



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

THORN TOWNSHIP
PERRY COUNTY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE _____ **PAGE**

Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures..... 1

This page intentionally left blank.



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Thorn Township
Perry County
P.O. Box 419
Thornville, Ohio 43076

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Board of Trustees and the management of Thorn Township, Perry County, Ohio (the Township) agreed, solely to assist the Board in evaluating receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Management is responsible for recording transactions; and management and the Board are responsible for complying with the compliance requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

Cash and Investments

1. We tested the mathematical accuracy of the December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
2. We agreed the January 1, 2013 beginning fund balances recorded in the Fund Ledger Report to the December 31, 2012 balances in the prior year audited statements. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the January 1, 2014 beginning fund balances recorded in the Fund Ledger Report to the December 31, 2013 balances in the Fund Ledger Report. We found no exceptions.
3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the December 31, 2014 and 2013 fund cash balances reported in the Fund Status Reports. The amounts agreed.
4. We confirmed the December 31, 2014 bank account balances with the Township's financial institutions. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing on the December 31, 2014 bank reconciliation. We noted a \$61 variance between the amount recorded for a Township certificate of deposit and the confirmed balance due to interest earned but not yet posted to the Township's accounting records.
5. We selected five reconciling debits (such as outstanding checks) haphazardly from the December 31, 2014 bank reconciliation:
 - a. We traced each debit to the January 2015 bank statements. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We traced the amounts and dates to the check register, to determine the debits were dated prior to December 31. We noted no exceptions.

Cash and Investments (Continued)

6. We tested investments held at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 to determine that they:
 - a. Were of a type authorized by Ohio Rev. Code §§ 135.13, 135.14 or 135.144. We found no exceptions.
 - b. Mature within the prescribed time limits noted in Ohio Rev. Code §§ 135.13 or 135.14. We noted no exceptions.

Property Taxes, Intergovernmental and Other Confirmable Cash Receipts

1. We selected a property tax receipt from one Perry County Auditor *Distribution of Receipts* for 2014 and one from 2013:
 - a. We traced the gross receipts from the *Distribution of Receipts* to the amount recorded in the Revenue Receipt Register Report. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We determined whether the receipt was allocated to the proper funds as required by Ohio Rev. Code §§ 5705.05-.06 and 5705.10. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We determined whether the receipt was recorded in the proper year. The receipt was recorded in the proper year.
2. We scanned the Revenue Receipt Register Report to determine whether it included two real estate tax receipts for 2014 and 2013. We noted the Revenue Receipts Register Report included the proper number of tax receipts for each year.
3. We selected five receipts from the State Distribution Transaction Lists (DTL) from 2014 and five from 2013. We also selected five receipts from the County Auditor's Appropriation History from 2014 and five from 2013.
 - a. We compared the amount from the above reports to the amount recorded in the Revenue Receipt Register Report. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper funds. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.
4. We confirmed the amounts paid from the Estate of Mary Lou Baker to the Township during 2013 with supporting documentation received by the Township from the estate. We found no exceptions.
 - a. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper funds. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Over-The-Counter Cash Receipts

We haphazardly selected 10 over-the-counter cash receipts from the year ended December 31, 2014 and 10 over-the-counter cash receipts from the year ended 2013 recorded in the duplicate cash receipts book and determined whether the:

- a. Receipt amount agreed to the amount recorded in the Revenue Receipt Register Report. The amounts agreed.
- b. Receipt was posted to the proper funds, and was recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Debt

1. From the prior audit documentation, we noted the following loan outstanding as of December 31, 2012. This amount agreed to the Township's January 1, 2013 balance on the summary we used in step 3.

Issue	Principal outstanding as of December 31, 2012
Fire/EMS Building Loan	\$292,327

2. We inquired of management, and scanned the Revenue Receipt Register Report and Payment Register Detail Report for evidence of debt issued during 2014 or 2013 or debt payment activity during 2014 or 2013. All debt noted agreed to the summary we used in step 3. We noted that debt payment activity during 2013 was to pay off the outstanding debt, and there were no new debt issuances.
3. We obtained a summary of debt activity for 2013. We noted the Township paid the remaining balance of the loan in 2013 and received a satisfaction of mortgage as evidence the loan was paid in full. We agreed the outstanding loan balance to the Fire District Fund and Thorn Township EMS Fund payments reported in the Payment Register Detail Report. We also compared the date the scheduled payments were due to the date the Township made the payments. We found no exceptions.

