



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

VILLAGE OF MCCONNELSVILLE
MORGAN COUNTY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE _____ **PAGE**

Independent Accountants' Report On Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures..... 1

This page intentionally left blank.



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Village of McConnelsville
Morgan County
9 West Main Street
McConnelsville, Ohio 43756

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Village Council and Mayor, and the management of the Village of McConnelsville, Morgan County, Ohio (the Village), have agreed, solely to assist the Council and Mayor in evaluating receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, including mayor's court receipts, disbursements and balances, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Management is responsible for recording transactions; and management, the Mayor, and/or the Council are responsible for complying with the compliance requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

Cash

1. We tested the mathematical accuracy of the December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
2. We agreed the January 1, 2014 beginning fund balances recorded in the Fund Ledger Report to the December 31, 2013 balances in the prior year audited statements. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the January 1, 2015 beginning fund balances recorded in the Fund Ledger Report to the December 31, 2014 balances in the Fund Ledger Report. We found no exceptions.
3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the December 31, 2015 and 2014 fund cash balances reported in the Fund Status Reports. The amounts agreed.
4. We confirmed the December 31, 2015 bank account balance with the Village's financial institution. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the December 31, 2015 bank reconciliation without exception.
5. We selected five reconciling debits (such as outstanding checks) haphazardly from the December 31, 2015 bank reconciliation:
 - a. We traced each debit to the subsequent January and March bank statements. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We traced the amounts and dates to the check register, to determine the debits were dated prior to December 31. We noted no exceptions.

Property Taxes, Intergovernmental and Other Confirmable Cash Receipts

1. We selected a property tax receipt from one *Statement of Semiannual Apportionment of Taxes* (the Statement) for 2015 and one from 2014:
 - a. We traced the gross receipts from the *Statement* to the amount recorded in the Receipt Register Report. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We determined whether the receipt was allocated to the proper funds as required by Ohio Rev. Code §§ 5705.05-.06 and 5705.10. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We determined whether the receipt was recorded in the proper year. The receipt was recorded in the proper year.
2. We scanned the Receipt Register Report to determine whether it included two real estate tax receipts for 2015 and 2014. We noted the Receipts Register Report included the proper number of tax receipts for each year.
3. We selected five receipts from the State Distribution Transaction Lists (DTL) from 2015 and five from 2014. We also selected five receipts from the County Auditor's Vendor Audit Trail Report from 2015 and five from 2014.
 - a. We compared the amount from the above reports to the amount recorded in the Receipt Register Report. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper funds. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Income Tax Receipts

1. We selected five income tax returns filed during 2015 and five from 2014.
 - a. We compared the payment amount recorded on the tax return to the amount recorded on the Receipts Journal. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We compared the Receipts Journal total from step a. to the amount recorded as income tax receipts in the Monthly Revenue Report for that date. The amounts agreed. We also compared the Monthly Revenue Report to the amount recorded as income tax receipts in the Receipt Register Report for that month. The amounts agreed.
2. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the year received. We found no exceptions.
3. We selected five income tax refunds from 2015 and five from 2014.
 - a. We compared the refund paid from the Refund Payables Report to the refund amount requested in the tax return. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We noted each of the refunds was approved by the Income Tax Clerk.
 - c. We noted the refunds were paid from the General Fund as is required.

Water and Sewer Fund

1. We haphazardly selected 10 Water and Sewer Fund collection cash receipts from the year ended December 31, 2015 and 10 Water and Sewer Fund collection cash receipts from the year ended 2014 recorded in the Receipt Register Report and determined whether the:
 - a. Receipt amount per the Receipt Register Report agreed to the amount recorded to the credit of the customer's account in the Utility Billing Journal. The amounts agreed.

Water and Sewer Fund (Continued)

- b. Amount charged for the related billing period:
 - i. Agreed with the debit to accounts receivable in the Utility Billing Journal for the billing period. We found no exceptions.
 - ii. Complied with rates in force during the audit period multiplied by the consumption amount recorded for the billing period, plus any unpaid prior billings. We found no exceptions.
 - c. Receipt was posted to the proper funds, and was recorded in the year received. We found no exceptions.
2. We read the Accounts Receivable Report.
 - a. We noted this report listed \$1,217,117 and \$1,163,941 of accounts receivable as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.
 - b. Of the total receivables reported in the preceding step, \$4,823 and \$3,212 were recorded as more than 90 days delinquent.
 3. We read the Utility Bill Adjustment Journal.
 - a. We noted this report listed a total of \$2,053 and \$910 non-cash receipts adjustments for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.
 - b. We selected five non-cash adjustments from 2015 and five non-cash adjustments from 2014, and noted that the Village Administrator approved each adjustment.

Debt

1. From the prior audit documentation, we noted the following loans outstanding as of December 31, 2013. These amounts agreed to the Villages January 1, 2014 balances on the summary we used in step 3.

