

428 Second St.
Marietta, OH 45750
740.373.0056

1035 Murdoch Ave.
Parkersburg, WV 26101
304.422.2203

104 South Sugar St.
St. Clairsville, OH 43950
740.695.1569



Certified Public Accountants, A.C.

**LONDON PUBLIC LIBRARY
MADISON COUNTY**

Agreed-Upon Procedures

For the Years Ended December 31, 2015 and 2014

www.perrycpas.com

...“bringing more to the table”

Tax– Accounting – Audit – Review – Compilation – Agreed Upon Procedure – Consultation – Bookkeeping – Payroll
Litigation Support – Financial Investigations

Members: American Institute of Certified Public Accountants

- Ohio Society of CPAs • West Virginia Society of CPAs • Association of Certified Fraud Examiners •
- Association of Certified Anti - Money Laundering Specialists •



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

Board of Trustees
London Public Library
20 E. 1st Street
London, Ohio 43140

We have reviewed the *Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures* of the London Public Library, Madison County, prepared by Perry & Associates, Certified Public Accountants, A.C., for the period January 1, 2014 through December 31, 2015. Based upon this review, we have accepted this report in lieu of the audit required by Section 117.11, Revised Code.

Our review was made in reference to the applicable sections of legislative criteria, as reflected by the Ohio Constitution, and the Revised Code, policies, procedures and guidelines of the Auditor of State, regulations and grant requirements. The London Public Library is responsible for compliance with these laws and regulations.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Dave Yost".

Dave Yost
Auditor of State

June 14, 2016

This page intentionally left blank.

LONDON PUBLIC LIBRARY
MADISON COUNTY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE	PAGE
Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures	1

This page intentionally left blank.



428 Second St.
Marietta, OH 45750
740.373.0056

1035 Murdoch Ave.
Parkersburg, WV 26101
304.422.2203

104 South Sugar St.
St. Clairsville, OH 43950
740.695.1569

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

June 9, 2016

London Public Library
Madison County
20 E. 1st Street
London, Ohio 43140

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Board of Trustees and the management of **London Public Library** (the Library) and the Auditor of State agreed, solely to assist the Board in evaluating receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Management is responsible for recording transactions; and management and the Board are responsible for complying with the compliance requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

Cash and Investments

1. We tested the mathematical accuracy of the December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
2. We agreed the January 1, 2014 beginning fund balances recorded in the Monthly Ending Reconciliation Report to the December 31, 2013 balances in the prior year audited statements. We found no exceptions.
3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the December 31, 2015 and 2014 fund cash balances reported in the Monthly Ending Reconciliation Report. The amounts agreed.



...*"bringing more to the table"*

Tax—Accounting—Audit—Review—Compilation—Agreed Upon Procedure—Consultation—Bookkeeping—Payroll
Litigation Support—Financial Investigations

Members: American Institute of Certified Public Accountants

- Ohio Society of CPAs • West Virginia Society of CPAs • Association of Certified Fraud Examiners •
- Association of Certified Anti - Money Laundering Specialists •



Cash (Continued)

4. We confirmed the December 31, 2015 bank account balances with the Library's financial institutions. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the December 31, 2015 bank reconciliation. We noted that the Library used the November bank balance to reconcile at December 31, 2015. The fund balance was \$1,001 less than the cash balance at December 31, 2015.
5. We selected five reconciling debits (such as outstanding checks) haphazardly from the December 31, 2015 bank reconciliation:
 - a. We traced each debit to the subsequent January bank statement. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We traced the amounts and dates to the check register, to determine the debits were dated prior to December 31. We noted no exceptions.
6. We selected all reconciling credits (such as deposits in transit) haphazardly from the December 31, 2015 bank reconciliation:
 - a. We traced each credit to the subsequent January bank statement. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We agreed the credit amounts to the General Ledger. Each credit was recorded as a December receipt for the same amount recorded in the reconciliation.
7. We tested interbank account transfers occurring in December of 2015 and 2014 to determine if they were properly recorded in the accounting records and on each bank statement. We found no exceptions.
8. We tested investments held at December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 to determine that they:
 - a. Were of a type authorized by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 135.13, 135.14 or 135.144. We found no exceptions.
 - b. Mature within the prescribed time limits noted in Ohio Rev. Code Section 135.13 or 135.14. We noted no exceptions.