Payroll Cash Disbursements

1. We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2014 and one payroll check for five employees from 2013 from the Wage Base Detail Report and:
 - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Wage Detail Report to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively or statutorily-approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
 - b. We determined whether the fund and account code to which the check was posted were reasonable based on the employees' duties as documented in the Wage Detail Reports and time sheets. We also determined whether the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.
2. We scanned the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2014 to determine whether remittances were timely paid, and if the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld, plus the employer's share where applicable, during the final withholding period of 2014. We noted the following:

Withholding (plus employer share, where applicable)	Date Due	Date Paid	Amount Due	Amount Paid
Federal income taxes & Medicare (and social security, for employees not enrolled in pension system)	1/31/15	12/29/14	\$2,099	\$2,099
State income taxes	1/31/15	12/29/14	\$643	\$643
OPERS retirement	1/30/15	12/24/14 and 12/29/14	\$1,715	\$1,715

Payroll Cash Disbursements (Continued)

3. We inquired of management and scanned the Wage Detail Report for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 to determine if township employees and/or trustees were reimbursed for out-of-pocket insurance premiums. Insurance reimbursements made were in compliance with Ohio Rev. Code §§ 505.60 and 505.601.

Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements

We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Payment Register Detail Report for the year ended December 31, 2014 and ten from the year ended 2013 and determined whether:

- a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
- b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Payment Register Detail Report and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
- c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.
- d. The Fiscal Officer certified disbursements requiring certification or issued a *Then and Now Certificate*, as required by Ohio Rev. Code § 5705.41(D). We found one instance where the certification date was after the vendor invoice date, and there was also no evidence that a *Then and Now Certificate* was issued. Ohio Rev. Code § 5705.41(D) requires certifying at the time of a commitment, which should be on or before the invoice date, unless a *Then and Now Certificate* is used. Because we did not test all disbursements requiring certification, our report provides no assurance whether or not additional similar errors occurred. The Fiscal Officer should certify all disbursements requiring certification or issue a *Then and Now Certificate* as required by Ohio Rev. Code § 5705.41(D).

Compliance – Budgetary

1. We compared the total estimated receipts from the *Certificate of the Total Amount From All Sources Available For Expenditures and Balances*, required by Ohio Rev. Code § 5705.36(A)(1), to the amounts recorded in the Revenue Status Report for the General, Gasoline Tax and Thorn Township EMS Funds for the year ended December 31, 2014. No exceptions were noted. We compared the total estimated receipts from the *Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources*, required by Ohio Rev. Code § 5705.36(A)(1), to the amounts recorded in the Revenue Status Report for the General, Gasoline Tax and Thorn Township EMS Funds for the year ended December 31, 2013. The amounts on the *Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources* did not agree to the amount of estimated receipts recorded in the accounting system. The Revenue Status Report recorded budgeted (i.e. certified) resources for the General Fund of \$134,600 for 2013. However, the final *Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources* reflected \$138,810. The Revenue Status Report recorded budgeted resources for the Gasoline Tax Fund of \$82,030 for 2013. However, the final *Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources* reflected \$83,030. The Revenue Status Report recorded budgeted resources for the Thorn Township EMS Fund of \$186,500 for 2013. However, the final *Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources* reflected \$315,289. The Fiscal Officer should periodically compare amounts recorded in the Revenue Status Report to amounts recorded on the *Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources* to assure they agree. If the amounts do not agree, the Trustees may be using inaccurate information for budgeting and monitoring purposes.

Compliance – Budgetary (Continued)

