

428 Second St.
Marietta, OH 45750
740.373.0056

1035 Murdoch Ave.
Parkersburg, WV 26101
304.422.2203

104 South Sugar St.
St. Clairsville, OH 43950
740.695.1569



Certified Public Accountants, A.C.

**VILLAGE OF CHICKASAW
MERCER COUNTY**

Agreed-Upon Procedures

For the Years Ended Dec. 31, 2015 and Dec. 31, 2014

www.perrycpas.com

...“bringing more to the table”

Tax– Accounting – Audit – Review – Compilation – Agreed Upon Procedure – Consultation – Bookkeeping – Payroll
Litigation Support – Financial Investigations

Members: American Institute of Certified Public Accountants

- Ohio Society of CPAs • West Virginia Society of CPAs • Association of Certified Fraud Examiners •
- Association of Certified Anti - Money Laundering Specialists •



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

Village Council
Village of Chickasaw
P.O. Box 14
Chickasaw, Ohio 45826

We have reviewed the *Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures* of the Village of Chickasaw, Mercer County, prepared by Perry & Associates, Certified Public Accountants, A.C., for the period January 1, 2014 through December 31, 2015. Based upon this review, we have accepted this report in lieu of the audit required by Section 117.11, Revised Code

Our review was made in reference to the applicable sections of legislative criteria, as reflected by the Ohio Constitution, and the Revised Code, policies, procedures and guidelines of the Auditor of State, regulations and grant requirements. The Village of Chickasaw is responsible for compliance with these laws and regulations.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Dave Yost".

Dave Yost
Auditor of State

July 25, 2016

This page intentionally left blank.

VILLAGE OF CHICKASAW
MERCER COUNTY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE	PAGE
Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures	1



428 Second St.
Marietta, OH 45750
740.373.0056

1035 Murdoch Ave.
Parkersburg, WV 26101
304.422.2203

104 South Sugar St.
St. Clairsville, OH 43950
740.695.1569

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

June 23, 2016

Village of Chickasaw
Mercer County
P.O. Box 14
Chickasaw, Ohio 45826

To the Village Council, Mayor, and Management:

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Village Council and Mayor, and the management of the **Village of Chickasaw**, Mercer County, Ohio (the Village) and the Auditor of State have agreed, solely to assist the Council and Mayor in evaluating receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Management is responsible for recording transactions; and management, the Mayor, and / or the Council are responsible for complying with the compliance requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

Cash and Investments

1. We tested the mathematical accuracy of the December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
2. We agreed the January 1, 2014 beginning fund balances recorded in the Combined Statement of Receipts, Disbursements and Changes in Fund Balances to the December 31, 2013 balances in the prior year audited statements. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the January 1, 2015 beginning fund balances recorded in the Combined Statement of Receipts, Disbursements and Changes in Fund Balances to the December 31, 2014 balances in the Combined Statement of Receipts, Disbursements and Changes in Fund Balances. We found no exceptions.
3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the December 31, 2015 and 2014 fund cash balances reported in the Combined Statement of Receipts, Disbursements and Changes in Fund Balances. The amounts agreed.



...*"bringing more to the table"*

Tax - Accounting - Audit - Review - Compilation - Agreed Upon Procedure - Consultation - Bookkeeping - Payroll
Litigation Support - Financial Investigations

Members: American Institute of Certified Public Accountants

• Ohio Society of CPAs • West Virginia Society of CPAs • Association of Certified Fraud Examiners •
• Association of Certified Anti - Money Laundering Specialists •



Cash and Investments (Continued)

4. We confirmed the December 31, 2015 bank account balances with the Village's financial institution. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the December 31, 2015 bank reconciliation. The amounts did not agree due to the village not including interest received on their Certificate of Deposits in the accounting system. We noted no other exceptions.
5. We selected five reconciling debits (such as outstanding checks) haphazardly from the December 31, 2015 bank reconciliation:
 - a. We traced each debit to the subsequent January bank statement. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We traced the amounts and dates to the check register, to determine the debits were dated prior to December 31. We noted no exceptions.
6. We tested investments held at December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 to determine that they:
 - a. Were of a type authorized by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 135.13, 135.14 or 135.144. We found no exceptions.
 - b. Mature within the prescribed time limits noted in Ohio Rev. Code Section 135.13 or 135.14. We noted no exceptions.