Issue	Principal outstanding as of December 31, 2013:
Ohio Water Development Authority Loan 1726	\$19,586
Promissory Note – Citizens National Bank	\$21,067
Ohio Water Development Authority Loan 5138	\$60,470
Ohio Water Development Authority Loan 5210	\$781,711
Ohio Public Works Commission Loan CT56I	\$55,594
Ohio Public Works Commission Loan CT68K	\$31,246
Ohio Public Works Commission Loan CR15M	\$177,458
Promissory Note – First National Bank	\$10,038
Ohio Public Works Commission Loan CR10N	\$51,954
Ohio Water Development Authority Loan 6067	\$109,185

2. We inquired of management, and scanned the Receipt Register Report and Payment Register Detail Report for evidence of debt issued during 2015 or 2014 or debt payment activity during 2015 or 2014. All debt noted agreed to the summary we used in step 3.
3. We obtained a summary of loans debt activity for 2015 and 2014 and agreed principal and interest payments from the related debt amortization schedules to General, Street Construction, Maintenance and Repair, Water and Sewer Fund payments reported in the Payment Register Detail Report. We determined that \$112 was posted to the interest expenditure account instead of principal payments expenditure account and \$15,000 was posted to capital outlay expenditure account instead of principal payments expenditure account for the First National Bank Loan.

Debt (Continued)

4. We also compared the date the debt service payments were due to the date the Village made the payments. We found three loan payments were made on July 6, 2015, which was five days after the due date of July 1, 2015 according to the amortization schedules. The Village should make debt payments according to the amortization schedules so as not to incur late fees or additional charges.
5. We agreed the amount of debt proceeds from the debt documents to amounts recorded in the Street Construction, Maintenance and Repair and Sewer Funds per the Receipt Register Report. We determined that \$5,180 and \$1,696 of capitalized interest was not posted to the Village's ledgers during 2015 and 2014, respectively, for OWDA loan #6704. The capitalized interest should have been posted to Other Debt Proceeds and Interest and Fiscal Charges in the Sewer Fund and Sewer Design Project Fund. We also determined \$232 of administrative fees was not posted to the Village's ledgers during 2015 for a promissory note with First National Bank. The fee should have been posted to Sale of Notes and Interest and Fiscal Charges in the Street Construction, Maintenance and Repair Fund.
6. For new debt issued during 2015 and 2014, we inspected the debt legislation, noting the Village must use the proceeds to purchase a street sweeper and install a new storm sewer and redirect storm water away from existing combined sewers to reduce the number of CSO events. We scanned the Payment Register Detail Report and noted the Village purchased a street sweeper in May of 2015. We noted during 2015 there were 12 on-behalf disbursements and during 2014 there were 9 on-behalf disbursements to contractors via OWDA for the Sewer Design Project.

Payroll Cash Disbursements

1. We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2015 and one payroll check for five employees from 2014 from the Wage Detail Report and:
 - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Wage Detail Report to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively or statutorily-approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
 - b. We determined whether the fund and account codes to which the check was posted were reasonable based on the employees' duties as documented in the employees' personnel files or as required by statute. We also determined whether the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.
2. For any new employees selected in step 1 we determined whether the following information in the employees' personnel files was consistent with the information used to compute gross and net pay related to this check:
 - a. Name.
 - b. Authorized salary or pay rate.
 - c. Departments and funds to which the check should be charged.
 - d. Retirement system participation and payroll withholding.
 - e. Federal, State & Local income tax withholding authorization and withholding.
 - f. Any other deduction authorizations (deferred compensation, etc.).

We found no exceptions related to steps a. – f. above.

3. We scanned the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2015 to determine whether remittances were timely paid, and if the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld, plus the employer's share where applicable, during the final withholding period during 2015.

Payroll Cash Disbursements (Continued)

We noted the following:

Withholding (plus employer share, where applicable)	Date Due	Date Paid	Amount Due	Amount Paid
Federal income taxes & Medicare (and social security, for employees not enrolled in pension system)	1/31/16	12/24/15	\$2,122.89	\$2,122.89
State income taxes	1/15/16	12/23/15	\$838.66	\$838.66
Village of McConnelsville income tax	1/31/16	12/24/15	\$1,372.57	\$1,372.57
OPERS retirement	1/30/16	12/24/15	\$6,795.90	\$6,795.90
OP&F retirement	1/31/16	12/24/15	\$3,871.00	\$3,871.00

4. We haphazardly selected and recomputed one termination payment (unused vacation, etc.) using the following information, and agreed the computation to the amount paid as recorded in the Wage Detail Report:
 - a. Accumulated leave records.
 - b. The employee's pay rate in effect as of the termination date.
 - c. The Village's payout policy.

The amount paid was consistent with the information recorded in a. through c. above.

Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements

We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Payment Register Detail Report for the year ended December 31, 2015 and ten from the year ended 2014 and determined whether:

- a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
- b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Payment Register Detail Report and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
- c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.
- d. The Fiscal Officer certified disbursements requiring certification or issued a *Then and Now Certificate*, as required by Ohio Rev. Code § 5705.41(D). We found no exceptions.