2. We scanned the appropriation measures adopted for 2014 and 2013 to determine whether, for the General, Road and Bridge and Hanby CD Funds, the Trustees appropriated separately for “each office, department, and division, and within each, the amount appropriated for personal services,” as is required by Ohio Rev. Code § 5705.38(C). We found no exceptions.
3. We compared total appropriations required by Ohio Rev. Code §§ 5705.38 and 5705.40, to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status Report for 2014 and 2013 for the following funds: General, Motor Vehicle License Tax, and Gasoline Tax. The amounts on the appropriation resolutions did not agree to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status report for the General and Gasoline Tax Funds. The Appropriation Status Report recorded appropriations for the General Fund of \$268,808 for 2014 and \$205,266 for 2013. However, the legislatively-approved appropriations for the General Fund were \$212,876 for 2014 and \$192,545 for 2013. The Appropriation Status Report recorded appropriations for the Gasoline Tax Fund of \$100,900 for 2014 and \$105,587 for 2013. However, the legislatively-approved appropriations for the Gasoline Tax Fund were \$97,700 for 2014 and \$85,375 for 2013. The Board of Trustees should approve all appropriation amendments with approval being recorded in the Township minutes. All appropriation amendments should be filed with the County Auditor in order to receive confirmation from the County Auditor that appropriations do not exceed estimated resources. The Fiscal Officer should post all legislatively-approved amendments to the accounting system and periodically compare amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status Report to amounts recorded on the Board of Trustees approved Appropriation Resolutions to assure they agree. If the amounts do not agree, the Board may be using inaccurate information for budgeting and monitoring purposes.
4. Ohio Rev. Code §§ 5705.36(A)(5) and 5705.39 prohibits appropriations from exceeding the certified resources. We compared total appropriations to total certified resources for the General, Road and Bridge, and Fire District Funds for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013. We noted no funds for which appropriations exceeded certified resources.
5. Ohio Rev. Code § 5705.41(B) prohibits expenditures (disbursements plus certified commitments) from exceeding appropriations. We compared total expenditures to total appropriations for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 for the General, Road and Bridge, and Fire District Funds, as recorded in the Appropriation Resolution approved by the Board of Trustees. We noted that the General Fund expenditures exceeded total appropriations by \$23,724 in 2014 and \$540 in 2013; the Road and Bridge Fund expenditures exceeded appropriations by \$5,464 in 2014 and \$58,719 in 2013; and the Fire District Fund expenditures exceeded appropriations by \$20,943 in 2014 and \$125,890 in 2013. The Fiscal Officer should not certify the availability of funds and should deny payment requests exceeding legislatively-approved appropriations. The Fiscal Officer may request the Trustees to approve increased expenditure levels by increasing appropriations and amending estimated resources, if necessary, and if resources are available.
6. Ohio Rev. Code § 5705.09 requires establishing separate funds to segregate externally-restricted resources. We scanned the Revenue Receipt Register Report for evidence of new restricted receipts requiring a new fund during December 31, 2014 and 2013. We also inquired of management regarding whether the Township received new restricted receipts. The Township established the Miscellaneous Special Revenue Fund during 2013 to segregate Federal Emergency Management Agency receipts and disbursements, in compliance with § 5705.09 and 2 CFR Part 176.210.

Compliance – Budgetary (Continued)

7. We scanned the 2014 and 2013 Revenue Status Reports and Appropriation Status Reports for evidence of interfund transfers exceeding \$10 which Ohio Rev. Code §§ 5705.14 - .16 restrict. We found no evidence of transfers these Sections prohibit, or for which § 5705.16 would require approval by the Tax Commissioner and Court of Common Pleas.
8. We inquired of management and scanned the Appropriation Status Reports to determine whether the Township elected to establish reserve accounts permitted by Ohio Rev. Code § 5705.13. We noted the Township did not establish these reserves.
9. We scanned the Cash Summary by Fund Report for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 for negative cash fund balance. Ohio Rev. Code § 5705.10 (I) provides that money paid into a fund must be used for the purposes for which such fund is established. As a result, a negative fund cash balance indicates that money from one fund was used to cover the expenses of another. We noted no funds having a negative cash fund balance.

Compliance – Contracts & Expenditures

We inquired of management and scanned the Payment Register Detail Report for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 to determine if the township proceeded by force account (i.e. used its own employees) to maintain or repair roads (cost of project \$15,000-\$45,000) or to construct or reconstruct township roads (cost of project \$5,000-\$15,000/per mile) for which Ohio Rev. Code § 5575.01 requires the county engineer to complete a force account project assessment form (i.e., cost estimate). We identified no projects requiring the county engineer to complete a force account cost estimate.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Township's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, those charged with governance, and others within the Township, and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.



Dave Yost
Auditor of State
Columbus, Ohio

October 5, 2015



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

THORN TOWNSHIP

PERRY COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbitt

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

**CERTIFIED
NOVEMBER 5, 2015**