Public Library Fund Receipts

We selected two Public Library Fund (PLF) receipts from the County Audit Trail by Vendor report from 2015 and two from 2014.

- a. We compared the amount from the County Audit Trail by Vendor report to the amount recorded in the General Ledger. The amounts agreed.
- b. We determined whether these receipts were posted to the General Fund. We found no exceptions.
- c. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.
- d. We scanned the General Ledger to determine whether it included one PLF receipt per month for 2015 and 2014. We found no exceptions.

Property Taxes, Intergovernmental and Other Confirmable Cash Receipts

1. We selected a property tax receipt from one *Statement of Semiannual Apportionment of Taxes* (the *Statement*) for 2015 and one from 2014.
 - a. We traced the gross receipts from the *Statement* to the amount recorded in the General Ledger. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We determined whether the receipt was recorded in the proper year. The receipt was recorded in the proper year.
2. We scanned the General Ledger to determine whether it included two real estate tax receipts for 2015 and 2014. We noted the General Ledger included the proper number of tax receipts for each year.
3. We selected all receipts from the State Distribution Transaction Lists (DTL) from 2015 and all from 2014. We also selected five receipts from the County Audit Trail by Vendor report from 2015 and five from 2014.
 - a. We compared the amount from the above reports to the amount recorded in the General Ledger. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper funds. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Debt

1. The prior audit documentation disclosed no debt outstanding as of December 31, 2013.
2. We inquired of management, and scanned the General Ledger for evidence of debt issued during 2015 or 2014 or debt payment activity during 2015 or 2014. We noted no new debt issuances, nor any debt payment activity during 2015 or 2014.

Payroll Cash Disbursements

1. We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2015 and one payroll check for five employees from 2014 from the Payroll Transaction Detail and:
 - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Payroll Transaction Detail to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively or statutorily-approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
 - b. We determined whether the fund and account codes to which the check was posted were reasonable based on the employees' duties as documented in the minute record. We also determined whether the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Payroll Cash Disbursements (Continued)

2. We scanned the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2015 to determine whether remittances were timely paid, and if the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld, plus the employer's share where applicable, during the final withholding period of 2015. We noted the following:

Withholding (plus employer share, where applicable)	Date Due	Date Paid	Amount Due	Amount Paid
Federal income taxes & Medicare (and social security, for employees not enrolled in pension system)	January 31, 2016	January 13, 2016	\$ 12,813.09	\$ 12,813.09
State income taxes	January 15, 2016	December 31, 2015	\$ 572.56	\$ 572.56
Local income tax	January 31, 2016	January 27, 2016	\$ 28.58	\$ 28.58
OPERS retirement	January 30, 2016	January 25, 2016	\$ 7,635.56	\$ 7,635.56

Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements

1. We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the General Ledger for the year ended December 31, 2015 and ten from the year ended 2014 and determined whether:
 - a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
 - b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the General Ledger and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
 - c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.

Compliance – Budgetary

1. We compared total appropriations required by Ohio Admin. Code Section 117-8-02, to the amounts recorded in the Profit & Loss Budget vs. Actual report for 2015 and 2014 for the following funds: General and Capital Projects Funds. The amounts on the appropriation resolutions agreed to the amounts recorded in the Profit & Loss Budget vs. Actual report.
2. Ohio Admin. Code Section 117-8-02 prohibits spending in excess of budgeted amounts. We compared total expenditures to total appropriations for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 for the General and Capital Projects Funds, as recorded in the Profit & Loss Budget vs. Actual. We noted no funds for which expenditures exceeded appropriations.

Other Compliance

1. Ohio Rev. Code Section 117.38 requires entities to file their financial information in the HINKLE system formerly known as the Annual Financial Data Reporting System (AFDRS) within 60 days after the close of the fiscal year. We reviewed AFDRS to verify the Entity filed their financial information within the allotted timeframe for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014. No exceptions noted.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Library's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, those charged with governance, the Auditor of State, and others within the Library, and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.



Perry and Associates
Certified Public Accountants, A.C.
Marietta, Ohio

This page intentionally left blank.



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

LONDON PUBLIC LIBRARY

MADISON COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbitt

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

CERTIFIED
JUNE 28, 2016