Mayors Court Transactions and Cash Balances

1. We tested the mathematical accuracy of the December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
2. We compared the reconciled cash totals as of December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 to the Mayor's Court Agency Fund balance reported in the Fund Status Reports. The balances agreed.
3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of December 31, 2015 and 2014 listing of unpaid distributions as of each December 31. The amounts agreed.
4. We confirmed the December 31, 2015 bank account balance with the Mayor's Court financial institution. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the confirmed balance to the amount appearing in the December 31, 2015 bank reconciliation without exception.

Mayors Court Transactions and Cash Balances (Continued)

5. We haphazardly selected five cases from the court cash book and agreed the payee and amount posted to the:
 - a. Duplicate receipt book.
 - b. Docket, including comparing the total fine paid to the judgment issued by the judge (i.e. mayor)
 - c. Case file.

The amounts recorded in the cash book, receipts book, docket and case file agreed.

6. From the cash book, we haphazardly selected one month from the year ended December 31, 2015 and one month from the year ended 2014 and determined whether:
 - a. The monthly sum of fines and costs collected for those months agreed to the amounts reported as remitted to the Village, State or other applicable government in the following month. We found no exceptions.
 - b. The totals remitted for these two months per the cash book agreed to the returned canceled checks. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the cash book.

Compliance – Budgetary

1. We compared the total estimated receipts from the *Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources* required by Ohio Rev. Code § 5705.36(A)(1), to the amounts recorded in the Revenue Status Report for the General, Street Construction, Maintenance and Repair, and State Highway Funds for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014. The amounts agreed.
2. We scanned the appropriation measures adopted for 2015 and 2014 to determine whether, for the General, Street Construction, Maintenance and Repair, and State Highway Funds, the Council appropriated separately for “each office, department, and division, and within each, the amount appropriated for personal services,” as is required by Ohio Rev. Code § 5705.38(C). We found no exceptions.
3. We compared total appropriations required by Ohio Rev. Code §§ 5705.38 and 5705.40, to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status Report for 2015 and 2014 for the following funds: General, Street Construction, Maintenance and Repair, and State Highway. The amounts on the appropriation resolutions agreed to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status report.
4. Ohio Rev. Code §§ 5705.36(A)(5) and 5705.39 prohibits appropriations from exceeding the certified resources. We compared total appropriations to total certified resources for the General, Street Construction, Maintenance and Repair, and State Highway Funds for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014. We noted no funds for which appropriations exceeded certified resources.
5. Ohio Rev. Code § 5705.41(B) prohibits expenditures (disbursements plus certified commitments) from exceeding appropriations. We compared total expenditures to total appropriations for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 for the General, Street Construction, Maintenance and Repair, and State Highway Funds, as recorded in the Appropriation Status Report. We noted no funds for which expenditures exceeded appropriations.

Compliance – Budgetary (Continued)

6. Ohio Rev. Code § 5705.09 requires establishing separate funds to segregate externally-restricted resources. We scanned the Receipt Register Report for evidence of new restricted receipts requiring a new fund during December 31, 2015 and 2014. We also inquired of management regarding whether the Village received new restricted receipts. We noted no evidence of new restricted receipts for which Ohio Rev. Code § 5705.09 would require the Village to establish a new fund.
7. We scanned the 2015 and 2014 Revenue Status Reports and Appropriation Status Reports for evidence of interfund transfers exceeding \$500 which Ohio Rev. Code §§ 5705.14 - .16 restrict. We found no evidence of transfers these Sections prohibit, or for which § 5705.16 would require approval by the Tax Commissioner and Court of Common Pleas.
8. We inquired of management and scanned the Appropriation Status Reports to determine whether the Village elected to establish reserve accounts permitted by Ohio Rev. Code § 5705.13. We noted the Village did not establish these reserves.
9. We scanned the Cash Summary by Fund Report for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 for negative cash fund balance. Ohio Rev. Code § 5705.10(l) provides that money paid into a fund must be used for the purposes for which such fund is established. As a result, a negative fund cash balance indicates that money from one fund was used to cover the expenses of another. We noted no funds having a negative cash fund balance.

Compliance – Contracts & Expenditures

We inquired of management and scanned the Payment Register Detail Report for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 to determine if the Village proceeded by force account (i.e., used its own employees) to maintain or repair roads (cost of project exceeding \$30,000) or to construct or reconstruct Village roads (cost of project \$30,000/per mile) for which Ohio Rev. Code §§ 117.16(A) and 723.52 requires the Village engineer, or officer having a different title but the duties and functions of an engineer, to complete a force account project assessment form (i.e., cost estimate). We identified no projects requiring the completion of the force account assessment form.

Other Compliance

Ohio Rev. Code § 117.38 requires villages to file their financial information in the HINKLE system formerly known as the Annual Financial Data Reporting System (AFDRS) within 60 days after the close of the fiscal year. We reviewed AFDRS to verify the Village filed their financial information within the allotted timeframe for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014. No exceptions noted.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Village's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, those charged with governance, and others within the Village, and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Dave Yost". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, looping "D" and "Y".

Dave Yost
Auditor of State
Columbus, Ohio

July 11, 2016



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

VILLAGE OF MCCONNELSVILLE

MORGAN COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbitt

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

**CERTIFIED
AUGUST 4, 2016**