

LAKWOOD PUBLIC LIBRARY

CUYAHOGA COUNTY

**JANUARY 1, 2014 TO DECEMBER 31, 2015
AGREED UPON PROCEDURES**



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

Board of Trustees
Lakewood Public Library
15425 Detroit Road
Lakewood, Ohio 44107

We have reviewed the *Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures* of the Lakewood Public Library, Cuyahoga County, prepared by Julian & Grube, Inc., for the period January 1, 2014 through December 31, 2015. Based upon this review, we have accepted this report in lieu of the audit required by Section 117.11, Revised Code.

Our review was made in reference to the applicable sections of legislative criteria, as reflected by the Ohio Constitution, and the Revised Code, policies, procedures and guidelines of the Auditor of State, regulations and grant requirements. The Lakewood Public Library is responsible for compliance with these laws and regulations.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Dave Yost".

Dave Yost
Auditor of State

July 11, 2016

This page intentionally left blank.



Julian & Grube, Inc.

Serving Ohio Local Governments

333 County Line Rd. West, Westerville, OH 43082 Phone: 614.846.1899 Fax: 614.846.2799

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Lakewood Public Library
15425 Detroit Road
Lakewood, Ohio 44107

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Board of Trustees and the management of Lakewood Public Library (the "Library") and the Auditor of State agreed, solely to assist the Board in evaluating receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Management is responsible for recording transactions; and management and the Board are responsible for complying with the compliance requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

Cash and Investments

1. We tested the mathematical accuracy of the December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
2. We agreed the January 1, 2014 beginning fund balances recorded in the YTD Fund Report to the December 31, 2013 balances in the documentation in the prior year Agreed-Upon Procedures working papers. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the January 1, 2015 beginning fund balances recorded in the YTD Fund Report to the December 31, 2014 balances in the YTD Fund Report. We found no exceptions.
3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the December 31, 2015 and 2014 fund cash balances reported in the YTD Fund Reports. The amounts agreed.
4. We confirmed the December 31, 2015 bank account balances with the Library's financial institutions. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the December 31, 2015 bank reconciliation without exception.
5. We selected five reconciling debits (such as outstanding checks) haphazardly from the December 31, 2015 bank reconciliation:
 - a. We traced each debit to the subsequent January bank statement. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We traced the amounts and dates to the check register, to determine the debits were dated prior to December 31. We noted no exceptions.

6. We selected the one reconciling credit (such as deposits in transit) haphazardly from the December 31, 2015 bank reconciliation:
 - a. We traced each credit to the subsequent January bank statement. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We agreed the credit amount to the Detail Revenue Transaction Report. The credit was recorded as a December receipt for the same amount recorded in the reconciliation.
7. We tested interbank account transfers occurring in December of 2015 and 2014 to determine if they were properly recorded in the accounting records and on each bank statement. We found no exceptions.
8. We tested investments held at December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 to determine that they:
 - a. Were of a type authorized by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 135.13, 135.14 or 135.144. We found no exceptions.
 - b. Mature within the prescribed time limits noted in Ohio Rev. Code Section 135.13 or 135.14. We noted no exceptions.

Public Library Fund Receipts

We selected two Public Library Fund (PLF) receipts from the County Vendor Detail Report from 2015 and two from 2014.

- a. We compared the amount from the County Vendor Detail Report to the amount recorded in the Detail Revenue Transactions Report. The amounts agreed.
- b. We determined whether these receipts were posted to the General Fund. We found no exceptions.
- c. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.
- d. We scanned the Detail Revenue Transactions Report to determine whether it included one PLF receipt per month for 2015 and 2014. We found no exceptions.