Property Taxes, Intergovernmental and Other Confirmable Cash Receipts

1. We selected a property tax receipt from one *Statement of Semiannual Apportionment of Taxes* (the Statement) for 2015 and one from 2014:
 - a. We traced the gross receipts from the *Statement* to the amount recorded in the Revenue Ledger. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We determined whether the receipt was allocated to the proper funds as required by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.05-.06 and 5705.10. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We determined whether the receipt was recorded in the proper year. The receipt was recorded in the proper year.
2. We scanned the Revenue Ledger to determine whether it included two real estate tax receipts for 2015 and 2014. We noted the Revenue Ledger included the proper number of tax receipts for each year.
3. We selected all receipts from the State Distribution Transaction Lists (DTL) from 2015 and all from 2014. We also selected five receipts from the County Auditor's Expenditure History by Vendor Report from 2015 and five from 2014.
 - a. We compared the amount from the above reports to the amount recorded in the Revenue Ledger. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper funds. No exceptions were noted.
 - c. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Water & Sewer Fund

1. We haphazardly selected 10 Water Operating Fund, Sewer Operating Fund & Trash Fund collection cash receipts from the year ended December 31, 2015 and 10 Water Operating Fund, Sewer Operating Fund & Trash Fund collection cash receipts from the year ended 2014 recorded in the Revenue Ledger and determined whether the:
 - a. Receipt amount per the Revenue Ledger agreed to the amount recorded to the credit of the customer's account in the U/B Receipts Posting Journal. The amounts agreed.
 - b. Amount charged for the related billing period:
 - i. Agreed with the debit to accounts receivable in the U/B Receipts Posting Journal for the billing period. We found no exceptions.
 - ii. Complied with rates in force during the audit period. We found no exceptions.
 - c. Receipt was posted to the proper funds, and was recorded in the year received. We found no exceptions. However, we noted late fees relating to these services were recorded in the General Fund.
2. We read the Past Due Balance Report.
 - a. We noted this report listed \$10,472.17 and \$7,202.64 of accounts receivable as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.
 - b. Of the total receivables reported in the preceding step, \$10,472.17 and \$7,202.64 were recorded as more than 90 days delinquent. The Village was unable to supply a report showing delinquent accounts that were less than 90 days for 2015 and 2014.
3. We read the Adjustment Report.
 - a. We noted the fiscal officer said there are never any adjustments because the rates are set.

Debt

1. From the prior audit documentation, we noted the following debt outstanding as of December 31, 2013. These amounts agreed to the Villages January 1, 2014 balances on the summary we used in step 3.

Issue	Principal outstanding as of December 31, 2013:
OWDA New Inspection System	\$918,069
OWDA Lagoon Expansion	\$534,115
Ohio Public Works Commission Loan 2005	\$21,000
Ohio Public Works Commission Loan 2009	\$178,531

2. We inquired of management, and scanned the Revenue Ledger and Appropriation Ledger for evidence of debt issued during 2015 or 2014 or debt payment activity during 2015 or 2014. All debt noted agreed to the summary we used in step 3.
3. We obtained a summary of loan debt activity for 2015 and 2014 and agreed principal payments reported from the related debt amortization schedules to the Street Construction, Maintenance and Repair Fund, Sewer Debt Service Fund and Water Debt Service Fund payments reported in the Appropriation Ledger. We also compared the date the debt service payments were due to the date the Village made the payments. We found no exceptions.

Payroll Cash Disbursements

1. We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2015 and one payroll check for five employees from 2014 from the Employee Payroll Reports and:
 - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Employee Detail Adjustment Report to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively or statutorily-approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
 - b. We determined whether the fund and account code(s) to which the check was posted were reasonable based on the employees' duties as documented in the minute record. We also determined whether the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.

2. We scanned the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2015 to determine whether the remittances were timely paid, and if the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld, plus the employers share, where applicable, during the final withholding period of 2015. We noted the following:

Withholding (plus employer share, where applicable)	Date Due	Date Paid	Amount Due	Amount Paid
Federal income taxes & Medicare (and social security, for employees not enrolled in pension system)	January 31, 2016	January 12, 2016	\$ 555.09	\$ 555.09
State income taxes	January 31, 2016	January 11, 2016	\$ 80.87	\$ 80.87
OPERS retirement	January 30, 2016	January 4, 2016	\$ 679.20	\$ 679.20

3. We haphazardly selected and recomputed one termination payment (unused vacation, etc.) using the following information, and agreed the computation to the amount paid as recorded in the Employee Payroll Reports:
 - a. Accumulated leave records
 - b. The employee's pay rate in effect as of the termination date
 - c. The Village's payout policy.

The amount paid was consistent with the information recorded in a. through c. above.

Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements

1. We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Payment Register Detailed Report for the year ended December 31, 2015 and ten from the year ended 2014 and determined whether:
 - a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
 - b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Payment Register Detailed Report and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
 - c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.
 - d. The fiscal officer certified disbursements requiring certification or issued a *Then and Now Certificate*, as required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(D). We found one instance in 2015 and two instances in 2014 where the certification date was after the vendor invoice date, and there was also no evidence that a *Then and Now Certificate* was issued. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41 (D) requires certifying at the time of commitment, which should be on or before the invoice date, unless a *Then and Now Certificate* is used. Because we did not test all disbursements requiring certification, our report provides no assurance whether or not additional similar errors occurred.

