page intentionally left blank.

Perry & Associates
Certified Public Accountants, A.C.
www.perrycpas.com

MARIETTA
428 Second Street
Marietta, OH 45750
(740) 373-0056
(740) 373-2402 Fax

PARKERSBURG
1035 Murdoch Avenue
Parkersburg, WV 26101
(304) 422-2203
(304) 428-5587 Fax

ST. CLAIRSVILLE
121 E. Main Street
St. Clairsville, OH 43950
(740) 695-1569
(740) 695-5775 Fax

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

October 10, 2014

Sprigg Township
Adams County
3106 Cabin Creek Road
Manchester, Ohio 45144

To the Board of Trustees:

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Board of Trustees and the management of **Sprigg Township**, Adams County, Ohio (the Township) and the Auditor of State agreed, solely to assist the Board in evaluating receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Management is responsible for recording transactions; and management and the Board are responsible for complying with the compliance requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

Cash

1. We tested the mathematical accuracy of the December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
2. We attempted to agree the January 1, 2012 beginning fund balances recorded in the *Combined Statement of Cash Receipts, Cash Disbursements and Changes in Fund Cash Balances* to the December 31, 2011 balances in the prior year audited statements. The amounts did not agree. The general fund ending balance per the prior year audited statements was \$113,307; however, the beginning 2012 fund balance per the *Combined Statements of Cash Receipts, Cash Disbursements and Changes in Fund Cash Balance* was \$83,308. We are unable to determine the variance by fund for the special revenue funds since no breakdown of the audited fund balances is available. The 2011 audit adjustments have not been recorded in the Township's books. We also agreed the January 1, 2013 beginning fund balances recorded in the *Combined Statement of Cash Receipts, Cash Disbursements and Changes in Fund Cash Balances* to the December 31, 2012 balances in the *Combined Statement of Cash Receipts, Cash Disbursements and Changes in Fund Cash Balances*. The amounts agreed.
3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the December 31, 2013 and 2012 fund cash balances reported in the Combined Statements. The amounts agreed.

Cash (Continued)

4. We confirmed the December 31, 2013 bank account balance with the Township's financial institution. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the confirmed balance to the amount appearing in the December 31, 2013 bank reconciliation without exception.
5. We selected five reconciling debits (such as outstanding checks) haphazardly from the December 31, 2013 bank reconciliation:
 - a. We traced each debit to the subsequent January bank statement. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We traced the amounts and dates written to the check register, to determine the debits were dated prior to December 31. We noted no exceptions.

Property Taxes, Intergovernmental and Other Confirmable Cash Receipts

1. We selected a property tax receipt from one *Statement of Semiannual Apportionment of Taxes* (the Statement) for 2013 and one from 2012:
 - a. We traced the gross receipts from the Statement to the amount recorded in the Revenue Ledger Report. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We determined whether the receipt was allocated to the proper funds as required by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.05-.06 and 5705.10. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We determined whether the receipt was recorded in the proper year. The receipt was recorded in the proper year.
2. We scanned the Revenue Ledger to determine whether it included two real estate tax receipts for 2013 and 2012. We noted the Revenue Ledger included the proper number of tax receipts for 2013 and 2012.
3. We selected all of the receipts from the State Distribution Transaction Lists (DTL) from 2013 and all of them from 2012. We also selected five receipts from the County Auditor's Vendor Audit Trail Reports from 2013 and five from 2012.
 - a. We compared the amount from the above reports to the amount recorded in the Revenue Ledger. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper funds. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Debt

1. From the prior audit documentation, we noted the following bond outstanding as of December 31, 2011. These amounts agreed to the Townships January 1, 2012 balances on the summary we used in step 3.

Issue	Principal outstanding as of December 31, 2011:
Fire Truck Bond	\$220,000

2. We inquired of management, and scanned the Revenue Ledger and Cash Journal for evidence of debt issued during 2013 or 2012 or debt payment activity during 2013 or 2012. All debt noted agreed to the summary we used in step 3.
3. We obtained a summary of bonded debt activity for 2013 and 2012 and agreed principal and interest payments from the related debt amortization schedules to General and Gasoline Tax fund payments reported in the Cash Journal. We also compared the date the general and gasoline tax payments were due to the date the Township made the payments. We found no exceptions.

Debt (Continued)

4. We agreed the amount of debt proceeds from the debt documents to amounts recorded in the Gasoline Tax fund per the Revenue Ledger. The amounts agreed.
5. For new debt issued during 2013, we inspected the debt legislation, noting the Township must use proceeds to purchase a tractor. We scanned the Cash Journal and noted the Township purchased a tractor in June of 2013.

Payroll Cash Disbursements

1. We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2013 and one payroll check for five employees from 2012 from the Employee Detail Adjustment Report and:
 - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Employee Detail Adjustment Report to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively or statutorily-approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
 - b. We determined whether the fund and account codes to which the check was posted were reasonable based on the employees' duties as documented in the minute record or as required by statute. We also determined whether the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.
2. We scanned the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2013 to determine whether remittances were timely paid, and if the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld, plus the employers share, where applicable, during the final withholding period of 2013. We noted the following:

Withholding (plus employer share, where applicable)	Date Due	Date Paid	Amount Due	Amount Paid
Federal income taxes & Medicare (and social security, for employees not enrolled in pension system)	January 31, 2014	December 27, 2013	\$ 1,596.61	\$ 1,596.61
State income taxes	January 15, 2014	December 27, 2013	\$ 279.19	\$ 279.19
OPERS retirement	January 30, 2014	December 27, 2013	\$ 1,480.76	\$ 1,480.76

3. For the pay periods ended September 30, 2013 and March 31, 2012 we recomputed the allocation of the Board's salaries to the General and Gas Tax Funds per the Employee Detail Adjustment Report. We found no exceptions.
4. For the pay periods described in the preceding step, we traced the Boards' salary for time or services performed to supporting certifications the Revised Code Requires. We found no exceptions.