Property Taxes and Intergovernmental Cash Receipts

1. We selected a property tax receipt from one *Statement of Semiannual Apportionment of Taxes* (the Statement) for 2015 and one from 2014
 - a. We traced the gross receipts from the Statement to the amount recorded in the Detail Revenue Transactions Report. We also traced the advances noted on the Statement to the Detail Revenue Transactions Report. The amounts agreed, except for in 2015 the receipt was recorded net of auditor and treasurer fees in the amount of \$19,465.15.
 - b. We determined whether the receipt was recorded in the proper year. The receipt was recorded in the proper year.
2. We scanned the Detail Revenue Transactions Report to determine whether it included two real estate tax receipts plus 5 advances (2015) and four advances (2014) for 2015 and 2014. We noted the Detail Revenue Transactions Report included the proper number of tax receipts for each year.
3. We selected the two receipts from the State Distribution Transaction Lists (DTL) from 2015 and the two from 2014.
 - a. We compared the amount from the above reports to the amount recorded in the Detail Revenue Transactions Report. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper funds. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Debt

1. The prior agreed-upon procedures documentation disclosed no debt outstanding as of December 31, 2013.
2. We inquired of management, and scanned the Detail Revenue Transactions Report and Detail Expense Transactions Report for evidence of debt issued during 2015 or 2014 or debt payment activity during 2015 or 2014. We noted no new debt issuances, nor any debt payment activity during 2015 or 2014.

Payroll Cash Disbursements

1. We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2015 and one payroll check for five employees from 2014 from the Payroll Register Report and:
 - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Payroll Register Report to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively or statutorily-approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
 - b. We determined whether the fund and account codes to which the check was posted were reasonable based on the employees' duties as documented in the minute record. We also determined whether the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.
2. We scanned the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2015 to determine whether remittances were timely paid, and if the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld, plus the employer's share where applicable, during the final withholding period of 2015. We noted the following:

Withholding (plus employer share, where applicable)	Date Due	Date Paid	Amount Due	Amount Paid
Federal income taxes & Medicare (and social security, for employees not enrolled in pension system)	December 30, 2015	December 29, 2015	\$8,840.21	\$8,840.21
State income taxes	January 15, 2016	January 11, 2016	\$1,631.92	\$1,631.92
Local income tax	January 31, 2016	January 11, 2016	\$2,255.25	\$2,255.25
OPERS retirement	February 1, 2016	January 29, 2016	\$26,701.90	\$26,701.90

Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements

1. We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Special Check Register Report for the year ended December 31, 2015 and ten from the year ended 2014 and determined whether:
 - a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
 - b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Special Check Register Report and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
 - c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.
2. We scanned the Special Check Register Report for the year ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 and determined that the proceeds from the levy passed under Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.23, were used for the purposes stated in the resolution.

Compliance – Budgetary

1. We compared total appropriations required by Ohio Admin. Code Section 117-8-02, to the amounts recorded in the MTD/YTD Appropriation Report for 2015 and 2014 for the following funds: General Fund and Art Fund. The amounts on the appropriation resolutions did not agree to the amounts recorded in the MTD/YTD Appropriation Report for three of the four items tested. The MTD/YTD Appropriation Report reported \$130,458 and \$20,221 less than the appropriation resolutions in the General and Art Fund, respectively for 2014. The MTD/YTD Appropriation Report reported \$221 less than the appropriation resolution in the Art Fund for 2015.
2. Ohio Admin. Code Section 117-8-02 prohibits spending in excess of budgeted amounts. We compared total expenditures to total appropriations for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 for the General and Art Fund, as recorded in the MTD/YTD Appropriation Report. We noted no funds for which expenditures exceeded appropriations.

Other Compliance

1. Ohio Rev. Code Section 117.38 requires entities to file their financial information in the HINKLE system formerly known as the Annual Financial Data Reporting System (AFDRS) within 60 days after the close of the fiscal year. We reviewed AFDRS to verify the Entity filed their financial information within the allotted timeframe for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014. No exceptions noted.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Library's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, those charged with governance, the Auditor of State, and others within the Library, and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.



Julian & Grube, Inc.
June 22, 2016



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

LAKESWOOD PUBLIC LIBRARY

CUYAHOGA COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbitt

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

CERTIFIED
JULY 21, 2016