Compliance – Budgetary

1. We compared the total estimated receipts from the *Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources*, required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.36(A)(1), to the amounts recorded in the Revenue Status Report for the General, Street Construction, Maintenance, and Repair, and Water Operating Funds for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014. The amounts on the *Certificate* agreed to the amount recorded in the accounting system, except for the General and Water Operating Funds in 2015 and the General Fund in 2014. In 2015 the Revenue Status Report recorded budgeted (i.e. certified) resources for the General Fund and Water Operating Funds of \$59,917 and \$54,000 respectively. However, the final *Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources* reflected \$62,634 and \$54,470. In 2014, the Revenue Status Report recorded budgeted (i.e. certified) resources for the General Fund of \$65,485. However, the final *Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources* reflected \$64,891. The fiscal officer should periodically compare amounts recorded in the Revenue Status Report to amounts recorded on the *Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources* to assure they agree. If the amounts do not agree, the Council may be using inaccurate information for budgeting and monitoring purposes.
2. We scanned the appropriation measures adopted for 2014 and 2015 to determine whether, for the General, Street Construction, Maintenance, and Repair, and Water Operating Funds, the Council appropriated separately for “each office, department, and division, and within each, the amount appropriated for personal services,” as is required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.38(C). We found no exceptions.
3. We compared total appropriations required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.38 and 5705.40, to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status Report for 2014 and 2015 for the following funds: General, Street Construction, Maintenance, and Repair, and Water Operating Funds. The approved appropriations agreed to the amounts recorded in the accounting system, except for the General and Water Operating Funds in 2015. Approved appropriations for the General and Water Operating Funds were \$127,000 and \$103,500 respectively. Amounts recorded in the accounting system for the General, Water Operating Funds were \$127,673 and \$104,008 respectively. We found no other exceptions.

Compliance – Budgetary (Continued)

4. Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.36(A)(5) and 5705.39 prohibits appropriations from exceeding the certified resources. We compared total appropriations to total certified resources for the General, Street Construction, Maintenance, and Repair, and Water Operating Funds for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014. We found no exceptions.
5. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(B) prohibits expenditures (disbursements plus certified commitments) from exceeding appropriations. We compared total expenditures to total appropriations for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 for the General, Street Construction and Maintenance and Water Operating Funds, as recorded in the Appropriation Status Report. We found no exceptions.
6. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.09 requires establishing separate funds to segregate externally-restricted resources. We scanned the Revenue Ledger for evidence of new restricted receipts requiring a new fund during December 31, 2015 and 2014. We also inquired of management regarding whether the Village received new restricted receipts. We noted no evidence of new restricted receipts for which Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.09 would require the Village to establish a new fund.
7. We scanned the 2015 and 2014 Revenue Ledgers and Appropriation Status Reports for evidence of interfund transfers exceeding \$1,000 which Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.14 - .16 restrict. We found no evidence of transfers these Sections prohibit, or for which Section 5705.16 would require approval by the Tax Commissioner and Court of Common Pleas.
8. We inquired of management and scanned the Appropriation Status Report to determine whether the Village elected to establish reserve accounts permitted by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.13. We noted the Village did not establish these reserves.
9. We scanned the Cash Summary by Fund Report for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 for negative cash fund balance. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.10 (I) provides that money paid into a fund must be used for the purposes for which such fund is established. As a result, a negative fund cash balance indicates that money from one fund was used to cover the expenses of another. We noted no funds having negative cash fund balance.

Compliance – Contracts & Expenditures

We inquired of management and scanned the Appropriation Ledger for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 to determine if the Village proceeded by force account (i.e. used its own employees) to maintain or repair roads (cost of project \$15,000-\$45,000) or to construct or reconstruct Village roads (cost of project \$5,000- \$15,000/per mile) for which Ohio Rev. Code Section 5575.01 requires the county engineer to complete a force account project assessment form (i.e., cost estimate). We identified no projects requiring the county engineer to complete a force account cost estimate.

Other Compliance

1. Ohio Rev. Code Section 117.38 requires villages to file their financial information in the HINKLE system formerly known as the Annual Financial Data Reporting System (AFDRS) within 60 days after the close of the fiscal year. We reviewed AFDRS to verify the Village filed their financial information within the allotted timeframe for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014. No exceptions noted.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Village's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, those charged with governance, the Auditor of State and others within the Village and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.



Perry and Associates
Certified Public Accountants, A.C.
Marietta, Ohio



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

VILLAGE OF CHICKASAW

MERCER COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbitt

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

CERTIFIED
AUGUST 4, 2016