We inquired of management and scanned the Cash Journal for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 to determine if township employees and/or trustees were reimbursed for out-of-pocket insurance premiums. We noted no such reimbursements.

Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements

1. We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Cash Journal for the year ended December 31, 2013 and ten from the year ended 2012 and determined whether:
 - a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
 - b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Cash Journal and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
 - c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.
 - d. The fiscal officer certified disbursements requiring certification or issued a *Then and Now Certificate*, as required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(D). We found ten instances in 2013 and eight in 2012 where the certification date was after the vendor invoice date, and there was also no evidence that a *Then and Now Certificate* was issued. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(D) requires certifying at the time of a commitment, which should be on or before the invoice date, unless a *Then and Now Certificate* is used. Because we did not test all disbursements requiring certification, our report provides no assurance whether or not additional similar errors occurred.

Compliance – Budgetary

1. We compared the total estimated receipts from the *Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources*, required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.36(A)(1), to the amounts recorded in the Revenue Status Report for the General, Road and Bridge, and Motor Vehicle License Tax Funds for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012. The amounts on the *Certificate* agreed to the amount recorded in the accounting system except for the General and Road and Bridge funds in 2012. The Revenue Status Report recorded budgeted resources for the General fund of \$199,988.19 for 2012. However, the final *Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources* reflected \$199,388.19. The Revenue Status Report recorded budgeted resources for the Road and Bridge fund of \$104,362.00 for 2012. However, the final *Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources* reflected \$103,262.00. The fiscal officer should periodically compare amounts recorded in the Revenue Status Report to amounts recorded on the *Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources* to assure they agree. If the amounts do not agree, the Trustees may be using inaccurate information for budgeting and monitoring purposes.
2. We scanned the appropriation measures adopted for 2013 and 2012 to determine whether, for the General, Road and Bridge, and Motor Vehicle License Tax Funds, the Trustees appropriated separately for “each office, department, and division, and within each, the amount appropriated for personal services,” as is required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.38(C). We found no exceptions.
3. We compared total appropriations required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.38 and 5705.40, to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status Report for 2013 and 2012 for the following funds: General, Road and Bridge, and Motor Vehicle License Tax. The amounts on the appropriation resolutions agreed to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status Report except for the General and Road and Bridge funds in 2012. The Appropriation Status Report recorded appropriations for the General fund of \$283,296.41. However, the Appropriations reflected \$312,696.41. The Appropriation Status Report recorded appropriations for the Road and Bridge fund of \$171,028.68. However, the Appropriations reflected \$143,928.68. We noted no other exceptions.
4. Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.36(A)(5) and 5705.39 prohibits appropriations from exceeding the certified resources. We compared total appropriations to total certified resources for the General, Road and Bridge, and Motor Vehicle License Tax Funds for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012. We noted no funds for which appropriations exceeded certified resources.

Compliance – Budgetary (Continued)

5. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(B) prohibits expenditures (disbursements plus certified commitments) from exceeding appropriations. We compared total expenditures to total appropriations for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 for the General, Road and Bridge, and Motor Vehicle License Tax Funds, as recorded in the Appropriation Status Report. We noted no funds for which expenditures exceeded appropriations.
6. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.09 requires establishing separate funds to segregate externally-restricted resources. We scanned the Revenue Ledger for evidence of new restricted receipts requiring a new fund during December 31, 2013 and 2012. We also inquired of management regarding whether the Township received new restricted receipts. We noted no evidence of new restricted receipts for which Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.09 would require the Township to establish a new fund.
7. We scanned the 2013 and 2012 Revenue Ledgers and Appropriation Status Reports for evidence of interfund transfers exceeding \$1,000 which Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.14 - .16 restrict. We found no evidence of transfers these Sections prohibit, or for which Section 5705.16 would require approval by the Tax Commissioner and Court of Common Pleas.
8. We inquired of management and scanned the Appropriation Status Reports to determine whether the Township elected to establish reserve accounts permitted by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.13. We noted the Township did not establish these reserves.
9. We scanned the Cash Summary by Fund Report for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 for negative cash fund balance. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.10 (l) provides that money paid into a fund must be used for the purposes for which such fund is established. As a result, a negative fund cash balance indicates that money from one fund was used to cover the expenses of another. We noted no funds having a negative cash fund balance.

Compliance – Contracts & Expenditures

We inquired of management and scanned the Appropriation Ledger for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 to determine if the Township proceeded by force account (i.e. used its own employees) to maintain or repair roads (cost of project \$15,000-\$45,000) or to construct or reconstruct township roads (cost of project \$5,000-\$15,000/per mile) for which Ohio Rev. Code Section 5575.01 requires the county engineer to complete a force account project assessment form (i.e., cost estimate). We identified no projects requiring the county engineer to complete a force account cost estimate.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Township's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, those charged with governance, the Auditor of State and others within the Township and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.



Perry and Associates
Certified Public Accountants, A.C.
Marietta, Ohio

This page intentionally left blank.



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

SPRIGG TOWNSHIP

ADAMS COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbitt

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

**CERTIFIED
FEBRUARY 10, 2015**